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In an earlier issue of Hormones and Behavior Wynne-Edwards and Timonin reviewed more than one decade of studies on the endocrinology of paternal care in male rodents (Wynne-Edwards and Timonin, 2007). While most studies demonstrated correlations between being a father and hormone levels, especially Wynne-Edwards and co-workers also conducted important experiments during the last years to test for a causal relationship. However, the conclusions of Wynne-Edwards and Timonin are rather negative: 1. “The co-variation between hormones and paternal care is not causal” (abstract). 2. “The hypothesis of homology between maternal and paternal neuroendocrine circuits is false” (p. 120).

Here I want to argue that these conclusions are too early, and that more detailed and especially more complex studies are needed. In addition, I think that the following important question has to be approached: “Why do rodent fathers show changes in hormone levels?”.

1. “The co-variation between hormones and paternal care is not causal”: As reviewed by Wynne-Edwards and Timonin, paternal rodent males typically show a decrease in testosterone levels and an increase of prolactin levels, while other hormones do not change noticeably or in a species specific manner. This suggests that high testosterone levels might suppress paternal care, as it occurs in many bird species (Beletsky, Gori, Freeman, and Wingfield, 1995; Wingfield, Hegner, Dufty, and Ball, 1990), and increased prolactin levels could promote paternal care, as in several fish and bird species (Schradin and Anzenberger, 1999; Ziegler, 2000). However, castration of naïve males of the highly paternal dwarf hamster *Phodopus campbelli* does not influence later paternal responsiveness nor is testosterone reduced in fathers of all paternal species (Wynne-Edwards and Timonin, 2007). In addition, experimental reduction of prolactin levels in
fathers of the dwarf hamster *P. campbelli* did not lead to a reduced level of retrieval of pups into the nest (Brooks, Vella, and Wynne-Edwards, 2005).

Wynne-Edwards and Timonin expected that changes in hormone levels could be the mechanism to change an infanticidal male to a caring father. However, males do not only switch from infanticide to being paternal, but might also switch from searching for additional mates to being associated for a long time to one female and her offspring. Two different mechanisms might exist, one to switch from mate searching to staying with one female at her nest, another one to switch from infanticide to paternal care. In house mice a series of experimental studies has demonstrated that the switch from infanticide to being paternal seems to be a neural mechanism, not an endocrine one (Perrigo, Belvin, and Saal, 1991; Soroker and Terkel, 1988). Mating induces in males a change, with infanticide being switched off around the expected date of parturition (Huck, Soltis, and Coopersmith, 1982; Mennella and Moltz, 1988; Saal and Howard, 1982). Therefore, if hormones play a role in the regulation of paternal care, this is unlikely to be the change from infanticide to being paternal. Rather, hormones might be important for the fine tuning, which is how much paternal care is shown. Paternal care in rodents is costly in the currency of time, e.g. striped mouse males increasing the time spent in the nest by a factor of three when pups are present (Schradin and Pillay, 2003). Thus, the amount of paternal care shown might be a trade-off with other activities such as foraging and especially searching for additional receptive females, either by roaming over several female territories or by searching for encounters with neighboring females. However, laboratory experiments typically do not mimic this natural situation. In contrast, males are put in a relatively small cage (e.g. 0.000025ha in captivity compared to 0.1 to 1.0 ha in a natural population of striped mice, Schradin and Pillay, 2003; 2005) without any need for foraging (food provided ad libitum) and no potential to search for additional females. Typically, in captive experiments non-infanticidal males are in a small cage and have no other option than to show paternal care, as there is no need for foraging and no possibility to search for mates. The only other option would be to do nothing, but if we compare between males with high paternal motivation (maybe males with high prolactin levels) and males with medium paternal motivation (maybe males with experimentally reduced prolactin levels), both classes might choose paternal care. Therefore, laboratory studies mimicking a much more complex situation would be necessary, e.g. by providing males the opportunity to visit additional females.

2. “The hypothesis of homology between maternal and paternal neuro-endocrine circuits is false”. A series of experiments since the 1970s has demonstrated that the rapid onset of maternal care in the rat depends on the hormones progesterone, estrogen and prolactin which change during pregnancy (Bridges, Dibiase, and Loundes, 1985; Bridges and Mann, 1994; Bridges and Ronsheim, 1990; Bridges, Rosenblatt, and Feder, 1978; Rosenblatt, 1992). This is why the endocrine regulation of maternal care in the rat has been regarded as a model to understand the physiological regulation of parental care in mammals in general, including the regulation of male parental care in rodents (Schradin and Anzenberger, 1999; Wynne-Edwards, 2001). Clearly, the results of the experiments with decreased prolactin (Brooks et al., 2005) and estradiol (Hume and Wynne-Edwards, 2006) levels described by Wynne-Edwards and Timonin demonstrate that this is not the case in hamsters of the genus *Phodopus*. 
Wynne-Edwards and Timonin conclude that the hypothesis that paternal care will activate pre-existing maternal endocrine pathways was supported by correlative data (changes in hormone levels), but not by experiments trying to demonstrate a causal relationship. This conclusion is correct, but only for the endocrine pathways of rodent first time mothers. Experienced rat females experience similar hormonal changes as first time mothers, but do not need endocrine changes to respond maternally (Bridges, 1978; Bridges, 1975). The proximate mechanisms of maternal care in experienced females are not well understood, nor are the proximate mechanisms of paternal care. Therefore, it is possible that the neuro-endocrine basis of paternal care is similar to the one of maternal care in experienced mothers.

While first-time mothers can rely totally on the endocrine signals of pregnancy to know whether it will be necessary to show maternal care, the endocrine changes in rodent males are a less reliable signal. Both in experienced mothers and fathers, experience and social stimuli (leading to physiological changes, possibly directly activating neural circuits) might be more important than in first-time mothers, which rely mainly on the hormones of pregnancy. Therefore, if one signal (e.g. prolactin) does not occur, it might still be beneficial for a male to respond paternally. In fact, a male should rely on several signals (endocrine and environmental ones). “Although the indications of a relationship between prolactin and paternal care are overwhelming, it is clear that prolactin cannot be the only factor… It is extremely unlikely that paternal care would depend on a single factor only, as this would render it highly susceptible to defects. Life-sustaining processes generally depend on numerous factors as a kind of insurance, so that they will continue to operate, albeit at reduced level, after the breakdown of one factor.” (cited from Schradin and Anzenberger, 1999). If this is true, then the effect of the experimental decrease of prolactin in parental rodent fathers can be expected to be not as strong as in first-time rodent mothers, and much larger sample sizes might be needed.

3. Why do paternal rodent fathers show the observed changes in hormone levels? Wynne-Edwards and Timonin argue that the hormonal changes observed in paternal male rodents might be important for other behaviors such as postpartum mating. However, they neither explain why post-partum mating might depend on increased prolactin levels (a hormone known for its fertility reducing effect in many species), nor why there should be a difference between paternal and non-paternal species. Hormonal changes observed in paternal but not in non-paternal species are most parsimoniously explained by differences in care giving behavior. If further experiments support the results of the experiments done by Wynne-Edwards and co-workers that paternal behavior is not influenced by the associated endocrine changes, one has to ask in what other aspects than behavior, do paternal males differ from non-paternal males. This would be an extremely interesting and promising field of research. One possibility could be that energy budgets differ and that this is regulated hormonally. Apart from differences in metabolism, differences in osmo-regulation are possible.

Conclusions
In conclusion, more experiments on more species are needed. These experiments must mimic a more complex environment, especially giving males the option to do something else than to show paternal care. As one single hormone might have a rather small
influence, large sample sizes are needed. Furthermore, the many environmental variables that can influence maternal and paternal care (Brown, 1993) must be considered, and in how far there might be differences between the sexes in the quality and quantity of physiological responses to these environmental stimuli (Schradin, 2002, 2007). Other differences between paternal and non-paternal males must also be considered, especially differences in metabolism and osmo-regulation that could be controlled hormonally. Thus, while we cannot expect a single hormone to transform an infanticidal male into a caring father, modulation effects of hormones on paternal care are still an interesting topic of research.
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