Superstitiousness in obsessive-compulsive disorder

Brugger, P; Viaud-Delmon, I

Abstract: It has been speculated that superstitiousness and obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) exist along a continuum. The distinction between superstitious behavior and superstitious belief, however, is crucial for any theoretical account of claimed associations between superstitiousness and OCD. By demonstrating that there is a dichotomy between behavior and belief, which is experimentally testable, we can differentiate superstitious behavior from superstitious belief, or magical ideation. Different brain circuits are responsible for these two forms of superstitiousness; thus, determining which type of superstition is prominent in the symptomatology of an individual patient may inform us about the primarily affected neurocognitive systems.
Superstitiousness in OCD

Peter Brugger, PhD.
Neuropsychology Unit, Department of Neurology,
University Hospital Zurich, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland

Isabelle Viaud-Delmon, PhD.
CNRS UMR 9912, IRCAM, 1 place Igor Stravinsky, 75004 Paris, France

Keywords:
beliefs, magical ideation, contingency/causality detection, habits, stereotypy, basal ganglia, hippocampus
Abstract
It has been speculated that superstitiousness and OCD exist along a continuum. The distinction between superstitious behavior and superstitious belief, however, is crucial for any theoretical account of claimed associations between superstitiousness and OCD.
By demonstrating that there is a dichotomy between practice and belief, which is experimentally testable, we can differentiate superstitious behavior from superstitious belief, or magical ideation. Different brain circuits are responsible for these two forms of superstitiousness; thus, determining which type of superstition is prominent in the symptomatology of an individual patient may thus inform us about the primarily affected neurocognitive systems.

French Abstract
Il est souvent proposé que la superstitiosité et le trouble obsessionnel compulsif sont sur un même continuum. La distinction entre le comportement superstitieux et la croyance superstitieuse est cruciale pour toute théorie s’intéressant aux associations entre superstitiosité et TOC. Il existe une dichotomie, démontrable expérimentalement, entre les pratiques et les croyances, qui nous permet de différencier les comportements superstitieux des croyances superstitieuses, ou l’idéation magique. Des réseaux neuronaux distincts sont responsables de ces deux formes de superstitiosité. Connaître le type de superstition dominante dans la symptomatologie d’un patient atteint de TOC permettrait par conséquent de mieux comprendre le rôle des principaux systèmes neurocognitifs impliqués dans la pathologie.
According to the Merriam-Webster's online dictionary, a superstition is "a belief or practice resulting from ignorance, fear of the unknown, trust in magic or chance, or a false conception of causation". Focusing on one or several aspects of this broad definition, some authors have suggested that superstitions are a fundamental feature of obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD). We first elaborate on the dichotomy between practice and belief, mentioned in the above definition, and differentiate superstitious behavior from superstitious belief, or magical ideation. We then propose that different brain circuits may be responsible for these two forms of superstitiousness and that the type of superstition observed in an individual patient may thus inform investigators about the prominently affected neurocognitive systems.

**Superstitious behavior**

In its purest form, superstitious practice was demonstrated in the behaviorist literature as a consequence of response-independent reinforcement. Skinner's experiments with pigeons are legendary; the birds were offered food at random intervals and behavior incidentally displayed at times of food delivery was continuously reinforced, such that idiosyncratic behavioral stereotypies were established. Noting that the birds behaved as if they assumed a causal relation between the appearance of food and their behavior, Skinner coined the term "superstitious behavior" for this type of response-reinforcement association. It was later criticized that the inference regarding the animals' beliefs about a nonexistent causality was not necessarily warranted, and attributes like "mediating" and "collateral" were suggested to describe their behavior in a more parsimonious way (see ref. 7 for the literature). In fact, some of the behavioral sequences shown by human subjects in a situation of response-independent reinforcement are more reminiscent of a desperate attempt to explore the nature of the schedule of reinforcement than of any "superstitiousness" in the sense of a fixed belief. We may cite the particularly illustrative example of a healthy woman seated in a test booth with a response lever on a table in front of her and a signal light and point counter mounted on the wall:

"About 5 min into the session, a point delivery occurred after she had stopped pulling the lever temporarily and had put her right hand on the lever frame. This behavior was followed by a point delivery, after which she climbed on
the table and put her right hand to the counter. Just as she did so, another point was delivered. Thereafter she began to touch many things in turn, such as the signal light, the screen, a nail on the screen, and the wall. About 10 min later, a point was delivered just as she jumped to the floor, and touching was replaced by jumping. After five jumps, a point was delivered when she jumped and touched the ceiling with her slipper in her hand. Jumping to touch the ceiling was continued repeatedly and was followed by points until she stopped about 25 min into the session, perhaps because of fatigue."

Unfortunately, as introspective reports have never been seriously considered in the behaviorist literature, this subject had not been explicitly asked about her thoughts and beliefs while apparently obsessed with touching and jumping.

Superstitious belief

As "false conceptions of causation", superstitious beliefs are conceptually removed from the touching-jumping behavior described above. They usually lack a comparably direct motor manifestation. In fact, most characteristic of modern superstitious beliefs are rather abstract ideas about a paranormal causation of coincidences (telepathy, clairvoyance, precognition). These ideas are cross-culturally universal and, within a society, largely resistant to education. Designated as “magical ideation” (MI), they are a core element of positive symptoms in schizotypy, equivalent to the delusions of reference in schizophrenia. While magical or superstitious beliefs can be conceived as the cognitive equivalents of superstitious behaviors, it is important to note that each type of superstition can occur without the other. In the pigeon, there is clear evidence, gained in clever designs, for a dissociation between motor and cognitive superstitions. It was shown, for instance, that the same birds, whose pecking superstitions were based on temporal contiguity instead of contingency, were well able to distinguish between events elicited by chance and those controlled by the own behavior. In human subjects, a similar dissociation was demonstrated when studying the relation between superstitious behavior and superstitious belief. In a computer game, high and low scorers on the MI scale, an instrument designed to quantify superstitious beliefs in everyday life, displayed superstitious behavior to a comparable degree. However, the subjects believing in paranormal forms of causation were more inclined than the disbelievers to assume a causal relationship between their (irrelevant) behavior and success in the game (see Figure 1 for more details).
Superstitious behavior and superstitious belief in OCD

The distinction between superstitious behavior and superstitious belief is crucial for any theoretical account of claimed associations between superstitiousness and OCD. As indicated above, in healthy individuals superstitious motor behavior can occur without accompanying beliefs in nonexistent causative forces. Conversely, the formation of superstitious beliefs may take place without direct mediation by the motor system. We suggest, therefore, that different neural circuits are involved in the genesis of the two forms of superstitiousness. Specifically, we propose that the origin of superstitious rituals in OCD primarily involves the basal ganglia “habit system”\textsuperscript{11}, including its connections with the (orbito)frontal cortex. Dysfunction of this neural circuitry is prominent in patients with OCD and OC-spectrum disorders. It is responsible for behavioral routines, whose stereotypy and irrationality is typically recognized by the patient. Nonetheless, recognition of the senselessness of the repetitive motor displays does not enable a patient to break the routine.

Significantly, whether superstitiously motivated or not, perseveration is an almost defining feature of an obsessive-compulsive ritual\textsuperscript{12} (Figure 2).

Another region of interest in connection with OCD comprises medial temporal lobe structures, in particular the hippocampus\textsuperscript{13}. According to one model\textsuperscript{11,12}, a “limbic memory system” coordinates those subordinate brain circuits controlling inflexible habits and fixed action sequences. It states that one prominent task of the hippocampus is to enhance behavioral variability, and OCD symptoms are thought to emerge from the failure of the hippocampal complex to curb the subcortical-frontal “habit system” (see ref. 14 for an alternative view of the hippocampus in OCD). In the literature on superstitious behavior and belief, the important role of the hippocampus was early recognized. Hippocampectomized rats were found to display exaggerated superstitious behavior\textsuperscript{15,16} that was not simply a consequence of
enhanced perseverative tendencies, but reflected the crucial role played by the hippocampus “in adapting economically to a loss of positive contingency and in averting the burden of superstition when reinforcers never bear causal relation to behavior”16; p. 704. In human clinical neuropsychology, medial temporal lobe pathology has been implicated in the emergence of superstitious beliefs. Patients suffering from temporal lobe epilepsy often show a “syndrome of sensory-limbic hyperconnection”17, which is characterized by a preoccupation with mystical, religious and paranormal themes and an exaggerated belief in an extrasensory causation of coincidences (ref. 18 for the literature). In patients with OCD who manifest marked magical ideation5, limbic dysfunction might also predominate. It remains to be determined whether these patients would represent a proper “schizotypy subtype” of OCD19.

To conclude with a word of caution: we doubt that over and beyond an exaggeration of normal patterns of behavior and thought, superstitions are a genuine element of OCD. However, disentangling components of superstitious motor behavior from those of superstitious beliefs may not only help the clinician, but might provide general insights into the mechanisms underlying the disorder.
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**Figure Legends**

Figure 1: A computer game differentiating superstitious behavior and superstitious belief

A: The screen as it was presented to 40 healthy subjects. They were instructed to move the mouse from the lower left corner onto the field containing the trap (using the cursor keys in the 4 cardinal directions). The final move was either “rewarded” with the cheese or “punished” by the closing of the trap. The type of feedback depended on the amount of time the participant took to reach the target field; times faster than 4 sec were punished and slower times were rewarded with the cheese. Subjects were unaware of these contingencies and were instructed to find out, during 100 trials, “how the game worked”. Beliefs about the contingencies that determined success in the task were carefully assessed after completion of the task.

B: Aspects of motor behavior for 20 subjects low on magical beliefs (MI scale scores 0 – 9; dashed lines) and for 20 high scorers (scores 10 – 20; solid lines). Top panel: across consecutive blocks, all subjects learned to get the cheese with increasing frequency (without noticing the critical contingency). Middle panel: all subjects increased the number of key presses per trial (“superstitious behavior”), to reach the field with the cheese. Bottom panel: another indicator of superstitious behaviour, the number of ineffective key presses (e.g. pressing the UP key when already in the uppermost row), also increased over the task and independent of a subject’s MI scores.

C: Superstitious beliefs about task contingencies: subjects with low MI scores started out with many hypotheses, but most were abandoned in the course of the game and “blind” beliefs were virtually absent. Conversely, subjects with high MI scores tested fewer hypotheses during the game, but were not disinclined to believe in forms of contingencies they had never tested.

Figure 2:
The hallmark of superstitiousness in OCD is stereotyped, repetitive behavioral routines, not necessarily accompanied by superstitious beliefs in the form of false causal attributions.
Figure 1:

A

B

C

Number of beliefs about the relationship between behavior and success (max = 12)

Magical Ideation:

Effect of Consecutive Blocks:

\[ F = 9.6, p < 0.001 \]

Effect of Consecutive Blocks:

\[ F = 26.3, p < 0.001 \]

Effect of Consecutive Blocks:

\[ F = 5.5, p < 0.005 \]

Consecutive Blocks of 20 trials each

Mean length of path (n=4)

Mean no. of successful trials

abandoned beliefs: contingencies tested during, but no longer held after the game

blind beliefs: contingencies claimed in retrospect, but never tested during the game
Figure 2:

“The doctor said I might not be suffering from OCD after all, touch wood, touch wood, touch wood, touch wood...!”