Targeting the MAPK and PI3K pathways in combination with PD1 blockade in melanoma

Deken, Marcel A; Gadiot, Jules; Jordanova, Ekaterina S; Lacroix, Ruben; van Gool, Melissa; Kroon, Paula; Pineda, Cristina; Geukes Foppen, Marnix H; Scolyer, Richard; Song, Ji-Ying; Verbrugge, Inge; Hoeller, Christoph; Dummer, Reinhard; Haanen, John B A G; Long, Georgina V; Blank, Christian U

Abstract: Immunotherapy of advanced melanoma with CTLA-4 or PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint blockade induces in a proportion of patients long durable responses. In contrast, targeting the MAPK-pathway by selective BRAF and MEK inhibitors induces high response rates, but most patients relapse. Combining targeted therapy with immunotherapy is proposed to improve the long-term outcomes of patients. Preliminary data endorsing this hypothesis are accumulating. Inhibition of the PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway may be a promising treatment option to overcome resistance to MAPK inhibition and for additional combination with immunotherapy. We therefore evaluated to which extent dual targeting of the MAPK and PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathways affects tumor immune infiltrates and whether it synergizes with PD-1 checkpoint blockade in a BRAFV600E/PTEN-/--driven melanoma mouse model. Short-term dual BRAF + MEK inhibition enhanced tumor immune infiltration and improved tumor control when combined with PD-1 blockade in a CD8+ T cell dependent manner. Additional PI3K inhibition did not impair tumor control or immune cell infiltration and functionality. Analysis of on-treatment samples from melanoma patients treated with BRAF or BRAF + MEK inhibitors indicates that inhibitor-mediated T cell infiltration occurred in all patients early after treatment initiation but was less frequent found in on-treatment biopsies beyond day 15. Our findings provide a rationale for clinical testing of short-term BRAF + MEK inhibition in combination with immune checkpoint blockade, currently implemented at our institutes. Additional PI3K inhibition could be an option for BRAF + MEK inhibitor resistant patients that receive targeted therapy in combination with immune checkpoint blockade.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/2162402X.2016.1238557

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich
ZORA URL: https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-134935
Published Version

Originally published at:
Deken, Marcel A; Gadiot, Jules; Jordanova, Ekaterina S; Lacroix, Ruben; van Gool, Melissa; Kroon, Paula; Pineda, Cristina; Geukes Foppen, Marnix H; Scolyer, Richard; Song, Ji-Ying; Verbrugge, Inge; Hoeller, Christoph; Dummer, Reinhard; Haanen, John B A G; Long, Georgina V; Blank, Christian U (2016). Targeting the MAPK and PI3K pathways in combination with PD1 blockade in melanoma. OncoImmunology, 5(12):e1238557.
Targeting the MAPK and PI3K pathways in combination with PD1 blockade in melanoma


ABSTRACT
Immuno-therapy of advanced melanoma with CTLA-4 or PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint blockade induces in a proportion of patients long durable responses. In contrast, targeting the MAPK-pathway by selective BRAF and MEK inhibitors induces high response rates, but most patients relapse. Combining targeted therapy with immunotherapy is proposed to improve the long-term outcomes of patients. Preclinical data endorsing this hypothesis are accumulating. Inhibition of the PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway may be a promising treatment option to overcome resistance to MAPK inhibition and for additional combination with immunotherapy.

We therefore evaluated to which extent dual targeting of the MAPK and PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathways affects tumor immune infiltrates and whether it synergizes with PD-1 checkpoint blockade in a BRAFV600E/PTEN−/−-driven melanoma mouse model. Short-term dual BRAF + MEK inhibition enhanced tumor immune infiltration and improved tumor control when combined with PD-1 blockade in a CD8+ T cell dependent manner. Additional PI3K inhibition did not impair tumor control or immune cell infiltration and functionality. Analysis of on-treatment samples from melanoma patients treated with BRAF or BRAF + MEK inhibitors indicates that inhibitor-mediated T cell infiltration occurred in all patients early after treatment initiation but was less frequent found in on-treatment biopsies beyond day 15.

Our findings provide a rationale for clinical testing of short-term BRAF + MEK inhibition in combination with immune checkpoint blockade, currently implemented at our institutes. Additional PI3K inhibition could be an option for BRAF + MEK inhibitor resistant patients that receive targeted therapy in combination with immune checkpoint blockade.

Introduction
Treatment of advanced melanoma has changed dramatically within the last decade. Immunotherapies that block T cell checkpoint receptors, such as CTLA-4 and/or PD-1/PD-L1, and targeted therapies, such as BRAF and MEK inhibitors that inhibit the activated MAPK pathway in BRAFV600E mutated melanoma, have shown improved response rates and overall survival in randomized trials.1-5 The combination of CTLA-4 and PD-1 antibodies, as well as combined targeting of BRAF and MEK, have further improved patients’ outcome.6-8 Although targeted therapy induces a high number of responses, the 3-y progression-free survival is approximately only 20% for patients treated with combined BRAF plus MEK inhibition (BRAFi + MEKi).9 Combined CTLA-4 plus PD-1 immune checkpoint blockade shows a plateau at 2-y, with a progression-free survival of approximately 45–50%.10,11 The characteristics of the high response rates seen with targeted therapy and durable responses often seen with immunotherapy provide a rationale to combine targeted therapy with immunotherapy to further improve patient survival.12,13 Selective BRAFi have been shown to induce an activated CD8+ T cell infiltrate, as well as increase melanoma MHC expression and melanoma antigen presentation early-during treatment both in pre-clinical models and in human melanoma tissue samples.14-20 In melanoma mouse models, BRAFi was shown to be synergistic with adoptive T cell therapy (ACT) in BRAF-driven murine melanoma, while its combination with checkpoint inhibitors has shown limited activity in mice.21-24 Early attempts to combine
the selective BRAFi vemurafenib with ipilimumab in melanoma patients failed due to hepatotoxicity.\textsuperscript{25} Interestingly, Hassel et al. recently reported the feasibility of combining vemurafenib and ipilimumab.\textsuperscript{26}

Addition of MEKi to selective BRAFi improved response rates, progression-free survival and overall survival as compared to single BRAFi in human melanoma.\textsuperscript{6,9} The addition of MEKi, however, raised the concern that it could dampen immune effector functions.\textsuperscript{14,27} A recent publication by Hu-Lieskovan et al. has disproven this concern by showing improved antitumor activity of anti-PD-1 immunotherapy when combined with BRAFi + MEKi in a preclinical mouse model of BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E}-driven melanoma.\textsuperscript{28} In addition, Ebert et al. recently showed that in the CT26 mouse colorectal carcinoma model, MEKi impairs naïve CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cell priming, antigen-specific CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cell numbers were increased, and that MEKi worked synergistically with anti-PD-L1 blockade.\textsuperscript{29} In the same model, Liu et al. showed that MEKi only transiently inhibits T cell functions and was synergistic with anti-CTLA-4, anti-PD-1, and anti-PD-L1 blockade.\textsuperscript{30} In human melanoma, addition of MEKi to BRAFi did not result in significant reduction in immune infiltration in early treatment biopsies.\textsuperscript{31} These data together provide a clear rationale for clinical testing of BRAF plus MEK inhibition in combination with PD-1/PD-L1 blockade.

Activation of the PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway plays an important role in oncogenic transformation and can occur via inactivation of the tumor suppressor protein PTEN.\textsuperscript{32} Loss of functional PTEN occurs in up to 30% of melanomas, frequently in tumors with the BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} mutation.\textsuperscript{33,34} PTEN loss has been associated with reduced response to BRAFi, which can be overcome by co-targeting the PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway.\textsuperscript{35,36} Inhibitors of the PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway that are clinically tested are inhibitors of PI3K (PI3Ki, e.g., BKM120) and mTOR (mTORi, e.g., everolimus).\textsuperscript{37,38} Combined inhibition of PI3K isoforms α, δ, and γ, but not β, is required to inhibit proliferation of BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E}/PTEN\textsuperscript{Null}-driven murine melanoma.\textsuperscript{39} In addition, inhibition of all isoforms by the pan-PI3Ki BKM120 potentiated the antitumor effect of selective BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} inhibition.\textsuperscript{40} Interestingly, a recent study by Peng et al. showed that PTEN loss promotes resistance to T cell-mediated immunotherapy and that selectively targeting PI3Kβ improved the efficacy of immunotherapy in BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E}/PTEN\textsuperscript{Null} melanoma.\textsuperscript{41} Inhibition of mTOR has significant antitumor activity in mouse tumor models and is an approved therapy for several malignancies.\textsuperscript{38} With respect to immune modulation, mTOR regulates the differentiation of memory CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells,\textsuperscript{42} and leads to improved tumor control when combined with vaccination in the B16 mouse melanoma model.\textsuperscript{43} However, mTOR inhibition also increases the frequency of intratumoral regulatory T cells (Treg) and thereby limits immune mediated antitumor responses.\textsuperscript{44,45} These data indicate that single or dual PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway targeting could be an effective combination partner for immune checkpoint inhibition,\textsuperscript{41} but it is unclear so far, which combination might be most effective. We therefore evaluated to which extent dual targeting of the MAPK and the PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathways can improve the outcome of PD-1 blockade in BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E}/PTEN\textsuperscript{Null}-driven mouse melanoma, and analyzed samples from melanoma patients treated with BRAFi +/− MEKi to gain insight into optimal combination therapies.

Results

Addition of MEKi to BRAFi results in improved tumor control and increased tumor lymphocyte infiltration

We have previously shown that synchronous BRAFi + MEKi leads to superior tumor control in an induced BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E}/PTEN\textsuperscript{−/−} mouse melanoma model as compared to either agent alone.\textsuperscript{36} We confirmed this observation in a syngeneic BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E}/PTEN\textsuperscript{−/−}-driven transplantation model.\textsuperscript{55} We observed improved tumor control in all combinations of dual MAPK inhibition and PI3K/mTOR targeting as compared to single BRAFi (Fig. 1A). When discontinuing the targeted therapies after 14 d of treatment, we observed that addition of mTORi to BRAFi + MEKi did not improve tumor control. Although not statistical significant, mTORi potentially even antagonized the effect of BRAFi + MEKi +/− PI3Ki (Fig. 1A). Only the BRAFi + MEKi and BRAFi + MEKi + PI3Ki combinations significantly delayed tumor outgrowth as compared to single BRAFi (Fig. 1B). These observed differences in tumor outgrowth for the double, triple, and quadruple therapies could not be explained by a difference in tumor size at treatment withdrawal, as for example, mTORi combinations induced equal or even greater tumor size reductions on treatment (Fig. S1).

To evaluate the different MAPKi and/or PI3K/mTORi combinations as possible combination partners for checkpoint inhibition with anti-PD-1/PD-L1, tumor immune cell infiltration was analyzed by immunohistochemistry and flow cytometry on 3 d after the start of targeted therapy (Fig. 1C, Table S1 and Fig. S2). Combined BRAFi + MEKi induced the strongest CD3\textsuperscript{+} T cell infiltration (Fig. 1C and Table S1). Similar patterns were observed by flow cytometry for the specific CD4\textsuperscript{+} and CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cell subsets (Fig. S2). Addition of PI3Ki resulted in less pronounced infiltrates, but still significantly increased CD3\textsuperscript{+} T cell counts as compared to untreated mice (p = 0.0159). Addition of mTORi to BRAFi + MEKi +/− PI3Ki induced less T cell infiltration as compared to BRAFi + MEKi, but this was only significant for the BRAFi + MEKi + PI3Ki + mTORi combination (Fig. 1C). Qualitative analyses by flow cytometry revealed increased percentages upon targeted therapy for almost all lymphoid populations analyzed, including Tregs and cancer-associated B cells, while the frequency of macrophages with a M2-like phenotype was decreased (Fig. S2).

The proportion of intratumoral IFNγ positive CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells was highest in tumors from mice treated with MEKi, BRAFi + MEKi, and BRAFi + MEKi + PI3Ki, while addition of mTORi reduced this amount (Fig. 1D). Combined MAPK and/or PI3K/mTORi targeting had no systemic effect on CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells as measured by IFNγ\textsuperscript{+} CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells within the spleen (Fig. S3A). Interestingly, the proportion of PD-L1-expressing cells within the tumor cell compartment (defined as CD45\textsuperscript{−} cells) was decreased upon targeted therapy (Fig. 1E). The infiltrating CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells expressed high levels of PD-1 in about 50% of the cells (Fig. 1F), a marker shown to be associated with tumor-antigen-specific T cells,\textsuperscript{48} and was not altered when targeted agents were applied. Similarly to the systemic absence of IFNy-
producing CD8\(^+\) T cells, few PD-1\(^+\) CD8\(^+\) T cells were found in the spleen and their frequency did not increase upon targeted therapy (Fig. S3B).

**Short-term BRAFi + MEKi shows the strongest synergy with anti-PD1**

The observation that BRAFi + MEKi led to a strong increase of PD-1\(^+\) CD8\(^+\) tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) raised the question whether additional PD-1 blockade could induce long-term tumor control in our model setting. Tumor-bearing mice were treated with combinations of targeted therapy and anti-PD-1 checkpoint blockade (Fig. 2A). Identical to Fig. 1, targeted therapy was withdrawn after 14 d of treatment, while anti-PD-1 was dosed continuously. Single PD-1 blockade did not affect tumor growth as compared to isotype antibody treated animals (Figs. 2A and C). Short-term BRAFi + MEKi and BRAFi + MEKi + PI3Ki showed the strongest synergy with PD-1 blockade, resulting in significant tumor size reduction at day 32 (Fig. 2B; \(p < 0.0001\) and \(p = 0.045\), respectively). Single BRAFi or MEKi in combination with PD-1 blockade also reduced tumor outgrowth as compared to single BRAFi or MEKi alone, but did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 2B). BRAFi containing combinations combined with PD-1 blockade resulted in complete ongoing responses (CR) in a subset of mice (followed for up to 200 d, data not shown). This was most frequently
observed in the BRAFi + MEKi + anti-PD-1 combination (Fig. 2C, 4/9 CRs). Rechallenge of mice that had achieved a complete response with the same tumor cell line did not result in tumor outgrowth in the majority of mice (data not shown).

Figure 2. BRAFi + MEKi has the strongest short-term synergy with anti-PD1. (A) Tumor-bearing mice were treated as described in Fig. 1 with the indicated small molecules targeting MAPK and/or PI3K pathway for 14 d and concurrently either with anti-PD1 or isotype mAb (twice weekly 100 μg intraperitoneal). Anti-PD1 or control antibody was continued beyond day 14. Shown are the tumor sizes of the different treatment groups (mean ± SEM and n = 8–10). (B) Tumor sizes from 2A at day 32 are depicted in a dot plot (mean ± SD) and statistical significance is analyzed comparing isotype versus anti-PD1 treatment (Mann–Whitney U-test). (C) Individual tumor growth curves of mice are plotted per condition and the average of the group is indicated by a bold line.

Tumor control upon targeted therapy plus PD-1 blockade did not correlate with altered tumor T cell infiltration or changes in T cell function. Specifically, the addition of anti-PD-1 therapy did not increase the number of infiltrating
CD3$^+$ T cells as compared to targeted therapy (Figs. 3A and B and Table S2). Addition of anti-PD-1 did not alter the IFN$\gamma^+$ CD8$^+$ T cell proportion, the granzyme $B^+$ CD8$^+$ T cell proportion, or the proportion of CD44$^{hi}$ CD62L$^{lo}$ CD8$^+$ T cells within the tumors, except for granzyme-positive CD8$^+$ T cells in combination with BRAFi (Figs. 3C–E).

Figure 3. Addition of anti-PD1 to MAPK and PI3K pathway inhibition does not alter the infiltration and activation/effecter status of CTLs. (A) Tumors from mice treated as described in Fig. 2A ($n = 4–5$ per group) were analyzed by immunohistochemistry for CD3 infiltration on day 3. The black scale bars equal 3 mm and the high magnification inserts are 400 $\mu$m squared. Quantification depicted in (B) in the same setup as A and B, tumors were digested and analyzed by flow cytometry for the percentages of (C) IFN$\gamma$ producing CD8$^+$ T cells (D) granzyme $B$ producing CD8$^+$ T cells; and (E) CD44$^{hi}$CD62L$^{lo}$ expressing CD8$^+$ T cells.
Synergy of targeted therapy with anti-PD-1 is dependent on the presence of CD8\(^+\) T cells

The near equal synergy in tumor control with BRAFi + MEKi + PI3Ki + anti-PD-1 as compared to BRAFi + MEKi + anti-PD-1 (Fig. 2) and the absence of changes in tumor T cell infiltrates upon addition of anti-PD-1 (Fig. 3), raised the question whether targeted therapy-induced CD8\(^+\) T cell infiltration is the major mechanism for synergy with PD-1 blockade. To address this question, we depleted CD4\(^+\) or CD8\(^+\) T cells in parallel with BRAFi + MEKi + anti-PD-1 treatment. Depletion of CD8\(^+\) cells, but not CD4\(^+\) cells, completely abolished the control of tumor outgrowth observed upon BRAFi + MEKi + anti-PD-1 (Figs. 4A and B) and almost completely abolished the induction of complete responders (Fig. S4A and B). Remarkably, depletion of CD8\(^+\) cells did not result in a significantly faster outgrowth after BRAFi + MEKi treatment as compared to isotype depletion (Fig. S4B). This might indicate that the initial tumor control is dominated by the targeted therapy and that the observed CD8\(^+\) T cell infiltration is required for anti-PD-1-mediated tumor control (Fig. 1A and Fig. 4B). Interestingly, depletion of CD4\(^+\) T cells had a minor positive effect on tumor outgrowth control upon BRAFi + MEKi + anti-PD-1 (Fig. 4A), which was preceded by a statistically significant difference in tumor size at the moment targeted therapy was halted (Fig. S4B; \(p = 0.0002\)). This might result from interfering with intratumoral CD4\(^+\) regulatory T cells (Tregs), which were increased upon BRAFi C MEKi, but not on single agent BRAFi (Fig. S2H).

MAPKi induces transient CD8\(^+\) T cell infiltration

Our rationale to combine short-term targeted therapies +/− anti-PD-1 is based on the observations of high T cell infiltration occurring early during treatment, which disappeared at disease progression.\(^17\),\(^20\),\(^21\),\(^49\) To investigate the kinetics of immune infiltration upon targeted therapy, we treated tumor-bearing mice with BRAFi or BRAFi + MEKi.\(^6\),\(^9\) The amount of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes was analyzed by immunohistochemistry at different time points. An increase of CD3\(^+\) T cell infiltrates was found in both treatment groups, starting already on day 3 (Figs. 5A–C). Decreased T cell infiltration was observed upon BRAFi on day 28 (Fig. 5A, upper row, and Fig. 5B \(p = 0.0635\) compared to day 14), which was concurrent with tumor progression (Fig. S5). In contrast, BRAFi + MEKi induced incremental T cell infiltration at all-time points analyzed (Fig. 5A lower row, and Fig. 5C). Moreover, this increase of tumor infiltrates was also accompanied by continuous tumor control by BRAFi + MEKi (Figs. S5A–D). Our effort to identify the optimal treatment duration by simulation in our mouse model was thus hampered by the insufficient tumor control with BRAFi and the incremental tumor control upon BRAFi + MEKi.

We therefore analyzed CD8\(^+\) T cell infiltration in paired pre- and on-treatment human melanoma biopsies from patients responding to BRAFi or BRAFi + MEKi. We selected patients that showed partial responses evaluated by RECIST 1.1 and had undergone on-treatment biopsy during on-going responses, thus not at disease progression. In early biopsies (<15 d of treatment) of BRAFi and BRAFi + MEKi treated patients, we found increased CD8\(^+\) T cell infiltrates as compared to the baseline T cell infiltrates (Figs. 5D and E left; shown is fold increase as compared to pre-treatment samples; BRAFi: median 7 d, range 2–13 d; BRAFi + MEKi: median 7 d, range 4–15 d on treatment). However, in the majority BRAFi treated patients (5/7 patients) the amount of CD8\(^+\) T cell infiltrates was reduced as compared to pretreatment levels, when the biopsies were taken beyond 15 d (Fig. 5D, right; median 242 d, range 27–365 d on treatment). In contrast, 2/4 treatment biopsies from BRAFi + MEKi treated patients biopsied beyond 15 d maintained an increased level of CD8\(^+\) T cell as compared to pre-treatment (Fig. 5E, right; median 227 d, range 34–497 d on treatment).

Discussion

Combining targeted therapy and immunotherapy to potentiate improved long-term patients’ outcome was proposed soon after they were established as systemic therapies for advanced melanoma.\(^12\),\(^20\),\(^50\) Unfortunately, toxicities have been observed for the combination of the BRAFi vemurafenib with anti-CTLA-4

Figure 4. Synergy of targeted therapy with anti-PD-1 is dependent on the presence of CD8\(^+\) T cells. (A) Tumor growth curves of D4M.3A tumor-bearing C57BL/6 mice treated for 14 d with BRAFi and MEKi combined with isotype or anti-PD-1 as described in Fig. 2A. In addition, mice were treated with twice weekly intraperitoneal isotype mAb, anti-CD4\(^+\) or anti-CD8\(^+\) depleting antibodies at 250 \(\mu\)g (mean ± SEM and \(n = 8–9\)). (B) Tumor size and mean ± SD at day 28 is depicted in a dot plot (Mann–Whitney U-test).
immune checkpoint blockade, leading to suspension of these trials. PD-1 blockade has been shown in large randomized trials to induce less grade 3/4 toxicities, while inducing higher response rates as compared to ipilimumab, making PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors possibly a more safe combination partner for targeted therapies. Recent preclinical work has indicated...
synergistic effects of synchronous BRAFi and ACT. The observation that BRAFi + MEKi alleviates some BRAFi-induced toxicities, provides another argument for combining BRAFi + MEKi with immune checkpoint blockade. However, this raised a concern about possible impairment of T cells functions upon MEKi. Recent in vivo studies have reported synergy between MEKi and PD-L1 blockade, and BRAFi + MEKi and PD-1 blockade, showing that the previously described detrimental effects from MEKi on naïve T cells, may not be relevant to intratumoral CD8+ effector T cells. Indeed, in patients receiving BRAFi + MEKi it has been shown that numbers of tumor infiltrating CD8+ T cells were increased after start of treatment. Furthermore, increased intratumoral CD8+ T cell numbers have been associated with improved outcome upon PD-1 blockade. Based on these data, several trials testing continuous BRAFi + MEKi in combination with PD-L1 or PD-1 blockade have been initiated (NCT02027961 and NCT01656642).

We have aimed to analyze the effect of single and dual MAPK pathway inhibition in combination with additional single or dual PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway inhibition and its potential synergy with PD-1 blockade. Additional PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway targeting might be an interesting option for patients unresponsive to BRAFi + MEKi. This urged the preclinical investigation of pan-PI3K and mTOR inhibitors for their assumed T cell inhibitory function.

We found that short-term MAPK pathway inhibition induced strong tumor control and enhanced tumor immune infiltration as compared to untreated mice or single BRAFi treated mice. This is in line with preclinical and clinical observations showing increased tumor control and T cell infiltration upon dual MAPK-targeted therapies.

Previous data showed that additional blockade of PI3Kα, PI3Kδ, and PI3Kγ slightly improved tumor control and prevented resistance upon MEKi in BRAFiV600E/PTENnull melanoma. In our experiments testing different targeted therapy combinations, we found no significant additional tumor reduction of short-term pan-PI3K inhibition by BKM120 in addition to BRAFi and BRAFi + MEKi. Furthermore, administration of BKM120 in combination with BRAFi + MEKi did not significantly alter T cell infiltration and effector function. This seems contradictory to the result by Peng et al., who showed that pan-PI3Ki interferes with T cell activation in a vaccination model. However, similar to MEKi, pan-PI3Ki might predominantly alter early T cell activation, while to a lesser extent the intratumoral effector T cell function.

Additional mTOR targeting by everolimus with BRAFi + MEKi or BRAFi + MEKi + PI3Ki resulted in loss of the significantly improved tumor control upon BRAFi + MEKi +/- PI3Ki. This is in contrast to previous in vitro studies where mTORi has been shown to improve antitumor immune responses. Addition of mTORi to BRAFi + MEKi +/- PI3Ki also reduced the tumor infiltration of CD3+ lymphocytes and IFNγ-producing effector CD8+ T cells. Combinations with mTORi were therefore not further tested in combination with PD-1 blockade in our work here.

It was previously shown that increased intratumoral CD8+ T cell infiltration upon BRAFi +/- MEKi is associated with improved outcome with PD-1 blockade in mice. In patients, increased CD8+ T cell tumor infiltration was associated with better outcome upon PD-1 blockade. Therefore, we have addressed the effect of adding anti-PD-1 treatment to targeted therapy on tumor outgrowth. Indeed, concurrent with increased infiltration of TILs, we observed synergistic effects of the addition of anti-PD-1 to targeted therapy, most strongly in the combination of BRAFi + MEKi. We regularly observed complete responses for all targeted therapies where anti-PD-1 was added, with the exception of the MEKi group. This indicates that early full eradication of the tumors depends mainly on BRAFi + anti-PD-1 combination. However, the addition of MEKi still has an effect on tumor outgrowth in non-complete responders. In that way, addition of MEKi to BRAFi + PD-1 blockade is beneficial for the whole cohort. We found that addition of pan-PI3Ki did not influence the synergy of BRAFi + MEKi with PD-1 blockade. Furthermore, the addition of short-term pan-PI3Ki did not inhibit CD8+ T cell functionality, which seems to be in contrast to Peng et al., who showed that selective PI3Kδ inhibition improved the efficacy of anti-PD-1 checkpoint blockade in an induced BRAFV600E/PTENnull mouse melanoma model.

Our data suggest that adding pan-PI3Ki in patients that respond to BRAFi + MEKi has no benefit on tumor control. However, our observation that adding pan-PI3Ki to BRAFi + MEKi + anti-PD-1 does not hamper synergistic effects provides a rationale to test pan-PI3K inhibitors in combination with immunotherapy in patients being resistant to BRAFi + MEKi.

Depletion of CD8+ cells completely abolished the synergistic effect of BRAFi + MEKi in combination with anti-PD-1. This confirmed the dogma that the mechanism of anti-PD-1 therapy is at least in part mediated through CD8+ T cells. Although an increase in IFNγ producing CD8+ T cells was observed upon targeted therapy, we could not find a change in activation markers on bulk CD8+ T cells with the addition of anti-PD-1. Interestingly, we observed rejection of tumor cells when injected in mice that had a previous complete response. This indicates that an immunological memory response is induced upon the combination therapy. Since the nature of this model did not allow us to follow tumor specific T cell clones, we do not know which percentage of effector cells contributed to the response. Kviatkovsky et al. have shown that tumor-specific T cell populations with a very low frequency can be found in patients treated with anti-CTLA-4. This might suggest that only a limited proportion of infiltrating T cells is actually exhibiting antitumor specificity. It is of interest to further study the antitumor specificity of the infiltrating lymphocytes and elucidate the proportion that is responsible for tumor control in the complete responders. Even though the window for improvement is limited, depletion of CD4+ T cells had a beneficial effect on early tumor control. Mice that were treated with BRAFi + MEKi + anti-PD-1 in the absence of CD4+ T cells had significantly smaller tumors at the moment targeted therapy was halted. A likely explanation for this observation could be that Tregs have an important immunosuppressive function on tumor specific T cells. Indeed, we found that about 60% of CD4+ positive T cells in untreated D4M3A tumors are positive for FoxP3 and this proportion slightly increased upon BRAFi + MEKi, but not upon BRAFi.
In addition to Tregs, other immune regulatory cells, like monocytes, tumor associated B cells, neutrophils, and macrophages were present in this model. No clear correlations were observed between these populations and the synergistic effect of combined targeted therapy and anti-PD-1. However, this does not exclude that depletion of, e.g., Treg, tumor-associated macrophages, neutrophils, or cancer-associated B cells in addition to BRAFi + MEKi might further improve long-term outcome.

In contrast to the work of Hu-Lieskovsk et al., we did not apply continuous and concomitant targeted therapy. The rationale for this decision was based on our observation of a patient achieving long-term tumor control after receiving short term BRAFi + MEKi subsequent to PD-1 blockade (Blank et al., unpublished), and from another case report of long-term BRAFi being counterproductive for increasing the intratumoral T cell response.21 Indeed, we were able to observe long-term complete responses in the D4M.3A mouse melanoma model, when applying short-term targeted therapy. This has not been described in preclinical work using another subcutaneous mouse melanoma model (harboring BRAFV600E and CDKN2 mutations) testing continuous application of BRAFi + MEKi.24 However, these observed differences could also be due to the different genetic driver mutations present in these cell lines.24,47 Testing continuous and intermittent application of BRAFi + MEKi in combination with PD-1 blockade in the latter model could address this question. On the other hand, we found that infiltration of T cells was already prevalent within the first week after start of treatment, both in mouse and human melanoma. This supports previous ideas that the induction of a favorable antitumor microenvironment occurs early after targeted therapy initiation and might disappear on treatment.20,21,31 In this way, current clinical study designs of long BRAFi + MEKi induction phases before PD-1/PD-L1 blockade might undermine an improved antitumor immune response. This idea is further supported by recent findings, that MAPKi induces an innate anti-PD-1 resistance (IPRES) signature in melanomas, which was found in patients not responding to PD-1 blockade.64

Our results are limited by preclinical mouse modeling and limited availability of human tumor samples. Validation of these results in clinical trials is warranted. Several clinical trials are studying combinations of dual MAPK-pathway inhibition (dabrafenib + trametinib or vemurafenib + cobimetinib) and immune checkpoint blockade (e.g., anti-CTLA-4 or anti-PD-1/ PD-L1) in melanoma patients (NCT01940809; NCT01656642; NCT02027961; NCT02130466; NCT02224781). However, none of these trials addresses the question of what the optimal dosing schedule of targeted therapy should be in order to achieve the optimal outcomes for patients. Our data suggest that combining short-term MAPK-pathway +/- PI3K-pathway inhibition with immunotherapies improves antitumor immune responses, and may be superior to continuous combination therapy. Based on our results, we have initiated two phase 2 studies; one study in advanced melanoma comparing the combination of anti-PD-L1 (pembrolizumab) with two different intermittent BRAFi + MEKi (dabrafenib + trametinib) schemes versus continuous BRAFi + MEKi (IMPemBra, NCT02625337) and one neoadjuvant study exploring a short-term induction phase of BRAFi + MEKi followed by anti-PD1 versus continuous triple therapy in resectable stage 3 or 4 melanoma (NCT02858921).

Materials and methods

Syngeneic mouse melanoma model

D4M.3A cells were generated from Tyr::CreER;BrafCA;Ptenlox/lox mice by Jenkins et al.47 and kindly provided to us. The cells were cultured in DMEM/F-12 advanced media (Life Technologies) with 5% FBS, 1× penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1× glutamine and resuspended in PBS + matrigel (BD Biosciences) before subcutaneous injection (3 × 10⁷ cells) in male C57BL/6 mice (Charles River and Janvier). Tumor outgrowth was followed by two-dimensional digital caliper measurements of the greatest longitudinal diameter (length) and the greatest transverse diameter (width). Tumor volume based on caliper measurements was calculated by the modified ellipsoidal formula. Tumor volume = 1/2 (length × width²).

Treatment of tumor-bearing mice

PLX4720, a research analog of the selective BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib (PLX4032) (provided by Plexxikon) was used in chow.65 At the start of the experiments, the mice were switched either to a chow diet containing 417 mg/kg PLX4720 or to control chow containing no compound. On average the food dosing is similar to a daily 50 mg/kg dosing by oral gavage.65 The MEK inhibitor trametinib (Selleckchem) was dissolved in 0.5% hydroxypropyl methylcellulose and 0.2% Tween-80 (Sigma-Aldrich) and was administered daily by oral gavage of 15 μg (on average 0.75 mg/kg), once daily, 5 d per week for the indicated durations. The pan-PI3K inhibitor BKM120 (provided by Novartis) was dissolved in 0.5% methylcellulose and 0.5% Tween-80, and 400 μg (on average 20 mg/kg), once daily, 5 d per week. The mTOR inhibitor everolimus (provided by Novartis), diluted in 5% glucose, was administered by daily oral gavage of 15 μg (on average 0.75 mg/kg), once daily, 5 d per week for the indicated durations. Anti-PD-1 (clone RMP1-14, BioXcell) at the same dose.

Flow cytometric analysis of immune populations

Tissues were removed from the animals directly after euthanasia. Single-cell suspensions from the tissues were obtained by disruption of the tissue by slicing of the material followed by a
1 h digestion in digestion medium at 37°C. Digestion medium contained 2 mg/mL collagenase A (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1 mg/mL DNase (Sigma-Aldrich). After digestion the suspension was filtered through a 70 μm filter (BD Biosciences) to get rid of debris. The obtained single-cell suspension was then stained with fluorochrome labeled antibodies and analyzed for expression using a LSR Fortessa (BD Biosciences) and FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc.). Intracellular staining was performed using the Intracellular Fixation & Permeabilization Buffer Set from eBioscience according to the manufacturers’ protocol.

**Lymphocyte panel**; CD45.2-PE-Cy7 (1:200; clone 104, BD Biosciences), CD11b-Alexa Fluor 700 (1:200; clone M1/70, eBioscience), CD19-PE-Cy5.5 (1:400; clone 1D3, eBioscience), CD11b-Alexa Fluor 700 (1:200; clone M1/70, eBioscience), PD-1-FITC (1:100; clone J43, eBioscience), DAPI (1:20).

**Myeloid panel**; CD45.2-PE-Cy7 (1:200; clone 104, BD Biosciences), CD11b-FITC (1:200; clone M1/70, eBioscience), CD11c-PB (1:400; clone N418, Biolegend), F4/80-APC-eFluor780 (1:200; clone BM8, eBioscience), Ly6G-APC (1:400; clone 1A8, BD Bioscience), Ly6C-PE (1:800; clone HK1.4, eBioscience), MHC-II-PerCp-eFluor710 (1:400; clone M5/114.15.2, eBioscience), LIVE/DEAD405 Fixable Aqua Stain, 1:100 in FACS buffer (Invitrogen).

**Intracellular panel**; CD45.2-PE-Cy7 (1:200; clone 104, BD Biosciences), CD11b-Alexa Fluor 700 (1:200; clone M1/70, eBioscience), CD3-PE-eFluor610 (1:200; clone 145-2C11, eBioscience), CD4<sup>+</sup>-APC-H7 (1:200; clone GK 1.5 BD Biosciences), CD8<sup>+</sup>-V500 (1:200; clone 53–6.7, BD Biosciences), PD-1-PE (1:200; clone M1H5, eBioscience), PD-1-FITC (1:100; clone J43, eBioscience), DAPI (1:20).

**Histological analysis and immunohistochemistry**

Tissues were removed from the mice directly after euthanasia. They were fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin. 4 μm-thick sections were made and stained with anti-CD3 (clone RM-9107, Thermo Scientific) according to standard procedures. CD3 infiltration was scored using SlidePath Tissue Image Analysis software (Leica Microsystems). Human formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor samples were cut into 4 μm-thick sections and stained with anti-CD8<sup>+</sup> (clone C8/144B, DAKO) by standard procedures. Slides were scored by counting positive cells in 3–4 random high-power fields (HPF).

**Patient material**

Melanoma biopsies from patients treated at the Melanoma Institute Australia Sydney and the Netherlands Cancer Institute were taken from patients prior to commencing either vemurafenib (960 mg orally twice daily) or dabrafenib (150 mg orally, twice daily) alone, or dabrafenib combined with trametinib (2 mg orally daily), early during treatment (<15 d, EDT) or late during treatment (>15 d, LDT). Biopsies were performed under the Treat Excite Analyze for Melanoma (TEAM) protocol,<sup>20,49</sup> or the N03LAM protocol (NKI material collection trial). Both protocols were approved by the local human research ethics committees and informed consent from the patients was obtained before inclusion in the analyses.
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