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ABSTRACT

Objectives. The purpose of this study was to investigate the possible radioactive potential of zirconium dioxide (ZrO₂) dental ceramics. Such information is necessary since they became an integral part of routine prosthetic rehabilitations and devoid of scientific information about their radioactivity creates some concern in the dental community.

Methods. Four different types of commercial ZrO₂ ceramics, namely Lava, Cercon, ICE Zirkon and Everest Bio ZS were investigated before and after sintering process. Sintering temperatures were applied according to each manufacturer’s instructions. The compositions of the presintered and sintered specimens were analyzed using X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometer (XRF). Also concentrations of the elements and compounds were measured by this method. Determination of radioactivity was continued with Gamma-spectrometry measurements and Gross alpha/Beta analyses.

Results. For a 24 hour counting time, no measurable level of gamma and gross alpha/beta emitting radionuclide activity. The activity of gamma and Gross alpha/beta below minimum detection limits (MDL) for presintered and sintered ZrO2. The MDLs of gross alpha/beta counting system were 0.02Bq/g and 0.01 Bq/g for alpha and beta, radioactivity respectively. Sintering process played only a minor role at the composition of the compounds.

Significance. Radioactivity of the studied ZrO₂ ceramics showed negligible radionuclide activity that can be considered lower than many hazardous radioactive appliances in our environment.
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1. Introduction

Zirconium, which means golden in colour, is the 18th most abounded element in the earth [1]. Zirconium does not occur in nature in pure state and is refined from naturally occurring ores. It usually contains trace amounts of other elements depending upon the source of the original ore. The geological process that forms zirconium minerals such as zirconium silicate (ZrSiO₄) and zirconium dioxide (ZrO₂) leads to incorporation of some radionuclides into crystal structure [2]. Zirconia is a polymorphic oxide that exist in three different crystal structures as monoclinic, tetragonal and cubic [3-5]. In particular, ZrO₂ typically contains trace amounts of radionuclides such as radium and thorium and also uranium [6-8]. These radionuclides generate alpha, beta and gamma radiations [9]. According to safety reports about ZrO₂, radioactive impurities need to be taken into account due these radionuclides especially when they are used in industrial applications and medical areas [2].

The primary application of the ZrO₂ as a biomaterial in medicine is attributed to hip replacements in 1960s [10]. The success of the biomaterial in the body depends on mechanical properties and biocompatibility. Some stabilizing oxides such as CaO, MgO, CeO₂ and Y₂O₃ are supplemented to the structure in order to withstand the phase transformations [5,10]. The positive experience and studies of ZrO₂ that achieved by orthopedics, navigates the dentist to inspire an alternative treatment strategy in odontology [1,4]. ZrO₂-based technology in dentistry is subjected by manufacturers behind requirements of the scientist for ZrO₂ ceramics in biomechanics and cosmetics are gained. Today the use of ZrO₂ restorations is increasing due to the developments in CAD-CAM systems [4]. Partially sintered (presintered) ZrO₂ ceramic blocks, that sintered at about 950°C, make the material easier to be machined. The machined products are sintered again therefore excellent mechanical structure with dentin-like appearance may occur with high success [8,11]. However, ZrO₂ ceramics reveals a considerable higher level of radioactivity compared with other dental ceramics [9].
In 1970s some additives are presented in dental ceramics to mimic fluorescent characteristic of human dentin. These additives increased the potential of radioactivity of dental restorations due to uranium, radium and thorium chains. The international community has taken steps to minimize the use of naturally occurring radioactive materials. The International Standards Organization and the American Dental Association, in conjunction with the American National Standards Institute, specifies in their standards and guidelines for acceptance that radioactive substances shall not be added intentionally to the porcelain formulations [12]. Uranium radionuclide is presented in dental ceramics until ADA/ANSI Specifications No. 69 (American National Standards Institute/American Dental Association, 1991) is accepted [13]. Later, the use of some additives, which increase potential of radioactivity, is restricted in dental ceramics according to ISO standards (ISO 6872 and 4824) [9]. However, ZrO$_2$ ceramics arouse some researchers to suspect due the possible uranium, radium and thorium chains [6,14]. These radionuclides may be present at minimum levels or different isotopes of another element and may be radioactive. In the examination report of Rieger [15], an activity U$^{238}$ of 0.003 Bq/g records for ZrO$_2$ ceramics. A small dose of radiation caused by radionuclides always occurs around the environment. The human body is exposed to radiation from the Sun, ground, electronic devices and also naturally occurring radionuclides in our body tissues [20]. If the dose exceeds the limits it becomes harmful for the health, finally mutagenic or transforming effects may be caused in some tissues [7]. The patient, rehabilitated with suspicious ceramic material, is prone to contaminate with radionuclides. In fact a dental technician may be contaminated by this ceramic in presintered form with dust exposure during milling process. Nevertheless, there is a paucity of information related to radioactivity risk in dental applications of ZrO$_2$ ceramics. Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate the radioactive potential of ZrO$_2$ both in laboratory and clinic side as presintered and sintered form since it started to be widely used as a new biomaterial in dental appliances.
2. Material and methods

2.1. Specimens

Four different types of commercial ZrO₂ ceramics were obtained from the manufacturers and investigated (Table 1). The specimens, (diameter: 10 mm, thickness: 2 mm), were computer projected from a specific programme. The specimens were cleaned in an ultrasonic bath in acetone for 10 minutes.

2.2. Chemical analysis

Prior to performing experiments, the composition and concentration of the ZrO₂ samples was analysed by PANalytical AXIOS Wavelength Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometer (WDXRF) with IQ+ software programme for elemental analyses. The power capacity of the system is 4 kW with maximum 160 mA current. XRF is based on the principle that individual atoms, when excited by an external energy source, emit X-ray photons of a characteristic energy or wavelength. By counting the number of photons of energy emitted from a sample, the elements present may be identified and quantified.

2.3. Radioactivity analysis

Two common units to measure the activity of a substance are the curie (Ci) and becquerel (Bq). A curie is a unit of measure of the rate of radioactive decay equal to 3.7 x 10¹⁰ disintegrations 10 per second. This is approximately equivalent to the number of disintegrations that one gram of radium-226 will undergo in one second. A becquerel is a more fundamental unit of the measure of radioactive decay that is equal to 1 disintegration per second. Currently, the curie is more widely used in the United States, but usage of the becquerel can be expected to broaden as the metric system slowly comes into wider use. The conversion between curies and becquerels is shown below:

1 curie = 3.7 x 10⁹ becquerels
In the radioactivity analyses specimens were observed whether they have any radioactive behaviour. Determination of radioactivity was completed in two stages:

2.4.1. Gamma-spectrometry measurements

Gamma spectrometry technique that is a highly suitable counting technique for non-destructive analysis is used to measure the gamma radioactivity. Specimens were positioned on the high purity Germanium (HPGe) detector. Powder samples are put into cylindrical containers of the detector before measurement process started.

2.4.2. Gross alpha/Beta analyses

Gross alpha/beta counting system was used to measure the alpha and beta radioactivity. All samples were counted automatically low-level Alfa/Beta counted system (PIC WEP 9550).

3. Results

Elemental analyses evaluated by XRF spectrometer are presented in Table 2. The analyses showed that the difference between the presintered and sintered forms were not significant. Minimal changes occurred in all samples after the points of the main percentage. However, a differentiation in compounds was not expressed. Although XRF analyses showed compositions of the specimens with high sensitivity, an element or compound that presents below the 0.5% of total composition cannot be supplied due to limitations of the spectrophotometer. In Figs 1a-b Everest Bio ZS samples were randomly represented as a sample graph since XRF measurement of ZrO₂ samples in all groups showed similar trends before and after the sintering process.

For a 24 hour counting time, no measurable level of gamma emitting radionuclide activity was found. The activity of gamma and gross/alpha did not exceed the minimum detection limits (MDL). The MDLs of gross alpha/beta counting system were 0.02Bq/g and 0.01 Bq/g for alpha and beta radioactivity, respectively.
4. Discussion

Both medical and dental professions are concerned about the safety of dental treatment and any potential health risks that might be associated with the materials used to restore the teeth [21]. Akagawa et al., presented that ZrO₂ ceramic is an inert material [22]. If a material is accepted as biocompatible, this product should not be responsible for inflammatory reactions neither to provoke allergic, immune, toxic, mutagen, or carcinogenic reactions [1,6]. Although a few studies evaluated the ZrO₂ ceramics for their radioactive potential, Veronese et al. [13] generalized that if a patient is rehabilitated with any prosthetic restoration, the risk of radionuclide activity may be 10 times greater than other healthful members of the population. Some reviews about ZrO₂ ceramics did not deal with the potential radioactivity risk [4,10]. However, Schmalz et al., stated that radioactivity concentration of modern ZrO₂ ceramics is below the normal threshold level [9]. Similarly, measurable level of some radionuclides could not be determined in this present study. The activity of gamma and gross/alpha were below the minimum detection limits (MDL) for presintered and sintered ZrO2. The Gross alpha/beta counting system’s MDLs were 0.02Bq/g and 0.01 Bq/g for alpha and beta radioactivity, respectively. According to manufacturers’ recommendation the radioactivity of Z-Blank is measured at value of 0.005Bq/g that is much lower than the maximum value of 1 Bq/g permitted by ISO 6872.

When the ZrO₂ ceramic, which posses high concentration of hazardous radionuclides, is used in a restoration, alpha particles may only play a minor role for oral tissues because alpha particles have a maximum range of 30 µm in tissues and they may already be absorbed by the saliva and plaque covering the restoration before reaching the radiosensitive cells in the basal layer of the oral mucosa [9]. The beta and gamma particles may have a much higher range of absorption in the tissues. Hence, a greater volume of the body’s tissues is exposed to beta and gamma than with alpha particles [14]. Gamma particles induces dose to all the tissues of the oral mucosa depending on the energy of the gamma ray photons [19]. On the other hand, these
particles are also of extremely low level in contaminations and very hard to measure. Covacci et al. [7] investigated $10T_{1/2}$ cells due to the possible mutagenic and transforming effects of purified and unpurified ZrO$_2$ ceramics. Cells were used between $11^{th}$ and $18^{th}$ passage and subcultivation of stock cultures was performed before they reached confluence. Samples did not show mutagenic and transforming effects on $10T_{1/2}$ cells.

Dental materials are ground and polished in the patients' mouth, sometimes with unintentional damage to the oral mucosa thus resulting in more exposure to the very dental material used in the restorations. Also, a possibility that the patient is swallowing radioactive particles due to teeth grinding or dental treatment needs to be addressed in future studies. Possible inhalation of radioactive compounds by patients, technicians and dentists has to be taken into account when examining the biocompatibility of these dental materials in future studies.

Willman pointed out that manufacturing process of ZrO$_2$ ceramics is an important step for human health due to possible radioactive impurities that is not effectively eliminated from the compound [16]. Present study evaluated the specimens not only at the end of the process but also prior to sintering. Developments in recent years proves that ZrO$_2$ ceramics are suitable for CAD/CAM processes as in all three conditions unsintered, partly sintered (pre-sintered) and sintered stages. The pre-sintered ZrO$_2$ blanks have a chalk consistency and they are easily workable by the dental laboratories with any kind of CAD/CAM devices to produce crowns and FDPs. Due to the easier workability and faster machining, the use of pre-sintered blanks is predominant today. After a final sintering process, some alterations in density, grain size, amount of cubic phase in the ZrO$_2$ body occur but at the same time ultimate strength is achieved [17]. This alteration in sintering process is not only a physical but also a chemical reaction. Some ions in ZrO$_2$ ceramic appear to concentrate within the large grains after sintering [18]. If only sintered or pre-sintered specimens were analyzed in the present study we would have agreed that they were the same materials before the experiments. However, the XRF results suggested
that chemical composition of the samples did not vary by sintering process. For this reason both presintered and sintered samples presented radioactivity below the threshold levels.

5. Conclusions

From this study, the following could be concluded:

1. The activity of gamma and Gross alpha/beta found below the minimum detection limits (MDL) for the ZrO$_2$ ceramics studies.

2. Radioactivity levels and XRF measurements did not show any difference between presintered and sintered ZrO$_2$ ceramics.
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Captions to the legends:

Tables:

**Table 1.** The codes, brands, sinterization process and manufactures of the ceramics used for the experiments. Sintering process of L-2, C-2, I-2, and K-2 brands were performed according to manufacturer recommendations at 1500°C/11 h, 1350°C/6 h, 1500°C/5 h, and 1450°C/10 h, respectively.

**Table 2.** XRF analyses of all the zirconia specimens and percentages of the major compounds. These analyses were performed to investigate the degradation of the major compounds which were higher 0.5% of total composition. Ratios of the compounds of specimens in presintered and sintered forms did not show significant alteration.

Figures:

**Figs. 1a-b.** Representative X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometer (XRF) analysis for the Zr and Hf elements of Everest Bio ZS ZrO₂ ceramic specimen in a) presintered b) sintered form. The peaks are the characteristic X-rays of the elements in the specimens. X-axis shows the energy levels. According to graphics there is no significant difference between two forms. In all groups and all forms no difference was detected where K-1 and K-2 specimens were randomly selected to evaluate their energy levels.
### Tables:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Brand</th>
<th>Sinterization</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L-1</td>
<td>Lava</td>
<td>Sintered</td>
<td>3M ESPE, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-2</td>
<td>Lava</td>
<td>Pre-sintered</td>
<td>3M ESPE, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-1</td>
<td>Cercon</td>
<td>Sintered</td>
<td>Dentsply Ceramco, York, Pennsylvania, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-2</td>
<td>Cercon</td>
<td>Pre-sintered</td>
<td>Dentsply Ceramco, York, Pennsylvania, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-1</td>
<td>ICE Zircon</td>
<td>Sintered</td>
<td>Zirkonzahn GmbH, Bruneck, Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-2</td>
<td>ICE Zircon</td>
<td>Pre-sintered</td>
<td>Zirkonzahn GmbH, Bruneck, Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-1</td>
<td>Everest Bio ZS</td>
<td>Sintered</td>
<td>KaVo Dental, Lake Zurich, Illionis, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-2</td>
<td>Everest Bio ZS</td>
<td>Pre-sintered</td>
<td>KaVo Dental, Lake Zurich, Illionis, USA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** The codes, brands, sinterization process and manufactures of the ceramics used for the experiments. Sintering process of L-2, C-2, I-2, and K-2 brands were performed according to manufacturer recommendations at 1500°C/11 h, 1350°C/6 h, 1500°C/5 h, and 1450°C/10 h, respectively.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>L-1</th>
<th>L-2</th>
<th>C-1</th>
<th>C-2</th>
<th>I-1</th>
<th>I-2</th>
<th>K-1</th>
<th>K-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZrO₂%</td>
<td>92.64</td>
<td>92.18</td>
<td>92.55</td>
<td>92.31</td>
<td>91.80</td>
<td>91.89</td>
<td>91.75</td>
<td>92.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y₂O₃%</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>5.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HfO₂%</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** XRF analyses of all the zirconia specimens and percentages of the major compounds. These analyses were performed to investigate the degradation of the major compounds which were higher 0.5% of total composition. Ratios of the compounds of specimens in presintered and sintered forms did not show significant alteration.
Figures

**Figs. 1a-b.** Representative X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometer (XRF) analysis for the Zr and Hf elements of Everest Bio ZS ZrO$_2$ ceramic specimen in **a)** presintered **b)** sintered form. The peaks are the characteristic X-rays of the elements in the specimens. X-axis shows the energy levels. According to graphics there is no significant difference between two forms. In all groups and all forms no difference was detected where K-1 and K-2 specimens were randomly selected to evaluate their energy levels.