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Summary

BACKGROUND: The number of individuals with autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases (AIIRDs) treated with immunosuppressive drugs is increasing steadily. The variety of immunosuppressive drugs and, in particular, biological therapies is also rising. The immunosuppressants, as well as the AIIRD itself, increase the risk of infection in this population. Thus, preventing infections by means of vaccination is of utmost importance. New Swiss vaccination recommendations for AIIRD patients were initiated by the Swiss Federal Office of Public Health and prepared by a working group of the Federal Commission for Vaccination Issues as well as by consultation of international experts.

METHODS: A literature search was performed in electronic databases (Cochrane, Medline, PubMed, Embase). In addition, unpublished literature was identified through a targeted website search of relevant organisations and international conferences dealing with vaccination, infectious diseases and rheumatology.

RESULTS: Although data are scarce, the following main points were retrieved from the literature. Inactivated vaccines are safe, but their immunogenicity may be reduced in AIIRD patients, especially if they are under immunosuppressive therapy. Rituximab and abatacept appear to reduce significantly immune responses after vaccination. Live vaccines are generally contraindicated under immunosuppressive therapy owing to safety concerns. Specific exceptions, as well as time intervals for the administration of live vaccines after interruption of an immunosuppressive therapy, have been formulated in this article.

CONCLUSION: More evidence regarding the immunogenicity and safety of vaccinations in AIIRD patients under various therapies is needed. Vaccination recommendations should be updated on a regular basis, as more scientific data will become available.

Key words: rheumatic disease; immunosuppression; vaccination; immunocompromised; infection; autoimmunity

Introduction

In Europe, an estimated 2% of the adult population suffers from an autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic disease (AIIRD) [10]. With a population of around 740 million, this amounts to approximately 15 million individuals in Europe. Patients with AIIRD are at an increased risk of infections, and vaccinations as an important means for prevention are indicated in this vulnerable population. The use of immunosuppressive drugs has increased in recent years in these patients [11]. As a result of different immunosuppressive medications the immunogenicity of vaccines may be reduced. Furthermore, the administration of live vaccines bears the potential risk of invasive infection with the attenuated vaccine strain, and should generally be avoided under immunosuppressive therapy; in most international guidelines, live vaccines are contraindicated under immunosuppressive therapy with a systemic effect [1, 2, 4, 12].

In Switzerland, vaccination recommendations for AIIRD patients were published in 2010 by the Swiss Society of Rheumatology [6]. In recent years, new disease-modifying medications, and biological agents, in particular, have been approved for the treatment of AIIRD patients. The growing experience with vaccinations under different immunosuppressive regimens allows the previous recommendations to be updated.

The formulation of new recommendations was initiated by the Swiss Federal Office of Public Health (FOPH) and prepared by a working group of the Federal Commission for
Vaccination Issues. The main principles were published in the bulletin of the FOPH in February 2014 [13]. Here we present the background information that forms the basis of the article published by the FOPH, as well as detailed practical recommendations. The recommendations are based on an extensive literature search. As scientific data on many aspects are still scarce, the majority of recommendations given in this article are based on clinical experience and expert opinion of a diverse group of specialists in the fields of rheumatology, immunology, infectology, travel medicine and vaccination. Specific advice is given regarding timing of vaccinations in relation to different medications, as well as certain circumstances and approaches under which live vaccines may be considered during immunosuppressive therapy.

Methodology

These new recommendations were initiated by the Swiss Federal Office of Public Health and prepared by a working group of the Federal Commission for Vaccination Issues. This panel was composed of members with a wide breadth of specialties (immunology, infectious diseases, vaccinology, travel medicine, rheumatology). Drafts were distributed to panel members for comments and were discussed in four in-person meetings. The recommendations were reviewed by the Swiss Society of Rheumatology and by the Swiss Society for Allergology and Immunology. National and international experts in the field of vaccination recommendations in immunocompromised persons were consulted in the process and were composed of immunologists, rheumatologists, infectiologists and travel medicine experts.

The GRADE approach was applied to rate the quality of evidence (QoE) as well as the strength of recommendation (SoR) [14]. Quality of evidence has four categories: “high quality”, “moderate quality”, “low quality” and “very low quality”. When no published evidence could be found, it was stated as “grade of evidence not possible”. The strength of recommendation was categorised into “strong” and “weak”.

Search strategy

Published evidence was searched for in electronic databases (Cochrane, Medline, PubMed, Embase). Unpublished (grey) literature (unpublished reports, conference abstracts) was retrieved through a targeted website search of relevant organisations (including international vaccination recommendations such as recommendations by: the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [1], the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) [2], the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) [3] several European countries [4-8] and others [9]) and international conferences dealing with vaccination, infectious diseases and rheumatology. Additional articles were identified through reference lists of selected papers. Literature published up to 29 January 2014 was reviewed and analysed.

The search terms from box 1 were used in combination. Only literature on adult AIIRD were included, with the exception of literature on live vaccine, such as measles, mumps, rubella and varicella, in which case the majority of data were available for paediatric patients. Mostly English and German articles were included. A summary of all relevant papers was produced. Only data from meta-analyses, systematic reviews, randomised trials, and observational studies were taken into account. Case reports were not included in this review with the exception of two case series on live vaccination under immunosuppression therapy and of adverse reactions to pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine in patients with Behçet’s disease by Hugle et al. [15], which was considered to report important information.

Articles were screened for information on increased risk of infection, vaccine-preventable infections, safety of vaccinations, immunogenicity of vaccination, timing of vaccination in relation to disease activity, timing of vaccination in relation to medication, effects of additional vaccine doses.

Box 1: Search terms – autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases, infections and vaccines considered in the literature search


BCG = Bacille Calmette-Guérin; HPV = human papillomavirus; TBE = tick-borne encephalitis
Results

Increased risk of infection

Infections are a substantial cause of morbidity and mortality in persons with autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases [16]. The risk of infection may be increased by the disease itself [17–19], but also by the use of immunosuppressive and immunomodulatory drugs [20–27, 188]. In the following chapters, the term “immunosuppressive therapy” will include both “immunosuppressive” and “immunomodulatory” therapy.

In patients with AIIRD, the risk of acquiring a confirmed infection can be 1.7 times higher than in the general population [28]. Not only is the risk of infection higher in AIIRD patients, but also the course of infection can be more severe [29, 30]. In particular, the risk of an infection requiring hospitalisation has been shown to be 1.8 times higher in patients with AIIRD compared with healthy persons [28].

Increased risk of vaccine-preventable infections

Patients with AIIRD have an increased risk for influenza infection [31–33], invasive pneumococcal disease [34, 35], and for herpes zoster [36–40]. Several studies have demonstrated that the risk of tuberculosis infection is higher in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) patients compared to the general population [41–45]. Also the risk of human papillomavirus (HPV) infection [46] and the incidence of cervical dysplasia are increased in SLE patients, while clearance of the virus is decreased compared with the general population [47]. Hepatitis B reactivation can be severe and can sometimes have a high mortality in patients under immunosuppressive therapy (esp. during the tapering process [48]).

Sixteen key recommendations have been formulated (box 2) and will be elaborated in the following sections.

**Box 2: Key recommendations**

1. Benefits of vaccination greatly outweigh the risks of infection and vaccinations do not cause autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases, nor their exacerbations.

2. There are no specific contraindications for vaccination with inactivated and live vaccines in patients with autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic disease without immunosuppressive treatment.

3. The vaccination status of the patients should be assessed and documented at the earliest time point after diagnosis and recommended vaccinations should be administered as soon as possible. If possible, vaccinate before initiation of immunosuppressive therapy. Live attenuated vaccines should be given at least four weeks before initiation of immunosuppressive treatment.

4. The immune response to a booster vaccine administered during immunosuppressive treatment is considered to be less affected than the response to a primary vaccine dose.

5. In already treated AIIRD patients, vaccines should ideally be administered when immunosuppressive therapy is lowest.

6. It is generally safe to administer inactivated vaccines to patients with AIIRD under immunosuppressive treatment; the immunogenicity may be reduced.

7. The administration of live vaccines to immunosuppressed patients bears the risk of replication of the attenuated micro-organism and invasive infections. Live vaccines with a high potential of replication (e.g. yellow fever vaccine) should generally be avoided in patients with autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic disease under treatment with a systemic immunosuppressive effect; specific exceptions apply. Live vaccines with a low risk of replication (typhoid oral vaccine, varicella / herpes zoster vaccine) may be used with caution in selected patients under immunosuppressive therapy.

8. Depending on the drug, different intervals after interrupting immunosuppressive treatment are advised before immune reconstitution has been established.

9. General recommendations for basic vaccinations also apply to patients with AIIRD.

10. Specific vaccinations are recommended for AIIRD patients as they may require more comprehensive protection: These include the annual influenza vaccination and the pneumococcal vaccination. The use of the 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (Prevenar®) should be preferred to the 23-valent polysaccharide vaccine. Vaccination against hepatitis B is encouraged in all AIIRD patients and vaccination against human papillomavirus in female patients with systemic lupus erythematosus aged 11–26 years. Herpes zoster vaccination will be recommended in AIIRD patients aged >50 years when the vaccine becomes available in Switzerland.

11. Four to six weeks after a completed primary course of vaccination, serology testing should be performed if the corresponding serological test is available.

12. In a patient undergoing immunosuppressive therapy and in whom immunity towards measles, rubella and varicella is unknown, a specific serological test should be performed. The same approach should be followed in a person under immunosuppressive therapy who received the yellow fever vaccination in the past and intends to travel to a yellow fever endemic area.

13. As the immunocompromised person may not be protected against diseases despite previous vaccination (e.g. against mumps, measles, rubella, varicella, influenza), insist on checking the vaccination status of their household and other close contacts, and vaccinate if indicated.

14. If the immunocompromised person is not protected against measles and/or varicella and has contact
with an infected person, consider immunoglobulins/antivirals.

15. Always use conjugate vaccines in preference to polysaccharide vaccines because they induce higher affinity antibody responses, longer lasting immune responses and memory responses.

16. Vaccination should preferentially be administered during stable disease.

1. Vaccination does not trigger autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases, nor their exacerbations (QoE: low, SoR: strong), some autoimmune conditions have been associated with specific vaccines

A causal relationship between vaccination and autoimmune diseases has been debated at great length in the literature [49, 50]. There is no reliable evidence that autoimmune diseases are caused by vaccination. Nevertheless, specific vaccines have been associated with autoimmune reactions, for example Guillain Barré syndrome (GBS) after 1976 swine influenza A (H1N1) subtype A/NJ/76 vaccination in the United States [51]. An increase in GBS cases after influenza A (H1N1) 2009 monovalent vaccination was reported (around 1 excess case in 1 million vaccinated doses) and an association with the vaccine has not been ruled out [26, 52–60]. An increase of Guillain Barré syndrome was also reported in patients having received brain-derived rabies vaccine, which is not in use in Europe [61, 62].

An association between mumps/measles/rubella (MMR) vaccine and immune thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP) was also reported (around 1 case per 22,300–50,000 doses) [63–65], although a second MMR dose was not associated with an increased risk of ITP [66, 67]. Some have also suspected vaccine adjuvants of triggering autoimmune diseases (autoimmune/inflammatory syndrome induced by adjuvants (ASIA) [68–70]). An association has not been demonstrated to date [71–73] with the exception of AS03 adjuvanted H1N1 influenza vaccine, which has been suspected to be associated with narcolepsy in Finland, Sweden, Norway, Ireland, and the United Kingdom [74, 75]. The association was most pronounced in children.

In conclusion, few vaccines were found to be associated with autoimmune reactions and they are suspected to be linked to specific antigens. Importantly, the risk of GBS and other immune diseases is increased by infection. For example, the risk of GBS within 90 days after influenza-like illness was found to be 7-fold increased (risk ratio 7.35, 95% CI 4.36–12.38) [76] and the risk of GBS after influenza infection is 4–7 times higher than after influenza vaccination [77].

In conclusion, it is important to consider the overall risks and benefits of vaccination, as defined by epidemiological studies. Clinicians, policy makers and those eligible for vaccination should be assured that the benefits of most vaccines greatly outweigh the risks of infection.

Similarly, exacerbations of rheumatic diseases after vaccination have been extensively discussed in the literature and there are several case reports of worsening disease symptoms after vaccination. However, the majority of published data support the conclusion that immunisation with inactivated vaccines is safe and does not increase disease activity in AIIRD patients, measured by clinical and biological means [78–81]. Several studies in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, connective tissue disease, spondyloarthritis, and systemic vasculitis showed that the administration of influenza, pneumococcal, tetanus toxoid, *Haemophilus influenzae* type b, hepatitis A, hepatitis B and human papillomavirus vaccine was safe and did not increase disease activity [79, 82, 83]. It should be noted that most vaccination studies in AIIRD patients were performed in patients with stable disease (please see section 16).

2. There are no specific contraindications to administering inactivated or live vaccines in AIIRD patients without immunosuppressive treatment (QoE: high, SoR: strong)

A patient with untreated AIIRD, i.e. who is not under systemic immunosuppressive therapy, should be vaccinated like any other person, although the clinical assessment of the individual patient has to be taken into account. Therapy with hydroxychloroquine or sulfasalazine is not considered to be immunosuppressive or influence vaccine immune responses, although a possible interference of hydroxychloroquine in the case of intradermal vaccination has been observed [84]. Of note, prophylactic paracetamol administration has also been shown to reduce antibody responses after vaccination in children [85].

Furthermore, additional vaccinations for patients with chronic diseases are recommended as a result of the increased risk of infections or their complications.

Of note, in a recent case series, severe local reactions and severe systemic inflammatory responses to 23-valent polysaccharide pneumococcal vaccine (23-PPV) were observed in patients with Behçet’s disease under treatment with abatacept/prednisolone, etanercept, azathioprine or spol anti-inflammatory drugs [15]. No such adverse reactions were seen in patients with other autoimmune or autoinflammatory diseases receiving 23-PPV vaccine. It was postulated that the presumed auto-inflammatory mechanism underlying Behçet’s disease could be responsible for the severe adverse events after pneumococcal vaccination. Owing to this potential streptococcal hypersensitivity reaction, caution is warranted when giving pneumococcal vaccination to patients with Behçet’s disease.

3. The vaccination status should be assessed and documented as soon as the diagnosis of an autoimmune disease is made and immunisations should be performed whenever possible before immunosuppressive treatment is started (QoE: grade of evidence not possible, SoR: strong)

Ideally, the vaccination status of the patients should be assessed and documented at the earliest time point after diagnosis, and recommended vaccinations should be administered as soon as possible. If possible, vaccines should be administered before initiation of immunosuppressive therapy. Furthermore, if live attenuated vaccines are administered, the start of immunosuppressive therapy must be delayed for at least 4 weeks. Inactivated vaccines should ideally also be given at least 4 weeks before starting high-
dose systemic corticosteroids or immunosuppressive medication. There are three main reasons for assessing the vaccination status of patients with AIIRDs as soon as possible: (i) some AIIRDs per se confer an increased risk of infection and vaccinations should be updated as soon as possible after diagnosis; many patients do not immediately receive immunosuppressive treatment and thus vaccines can be administered at a time point when (ii) the immunogenicity of vaccination is not compromised by the immunosuppression and (iii) live vaccines can be safely administered.

4. The immune response to a booster vaccine administered during immunosuppressive treatment is considered to be less affected than a primary vaccine dose (QoE: low, SoR: strong) Immunosuppressive drugs interfere with the activation and clonal expansion of T and B cells. As a result, the immune response to a primary vaccine dose can be impaired. The T and B cell immune response to a vaccine booster dose is generally better preserved as more memory B and T cells (induced by the first vaccine dose) are present [86, 87]. Thus, a booster vaccination in a person under immunosuppressive therapy will usually generate protective antibody levels, although antibody responses may be lower and protection shorter than in persons without immunosuppressive treatment. It has been shown that for a booster tetanus vaccine dose immune responses were preserved under various immunosuppressive therapies [88, 89].

5. In already-treated AIIRD patients vaccines should ideally be administered when the immunosuppressive therapy is lowest (QoE: low, SoR: strong) The immunogenicity of vaccines may be reduced by an immunosuppressive therapy. The effect can be expected to be dependent on the total taken dose. To achieve the best protection, patients under immunosuppressive therapies should be vaccinated when the dosage is lowest. Furthermore, live vaccines bear the risk of a potential replication of the attenuated virus and are thus generally contraindicated under immunosuppressive therapies. Under specific circumstances live vaccines may be administered (sections 7 and 8).

6. It is generally safe to administer inactivated vaccines, also to AIIRD patients under immunosuppressive treatment; the immunogenicity may be reduced (QoE: moderate, SoR: strong) The majority of published data show that the administration of inactivated vaccines to AIIRD patients under immunosuppressive therapy is safe [16, 90]. Their administration was not associated with a higher risk of vaccine reactions, nor with a worsening or reactivation of the underlying disease (see section 1).

The immunogenicity of vaccinations during the use of non-biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs), corticosteroids and/or biological agents has been studied in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, spondyloarthritis, connective tissue disease, and vasculitis. Data exist on the immunogenicity of seasonal influenza, pandemic influenza (H1N1), pneumococcal polysaccharide, pneumococcal conjugate, hepatitis A, hepatitis B, tetanus toxoid, Haemophilus influenzae b and human papillomavirus vaccination [91]. Most studies showed slightly reduced, but sufficient immune responses following vaccination of patients with AIIRD under therapy with corticosteroids, non-biological DMARDs (with the exception of methotrexate) and tumour necrosis factor (TNF) blockers (table 1). However, it was shown in several studies that methotrexate blunted the response to influenza, pneumococcal vaccination, tetanus and hepatitis A vaccination [89, 92–95]. A combination therapy of TNFα blocking agents and methotrexate appeared to decrease serological responses even further [92, 96, 97]. One study suggests that the immunogenicity of inactivated vaccines may be preserved when administered on the same day as infusion of the TNF inhibitor infliximab (IFX), but may be hampered when administered several weeks afterwards, when the full immunosuppressive effect has developed. This effect was observed in rheumatoid arthritis patients, but patients with ankylosing spondylitis developed good antibody responses independent of the time of vaccination in relation to IFX infusion [98]. For now, inactivated vaccinations should be applied regardless of timing of IFX, as most studies have shown sufficient immunogenicity of inactivated vaccinations under sole IFX treatment [94, 99–101]. More data will be necessary for a reliable recommendation regarding the timing of vaccination and infliximab infusions.

Abatacept is a fusion protein composed of the extracellular domain of cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4) and the IgG1 Fc, which blocks the interaction between the surface molecules CD80/86 on an activated antigen-presenting cell and CD28 on T cells and inhibits T cell activation. It has been shown to reduce significantly the humoral immune response to influenza, pneumococcal and tetanus toxoid vaccination [102, 103]. In healthy subjects receiving abatacept, this effect was most severe when the vaccination was administered 2 weeks after a single dose of abatacept treatment. If vaccination was given before abatacept, the effect was minor [104–106]. In contrast, tocilizumab (a humanised monoclonal antibody that binds soluble as well as membrane-bound interleukin-6 receptors and thereby blocks the proinflammatory effects of interleukin-6) does not seem to affect the immunogenicity of influenza, pneumococcal conjugate, pneumococcal polysaccharide or tetanus vaccination [107–111]. Most impressively, humoral immune responses to influenza and pneumococcal vaccination were nearly absent in the 6 months after rituximab administration due to the transient loss of immunocompetent B cells [112, 113]. (Rituximab [RTX] is a chimeric monoclonal antibody directed against CD20, a molecule expressed specifically on B cells.) Also, administering rituximab in the weeks after immunisation may compromise vaccine responses. Immunogenicity seems to be partly restored 6–8 months after RTX therapy [113]. As a general rule, the immunogenicity of vaccinations may be reduced under immunosuppressive therapy. Nonetheless, vaccination of AIIRD patients under immunosuppressive treatment with inactivated vaccines is generally
<p>| Table 1: Published evidence on immunogenicity of inactivated vaccines in different rheumatic disease groups and for various immunosuppressive agents. |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                | No medication                  | Corticosteroids | MTX Other DMARDs | MTX + TNFi | TNFi | RTX | Abatacept | Tocilizumab |
| Seasonal influenza             |                                 | Good            | Good to moderate immunogenicity [89, 90, 104, 105], with reduced level of humoral immune response [110, 111]. | Reduced immunogenicity (MTX + certolizumab) (47.4%) [97]. | Good to moderate immunogenicity [89, 90, 104, 105], with reduced level of humoral immune response [110, 111]. | Reduced immunogenicity [89–101, 115, 129] to moderate immunogenicity [89]. Immunogenicity dependent on time point of vaccination in relation to infliximab treatment. Reduced immunogenicity in [116, 119]. | Reduced to moderate immunogenicity, in particular if vaccine given close to RTX administration [113, 118, 121]. | Reduced immunogenicity [106]. | Good immunogenicity [108, 110]. |
| VAS                            | Good immunogenicity in WG patients [127]. | Good immunogenicity in WG patients [127, 128]. | Good immunogenicity in WG patients [127, 129]. | Reduced immunogenicity [103, 129, 130]. Reduced immunogenicity in [132] (neg. effect by MTX). | Reduced immunogenicity [103, 129, 130]. Reduced immunogenicity in [132] (neg. effect by MTX). | Reduced immunogenicity [132, 133]. Reduced immunogenicity [129, 131] (no neg. effect by TNFi). | Reduced immunogenicity [103, 129]. Reduced TNFi treatment had a negative effect [129]. Severely reduced immunogenicity in [130]. | Reduced immunogenicity [102, 103]. | Good immunogenicity [103]. |
| CTD                            | Good [103, 133] and reduced immunogenicity [129, 130] (neg. effect by cDMARDs). | Good [103, 133] and reduced immunogenicity [129, 130] (neg. effect by cDMARDs). | Good [103, 133] and reduced immunogenicity [129, 130] (neg. effect by cDMARDs). | Good [103, 133] and reduced immunogenicity [129, 130] (neg. effect by cDMARDs). | Good [103, 133] and reduced immunogenicity [129, 130] (neg. effect by cDMARDs). | Good [103, 133] and reduced immunogenicity [129, 130] (neg. effect by cDMARDs). | Good [103, 133] and reduced immunogenicity [129, 130] (neg. effect by cDMARDs). | Good [103, 133] and reduced immunogenicity [129, 130] (neg. effect by cDMARDs). | Reduced immunogenicity [103]. |
| SpA                            | Good immunogenicity [103]. | Good immunogenicity [103]. | Good immunogenicity [103]. | Good immunogenicity [103]. | Good immunogenicity [103]. | Good immunogenicity [103]. | Good immunogenicity [103]. | Reduced immunogenicity [103]. | Reduced immunogenicity [103]. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine Type</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Good Immunogenicity</th>
<th>Reduced Immunogenicity</th>
<th>Only Weak Evidence for a Neg. Influence by cDMARDs Other Than MTX</th>
<th>Reduced Immunogenicity Compared with MTX or TNFi Alone</th>
<th>Severely Reduced Immunogenicity</th>
<th>Immune Responses Reduced in Healthy Subjects</th>
<th>TCZ in Addition to MTX Did Not Significantly Reduce Immune Responses Further</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pneumococcal Conjugate</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Good Immunogenicity [93].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [93, 144].</td>
<td>Good Immunogenicity [93, 144].</td>
<td>Good Immunogenicity [93, 144].</td>
<td>Severely Reduced Immunogenicity (17.6% Developed Positive Antibody Responses) [145].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTD</td>
<td>Moderate Immunogenicity [138].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [144].</td>
<td>Good to Moderate Immunogenicity [138, 142] (No Neg. Effect by Corticosteroids).</td>
<td>Moderate Reduced Immunogenicity under Combination of RTX + MTX [138].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumococcal Polysaccharide</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Good Immunogenicity [84, 99, 137].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [84, 99, 137].</td>
<td>Good Immunogenicity Compared with MTX or TNFi Alone [84, 97].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity under Combination of RTX + MTX [84, 97].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTD</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [103].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity under MTX [103, 129]. A 2nd Vaccine Dose Achieved Good Vaccine Responses.</td>
<td>Only Weak Evidence for a Neg. Influence by cDMARDs Other Than MTX. Reduced Immunogenicity in [129]. (Neg. Effect by Leflunomide, AZA, MMF, CYC). A 2nd Vaccine Dose Achieved Good Vaccine Responses.</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [103].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [103].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [103].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity under MTX, RTX Did Not Reduce Antibody Responses Further [103].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTD</td>
<td>Good Immunogenicity [148].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [89].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity under MTX, RTX Did Not Reduce Antibody Responses Further [89].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SpA</td>
<td>Good Immunogenicity [148].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [89].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity under MTX, RTX Did Not Reduce Antibody Responses Further [89].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [148].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [103].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity in Patients and Healthy Persons [104, 105].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetanus</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Good Immunogenicity [148].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [89].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity under MTX, RTX Did Not Reduce Antibody Responses Further [89].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTD</td>
<td>Good Immunogenicity [148].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [89].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity under MTX, RTX Did Not Reduce Antibody Responses Further [89].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SpA</td>
<td>Good Immunogenicity [148].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [89].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity under MTX, RTX Did Not Reduce Antibody Responses Further [89].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [148].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [89].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity in Patients and Healthy Persons [104, 105].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTD</td>
<td>Good to Reduced Immunogenicity.</td>
<td>Moderate Immunogenicity [140] (No Evidence for a Neg. Effect by MTX).</td>
<td>Trend to Decreased Antibody Responses for cDMARDs [140].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SpA</td>
<td>Good Immunogenicity [148].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [89].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity under MTX, RTX Did Not Reduce Antibody Responses Further [89].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [148].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity [89].</td>
<td>Reduced Immunogenicity in Patients and Healthy Persons [104, 105].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Hepatitis A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>VAS</th>
<th>CTD</th>
<th>SpA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>72% of travellers with several IMIDs developed a protective response (number of vaccine doses not specified) [150].</td>
<td>57% of travellers with several immune-compromising conditions developed protective responses (immunocompetent patients) [150].</td>
<td>Good immunogenicity in IMID patients taking mercaptopurine derivatives (e.g. AZA). Not immunogenic under tacrolimus (n = 2) [150]. In [151], (underlying disease not specified): sero-protection rates: 62% after 1 dose, 98% after 2 doses for AZA, and 67% after 1 dose and 100% after 2 vaccine doses for other immunosuppressive therapies.</td>
<td>20% of travellers with IMIDs did not develop a protective response [150]. ≥20 mIU/ml: 5% protection after 1 dose after 1 month; ≥10 mIU/ml: 15% protection after 1 dose [92]. In patients under TNFi therapy (underlying disease not specified): seroprotection rates: 62% after 1 dose, 98% after 2 doses for AZA, and 67% after 1 dose and 100% after 2 vaccine doses.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hepatitis B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>VAS</th>
<th>CTD</th>
<th>SpA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Moderate immunogenicity (68% antibody levels &gt;10 IU/l) after 3 doses [152] (patients under treatment with NSAIDs, prednisone, HCQ, MTX, AZA, gold, sulfasalazine)</td>
<td>Moderate immunogenicity (68% antibody levels &gt;10 IU/l) after 3 doses [152] (patients under treatment with NSAIDs, prednisone, HCQ, MTX, AZA, gold, sulfasalazine)</td>
<td>Moderate immunogenicity (68% antibody levels &gt;10 IU/l) after 3 doses [152] (patients under treatment with NSAIDs, prednisone, HCQ, MTX, AZA, gold, sulfasalazine)</td>
<td>Moderate immunogenicity (68% antibody levels &gt;10 IU/l) after 3 doses [152] (patients under treatment with NSAIDs, prednisone, HCQ, MTX, AZA, gold, sulfasalazine).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTD</td>
<td>Good immunogenicity; seroconversion in 93% [153]. 2/28 (the remaining 7%) patients seroconverted after a 4th dose.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Severe reduced immune responses [143, 147], only 4/20 (22.2%) raised a robust response [143].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### VAS
Good immunogenicity; seroconversion in 93% in patients with BD [194].

Patients were treated with colchicine.

### Haemophilus influenzae b
RA no data

### CTD
Good immunogenicity [140], trend to decreased antibody responses for steroids.

Good immunogenicity [140], trend to decreased antibody responses for AZA and CYC.

### SpA
no data

### HPV
RA no data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CTD</th>
<th>Reasonably immunogenic, prednisolone had a negative effect on HPV 16 titre [83].</th>
<th>Reasonably immunogenic, MMF had a negative effect on HPV6, HPV16 and HPV18 titres [63].</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### SpA
no data

### VAS
no data

### Tick-borne encephalitis
no data

### Rabies
no data

### Japanese encephalitis
no data

### Meningococcal vaccine (polysaccharide or conjugate)
no data

### Typhoid fever (inactivated or oral live)
no data

### Cholera
no data

---

**Review article**

Swiss Med Wkly. 2015;145:w14159

---

recommended. As vaccines may not be sufficiently immunogenic under immunosuppressive treatment, serologic testing is indicated, especially after a primary vaccination course (see section 11). Some further relevant aspects on timing of vaccination under different immunosuppressive agents can be found in section 8.

7. In general, **live vaccines should be avoided in AIIRD patients under treatment with a systemic immunosuppressive effect** (QoE: grade of evidence not possible, SoR: strong)

Scientific data on live vaccinations are scarce in AIIRD patients and are mostly available on re-vaccinations (table 2). In general, live vaccines should be avoided during systemic immunosuppressive therapy, but some exceptions apply (table 3). An individual patient approach may be necessary, taking into account the underlying disease, the medication, the replication capacity of the vaccine and the risk of infection. If a live vaccine is indicated under immunosuppressive treatment, a specialist (e.g. vaccination / travel medicine specialist / immunologist) should be consulted.

**Consider replication capacity of live vaccines**

The administration of live vaccines to immunodeficient patients bears the risk of replication of the attenuated vaccine micro-organism and clinically manifest infection. Several case reports on severe side effects after the administration of a live vaccine to patients under immunosuppressive treatment have been published [155, 156]. Some live vaccines (e.g. yellow fever vaccine) have a greater replication capacity than others (e.g. varicella) [157–160]. When deciding on whether a person under immunosuppressive treatment can receive a live vaccine, the replication capacity, the relative risks of different live vaccines as well as the availability of an antiviral agent, immunoglobulin or antibiotic treatment must be taken into account (table 4).

**Consider risk of infection**

When evaluating the administration of a live vaccine to an immunocompromised patient, the risk of exposure has to be taken into account. In Switzerland, for instance, wild type varicella, mumps, measles and rubella viruses are present, and exposure cannot be safely avoided. Contrary to this, exposure to yellow fever is a travel-associated risk, which can usually be avoided.

**A vaccination / travel medicine specialist should be contacted before administration of a live vaccine**

If an AIIRD patient is under immunosuppressive therapy and immunity towards measles, rubella, varicella and yellow fever is unknown (for yellow fever only if a previous vaccination was performed), specific serological tests should be performed. If the patient is not immune against measles, rubella and varicella and cannot be vaccinated owing to the immunosuppressive therapy, the vaccination
status of their household and other close contacts should be checked. Please see sections 12, 13 and 14 for detailed recommendations.

If a live vaccine is indicated but the extent of the pharmacological immunosuppression is unclear, the specialist can adopt the following approach: administer an inactivated vaccine containing a neoantigen (e.g., hepatitis A vaccine) and measure the humoral immune response after 4–6 weeks, together with the number of CD4+ cells. If the humoral immune response to the inactivated vaccine is satisfactory and the CD4+ cells are over a specific threshold (200 cells/µl), a live vaccine may be administered (fig. 1).

8. Depending on the drug, different intervals between immunosuppressive treatment and vaccination are advised (QoE: very low, SoR: weak)

**Inactivated vaccines**

![Image of a vaccine with text](https://via.placeholder.com/150.png?text=Inactivated+vaccine)

**Safety**

**Figure 1** Scheme for the application of a live vaccine when the extent of the pharmacological immunosuppression is unclear.

From safety aspects, inactivated vaccines can be given at any timepoint during immunosuppressive therapy.

**Immunogenicity**

For several medications, the timing of vaccination in relation to medication appears to have an effect on immunogenicity (see also section 6) and timing of inactivated vaccination in relation to immunosuppressive treatment should be considered (table 5).

**Live vaccines**

For safety reasons, it is advisable to wait for a certain time period after cessation or interruption of an immunosuppressive agent before administrating a live vaccine. The duration of the immunosuppressive effect depends on (i) the half-life of the active drug component and (ii) recovery from the immunological effect (e.g. B and/or T cell depletion). For most immunosuppressive medications this timepoint has not been clearly defined [87]. There are some general recommendations summarised in table 6.

9. Recommended vaccinations

**General basic vaccinations are recommended for patients with autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases (QoE: moderate, SoR: strong)**

Generally recommended vaccinations include immunisations against tetanus, diphtheria, polio, pertussis, hepatitis B, Haemophilus influenza b, mumps, measles, rubella and varicella. All these vaccinations are also recommended for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MMR</th>
<th>Yellow fever</th>
<th>Varicella</th>
<th>Herpes zoster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MV</td>
<td>Yellow fever vaccination was safe and immunogenic in a prospective nested case-control study in 15 children with JIA, partly under treatment with MTX alone or in combination with etanercept [161]. MMR vaccination was safe in a retrospective cohort study in children with JRD (n = 207), partly treated with MTX (n = 49) [161, 162]. In one randomised controlled trial, MMR revaccination was safe and immunogenic in 63 children with JIA including 29 taking MTX, 1 leflunomide, 6 TNFi (not infliximab) and 3 anakinra. The biologicals were interrupted for 5 half-lives before vaccination [163]. In a prospective observational study, MMR vaccination was given to 28 patients with JRD. Out of these, 19 were under treatment with MTX. Out of these 19, five additionally received prednisone and two were on TNFi. In 2/28, MMR was a first-time vaccination. In one of them (therapy with MTX and corticosteroids) fever and a skin rash appeared 20 days after vaccination. It was concluded that the rash was part of the disease activity (systemic JIA) rather than a side effect of vaccination (personal communication). In all others, vaccination was safe [164].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Safety of YF revaccination was demonstrated in two case series [165, 166] (Scheiberg et al.: 19 patients all under infliximab treatment, MTX, no corticosteroids, de Mota et al.: 70 patients, concomitant treatment MTX, corticosteroids, nonbiological DMARDs). Immunogenicity was explored in [165] in patients treated with infliximab/MTX. YF revaccination was immunogenic, but a trend to lower antibody responses was observed compared with healthy persons. In a prospective cohort study, 19 patients under long-term low dose or short-term high-dose corticosteroid treatment were vaccinated for the first time against YF, and 15 were revaccinated. Apart from more local side effects, vaccination was safe and immunogenic [167].</td>
<td>VZV first-time vaccination was safe in [168]; in this prospective study, 20 children with JRD (20 varicella-naive and 5 with positive varicella titres) under MTX treatment, corticosteroids and other DMARDs were vaccinated once. Seroconversion rates were slightly reduced compared with controls. In a prospective study, 50 patients with JRD and without VZV history (all under medication with MTX (dosage range 10–27 mg/m²/week), 23 also on prednisone, 5 on TNF) were vaccinated against VZV, 32 of them received 2 doses. First-time vaccination was safe. Seroconversion rates were 50% after 1 dose, 87% after 2 doses. All 4 who did not seroconvert received TNF, 2 who were vaccinated once and were seronegative after 1 y developed varicella [169]. In a prospective controlled study, 26 SLE patients with VZV history received VZV vaccination. It was well tolerated and antibody production was appropriate; IFN-γ-producing cells were lower than in HCs. No HZ cases occurred in the vaccinated group, but 4 cases occurred in the unvaccinated group [170].</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HZ vaccine was safe and immunogenic in two large retrospective cohort studies ([i] n = 463,541 IMID patients, out of these 16,863 patients were vaccinated; [ii] n = 44,115 patients where 551 received HZ vaccination). Patients included had different autoimmune diseases under treatment with nonbiological DMARDs (MTX, HCQ, sulfasalazine, AZA, leflunomide, ciclosporin, 6-mercaptopurine), and oral glucocorticoids, as well as biologicals (incl. TNFi, abatacept, RTX) [171, 172]. It was not specified whether a history of previous varicella infection or vaccination was taken before HZ vaccine administration. One prospective, controlled study was performed in 10 SLE patients under treatment with prednisone ≤10 mg daily, HCQ ≤6 mg/kg daily, Mtx ≤20 mg/week. AZA ≤150 mg daily, (no other medications were allowed, incl. biological agents). All were seropositive for VZV. Vaccination was safe, but slightly less immunogenic than in control subjects [173].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AZA = azathioprine; HCQ = hydroxychloroquine; HC = healthy control; HZ = herpes zoster; IFN = interferon; IMID = immune-mediated inflammatory disease; JIA = juvenile idiopathic arthritis; JRD = juvenile rheumatic disease; MMR = mumps; measles; rubella; MTX = methotrexate; RTX = rituximab; SLE = systemic lupus erythematosus; TNF = tumour necrosis factor; TNFi = tumour necrosis factor inhibitor; VZV = varicella zoster virus; YF = yellow fever.
AIIRD patients in accordance with general Swiss vaccination recommendations [177]. It appears reasonable to give tetanus/diphtheria booster vaccinations every 10 years in immunocompromised persons, although this has not been studied. Regarding the live vac

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapeutic agent</th>
<th>Herpes zoster / varicella vaccination</th>
<th>Mumps, measles, rubella (MMR), yellow fever vaccination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low-dose systemic or topical corticosteroids:</td>
<td>No restrictions*</td>
<td>No restrictions*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Short- or long-term daily or alternate-day therapy with &lt;20 mg of prednisone or equivalent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Glucocorticosteroid replacement therapy in adrenal insufficiency / topical steroids (airways, skin, ears, or eyes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Intra-articular, bursal, or tendon injection of steroids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfasalazine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxychloroquine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
<td>≤0.4 mg/kg/week</td>
<td>≤0.4 mg/kg/week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(≤20 mg/week): vaccination possible*</td>
<td>(&gt;20 mg/week): contraindication</td>
<td>(&gt;20 mg/week): contraindication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azathioprine§</td>
<td>≤3.0 mg/kg/day: vaccination possible*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3.0 mg/kg/day: contraindication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Mercaptopurine§</td>
<td>≤1.5 mg/kg/day: vaccination possible*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1.5 mg/kg/day: contraindication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abatacept</td>
<td>Contraindication</td>
<td>Contraindication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adalimumab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anakinra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certolizumab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclosporine A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etanercept</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golimumab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-dose systemic steroids (≥20 mg per day of prednisone or equivalent for &gt;2 weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infliximab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leflunomide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycophenolate mofetil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rituximab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tacrolimus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tocilizumab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ustekinumab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general, live vaccines should be avoided in AIIRD patients under systemic immunosuppressive therapy. Some exceptions apply:
* This recommendation is provided for patients taking a single immunosuppressant, not for combination therapy. In the case of a combination therapy the immunosuppressive effect can be enhanced and live vaccines may be contraindicated.
† Live vaccination generally contraindicated if methotrexate dosage >0.4 mg/kg/week or >20 mg/week. Only varicella and herpes zoster: vaccination possible if ≤0.4 mg/kg/week or ≤20 mg/week to prevent varicella infection or reactivation. MMR, yellow fever: in clinically stable cases, these live vaccines can be given during low dosage therapy: methotrexate ≤0.4 mg/kg/week or ≤20 mg/week [174] (QoE: grade of evidence not possible, SoR: weak).

In the vaccination clinic of Service de Médecine Tropicale et Humanitaire, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève and the Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, the administration of live vaccines (including yellow fever) under low dose MTX has been clinical practice since 2006 and no severe side effects have been reported; the recommendation will require future follow-up. Of note, during a yellow fever vaccination campaign in Peru, a >20 times risk of YEL-AVD (yellow-fever-vaccine-associated viscerotropic disease) was found to be associated with one particular vaccine lot. Among 42,742 vaccinees who received a vaccine from this lot, 5 developed a YEL-AVD. Amongst these was a 49-year-old female with a past history of rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus as well as treatment with methotrexate and dexamethasone starting 4 days after vaccination (dosage unknown) [175].

¶ Only varicella and herpes zoster: vaccination possible if azathioprine dosage ≤3.0 mg/kg/day to prevent varicella infection or re-activation, above this threshold or other live vaccines: contraindicated.
§ Only varicella and herpes zoster: vaccination possible if mercaptopurine dosage ≤1.5 mg/kg/day to prevent varicella infection or re-activation, above this threshold or other live vaccines: contraindicated.

Table 4: Replication capacity and complication risk of live vaccines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Live vaccine</th>
<th>Systemic replication capacity*</th>
<th>Theoretical risk of complication†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yellow fever</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumps, measles, rubella</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varicella and herpes zoster</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral typhoid vaccine</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The replication capacity, relative risks of different live vaccines as well as the availability of an antiviral agent, immunoglobulin or antibiotic treatment must be considered when deciding on whether a person under immunosuppressive treatment can be vaccinated.
* ++++ very strong systemic replication capacity, +++ strong systemic replication capacity, ++ moderate systemic replication capacity, + weak systemic replication capacity,
† (+++) very weak systemic replication capacity
* ++++ very strong risk of complication, +++ strong risk of complication, ++ moderate risk of complication, + weak risk of complication, (+) very weak risk of complication
vaccines mumps, measles and rubella, the general Swiss vaccination guidelines recommend the MMR vaccinations (up to two doses 1 month apart) for unvaccinated persons, or persons who were only vaccinated once and were born after 1963.

Adults <40 years without a history of varicella infection should be vaccinated against varicella. In the case of a negative or uncertain history of varicella infection, specific serum antibodies can be measured [177].

MMR and varicella vaccination may not be administered to persons taking medication with an immunosuppressive effect (table 3); in these persons the respective serological tests should be performed in the case of a negative/uncertain disease or vaccination history.

In immunocompromised adults exposed to an increased risk (e.g. work in kindergarten or hospital), immunity against measles and rubella should also be checked in those born before 1964, and in those ≥40 years also the immunity to varicella. Please consult sections 12, 13 and 14 for further recommendations.

Vaccinations for specific risk situations (QoE: moderate, SoR: strong)

Vaccinations against hepatitis A, meningococci, tick-borne encephalitis and specific travel vaccinations should be performed according to general Swiss vaccination recommendations [177, 178]. Also in this case, as vaccines may not be sufficiently immunogenic under immunosuppressive treatment, serological testing is indicated, especially after a primary vaccination course (see section 11). It has been shown that one dose of a monovalent hepatitis A vaccination may not provide protection in patients under therapy with methotrexate, TNF blocking agents or combination therapy with methotrexate and TNF inhibitors [92, 179]. More than one dose before departure may be required for protection against hepatitis A [92]. Specific recommendations apply to live vaccines (see sections 7, 8 and 12).

10. Specific vaccinations are recommended for AIIRD patients as they may require more comprehensive protection (QoE: moderate, SoR: strong)

Owing to an increased risk of influenza and pneumococcal infections [31, 32, 34, 35] and associated complications, the pneumococcal and annual seasonal influenza vaccinations are recommended in AIIRD patients. Use of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Inactivated vaccine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids</td>
<td>No time lag necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-dose systemic or topical corticosteroids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Short- or long-term daily or alternate-day therapy with &lt;20 mg of prednisone or equivalent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Glucocorticosteroid replacement therapy in adrenal insufficiency / topical steroids (airways, skin, ears, or eyes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Intra-articular, bursal, or tendon injection of steroids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-dose systemic steroids (≥20 mg per day of prednisone or equivalent for &gt;2 weeks)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adalimumab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anakinra</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azathioprine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certolizumab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciclosporin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etanercept</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golimumab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxychloroquine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infliximab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leflunomide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Mercaptopurine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycophenolate mofetil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfasalazine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tacrolimus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tocilizumab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ustekinumab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abatacept</td>
<td>If possible, vaccinate shortly before abatacept administration*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rituximab</td>
<td>Wait at least 6 months for revaccination and 12 months for primary vaccination, if possible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is generally safe to administer inactivated vaccines to patients under immunosuppressive therapy; the immunogenicity may be reduced. For most immunosuppressants, no specific time lag between interrupting the immunosuppressive agent and administration of an inactivated vaccine has to be respected. As vaccines may not be sufficiently immunogenic under immunosuppressive treatment, serological testing 4–6 weeks after vaccination may be indicated, especially after a primary vaccination course. If at all possible, for immunogenicity reasons it may be advisable to respect the same time intervals for inactivated vaccines as those recommended for live vaccines (table 6). If these time intervals can be respected, no reduced immunogenicity has to be expected. Regarding B-cell depleting therapy, immune responses to inactivated vaccines may be insufficient when immunisation is performed within 6 months after RTX. Inactivated vaccines should therefore be given several weeks before initiating RTX, or at least 6 months afterwards. This recommendation is not made for safety reasons, but for immunogenicity reasons only. The recommendation is based upon the half-life of rituximab, on immunogenicity studies of inactivated vaccines as well as on CD19+ cell measurements under rituximab therapy [113, 176]. If a vaccine is indicated within 6 months after rituximab (e.g. influenza vaccine during the influenza season), it may be given. The immunogenicity and the duration of protection may be reduced significantly. CD19+ B cells can be measured before vaccination as the best proxy available for the quantity of B cells in the periphery. In addition, immunoglobulin levels can be measured.

* For abatacept, it may be advisable to vaccinate shortly before abatacept application as immune responses have been shown to be severely reduced when vaccinations were given 2 weeks after abatacept [104].
13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (Prevenar®) once is recommended [180]. In opposition to EULAR and CDC recommendations [90, 181], the use of the polysaccharide vaccine is no longer recommended (please see section 15 for further details).

It could be demonstrated that the primary immune response to a pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in AIIRD patients under immunosuppressive therapy is similar to primary immune responses to the polysaccharide vaccine under therapy with non-biological DMARDs and TNFα blocking therapy [93]. Treatment with methotrexate or methotrexate in combination with TNFα blocking therapy appears to hamper the immune response to both conjugate and polysaccharide pneumococcal vaccines [94–96, 144].

Because of an increased risk of herpes zoster in AIIRD patients, herpes zoster vaccination is recommended in those aged >50 years. At the moment, herpes zoster vaccine is not available in Switzerland. If it becomes available, the Advisory Committee on Immunisation Practices (ACIP) recommendations may be followed [182]. Hepatitis B infections can be more severe in AIIRD patients under immunosuppressive therapy [48] and thus vaccination against hepatitis B is encouraged in this patient group.

Vaccination against hepatitis B is recommended in female patients aged 11–14 years and should especially be encouraged in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Vaccination can be recommended up to the age of 26 years, according to FOPH recommendations [46, 47, 177].

**Table 6: Recommended time period between interruption of immunosuppressive therapy and administration of live vaccines.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Mumps, measles, rubella (MMR) vaccine, varicella vaccine, yellow fever vaccine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low-dose systemic or topical corticosteroids</td>
<td>No pausing or time lag necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Short- or long-term daily or alternate-day therapy with &lt;20 mg of prednisone or equivalent glucocorticosteroid replacement therapy in adrenal insufficiency / topical steroids (airways, skin, ears, or eyes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Intra-articular, bursal, or tendon injection of steroids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfasalazine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxychloroquine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-dose systemic steroids (≥20 mg per day of prednisone or equivalent for &gt;2 weeks)</td>
<td>Wait at least 1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etanercept</td>
<td>Wait at least 1 month*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
<td>≤0.4 mg/kg/week (≤20 mg/week)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0.4 mg/kg/week (&gt;20 mg/week): Wait at least 1–3 months§</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abatacept</td>
<td>Wait at least 3 months*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adalimumab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anakinra§</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azathioprine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certolizumab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclosporine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golimumab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infliximab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Mercaptopurine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycophenolate mofetil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tacrolimus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tocilizumab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ustekinumab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rituximab</td>
<td>Wait at least 12 months‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leflunomide</td>
<td>Wait at least 2 years**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* As currently no data are available, these recommendations are mostly based on expert opinion and medication half-lives.
† Only varicella and herpes zoster: no time lag if methotrexate ≤0.4 mg/kg/week or ≤20 mg/week to prevent varicella infection or reactivation. Please consult table 3 for further exceptions.
‡ According to medication half-life, 1 month is sufficient. If there is sufficient time and the clinical situation permits, wait for 3 months after interruption of methotrexate.
§ Owing to the short half-life (4–6 hours) of anakinra, live vaccines might be given earlier than 3 months after cessation of the therapy. But so far, only data on the safe and immunogenic administration of a second MMR vaccination in three cases after cessation of anakinra for 5 half-lives have been reported [183].
¶ As currently no data are available, this recommendation is based on expert opinion, the half-life of rituximab and on immunogenicity studies of inactivated vaccines. The reasoning for this recommendation is that if an inactivated vaccine is capable of inducing a humoral immune response after a certain time period after rituximab administration, the immune competence will also be sufficiently restored to be able to deal with a live vaccine. CD19+ B cells should be measured at the best proxy available for B cells in the periphery.

Immunoglobulin levels should be measured and vaccinations may only be administered if they are at a normal level.

** For safety reasons, live vaccines are contraindicated for at least 2 years after leflunomide therapy. But there is a specific wash-out option with inactivated carbon or cholestyramine: According to Sanofi Pasteur a schedule similar to the one recommended for pregnancies under leflunomide can be followed before administration of a live vaccine: After cessation of leflunomide therapy: “washout with 8 g cholestyramine 3 times daily over 11 days or 50 g activated carbon 4 times daily over 11 days. Independent of the washout method, the determination of the plasma level of leflunomide is necessary in two tests that are at least 14 days apart. After the first test with a plasma level below 0.02 mg/l it is necessary to wait for another 1.5 months before fertilisation is possible”.

[180] [181] [90] [94–96] [144] [182] [46] [47] [177] [183]
11. Serology after a completed course of vaccination should be performed in the primary course of a vaccination – if the corresponding serological test is available (QoE: grade of evidence not possible, SoR: weak)

In immunosuppressed persons, the vaccine response should be assessed 4–6 weeks after a primary course of a vaccination. In booster vaccinations, serology is not necessary as better immune responses can be expected. The most common method for verification is the measurement of antibodies to the antigens contained in the vaccine after a complete course of vaccination (e.g. after the third vaccination against tick-borne encephalitis). For certain recommended vaccinations (diphtheria, tetanus, Haemophilus influenzae type b, hepatitis B, pneumococcal disease, tick-borne encephalitis, rabies) the protective correlates are known and the available serological tests are capable of measuring the vaccine-induced antibody responses (please refer to table 1 in the vaccination recommendations published by the Swiss Federal office of Public Health for stem cell recipients [183]). Other available serological tests are capable of measuring immune responses after a natural infection, but are less sensitive in evaluating vaccine responses (hepatitis A, measles, and rubella). However, a serological check is also recommended after these vaccinations. A positive result indicates a good immune response. A negative result after measles or rubella vaccination does not necessarily indicate vaccine failure. Also, the generally used serological methods for varicella are often not sensitive enough. More sensitive tests can be performed at “Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève” (address at the end of the article). If serological thresholds are not reached with a generally recommended course of vaccination, additional doses may be necessary for achieving protection. In such a case, it is recommended to check the serology again 4–6 weeks after the additional vaccine dose. It has been shown that in AIIRD patients who had not responded to one H1N1 vaccine dose, better antibody titres and seroprotection rates could be achieved after a second dose [129]. Similarly, in one study, SLE patients who had not seroconverted after three doses of hepatitis B vaccine, developed positive anti-HBs antibodies after a fourth vaccine dose [153].

For pertussis and mumps vaccines, it has not been possible to define a protective threshold for vaccine antibodies. Thus, serology after these vaccines is not useful to assess whether a person is protected. For other vaccines, such as meningococci and human papillomavirus, no serology is routinely available to date. Please consult section 12 for recommendations concerning serology after yellow fever vaccination.

If a vaccine serology is not available or reliable, an alternative approach may be considered to decide whether a vaccine could prove immunogenic in a patient under immunosuppressive treatment: measuring basic immunology parameters by flow-cytometry in the peripheral blood (number of circulating CD4+, CD8+ and CD19+ cells) as well as total serum immunoglobulins, and consulting an expert.

12. If a patient is under immunosuppressive therapy and immunity towards measles, rubella, varicella and yellow fever is unknown, serological testing should be performed (QoE: grade of evidence not possible, SoR: strong)

If it is unclear whether an AIIRD patient under treatment with immunosuppressants is protected against measles or rubella (in the case of measles, independent of history and vaccination status), it is advisable to test serologically for immunity. The serology sample should be sent to a “general laboratory”. If the results are equivocal, the test should be repeated in a reference laboratory (Laboratoire de virologie, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève).

If it is unclear whether an AIIRD patient under treatment with immunosuppressants is protected against varicella (independent of history and vaccination status), it is advisable to test serologically for immunity. The serology sample should be sent to a “general laboratory”. If the results are equivocal the test should be repeated in a reference laboratory. In this case, serum can be sent to “Laboratoire de vaccinologie des Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève” where a more sensitive test can be performed.

If an AIIRD patient under immunosuppressive therapy intends to travel to a yellow fever endemic region and has been vaccinated against yellow fever in the past, neutralising antibody levels should be measured. The immunity against yellow fever should be checked irrespective of time point of vaccination, i.e., immunity should be checked if the vaccination was given ≤10 years ago as the immunosuppressive treatment may have reduced immunity. The immunity should also be checked if the vaccination was administered >10 years ago, as protection may last longer than 10 years and may still be present.

13. As the immunocompromised person may not be protected against diseases, insist on checking vaccination status of their household and other close contacts (QoE: grade of evidence not possible, SoR: strong)

To attain protection for the immunocompromised person, the vaccination status of household members and close contacts should also be checked. Vaccinations should be supplemented as appropriate, especially those against mumps, measles, rubella, varicella and influenza.

14. If the immunocompromised person is not protected against measles and/or varicella and has contact with an infected person, consider immunoglobulins/antivirals (QoE: moderate, SoR: strong)

If the immunocompromised person is not protected against measles and has contact with an infected person, intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIg 400 mg/kg body weight) should be considered. In the case of exposure to chicken pox or disseminated herpes zoster, an immunised, seronegative AIIRD patient under immunosuppression should receive varicella zoster immunoglobulin within 10 days. After the receipt of immunoglobulins, the patient should be observed for one month. In the case of signs of varicella infection, antiviral treatment (e.g. aciclovir) should be given promptly without awaiting confirmatory laboratory results [182, 184].
15. Always use conjugate vaccines in preference to polysaccharide vaccines (QoE: low, SoR: strong)

Conjugate vaccines should be preferred to polysaccharide vaccines for several reasons: the former induce higher affinity antibodies, longer-lasting antibody responses and memory responses, and booster vaccinations induce even higher antibody levels. Contrarily, after the administration of a polysaccharide vaccine, secondary vaccination may elicit only poor immune responses owing to a lack of memory cells. The administration of a first dose of plain polysaccharide vaccine may even blunt the immune response to subsequent doses (also to a subsequent dose of a conjugate vaccine), such that the antibody levels following a second vaccination, and possibly the magnitude of clinical protection, may be lower than following a first vaccination [180, 185].

So far, there are no data on meningococcal vaccination in adult AIIRD patients. However, it can be concluded from immunological concepts [186] that the meningococcal conjugate vaccine should also be preferred over the polysaccharide vaccine.

16. Vaccination should preferentially be administered during stable disease (QoE: low, SoR: weak)

Only a few studies have compared immunogenicity and safety of vaccinations between AIIRD patients with stable and unstable disease. Most vaccination studies in AIIRD patients were performed in patients with stable disease. In several studies on influenza, pandemic influenza and pneumococcal vaccine in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, spondyloarthritis, vasculitis, or connective tissue diseases, no increase in side effects or disease flares, nor decreased vaccine immunogenicity was seen when also patients with moderate or severe disease activity were included [122, 130, 131, 135, 137].

In studies on seasonal influenza, pneumococcal, tetanus toxoid and Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccines in SLE patients, and one study on hepatitis B vaccination in rheumatoid arthritis patients, the immunogenicity of the vaccines seemed to be reduced in patients with increased disease activity. This effect might also be attributed to the fact that patients with higher disease activity also received more immunosuppressive therapies [126, 140, 146, 152].

However, the numbers of patients in these studies were too small to draw a definite conclusion. Vaccination should therefore be preferentially administered during stable disease, more because of concerns of reduced immunogenicity (due to a higher level of immunosuppressive therapy) than of vaccine-induced flares in patients with active disease. This approach will also avoid the confusion of flare-ups of the underlying disease with vaccine-induced side effects.

Discussion

The recommendations for vaccination in patients with autoimmune inflammatory rheumatic diseases presented in this article have been formulated after an evaluation of the currently available data in the literature and after several rounds of consultations of experts in the fields of rheumatology, immunology, infectious diseases, vaccinology and travel medicine.

Most of the data available refer to influenza and pneumococcal vaccination; for other inactivated vaccines available evidence is still scarce. However, based on the data one can conclude that inactivated vaccines are (i) safe in AIIRD patients with or without immunosuppressive therapy and that (ii) under most immunosuppressive therapies vaccines induce good to moderate immune responses. Severely reduced immunogenicity can be found under methotrexate, methotrexate plus TNF inhibitor combination therapy, abatacept and, especially, rituximab. However, it is unclear whether the humoral immune responses (most commonly used as surrogates of protection in vaccination studies) correlate with protection. Only very few studies used actual “effectiveness” of vaccinations as an endpoint [31, 32, 187].

Few studies on live vaccination under immunosuppression could be identified, most of them examined revaccination under immunosuppressive therapy. Thus recommendations on live vaccinations were kept rather conservative. We have attempted to give less restrictive recommendations regarding live vaccines under low-dose methotrexate therapy, backed up by the growing clinical experience with live vaccinations under this medication. Additionally, in this document, advice for specialists is given on specific approaches, which can guide the decision process of administering a live vaccine in unclear situations (section 7).

The reader of these recommendations should keep in mind that the majority of recommendations given in this article are based on clinical experience and expert opinion as scientific data are still scarce on many aspects. More evidence regarding the immunogenicity and safety of vaccinations in AIIRD patients under various therapies is urgently needed. Vaccination recommendations need to be updated on a regular basis, as more scientific data regarding vaccination efficacy and safety, emergent infectious threats, new vaccines as well as new immunosuppressive therapies will become available.
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Figure 1
Scheme for the application of a live vaccine when the extent of the pharmacological immunosuppression is unclear.