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Introduction
Sexuality is an important quality-of-life consideration, also in late life (Hyde et al., 2012). Sexual health severely decreases with age. For males older than 40 years, erectile dysfunction (ED) is the most common sexual disorder, with a reported prevalence of 61% for 40- to 69-year-olds and 77% for ≥70-year-olds (Wagle, Carrejo, & Tan, 2012). ED is defined as the inability to achieve or maintain an erection sufficient for satisfactory sexual performance (NIH Consensus Conference on Impotence, 1993), and its etiology is widely accepted to be multifactorial in nature, including organic, psychological, and social factors.

Besides vascular or neurogenic causes, hormonal factors seem to play a major role in the development and maintenance of ED (Mola, 2015). Higher levels of testosterone (T) and dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) as well as estradiol (E2) have been reported to be associated with better overall sexual function and less ED in different age groups (Finkelstein et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2015; ¹University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
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Rabijewski, Papierska, Kuczerowski, & Piątkiewicz, 2015), although there is conflicting literature on the association between E2 and ED (El-Sakka, 2013; O’Connor et al., 2011; Vignozzi et al., 2014). A recent study reported that changes in T and E2 levels in response to T treatment were associated with improvements in sexual activity and sexual desire, but not erectile function in older men (Cunningham et al., 2016). Only a small number of studies have investigated the association between progesterone (P), a precursor of the primary sex hormones, and ED. Animal models suggest P to be a protective factor against ED (Andersen et al., 2007; Shirai et al., 2003). By contrast, cortisol (C) as well as proinflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-6 (IL6) have been reported to be positively associated with ED in healthy males and in males with urological problems (Kobori et al., 2009; Ückert et al., 2003; Zhao, Wang, Han, Li, & Yao, 2015).

Identified behavioral and psychosocial risk factors for ED are smoking, alcohol consumption, anxiety, stress, depression, mental illness, and dementia (Cho et al., 2006; Corona, Rastrelli, Maseroli, Forti, & Maggi, 2013). Literature on protective factors against ED is scarce. Although the importance of a multidisciplinary approach to the treatment of ED has been emphasized, few studies have investigated behavioral and psychosocial protective factors against ED (Shabsigh et al., 1998). The most frequently described such factors include exercise, healthy diet, good relationship quality, and engaging in sexual activity (Cho et al., 2006; Corona et al., 2013). As sexual health can be seen as a mirror of men’s overall health status (Capogrosso, Montorsi, & Salonia, 2015), protective factors against health deterioration in aging men might, at the same time, be protective factors against age-related ED. Positive affect, optimism, social and emotional support, relationship quality, and satisfaction with life are among the best described factors in this regard (Barrett, Putzke, & Richards, 2002; Berry & Worthington, 2001; Dainese et al., 2011; De Moor et al., 2006; Krokavcova et al., 2008).

To assess protective factors against ED, in the current study, steroid hormones, a proinflammatory cytokine and psychosocial protective factors were examined in parallel with regard to their association with ED and their modifying effect on the cross-sectional association between age and ED.

**Material and Method**

**Participants**

This study was part of the Men’s Health 40+ study (Walther, Philipp, Lozza, & Ehler, 2016). The sample of the present study consisted of 271 self-reporting healthy men aged 40 to 75 years. Participants provided information about their current health status and medication intake via standardized questionnaires. Table 1 presents specific sample characteristics. Participants provided psychometric data on sexual health and psychosocial protective factors and endocrine and proinflammatory parameters. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before completing the measures. The local Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Arts at the University of Zurich approved the study protocol before data collection.

**Erectile Dysfunction**

The status of ED was assessed with the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF), a self-report measure that is well validated and widely used in clinical and epidemiological studies (Rosen, Wagner, & Riley, 1997). The German version of the IIEF was applied, for which the authors suggest calculating a main scale including all 15 items, a scale for sexual desire consisting of 3 items (IIEF-SD), and a scale for sexual function (IIEF-SF)—mainly reflecting erectile function—consisting of 12 items (Wiltink et al., 2003). A main score lower than 53 is considered as a clinically relevant cutoff for ED, indicating at least a mild ED. For the scale used to assess sexual function, the internal consistency was satisfactory, with Cronbach’s alpha of .82. Participants also responded to additional questions related to sexual health derived from the questionnaire of the European Male Aging Study, addressing the frequency of sexual thoughts, the frequency of sexual intercourse, the frequency of masturbating, the frequency of having woken up with an erection, the decrease in having woken up with an erection, whether the amount of sexual intercourse was satisfying, and whether sexual desire has changed, all referring to the past year (O’Connor et al., 2008).

**Psychosocial Protective Factors**

Psychosocial protective factors were assessed via self-report measures. Well-validated psychometric instruments were completed for perceived general health (SF-36-PGH; Ruta, Abdalla, Garratt, Coutts, & Russell, 1994), emotional support (BSSS-ES; Schulz & Schwarzer, 2003), relationship quality (RAS; Barrett et al., 2002), affiliation and intimacy motivation (GOALS-A/-I; Pöhlmann & Brunstein, 1997), optimism (LOT-R; Glaesmer, Hoyer, Klotsche, & Herzberg, 2008), resilience (RS-11; Schumacher, Leppert, Gunzelmann, Strauß, & Brähler, 2005), and satisfaction with life (SWLS; Glaesmer, Grande, Braehler, & Roth, 2011). For descriptive information on the psychometric instruments, see the appendix. For all psychometric instruments, satisfactory internal consistency has been reported, with Cronbach’s alpha ranging from .72 to .91.
Salivary Analytes

Saliva samples were obtained in a standardized procedure between 8:00 a.m. and 8:15 a.m. Participants were asked to successively fill 3 SaliCaps of 2 mL capacity (SaliCaps, IBL International GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) with saliva. The following parameters were used for the present study: T, DHEA, E2, P, C, and IL6. All saliva samples were stored at −20°C until required for biochemical analysis. Salivary analytes were analyzed either in the biochemical laboratory of the Department of Psychology of the University of Zurich or in the biochemical laboratory of the Technical University of Dresden.

Potential Covariates and Confounders

The included covariates were age, BMI, marital status, smoking status and alcohol consumption, medication intake, drug consumption, potency medication intake, education, and having had a cold or an injury or bleeding in the mouth in the past 7 days. Due to occasionally occurring delays in participants’ saliva sampling and the circadian rhythmicity of different hormones, the exact starting time of saliva collection was used as a covariate. The current health condition and the amount of hours of physical activity per week were further included as covariates.

Statistical Analysis

First, outliers were identified and removed for the salivary parameters. Values that were greater or less than 3 standard deviations around the mean were removed (Rousseeuw & Croux, 1993). For T, 1.1% (n = 3); for DHEA, 1.8% (n = 5); for E2, 0.4% (n = 1); for P, 2.6% (n = 7); for C, 3.3% (n = 9); and for IL6, 0.8% (n = 2) of values were removed. Quantile regressions for sexual function by age were computed for the 10% and the 25% quantile of the sample. To illustrate the risk groups of low levels of sexual function by age, the participants were divided into 10% and 25% quantiles for each analyte.

Table 1. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Optimism (LOT-R)</th>
<th>17.6 (3.6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emotional support (BSSS-ES)</td>
<td>3.5 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation (GOALS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affiliation (GOALS-A)</td>
<td>12.1 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intimacy (GOALS-I)</td>
<td>17.5 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engaged in a romantic relationship, n (%)</td>
<td>240 (88.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship quality (RAS)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Additional sexual health-related questions were obtained from the European Male Aging Study—Sexual Function Questionnaire (Wiltink, Hauck, Phädayanon, Weidner, & Beutel, 2003).

(continued)
function, the areas between the regression lines were colored red (0% to 10%), orange (10% to 25%), and green (25% to 100%).

Associations between ED, age, salivary analytes, and psychosocial protective factors were analyzed using Pearson bivariate and partial correlations. To examine whether the association between age and ED was influenced by either endocrine and inflammatory markers or psychosocial protective factors, moderation analyses were conducted according to Hayes (2013). Additionally, the potential covariates and confounders introduced were included in the analyses. In a next step, homogeneous groups of participants were formed using the Ward method. The number of clusters for all subsequent analyses was determined with Mojena’s test and the elbow method (Backhaus et al., 2015); both methods indicated the presence of four clusters. To compare the different clusters, $t$ tests for independent samples were calculated (Backhaus et al., 2015).

Analyses were conducted using R version 3.2.2 (R Core Team, 2016) and SPSS 23 (IBM Corp; Statistics). The level of significance was set at $\alpha = .05$.

## Results

Demographic, sexual, and psychosocial characteristics of the sample consisting of 271 participants are presented in Table 1. Table 2 presents descriptive statistics of the salivary analytes. Figure 1 shows quantile regressions for sexual function by age for the 10% and the 25% quantile of the sample.

A score below 53 on the main scale of the IIEF is considered to indicate a clinically relevant ED (Wiltink et al., 2003). In the current sample, 34.7% of participants scored below this cutoff. Partial correlation analysis identified that age was significantly associated with ED (IIEF-SF; $r = -.272, p = .000$). As presented in Table 3, partial correlation analysis identified that ED was neither directly associated with steroid hormones nor with IL6 (all $p > .05$). For psychosocial protective factors, positive associations emerged for perceived general health (SF-36-PGH; $r = .119, p = .028$), emotional support (BSSS-ES; $r = -.188, p = .001$), relationship quality (RAS; $r = .305, p = .000$), intimacy motivation (GOALS-I; $r = .170, p = .003$), resilience (RS-11; $r = .131, p = .018$), and satisfaction with life (SWLS; $r = .282, p = .000$; see

## Table 2. Descriptive Statistics of Salivary Analytes (Sex Steroids and IL6 Are Depicted in pg/mL and C in nmol/L).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T</th>
<th>DHEA</th>
<th>E2</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>IL6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>256.3</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>28.43</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>224.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>165.1</td>
<td>1129.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>104.2</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. T = testosterone; DHEA = dehydroepiandrosterone; E2 = estradiol; P = progesterone; C = cortisol; IL6 = interleukin-6.

*Absolute number of analyzed hormonal parameters included for statistical analyses of 271 included participants. The numbers vary slightly among different salivary analytes due to exclusion of extreme values. A total of 21 participants had IL6 levels below the detection range.

## Table 3. Partial Correlations for Sexual Health and Hormonal and Inflammatory Protective Factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>IIEF-SF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>-.029</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>DHEA</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>.353**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>-.084</td>
<td>.288**</td>
<td>.152*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-.011</td>
<td>.319**</td>
<td>.216*</td>
<td>.395**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-.088</td>
<td>.246**</td>
<td>.285**</td>
<td>.112</td>
<td>.228**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>IL6</td>
<td>-.046</td>
<td>.136*</td>
<td>.212*</td>
<td>.215*</td>
<td>.171*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. IIEF-SF = sexual function; T = testosterone; DHEA = dehydroepiandrosterone; E2 = estradiol; P = progesterone; C = cortisol; IL6 = interleukin-6. Pearson correlations.

*p < .05, **p < .01.

Figure 1. Quantile regressions for sexual function by age for the 10% and the 25% quantile of the sample.

Note. IIEF-SF = Sexual Function.
Moderation effects of protective factors on the relationship between age and ED were analyzed with moderation analyses according to Hayes by including the introduced confounders. Moderation analyses for the endocrine and inflammatory parameters identified significant associations between age and ED for T (β = .0076, t = .53, p = .59), DHEA (β = .001, f² = .0178), and IL6 (β = .001, f² = .0184; see Figure 2). Further moderation analyses for E2, P, and C failed to reach statistical significance (see the appendix).

Moderation analyses for the psychosocial parameters identified significant associations between age and ED for perceived general health (β = .0028, t = .017, f² = .0228), emotional support (β = .4321, p = .017, f² = .0228), and intimacy motivation (β = .1129, p = .003, f² = .0351; see Figure 2), while for relationship quality, affiliation motivation, optimism, resilience, and satisfaction with life, moderation analyses did not reach statistical significance (see the appendix).

As presented in Figure 3, a hierarchical cluster analysis using the Ward method for sexual function by age identified four clusters (middle-aged men with [n = 31] and without ED [n = 77] and older men with [n = 70] and without ED [n = 92]). The subsequently computed group comparisons using t tests revealed group differences between older men with and without ED for T (t = 0.078, p = .39, d = .34), and DHEA (t = 3.864, p = .000, d = .64), but not for E2, P, C, or IL6. With regard to psychometric measures, group differences were reported for constructs such as perceived general health (t = 3.980, p = .000, d = .63), emotional support (t = 3.340, p = .001, d = .53), intimacy motivation (t = 3.264, p = .001, d = .53), satisfaction with life (t = 3.308, p = .001, d = .52), resilience (t = 2.068, p = .040, d = .33), and relationship quality (t = 3.064, p = .003, d = .51). No differences emerged for optimism and affiliation motivation. Taken together, older men without ED, in comparison with older men with ED, exhibited higher T and DHEA levels and reported higher perceived general health, emotional support, intimacy motivation, satisfaction with life, and resilience, and a better relationship quality.

No group differences between middle-aged men with and without ED emerged for sex steroids, or for C or IL6. The only psychometric construct that differed significantly between the two groups was satisfaction with life (t = 2.755, p = .009, d = .66), indicating more satisfaction with life in middle-aged men without ED. However, relationship satisfaction reached a statistical trend (t = 1.751, p = .096, d = .54), indicating higher relationship satisfaction in middle-aged men without ED. Further analyses of group differences are reported in the appendix.

**Discussion**

In this sample of self-reporting healthy men aged between 40 and 75 years, 34.7% reported at least a mild form of ED. Neither steroid hormones nor the proinflammatory marker IL6 were directly associated with ED. However, ED was negatively associated with perceived general health, emotional support, intimacy motivation, relationship quality, resilience, and satisfaction with life, but not with affiliation motivation and optimism. Both endocrine and psychosocial parameters modified the association between age and ED. Higher T and DHEA and lower IL6 levels buffered the association between age and ED. A buffering effect on the cross-sectional age-related increase in ED was also revealed for higher perceived general health, emotional support, and intimacy motivation. Furthermore, a hierarchical cluster analysis on age and sexual function indicated four clusters, representing middle-aged men with and without ED.
without ED and older men with and without ED. This finding raises the question of potentially different forms of ED in these age groups. Group differences in sex steroid levels with regard to ED status were present in older men but not in middle-aged men. Furthermore, several psychosocial protective factors differed between older men with and without ED, while in middle-aged men only satisfaction with life was significantly higher in those men without ED. Besides higher sex steroid levels, for middle-aged men with ED, higher intimacy motivation and a trend toward more emotional support than in older men with ED was also reported. This indicates an age-related loss of intimacy motivation and potentially emotional support in men suffering from ED. In addition, older men with ED differed from middle-aged men without ED not only with regard to sex steroids but also in C and IL6 levels suggesting an ED-related increase in C and IL6 levels from middle-aged to older men (see the appendix).

Age is the most influential risk factor for ED. In the current sample of self-reporting healthy men, ED was...
present in 34.7% and demonstrated a strong cross-sectional increase with age. Therefore, the identification of protective factors decelerating the age-related increase in ED is of major interest for men’s sexual health. The findings are in accordance with previous research reporting that higher endogenous levels of T and DHEA are beneficially related to sexual health in men and seem to decelerate the age-related increase in ED (Walther & Ehlert, 2015). As ED is often related to vasculogenic disturbances, T and DHEA might exert their beneficial effects on ED via their vasodilative properties. Furthermore, lower levels of IL6 were associated with a buffered age-related increase in symptoms of ED, which further confirms the hypothesis of an association between inflammation and ED. The levels of a number of proinflammatory cytokines are also elevated in heart failure or heart dysfunction, and the administration of potency medication such as sildenafil was reported to cause a sustained reduction of proinflammatory cytokines, linking the influence of proinflammatory cytokines on vasculogenic function and ED (Zhao et al., 2015).

As middle-aged men’s ED is assumed to differ from older men’s ED (Mola, 2015), there is a need for tailored treatment approaches. In the present sample, the computed cluster analysis indicates a cutoff at the age of 54.5 years for a separation between middle-aged and older men with ED. While younger than 54.5 years, ED might be more associated with psychosocial and lifestyle factors, age-related biological changes occurring after the age of 54.5 years might be responsible for ED in the majority of older men. Therefore, for ED prevention in older men, and to help target the development of disorders early on, it might be crucial to begin monitoring endocrine and inflammatory levels, and neurogenic or anatomic function, at the age of 54.5 years.

Calculated effect sizes for the moderating effects of endocrine, inflammatory, or psychosocial protective factors on the age-related increase in ED were in general medium to large, indicating a similar level of influence of biological and psychosocial factors on the age-related increase in ED. These results further add and contribute to previous research reporting that directly after age, modifiable lifestyle factors and comorbidities are the most important contributors to the development of ED (Kupelian, Araujo, Chiu, Rosen, & McKinlay, 2010). The relatedness of biological, psychosocial, and lifestyle factors in terms of the age-related increase in ED seems to be best explained by their concomitant influence on general health, which in turn is reflected by erectile function (Capogrosso et al., 2015). This is supported by the present results, which indicate that perceived general health, as a proxy of general health, is associated with a buffered age-related increase in ED. However, lifestyle and psychosocial interventions are discussed to have beneficial effects on endothelial function and nitric oxide bioavailability, indicating that glycometabolic disorder is involved in the underlying pathophysiology of organic ED (Capogrosso et al., 2015; Chitaley, Kupelian, Subak, & Wessells, 2009). Furthermore, weight loss, causing a decrease in inflammation and an increase in T levels, has also been mentioned as possible mechanism through which erectile function could be reestablished (Lamina, Okoye, & Dagogo, 2009).

There are several limitations that need to be taken into consideration when interpreting the results. The cross-sectional design of the study does not allow causal conclusions, and further longitudinal examinations need to be carried out to confirm the obtained between-subject results using within-subject designs. A further limitation is the small sample size, which limits the statistical power and interpretation of the data. Apart from the self-report measurement of erectile function, there was no examination of ED by a clinician. Future studies should combine self-report measurements and clinical examination to ensure high sensitivity and specificity of ED diagnosis. A final limitation is that the results only apply to self-reporting healthy men aged between 40 and 75 years. As ED has been strongly related to general health and vascular disorders (Mola, 2015), the described sample might represent a less vulnerable sample to ED than the general population.

**Conclusion**

Studies attempting to determine the factors that lead to ED have been able to formulate numerous risk factors for ED, but efficient prevention and treatment strategies integrating endocrine, inflammatory and psychosocial protective
factors for prevention, and early targeting of the very common disorder remain elusive. Combating the age-related increase in ED requires a combined intervention strategy consisting of androgen supplementation, anti-inflammatory interventions, diabetes and hypertension control in older males, alongside psychosocial interventions to improve emotional support, intimacy motivation, relationship quality, and satisfaction with life, as well as healthy lifestyle interventions addressing physical activity, smoking, and alcohol consumption both in middle-aged and older males.

Appendix

Psychosocial Protective Factors

To measure participants’ satisfaction in an intimate relationship or marriage the RAS was used, consisting of seven Likert-type items, for which satisfactory reliability and validity were reported (Sander & Böcker, 1993). In this study, Cronbach’s alpha of .91 was reported.

The motivational questionnaire (GOALS) evaluates the six domains: power, achievement, diversification, altruism, intimacy (GOALS-I), and affiliation (GOALS-A). Cronbach’s alpha for the two domains used in our study (intimacy and affiliation) were .70 and .90 (Pöhlmann & Brunstein, 1997).

For evaluating optimism in participants, the German version of the Life-Orientation-Test (LOT-R) was used, that consists of 10 items on a 5-point Likert-type scale; 3 are optimistic formulated, 3 are pessimistic formulated, and 4 are neutral formulated. The LOT-R reaches Cronbach’s alpha of .92 (Glaesmer et al., 2008). Cronbach’s alpha in this study was .71.

To measure the resilience of participants, the German version of the resilience scale was used. It contains 11 one-dimensional items and shows Cronbach’s alpha of .91 (Schumacher et al., 2005). In this study, Cronbach’s alpha of .84 was reported.

To evaluate life satisfaction, the Satisfaction with Life Scale (SWLS) with five items on a 7-point Likert-type scale was used. The SWLS reaches Cronbach’s alpha of .92 (Glaesmer et al., 2011). In this study, Cronbach’s alpha of .89 was reported.

The Short Form (SF-36) was used to evaluate the participants’ perceived general health by 36 self-administered items. The multi-item Likert-type scale assess eight health concepts including general health perception (SF-36-PGH) with a reported Cronbach’s alpha higher than .80 (Jenkinson, Coulter, & Wright, 1993).

The Berlin Social Support Scales (BSSS) were used to assess participants’ emotional (BSSS-ES), instrumental (BSSS-IS), and total social support (BSSS). The questionnaire consists of 17 items on a 4-point Likert-type scale. Cronbach’s alpha for the three BSSS scales ranged in this study between .83 and .86 (Schulz & Schwarzer, 2003).

Results not in Included in the Main Text: Further Analyses of Group Differences

Group differences between middle-aged men with ED and older men with ED revealed higher levels of T (t = −3.114, p = .003, d = .74), DHEA (t = −3.688, p = .001, d = .91), and P (t = −2.124, p = .046, d = .46), and a higher intimacy motivation (t = −2.523, p = .014, d = .50), as well as a trend toward more emotional support (t = −1.869, p = .065, d = .41) in middle-aged men with ED. Analysis of group differences between middle-aged men without ED and older men without ED identified higher levels of T (t = −3.114, p = .003, d = .49), DHEA (t = −3.688, p = .001, d = .38), and P (t = −2.124, p = .046, d = .50) in middle-aged men without ED, and no differences in psychosocial constructs. Analysis of group differences between middle-aged men without ED and older men with ED revealed significant differences for all salivary analytes (T = 5.020, p = .000, d = .83; DHEA: t = 5.579, p = .000, d = .92; E2: t = 2.129, p = .035, d = .35; P: t = 3.364, p = .001, d = .56; C: t = −2.151, p = .034, d = .36; IL6: t = −2.464, p = .015, d = .42). Taken together, middle-aged men without ED in comparison with older men with ED exhibited higher levels of T, DHEA, E2, and P and lower levels of C and IL6. With regard to psychosocial constructs, middle-aged men without ED reported higher perceived general health (t = 4.342, p = .000, d = .71), intimacy motivation (t = 2.410, p = .017, d = .40), and satisfaction with life (t = 2.049, p = .043, d = .34) compared with older men with ED.

Additional moderation plots for psychobiological protective factors on the association between age and ED:
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