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ABSTRACT

We use high-res cosmological hydro AMR simulations to predict the hydrogen Lα emission from the cold gas streams that fed galaxies in massive haloes at high z. The local emissivities due to collisional excitation are calculated from the simulated gas properties, while photoionization is less important. The Lα surface density is mapped assuming that 85% of the Lα photons are observed. Typical haloes of mass $M_v \sim 10^{12} - 10^{13} M_\odot$ at $z \sim 3$ emit as Lα blobs (LABs) with luminosities $10^{43} - 10^{44} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ and 50 – 100 kpc extent. Most of the Lα comes from the extended, narrow, partly clumpy, inflowing, cold streams of $(1 - 5) \times 10^4 K$ that feed the galaxy. Dust absorption is negligible in the streams. The predicted LAB morphology is irregular, with dense clumps and elongated extensions. The area contained within isophotes with surface brightnesses of $2.2 \times 10^{-18} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{arcsec}^{-2}$ is $\sim 20 – 200 \text{arcsec}^2$. The linewidth is expected to range from a few hundreds to above 1000 km s$^{-1}$ with a large variance. The typical Lα surface brightness profile is $\propto r^{-1.2}$. The Lα emission in our simulations is powered by the gravitational energy gained by the streaming into the halo potential well, while the UV background contributes $< 20\%$. A toy model of gravitational heating explains the simulated results. The simulated LABs are similar in luminosity, morphology and extent to the observed LABs, and they have distinct kinematic features. The predicted luminosity function is consistent with observations, and the predicted areas and linewidths reproduce the observed scaling relations. The LABs can be regarded as direct detections of the cold streams that drive galaxy evolution at high $z$. This mechanism for producing LABs appears inevitable in most high-$z$ galaxies.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Hundreds of Lyman-alpha blobs (LAB) have been detected so far in the redshift range $z = 2 - 6.5$, mostly near $z \sim 3$ (Steidel et al. 2000; Matsuda et al. 2004; Saito et al. 2006; Ouchi et al. 2006; Yang et al. 2008). Their luminosities range from below $10^{43}$ to above $10^{44} \text{erg s}^{-1}$, and they extend on the sky to 30 – 50 kpc and more. The two main open questions are (a) the origin of the extended cold and relatively dense gas capable of emitting Lα, and (b) the continuous energy source for exciting the gas to emit Lα.

The emitting hydrogen gas should be at a temperature $T \gtrsim 10^4 K$, relatively dense and span a much larger area than covered by the stellar component of galaxies. It may arise from outflows or inflows. The energy sources discussed in the literature include photoionization by obscured AGNs, early starbursts or extended X-ray emission (Haiman & Rees 2001; Jimenez & Haiman 2006; Scharf et al. 2003), as well as compression of ambient gas by superwinds to dense...
Lα emitting shells [Mori et al. 2004], and star formation triggered by relativistic jets from AGNs (Rees 1989).

Many of the bright LABs are found in the vicinity of massive, star-forming galaxies [Matsuda et al. 2006]. Multi-wavelength observations reveal that a fraction of the LABs are associated with sub-millimetre and infrared sources that indicate very high star-formation rates (SFR) in the range $10^2 - 10^3 \ M_\odot \ yr^{-1}$ (Chapman et al. 2001; Genzel et al. 2003, 2007) or with obscured active galactic nuclei (AGN) (Basu-Zych & Schartel 2004). While stellar feedback and AGNs could in principle provide the energy source for the Lα luminosity, many LABs are not associated with sources of this sort that are powerful enough to explain the observed Lα luminosities (Wilson et al. 2004; Smith & Jarvis 1999). This indicates that star formation and AGNs are not the sole drivers of LABs, and may not even be the dominant source for LABs.

Indeed, high-redshift galaxies exhibit a generic mechanism that simultaneously provides both the cold gas and the energy source. It is a direct result of the phenomenon robustly established by simulations and theoretical analysis, where high-z massive galaxies are continuously fed by narrow, cold, intense, partly clumpy, gaseous streams that penetrate through the shock-heated halo gas into the inner galaxy, grow a dense, unstable, turbulent disc with a bulge and trigger rapid star formation (Birnboim & Dekel 2003; Keres et al. 2005; Dekel & Birnboim 2006; Ocvirk, Pichon & Teyssier 2008; Keres et al. 2009; Dekel et al. 2009; Dekel. Sari & Ceverino 2007; Ceverino, Dekel & Bournaud 2009). Massive clumpy star-forming disks observed at $z \sim 2$ (Genel et al. 2008; Genel et al. 2008; Förster Schreiber et al. 2009) may have been formed via the smooth and steady accretion provided by cold flows, as opposed to merger events. The streaming of the gas into the dark-matter halo potential well is associated with transfer of gravitational energy to excitations of the hydrogen atoms following cooling emission of Lα [Haiman, Spaans & Quataert 2001; Fardal et al. 2003; Dekel & Birnboim 2006, 2008; Khochfar & Ostriker 2008].

There were two earlier attempts to compute the Lα cooling radiation from hydrodynamic SPH cosmological simulations. Based on their analysis, [Fardal et al. 2001] pointed out the potential association of this Lα emission with the first observed LABs. These early simulations did not allow a proper resolution of the detailed structure of the cold streams as they penetrate through the hot medium. Their shortcomings included intrinsic limitations of the SPH technique, a limited force resolution of $7 h^{-1}{\rm kpc}$ (comoving), not allowing for radiative cooling below $10^4 K$ and neglecting the photoionization by the UV background. [Furlanetto et al. 2002] used a higher resolution of $\sim 1 kpc$ to make more detailed comparisons of the simulated and observed luminosity functions and size distributions of LABs powered by cold accretion. They concluded that the photoionizing background could power the bright Lα corona, whereas cooling IGM gas, without any form of heating, cannot explain the observations alone, except under the most optimistic assumptions. It seems that the SPH technique used with a $\sim 1 kpc$ resolution may not be sufficient for properly resolving the stream properties and producing reliable results.

[Dijkstra & Loeb 2009] have recently worked out an analytic toy model for Lα cooling radiation from cold streams, based on the general properties of the cold streams as reported from cosmological simulations. They conclude that the streams could in principle provide spatially extended Lα sources with luminosities, line widths and abundances that are similar to those of observed LABs. They point out that the filamentary structure of cold flows may explain the wide range of observed LAB morphologies. They also highlight the fact that the most luminous cold flows are associated with massive haloes, which preferentially reside in dense large-scale surroundings, in agreement with the observed presence of bright LABs in dense environments. This model presents a successful feasibility test for the role of cold streams in powering the LAB emission, and it provides physical intuition into the way by which this process could be manifested. However, a comparison to our simulations indicates that this simplified model does not capture the detailed dynamical properties of the cold streams. In particular, it significantly over-predicts the cold-gas density, under-predicts the gas temperature, and does not account for the partly clumpy nature of the streams and their characteristic radial distribution in the halo. As a result, the Dijkstra & Loeb model underestimates the total Lα luminosity by a factor of a few, and it therefore has to appeal to the excessive clustering of the LABs in order to boost the predicted luminosity function for a match with the observations.

In the current paper, we calculate the Lα emission from the cold gas in high-redshift galactic haloes using state-of-the-art hydrodynamical AMR cosmological simulations. With the high resolution in our simulations we can quite accurately map the extended Lα sources. This allows us to study their individual shapes, morphologies and kinematics. We measure quantities such as the distribution of surface brightness within each halo, the area covered with surface brightness above a given isophotal threshold, the predicted observed linewidth, the typical total Lα luminosity per halo of a given mass, and the overall Lα luminosity function of LABs. These predicted properties are compared with the observed LABs.

This paper is organized as follows. In §2 we work out a simple feasibility test where we use a simple toy model to estimate the expected gravitational heating power as a proxy for the Lα luminosity. In §3 we introduce the two sets of cosmological simulations used. In §4 we explain our methodology of computing Lα emissivity and luminosity as a function of halo mass. In §5 we identify the gas that contributes to the Lα emission. In §6 we apply our methodology to the simulations and provide predicted images of LABs. In §7 we determine the scaling of Lα luminosity as a function of halo mass and redshift. In §8 we compare our predicted Lα luminosity function with observational results. In §9 we measure the predicted surface-density profile, isophotal area and linewidth and compare to observations. In §10 we show that gravitational heating is the main source of energy driving the Lα luminosity in our simulations, while the role of photoionization is minor. In §11 we discuss our analysis and results and draw our conclusions.
2 FEASIBILITY OF GRAVITATIONAL HEATING

The gravitational energy gain due to the streaming of the gas from the virial radius toward the centre of the halo potential well is a natural source of energy for the \( \text{H}_\alpha \) emission. It is straightforward to see that the overall gravitational power is in the right ballpark for the purpose.

The gravitational energy released per unit infalling mass is of order \( V_c^2 = GM_c/R_c \), where the quantities are the virial velocity, mass and radius respectively. The accretion rate \( \dot{M} \) can be estimated from the observed star-formation rate. For \( V \sim 300 \text{km s}^{-1} \) and \( M \sim 150 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \) we obtain a power \( \dot{M}V^2 \sim 10^{43} \text{erg s}^{-1} \), comparable to the luminosity of a typical LAB.

In some more detail, an analysis of the MN cosmological simulation (described below in \( \text{[3]} \)) reveals that both the cold gas accretion rate \( \dot{M}_c \) and its inward velocity are roughly constant along the streams (Dekel et al. 2009). If so, the gravitational power deposited at radius \( r \) per unit radial length in the cold gas is

\[
\dot{E}_{\text{grav}} = f_c \, \dot{M}_c \left. \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right|_r ,
\]

where \( \phi(r) \) is the gravitational potential at \( r \). The factor \( f_c \) is the fraction of the energy that goes to heating the cold streams themselves rather than the hot medium. The total power deposited between the virial radius and radius \( r \), across a potential difference \( \Delta \phi \), is

\[
\dot{E}_{\text{grav}} = f_c \, \dot{M}_c \, |\Delta \phi(R_c, r)| .
\]

Given the total mass density profile \( \rho(r) \) and the associated mass profile \( M(r) \), the potential gain is

\[
|\Delta \phi| \equiv \hat{\phi}V_r^2, \quad \hat{\phi} = -1 + \frac{V^2(r)}{V_c^2} + \int_{r/R_v}^{1} \frac{3\rho(r')}{\rho_c} r'dr',
\]

where \( V^2(r) = GM(r)/r, \rho_c \) is the mean density within the virial radius \( R_v \), and \( \hat{\phi} \) is typically a number of order unity.

For an NFW potential well with a concentration parameter \( C \) (Navarro, Frenk & White 1997), one has

\[
\hat{\phi}(r) = \frac{C}{A_1(C)} \left[ \frac{\ln(1 + x)}{x} - \ln(1 + C) \right],
\]

where \( x = C r/R_v \) and \( A_1(x) = \ln(x + 1) - x/(x + 1) \). According to cosmological N-body simulations ( Bullock et al. 2001), the average concentration parameter as a function of halo mass and redshift is \( C \simeq 3 M_{12}^{-0.13}(1 + z)^4 \), where \( M_{12} \equiv M_\odot/10^{12} M_\odot \) and \( (1 + z)^4 \equiv (1 + z)/4 \). For \( M_{12} = 10^{12} M_\odot \), this is \( C \simeq 3 \) at \( z = 3 \). Then \( \hat{\phi}(r) \simeq 4.7 \ln(1 + x)/x - 0.46 \) so \( \hat{\phi}(x \rightarrow 0) \simeq 2.5 \).

A practical approximation for the average accretion rate into haloes of \( M_\odot \sim 10^{12} - 10^{13} M_\odot \) in the standard \( \Lambda \)CDM cosmology is derived from the EPS approximation and from the Millennium cosmological simulation (Neistein, van den Bosch & Deukel 2006; Neistein & Deukel 2008; Birnboim, Dekel & Neistein 2007, Appendix A). The same MN hydrodynamical simulation that is used in the current paper (\( \text{[3]} \)) reveals that 95% of the gas accretion is through cold streams that penetrate efficiently all the way into the vicinity of the central galaxy. For a baryonic fraction of 0.165 in the incoming streams, the average cold accretion rate is approximated by

\[
\dot{M}_c \simeq 137 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \, M_{12}^{1.15} (1 + z)^{2.25}. \tag{5}
\]

This accretion rate is consistent with the typical SFR of \( \sim 100 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \) observed in massive galaxies of similar comoving number densities at redshifts 2 - 3 (Genzel et al. 2004; Förster Schreiber et al. 2006; Elmegreen et al. 2007; Genzel et al. 2008; Stark et al. 2008).

The virial velocity is given by

\[
V_v \simeq 236 \text{km s}^{-1} \, M_{12}^{1/3} (1 + z)^{1/2} , \tag{6}
\]

so we finally obtain in eq. \( \text{[2]} \)

\[
\dot{E}_{\text{grav}} \simeq 1.2 \times 10^{43} \text{erg s}^{-1} \, f_c M_{12}^{1.82} (1 + z)^{3.25} . \tag{7}
\]

Assuming that a substantial fraction \( f_s \) of this energy is emitted as observable Lyman-\( \alpha \) radiation, we conclude that at \( z \sim 3 \), with \( f_s f_c \sim 1 \), a LAB of luminosity \( L \sim 10^{44} \text{erg s}^{-1} \) is feasible from haloes of \( M_\odot \sim 10^{12} M_\odot \). Luminosities as high as \( 10^{44} \text{erg s}^{-1} \) require haloes of \( \sim 3 \times 10^{12} M_\odot \). If \( f_s f_c \) is only \( \sim 0.1 \), then the required haloes for the same luminosities are about three times more massive.

---

1 Adopting the parameters motivated by WMAP5: \( \Omega_m = 0.28 \), \( \Omega_\Lambda = 0.72 \), \( h = 0.7 \), \( \sigma_8 = 0.8 \).

2 With \( R_v \simeq 77 \text{kpc} \, M_{12}^{1/3} (1 + z)^{-1} \), for completeness.
We note that the mean comoving number density for haloes more massive than \((1,3,10) \times 10^{12} M_\odot\) at \(z = 3\) is \((4.7,0.68,0.057) \times 10^{-4} \text{Mpc}^{-3}\) respectively. Given that LABs of a luminosity higher than \(10^{7} \text{erg s}^{-1}\) appear with a comoving number density of \(\sim 5 \times 10^{-9} \text{Mpc}^{-3}\) (Fig. 15), the simple gravitational heating model indicates that they can emerge from haloes of \(\sim 3 \times 10^{12} M_\odot\), with \(f_v f_s \sim 0.1\). Thus, the comparison of the estimates from our toy model with the total luminosities of the observed LABs indicates that gravitational heating is a feasible source for the \(\text{L}_\alpha\) emission. This kind of energy has to be released from these galaxies.

One can combine equations 3 and 7 to evaluate the gravitational energy deposited at different radii, 
\[
E_{\text{grav}}(< r) \approx 1.2 \times 10^{43} \text{erg s}^{-1} f_v f_s M_{12}^{82} (1 + z)^{2.25} 
\times \left[ 1.86 - 1.86 \ln(1 + C_r/R_c) \right] \text{erg s}^{-1} \frac{M_\odot}{\text{cm}^2} \frac{1}{\text{Gyr}}. 
\] (8)
This gives a very crude estimate for the 3D luminosity profile \(L(< r) = f_v f_s E_{\text{grav}}(< r)\). This profile is shown in Fig. 11, normalized to the total luminosity inside the virial radius. One can read from this plot the fraction of the luminosity that is expected to emerge in the different zones of the halo.

3 SIMULATIONS

We use here simulated galaxies from two different suites of simulations, both employing Eulerian Adaptive Refinement Tree (AMR) hydrodynamics in a cosmological setting. One suite consists of three simulations zooming in with a maximum resolution of \(35 - 70 \text{pc}\) on individual galaxies that reside in dark-matter haloes of masses \(\sim 5 \times 10^{12} M_\odot\) at \(z = 2.3\) (Ceverino, Dekel & Bournaud 2009, hereafter CDB). The other suite is from the Horizon Mare Nostrum simulation containing hundreds of massive galaxies in a cosmological box of side \(50 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}\) with a maximum resolution of \(\sim 1 \text{kpc}\) (Ocvirk, Pichon & Teyssier 2008, hereafter MN).

Figure 2 shows sample gas density maps of galaxies from the two suites of simulations. They demonstrate the dominance of typically three, narrow cold streams, which come from well outside the virial radius along the dark-matter filaments of the cosmic web, and penetrate into the discs at the halo centres. The streams are partly clumpy and partly smooth, even in the simulation of higher resolution. The typical densities in the streams are in the range \(n = 0.01 - 0.1 \text{cm}^{-3}\), and they reach \(n = 0.1 - 1 \text{cm}^{-3}\) at the clump centres and in the central disk.

3.1 High-Resolution ART CDB simulations

The CDB simulations have been run with the AMR tree code ART (Kraftsow, Klypin & Khokhlov 1997; Kraftsow 2003) with a spatial resolution better than \(70 \text{pc}\) in physical units. The code incorporates the relevant physical processes for galaxy formation, including gas cooling and photoionization heating, star formation, metal enrichment and stellar feedback, as described in Ceverino & Klypin (2009). It implements a "constant" feedback model, in which the combined energy from stellar winds and supernova explosions is released as a constant heating rate over \(40 \text{Myr}\), the typical age of the lightest star that explodes as a type-II supernova. In order to mimic the self-shielding of galactic neutral hydrogen from the cosmological UV background, the simulation assumes a substantially suppressed UV background for the gas at total gas densities above \(n = 0.1 \text{cm}^{-3}\), which is a typical density in the dense parts of the streams and disks where the HI column density along the stream short axis is \(\sim 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}\). The unique feature of this code for the purpose of simulating the detailed structure of the streams and the gravitational instability in the disk is that it allows the gas to cool down well below \(10^{4} \text{K}\), thus reaching high densities in pressure equilibrium with the hotter and more dilute medium. This is a key to resolving the turbulent Jeans mass and permitting disk fragmentation into giant clumps (Dekel, Sari & Ceverino 2004; Ceverino, Dekel & Bournaud 2009). A pressure floor has been implemented to ensure that the Jeans length is resolved by at least seven resolution elements and thus prevent artificial fragmentation on the smallest grid scale, but this is done without imposing a minimum temperature near \(10^{4} \text{K}\).

The equation of state remains unchanged at all densities. Stars form according to a stochastic model that is roughly consistent with the Kennicutt (1998) law in cells where the gas temperature is below \(10^{4} \text{K}\) and its density is above the threshold \(n = 1 \text{cm}^{-3}\). The ISM is enriched by metals from supernova Type II and type Ia. Metals are assumed to be released from each stellar particle by SNII at a constant rate for \(40 \text{Myr}\) since its birth, assuming a Miller & Scalo (1979) IMF and matching the results of Woosley & Weaver (1995). The metal ejection by SNII assumes an exponentially declining SNII rate from a maximum at \(1 \text{Gyr}\). The code treats the advection of metals self-consistently (Ceverino-Rodriguez 2008).

The initial conditions for the CDB simulations were created using a low-resolution cosmological N-body simulation in a comoving box of side \(20 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}\), for which the cosmological parameters were motivated by the WMAP5 following values (Komatsu et al. 2009): \(\Omega_m = 0.27, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73, \Omega_b = 0.045, h = 0.7\) and \(\sigma_8 = 0.82\). At \(z = 1\), three haloes of \(M_v \approx 10^{12} M_\odot\) each have been selected, avoiding haloes that were subject to a major merger near that time. The three halo masses at \(z = 2.3\) are \(3.5, 4.6 \times 10^{11} M_\odot\), and they end up as \((3 - 4) \times 10^{12} M_\odot\) haloes today. For each halo, a concentric sphere of radius twice the virial radius was identified for re-simulation with high resolution. Gas was added to the box following the dark matter distribution with a fraction \(f_b = 0.15\). The whole box was then re-simulated, with refined resolution only in the selected volume about the respective galaxy.

3.2 RAMSES Mare Nostrum Simulation

The MN simulation uses the AMR code RAMSES (Teyssier 2002). The spatial resolution is \(\sim 1 \text{kpc}\) in physical units. It
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Figure 2. Gas density in simulated galaxies from CDB (left) and MN (right). The colour refers to the maximum density along the line of sight. The contours mark $n = 0.1, 0.01$ and $0.001 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$, respectively. The circle shows the virial radius. Left: one of the three CDB galaxies (resolution 70 pc) at $z = 2.3$, with $M_* = 3.5 \times 10^{11} \, M_{\odot}$. Right: one of the MN galaxies (resolution 1 kpc) at $z = 2.5$, with $M_* = 10^{12} \, M_{\odot}$. In both cases, the inflow is dominated by three cold narrow streams that are partly clumpy. The density in the streams is $n = 0.003 - 0.1 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$, with the clump cores reaching $n \sim 1 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$.

simulates metal-dependent cooling and UV heating using the Haardt & Madau (1996) and Faucher-Giguere et al. (2008a) background model. The code incorporates a simple model of supernovae feedback and metal enrichment using the implementation described in Dubois & Tevssier (2008). Unlike in the CDB simulation, no cooling at $T < 10^4 \, \text{K}$ is simulated, and no self-shielding of the UV flux is assumed. For high-density regions, it considers a polytropic equation of state with $e = n^2 / T$. For high-density regions, it considers the Haardt & Madau (1996) and Faucher-Giguere et al. (2008a) background model. The code incorporates a simple model of supernovae feedback and metal enrichment using the implementation described in Dubois & Tevssier (2008). Unlike in the CDB simulation, no cooling at $T < 10^4 \, \text{K}$ is simulated, and no self-shielding of the UV flux is assumed. For high-density regions, it considers a polytropic equation of state with $e = n^2 / T$. For high-density regions, it considers a polytropic equation of state with $e = n^2 / T$. For high-density regions, it considers a polytropic equation of state with $e = n^2 / T$. For high-density regions, it considers a polytropic equation of state with $e = n^2 / T$.

The MN simulation implemented a pressure floor in medium (ISM) (Yepes et al. 1997; Springel & Hernquist 1999) in a simplified form (see Schaye & Dalla Vecchia 1999; Dubois & Tevssier 2008). The ISM is defined as gas with hydrogen density greater than $n_H = 0.1 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$, one order of magnitude lower than in the CDB simulation. Star formation has been included, for ISM gas only, by spawning star particles at a rate consistent with the Kennicutt (1998) law derived from local observations of star forming galaxies.

The MN simulation implemented a pressure floor in order to prevent artificial fragmentation, by keeping the Jeans lengthscale, $\lambda_J \propto T^{1/3} n^{-2/3}$, larger than 4 grid-cell sides everywhere. In any case where $n > 0.1 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$, a density dependent temperature floor was imposed at $T_\text{floor} = 10^4 (n/0.1)^{2/3} \, \text{K}$. We crudely correct for this artificial temperature boost in post-processing by subtracting $T_\text{floor}$ from the temperature read from the grid cells where $n > 0.1 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$. If the corrected temperature is below $10^4 \, \text{K}$, we set it to $10^4 \, \text{K}$. In practice, almost all cells where $n > 0.1 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$ are set to $T = 10^4 \, \text{K}$. As will become clear in §2 this means neglecting any Ly$\alpha$ emission from these high-density cells. We will evaluate the possible error made by this procedure in the MN galaxies using the more accurate high-resolution CDB galaxies, where no temperature floor has been applied.

For each stellar population, 10% of the mass is assumed to turn into supernovae type II after 10 Myr, where the energy and metals are released in an impulse. For each supernova, 10% of the ejected mass is assumed to be pure metals, with the remaining 90% keeping the metallicity of the star at birth. SNIa feedback has not been considered.

The initial conditions of the MN simulation were constructed assuming a ΛCDM universe with $\Omega_M = 0.3$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$, $\Omega_b = 0.045$, $h = 0.7$ and $\sigma_8 = 0.9$ in a periodic box of $50 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$. The adaptive-resolution rules in this simulation were the same everywhere, with no zoom-in resimulation of individual galaxies.

4 COMPUTING THE Lyα LUMINOSITY

Given the gas temperature $T$ and mass density $\rho$ in every cubic grid cell of the hydrodynamic simulation, we compute the local Ly$\alpha$ emissivity produced by electron impact excitation of neutral hydrogen,

$$
\epsilon = n_e \, n_{\text{H}} \, q_{1s\rightarrow2p}(T) \, h \nu_{\text{Ly}\alpha} \, \text{erg cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}.
$$

In this expression, $n_e$ and $n_{\text{H}}$ are the local electron and atomic hydrogen particle densities (in $\text{cm}^{-3}$) and $h \nu_{\text{Ly}\alpha} = 10.2 \, \text{eV} = 1.63 \times 10^{-11} \, \text{erg}$ is the Ly$\alpha$ photon energy. The temperature dependent quantity $q_{1s\rightarrow2p}$ is the collisional excitation rate coefficient (Callaway, Unnikrishnan & Oza 1987).

$$
q_{1s\rightarrow2p} = \frac{2.41 \times 10^{-6}}{T^{0.5}} \left( \frac{T}{10^4} \right)^{0.22} \times \exp \left( -\frac{h \nu_{\text{Ly}\alpha}}{kT} \right) \, \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1},
$$

where $T$ is in degrees K. Radiative recombinations of electrons with protons contribute negligibly to the Ly$\alpha$ emissivity.
We assume a primordial helium mass fraction \( Y = 0.24 \), corresponding to a helium particle abundance of \( 1/12 \) relative to hydrogen. This gives
\[
\rho = \frac{4}{3} m_H n_H,
\]
where \( m_H \) is the hydrogen mass and \( n_H \) is the total density of hydrogen nuclei (neutral plus ionised). The electron and atomic hydrogen densities in eq. (11) are given by
\[
n_{\text{HI}}/n_H = x_{\text{HI}}
\]
and
\[
n_e/n_H = x_{\text{HII}} + (1/12) (x_{\text{HeII}} + 2x_{\text{HeIII}}),
\]
where we adopt the temperature dependent ionization fractions, \( x_{\text{HI}}, x_{\text{HII}}, x_{\text{HeII}} \) and \( x_{\text{HeIII}} \) as computed for collisional ionization equilibrium (CIE) assuming case-B hydrogen recombination (O. Gnat, private communication; see also Gnat & Sternberg 2007). A large fraction (~95%) of the Lo emission is produced in gas where the helium is fully neutral. In this limit,
\[
x_{\text{HI}} = \alpha_B / (\alpha_B + q_i),
\]
where \( \alpha_B \) and \( q_i \) are the recombination and collisional ionization rate coefficients (Gnat & Sternberg 2007).

We note for completeness that approximate fits can be provided by
\[
\alpha_B(T) = 4.9 \times 10^{-6} T^{-1.5} (1 + \frac{115}{T/10^4})^{2.24} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}
\]
and
\[
q_i = 21.11 \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} K^{3/2} T^{-3/2} \exp \left( -\frac{T_{\text{HI}}}{T} \right)
\]
\[
\times \left[ 1 + (5.65 T_{\text{HI}} T/0.847)^{1.101} \right]^{-1},
\]
with \( T_{\text{HI}} = 1.58 \times 10^5 \text{K} \) being the ionization threshold according to Hui & Gnedin (1997). We do not use these fits in our calculation here.

We assume that the cold streams are practically self-shielded against the photoionizing UV background, for the following reason. The typical HI column densities along the shortest dimensions of the streams are \( \sim 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2} \) and the total hydrogen particle densities are in the range \( 0.01 \sim 0.1 \text{cm}^{-3} \), or typically \( \sim 0.03 \text{cm}^{-3} \) (see Fig. 8). At redshift \( z \sim 3 \), the mean Lyman continuum photon intensity is \( 4\pi J^* \approx 2.2 \times 10^8 \text{photons s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \) (Haardt & Madau 1996) and the unattenuated hydrogen photoionization rate is \( \Gamma \approx 5.6 \times 10^{-13} \text{s}^{-1} \). Thus, for gas densities \( n \lesssim 2\Gamma/\alpha_B \simeq 4.3 \text{cm}^{-3} \), the gas will be more than 50% ionised by the unattenuated field. However, absorption of the radiation field in the stream gas will produce a photoionized column
\[
N_{\text{HI}}^{\text{Photo}} = \frac{2\pi J^*}{n_H \alpha_B} = \frac{4.2 \times 10^{17}}{n_H} \text{cm}^{-2}.
\]
For the typical stream volume densities this is small compared to the neutral columns of \( \sim 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2} \) that we find, so the streams can be practically assumed to be self-shielded against the background radiation.

The CIE neutral hydrogen fraction as a function of temperature and the temperature dependence of the Lo emissivity for a given total density are shown in Fig. 8. One can see that the gas is neutral at \( T = 10^4 \text{K} \) and below, and it becomes highly ionised at \( T > 2 \times 10^4 \text{K} \). The maximum emissivity at a given density is obtained at \( T \sim 1.8 \times 10^4 \text{K} \), and the emissivity is high enough to substantially contribute to an overall luminosity in the range
\[
T = (1-5) \times 10^4 \text{K}.
\]

\[
L_{\text{Lo}} = \int_{V} \epsilon_i V_i \, dV,
\]
where \( V_i \) is the cell volume. The complex radiation transfer process along the cosmological line of sight is summed up in the transmission factor \( f_{\alpha} \), the fraction of the Lo photons that make it to the observer. In (18) we will determine the actual value of \( f_{\alpha} \) by matching the predictions from the simulations with a preliminary determination of the observed Lo luminosity function. We expect \( f_{\alpha} \) to be in the range 0.5-1. This is because the main source of opacity is likely to be intervening and lower redshift intergalactic HI, which is expected to absorb part of the blue side of the line profile. An estimate for a typical line of sight to \( z \sim 3 \) is \( f_{\alpha} \simeq 0.85 \) (e.g. Faucher-Giguere et al. 2008).

The transmission factor along the line of sight to a typical LAB could be slightly smaller because the LABs tend to reside in overdense environments. A smooth component of HI in the emitting halo may absorb some of the red wing as well. On the other hand, as estimated next, dust opacity is likely negligible outside the central galaxy and is not expected to
reduce \( f_a \) much further except in the galaxy itself and in its immediate vicinity.

Given the gas metallicity in every cell of the simulation, we can estimate the dust absorption as follows. For a medium in which the dust abundance scales linearly with the metallicity \( Z \) (with \( Z \approx 1 \) corresponding to Galactic dust), the continuum opacity due to dust absorption may be written as \( \tau_{\text{dust}} \approx 10^{-8} Z T_4^{1/2} \). With the line optical depth \( \tau_\text{Lo} \approx 10^7 N_{20} T_4^{-1/2} \), where \( N_{20} \) is the neutral-hydrogen column density in units of \( 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), this implies \( Z \approx 10^{-2} N_{20} T_4^{1/2} \). Thus, for \( \text{Lo} \),

\[
d \approx 29 N_{20}^{1/3} T_4^{-1/3}.
\]

The effective dust opacity is then

\[
\tau_{\text{dust}} = \pi \tau d \approx 2.9 Z N_{20}^{2/3} T_4^{-1/3}.
\]

For \( N_{20} \approx 1 \), and \( Z = 0.1 \) to 0.01, it follows that dust destruction of the \( \text{Lo} \) photons may be neglected. This estimate is likely an upper limit because the streams could be largely pre-enriched dust-free IGM gas. On the other hand, there is evidence that the dust do tend to follow the metallicity (Draine, 2003).

Figure 4 shows the stacked 3D metallicity profile of the \( \text{Lo} \) emitting gas in the three simulated CDB galaxies. It is computed for gas in the temperature range \((1 - 5) \times 10^4 \text{K}\) and is weighted by gas density. The metallicity profiles were computed by mass-weighted averaging of the cold gas \((T < 5 \times 10^4 \text{ K})\) in spherical shells. The profile shows that the metallicity falls below 0.1 solar outside the disc radius of \( \sim 5 \text{kpc} \), and it drops to much smaller values outside the inner \( \sim 20 \text{kpc} \), where the streams are basically made of compressed intergalactic gas. We can thus neglect the dust opacity in the cold streams. However, this assumption is likely to fail in the inner galactic disk, where the metallicity is above 0.1 solar despite the high redshifts. The effective transmission parameter \( f_a \) in the galaxy vicinity is lower than in the streams. By ignoring the dust, we overestimate the \( \text{Lo} \) luminosity from the disk.

5 THE LYMAN-ALPHA EMITTING GAS

Figure 5 shows the cumulative mass-weighted temperature distribution in the CDB galaxies at \( z = 2.3 \). The distribution is specified alternatively for the halo gas in the radius range \((0.2 - 1.0)R_\text{e}\) and for the whole gas, including the inner part that involves the disc and its neighbourhood. We see that the temperature distribution in the halo is bimodal, with a hot virial phase at \( 10^5 < T < 2 \times 10^6 \text{K} \) containing \( \sim 35\% \) of the gas and a cold phase (marked by a box) at \( 10^2 < T < 3 \times 10^3 \text{K} \) containing \( \sim 50\% \) of the gas. A very cold tail at \( T < 10^2 \text{K} \) contains \( \sim 15\% \) of the gas. For the somewhat more massive MN galaxies, which we do not show here, the situation is qualitatively similar.

The temperature distribution is again bimodal, with a hot phase at \( 6 \times 10^4 < T < 5 \times 10^6 \text{K} \) containing \( \sim 45\% \) of the gas.
including the inner galaxy (solid red). The density in the cold streams is in the range $n > 0.001 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and in the denser colder clumps it becomes $n = 0.1 - 1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The box marks the density range of the low-density streams, and a good match between the total luminosities at a given halo mass in the CDB and MN simulations (see Fig. 1).

6 SIMULATED LYMAN-ALPHA BLOBS

Figures 7 and 8 show sample images of simulated galaxies, two CDB galaxies and two MN galaxies. The haloes are of virial mass $M_\text{vir} \approx 4 \times 10^{12}$ and $10^{12} M_\odot$ respectively, and the corresponding redshifts are $z = 2.3$ and $2.5$. The top panels present the neutral hydrogen column density as computed in Fig. 1 assuming CIE. The middle panels are maps of Lyman-α restframe surface brightness $S$, namely a fraction $f_\alpha$ of the emissivity integrated along the line of sight, as emitted per unit area at the galaxy. The bottom panels show images of “observed” surface brightness $I$ as an observer would see it, per unit area in the galaxy and at the telescope. The restframe surface brightness is converted to observed surface brightness via

$$I = \frac{S}{4\pi(1+z)^3}. \quad (22)$$

In order to obtain realistic images, we applied a Gaussian PSF with a 0.6 arcsec FWHM to mimic atmospheric distortions in good seeing conditions, and assumed a pixel size of 0.2 arcsec at the telescope.

One can read from the middle maps the fraction of the luminosity that comes from each of the different parts of the halo. In particular, we consider separately (a) the contribution from the disc galaxy and its near vicinity inside a circle of radius $0.2 R_\text{e}$, and (b) the contribution from the halo at $0.2 - 1.0 R_\text{e}$. We see in the figure that the restframe surface brightness in the inner galaxy reaches values higher than $S \sim 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$ but over a limited area of $\sim 10^2 \text{ kpc}^2$, thus contributing $L \sim 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. The typical surface brightnesses in the halo are lower by a factor of a few, but the emission regions are spread over an area that is larger by an order of magnitude, thus providing a comparable contribution to the total luminosity. Most of the luminosity comes from the low-density streams, and a non-negligible fraction is from clumps associated with the streams.

Recall that the emission from the disc may be partly absorbed by dust, which is ignored here, but we don’t expect substantial dust absorption in the streams in the outer halo, where the metallicity is 0.01 to 0.1 solar. We can thus consider the halo contribution to be a safe lower limit to the overall Lyman-α luminosity, and take the luminosity as estimated from the whole system of disc plus halo as an upper limit.

In the bottom panels we see blobs with surface brightness above $10^{-18} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$ extending to $\sim 100 \text{ kpc}$. The images are clumpy and irregular. Their shapes are non-circular; they tend to be elongated and asymmetric, showing finger-like extensions. These sample images resemble the observed images of LABs, e.g., those shown in Figure 8 of Matsuda et al. (2004). Figure 9 compares “observed” surface brightness maps of two simulated CDB galaxies (from the bottom panels of Figures 7 and 8) with the images of the two most luminous LABs observed by Matsuda et al. (2004). The qualitative similarity of the irregular, clumpy and elongated LAB morphologies and their sizes is encouraging.
Figure 7. Images of two simulated CDB galaxies at $z = 2.3$ (left and right). The virial masses are $M_v \approx 4 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$. The box side is 140 kpc. The outer circle marks the virial radius and the inner circle is at $0.2R_v$. Top: Neutral-hydrogen column density. Contours are shown for $10^{20}$ and $10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Middle: Restframe surface brightness $S$, with $f_\alpha = 0.85$, showing contours at $10^{39}$ and $10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$. Bottom: “Observed” surface brightness $I$ with contours at $10^{-18}$ and $10^{-17} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$, corresponding to the contours of $S$. The fraction of luminosity that originates from within these contours is 80% and 20%, respectively.
Figure 8. Images of two simulated MN galaxies at $z = 2.5$ (left and right). The virial masses are $M_v \approx 10^{12} M_\odot$. The box side is 184 kpc. The outer circle marks the virial radius and the inner circle is at 0.2$R_v$. Top: Neutral-hydrogen column density. Contours are shown for $10^{20}$ and $10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$. Middle: Restframe surface brightness $S$, with $f_\alpha = 0.85$, showing contours at $10^{39}$ and $10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-2}$. Bottom: “Observed” surface brightness $I$ with contours at $10^{-18}$ and $10^{-17}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$, corresponding to the contours of $S$. The fraction of luminosity that originates from within these contours is 93% and 18%, respectively.
Cold Streams as Lyman-Alpha Blobs

7 LYMAN-ALPHA LUMINOSITY AS A FUNCTION OF MASS AND REDSHIFT

The variation of average total $\lambda$A luminosity from within the virial sphere as a function of halo mass and redshift, as derived from the simulations, is shown in Fig. 10. The two panels refer to the luminosity from the whole halo, streams and central galaxy, as an upper limit, and to the streams at $r > 0.2 R_v$ only as a lower limit. The fraction of the radiation emitted is set to $f_\alpha = 0.85$, as determined by a fit to the observed luminosity function in §8 below. The luminosities are quoted for small bins about points in the $(M_v, z)$ plane. Four points refer to the average luminosities over the three CDB galaxies at $z = 1.9, 2.5, 3.2$ and $4.0$. Each of the other points refer to the average over 12 MN galaxies. The two-dimensional functional fit to the quoted values, $L(M_v, z)$, is shown in colours. We use a function of the form

$$L_{43}(M_v) = A \left( M_{12} \right)^B (1 + z)^C,$$

where $A$, $B$ and $C$ are free parameters, $L_{43} \equiv L_{\lambda A}/10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $M_{12} \equiv M_v/10^{12} M_\odot$. To determine the free parameters, we first address the mass dependence at a fixed redshift where we have performed our most detailed analysis, $z \simeq 2.4$. We then assume that the same mass dependence is valid between $z \simeq 2$ and $z \simeq 4$, and evaluate the redshift dependence.

Figure 11 shows the luminosity as a function of halo mass at $z = 3.1$. The luminosity is computed within the isophotal surface brightness threshold of $2.2 \times 10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$, also used by Matsuda et al. (2004). We adopted $f_\alpha = 0.85$. A small correction upward of $\sim 30\%$ has been applied to the results as extracted at $z \simeq 2.4$ in order to bring them to $z = 3.1$ in this plot, using eq. (23) with $C = 1.3$ as determined below. The average luminosity for the three CDB galaxies is shown at $M_v \simeq 4 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$. Each of the other symbols is the average of 12 MN galaxies. We see that in the mass range most relevant for LABs, $M_v = 10^{11.5} - 10^{12.5} M_\odot$, the luminosity is roughly proportional to halo mass. This dependence is driven by the near-linear mass dependence of the accretion rate, eq. (5).

For a more accurate description of the mass dependence we fit the function of eq. (23) to the results at the fixed redshift $z \simeq 2.4$, using least-squares fit in the log, and obtain the lines shown in Fig. 11 with $B = 0.80$ for the whole halo and $B = 0.76$ for the streams only.

We next use this functional mass dependence to scale the simulated results at other redshifts to a fixed mass, $M_v = 10^{12} M_\odot$. The results are the values as quoted in the $(M_v, z)$ bins shown in Fig. 10. The scaled luminosities
Figure 10. Total $L_\alpha$ luminosity as a function of halo mass and redshift, separately for streams+galaxy (left) and for streams only (right). The average values as drawn from the simulations in bins of $(M_\nu, z)$ are marked by symbols and numbers in units of $10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The CDB results are marked by filled symbols and the MN results by open symbols. Shown in colour is the fitting function eq. (23) with contours at $10^{42}$, $10^{43}$ and $10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

are shown in Fig. 12. A crude power-law fit to the symbols yields a redshift-dependence power index $C = 1.3$, with the normalization parameters $A = 0.188$ and $0.0972$ for streams+galaxy and for streams only, respectively. We note that the obtained redshift dependence of the luminosity is somewhat weaker than the $(1 + z)^{2.2}$ dependence of the accretion rate in eq. (5). The accuracy of this scaling with redshift is not an important issue for us here since we only use it to correct the luminosities from $z \approx 2.4$ to $z = 3.1$, a correction of less than 30%.

8 LYMAN-ALPHA LUMINOSITY FUNCTION

To obtain a predicted LAB luminosity function at $z \sim 3$, we convolve $L_{L_\alpha}(M_\nu)$ with the Sheth-Tormen halo mass function (Sheth & Tormen 1999) at the same redshift. The resultant luminosity function is presented in Fig. 13 both for the total luminosity within the virial radius and for the halo streams only, in the range $0.2 - 1.0 R_\nu$, which we consider to be upper and lower limits respectively given the uncertainty in the dust obscuration from the inner galaxy. The fraction of emitted radiation, which could range in principle between 0.5 and 1.0, is set to $f_\alpha = 0.85$.

We computed a crude estimate of the observed $L_\alpha$ luminosity function at $z \sim 3.1$ in 3 luminosity bins using the most recent 201 LABs observed by Matsuda et al. (in preparation). This sample contains three fields of different environment densities, and can thus be considered as a fair sample. The number densities were determined by counting the number of blobs in the luminosity bins and dividing by the comoving survey volume of $V_s = 1.5 \times 10^5$ Mpc$^3$ (or physical volume $3.2 \times 10^5$ Mpc$^3$). Also shown is the luminosity function derived earlier from a partial sample of 35 LABs in a dense cluster environment (Matsuda et al. 2004). The comoving survey volume here is $V_s = 1.3 \times 10^5$ Mpc$^3$ (or physical volume $3.2 \times 10^5$ Mpc$^3$). The latter overestimates the universal luminosity function by a factor of a few as expected from the high environment density. A Poissonian error has been attached to every bin. The number density has been derived by dividing the number of objects in each bin by the total survey volume.

We learn from Fig. 13 that the predicted luminosity function has a similar slope to the observed one. For $f_\alpha \sim 0.85$, the predicted upper and lower limits border the observed function from above and below throughout the whole range of LAB luminosities. We find that $f_\alpha$ changes roughly linearly with the adopted cosmological $\sigma_8$ through its effect on $n(M)$. Therefore, the $\sim 10\%$ uncertainty in $\sigma_8$ translates into a similar uncertainty in $f_\alpha$.

Also shown is the luminosity function as estimated from our crude toy model for gravitational heating, again convolved with the Sheth-Tormen halo mass function, and using $f_\alpha f_\nu = 0.34$. It is remarkable that this very crude toy model recovers the simulation results in the relevant mass range to within a factor of a few.

9 SURFACE-BRIGHTNESS PROFILE, AREA AND LINEWIDTH

A detailed comparison of theory to data will be presented in a future paper where we apply a more accurate radiative transfer calculation to the simulated galaxies. However, it is worthwhile to report here on a preliminary comparison with data, based on the simplified analysis of the current paper, which is encouraging.
Figure 11. $L_\alpha$ luminosity as a function of halo mass at $z = 3$ with $f_\alpha = 0.85$, for the total luminosity within the virial radius (upper, red symbols and curve), and for the halo outside $0.2R_v$ (lower, blue symbols and curve). Each symbol represents the average luminosity over simulated haloes of a given mass, 12 haloes from the MN simulation (open symbols) and 3 from the CDB simulations (open symbols). The lines are least-squares fits using eq. (23) with slopes $B = 0.80$ and 0.76, respectively.

9.1 Surface-Brightness Profile

Figure 12 shows the surface-brightness profile of the stacked images from the three CDB simulations, assuming $f_\alpha = 0.85$ throughout the whole halo. It is compared to stacked profiles of 35 observed LABs from Matsuda et al. (2004). For the stacking of the observed LABs, which range over more than an order of magnitude in luminosity, we scale the LAB radius $R$ and surface brightness $I$ to a fiducial luminosity $L_0 = 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$. We write $L \propto IR^2$ and assume $L \propto M_v$ and $R \propto R_v \propto M_v^{1/3}$ to obtain the scaling $R \propto I \propto L^{1/3}$. Thus, for a LAB with luminosity $L$, we multiply both the radius and the surface-brightness by the factor $(L_0/L)^{1/3}$. Non-zero values for $I$ are considered for each LAB only above the isophotal surface-brightness threshold of $2.2 \times 10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$, and only these non-zero values were used in the averaging of $I$ at each radius $r$. The stacked simulated profile has been scaled accordingly to match the same fiducial luminosity $L_0$.

We see that a power law $I(r) \propto r^{-\gamma}$ is a good fit to the simulated profile, with $\gamma \approx 1.2$, from the disk scale $r < 10$ kpc out to $\sim 40$ kpc, which is about half the virial radius. It seems to steepen to $\gamma \approx 2$ at larger radii. The observed images of Matsuda et al. (2004) are subject to a point spread function of $\sim 1$ arcsec, which is responsible for the flattening of the observed profile at $r \lesssim 10$ kpc. Given the high background outside the surface-brightness threshold, the meaningful part of the observed stacked profile is limited to the range $10 - 30$ kpc. In this range, the power law with a slope $\gamma = 1.2$ provides a good fit to the observed profile as well. We also see that the crude toy model of eq. (23) provides a profile that is consistent with the simulated profile to within a factor of two, as seen in Figure 11.

9.2 Isothermal area

Two of the observable global quantities for each LAB are the area encompassed by an isophotal contour of a given threshold surface brightness, and the corresponding luminosity. In order to compare to the data of Matsuda et al. (2004), we applied to our simulated galaxies, scaled to $z = 3.1$, a threshold of $2.2 \times 10^{-18}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$.

Figure 13 displays the areas and luminosities of our simulated LABs in comparison with the 35 observed LABs. Each of the three simulated CDB galaxies is “observed” from three orthogonal directions, and each of the randomly selected MN galaxies is “observed” from one random direction. We see that the area at a given luminosity in MN is systematically smaller than in CDB, but only by a factor of two. The simulations agree with the observations to within a factor of two, and they reproduce the general correlation between area and luminosity. The overall trend follows the scaling relation

$$A \propto L^{0.75}.$$  

(24)
Figure 13. \( \alpha \) luminosity function, showing the comoving number density of LABs brighter than \( L_{\alpha} \) at \( z = 3.1 \). The observed symbols (black, filled circles) are based on a sample of 201 LABs by Matsuda et al. (in preparation) in a fair sample. The earlier, higher estimates (magenta, open circles) are based on 35 LABs in a dense cluster environment. The lower and upper limits for the luminosity function as derived from the simulations are shown, for streams only (dashed, blue curve) and for streams+galaxy (solid, red curve), respectively. The transmission factor was set to \( f_\alpha = 0.85 \) for a best match between theory and observation. The gravitational-heating toy-model prediction based on eq. (7) is shown (green, dashed line).

9.3 Linewidth

The major contribution to the LAB line profile is the kinematic effect owing to the distribution of line-of-sight velocities in the cold gas. It is dominated by the coherent instreaming velocities from the background and from the foreground, corresponding to a FWHM of order a few times the halo virial velocity. The effect of resonant scattering of \( \alpha \) should be convolved with the kinematic effect.

In Fig. 14 we show the kinematic components of three characteristic line profiles from the simulated CDB galaxies. The effect of resonant scattering is not included yet. For any desired direction of view, the kinematic line profile is computed as the luminosity weighted distribution of the line-of-sight velocities across the whole isophotal area. In some cases, the line profile is dominated by one peak, corresponding to a stream that stretches roughly along the line of sight. In other cases, the line profile is bimodal, with a red peak and a blue peak corresponding to two opposite streams that lie not far from the line of sight. A third type of line profile is flatter, showing three peaks, the central of which corresponding to a stream that is roughly perpendicular to the line of sight. We crudely estimate the kinematic FWHM as twice the standard deviation of \( \Delta v \).

Resonant scattering of the \( \alpha \) photons in the stream neutral hydrogen gas broadens the \( \alpha \) emission line profile as the trapped photons diffuse into the damping wings before they escape from the cloud.
finally escaping (Adams 1972; Neufeld 1990). For scattering and escape from a static plane parallel medium, the total line width, in units of the Doppler parameter, is (Adams 1972; Harrington 1973)

\[ \sigma_{\text{scat}} \simeq 2[a \tau_{\alpha} (3/\pi)^{1/3}]. \]  

(25)

For \( \alpha \), the Doppler parameter is \( b = 12.89 T_4^{1/2} \text{ km s}^{-1} \), and the radiation parameter \( a \) and the optical depth \( \tau_{\alpha} \) are given in Table 1 following eq. (19). We obtain

\[ \sigma_{\text{scat}} \simeq 436 N_{20}^{1/3} T_4^{1/6} \text{ km s}^{-1}. \]  

(26)

Thus, the line profile resulting from resonant scattering of a midplane source is expected to consist of a red and a blue peak separated by \( \sigma_{\text{scat}} \). For a very crude estimate of total line width \( \Delta v \), we add in quadrature \( \sigma_{\text{scat}} \) to the FWHM of the kinematic line profile 4.

Figure 16 displays isophotal area versus linewidth for the simulated LABs and for observed Lo emitters Matsuda et al. (2006), including LABs and less extended emitters. The simulations and observations show a similar general trend, which very crudely follows \( A \propto (\Delta V)^{2.25} \), but the data show large scatter. A comparison to the tighter correlation seen in Fig. 16 between the area and the luminosity indicates that the scatter in Fig. 16 is mostly due to scatter in \( \Delta v \). This scatter is partly due to the variations in the relative orientations of the streams and the line of sight. The spread in the \( \Delta v \) as estimated in the simulations is underestimated due to the fact that we added in quadrature a constant value of \( \sigma_{\text{scat}} = 436 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). This is also responsible for the artificially sharp lower bound at \( \Delta v = 436 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), which is apparent for small values of \( A \).

Finally, Fig. 18 displays linewidth versus luminosity for the simulations and a subset of the observed LABs by Matsuda et al. (2006). The simulations reveal a scaling relation that can be approximated by \( \Delta v \propto L^{0.18} \). The simulations and observations agree to within a factor better than two, but the larger scatter in the observed data confirms our suspicion that we have underestimated the scatter in the analysis of \( \Delta v \) from the simulations.

We conclude that our simplified analysis of Lo emission from simulated galaxies qualitatively reproduces the observed correlations between the global quantities of Lo luminosity, area and linewidth. A more detailed comparison of theory and observed LABs, especially involving line profiles and linewidths, should await a more accurate analysis of the simulations using radiative transfer and including dust absorption, as well as photometric and spectroscopic measurements of a larger sample of observed LABs.

10 GRAVITATIONAL HEATING

We now return to the energy source for the Lo emission in our simulations. In addition to the gravitational heating, which was crudely approximated in 2 there is photoionization by the UV background. Photoionization effects by central sources such as stars and AGN were not simulated, and they are not expected to be substantial.
because of the shielding implied by the radial orientation of the cold streams. Before we address gravitational heating again, we should verify the contribution of the UV background.

A simple estimate is as follows. For an isotropic background with mean photon intensity $4\pi J^* e$ and mean photon energy $\epsilon$, the rate at which energy is absorbed across a spherical surface of radius $R$ is $\pi J^* c 4\pi R^2$. If we adopt for the metagalactic field at $z = 3$ a flux $\pi J^* \sim 5.5 \times 10^4$ photons s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $\epsilon \sim 20$ eV, we obtain a total UV heating rate into the virial radius $R_v \sim 100$ kpc of $2 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Even if all of the UV energy goes into heating the cold streams, the UV heating is small compared to the total $L_\alpha$ luminosity of $\sim 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and to the gravitational heating rate as estimated in [2].

To evaluate the relative contributions of gravitational heating and UV heating in our actual simulation, we read from each grid cell of the simulation snapshot the instantaneous input rate of energy per unit volume by the UV flux, $\epsilon_{UV}$, and subtract it from the $L_\alpha$ emissivity computed in eq. (19), to obtain the relative contribution of gravitational heating in that cell,

$$f_{grav} = \frac{\epsilon_{Lo} - \epsilon_{UV}}{\epsilon_{Lo}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

Figure 19 shows the cumulative luminosity-weighted distribution of $f_{grav}$ for the three CDB galaxies at $z = 2.3$. In this plot $f_{grav} = 0$ refers to cells where all the $L_\alpha$ luminosity is driven by the UV background and $f_{grav} = 1$ corresponds to gravitational heating only. The area under the curve is the fraction of the total energy provided by gravity. In the CDB haloes this fraction is 86.8%, with only 13.2% due to the UV background for the total halo within $R_v$. It is 84.2% and 15.8% respectively for the halo between 0.2 and 1.0 $R_v$. In more detail, if we focus, for example, on the cells of gas with the highest values of $f_{grav}$ that contribute 0.8 of the total luminosity, we read from the figure that they all have $f_{grav} > 0.8$. This means that in the gas that is responsible for 80% of the $L_\alpha$ luminosity, more than 80% of the energy is gravitational and less than 20% is due to the UV background. We conclude that most of the $L_\alpha$ emission from our simulated galaxies is indeed driven by gravitational heating.

The total luminosity as predicted by the simplified gravitational-heating toy model can be compared to the total $L_\alpha$ luminosity that is actually produced in the simulations. We focus on the halo streams in the radius range $r = (0.2 - 1.0)R_v$ in haloes of $M_v = 10^{12} M_\odot$ at $z = 3$. The toy-model estimate, based on eq. (7) and Fig. 11 is $E_{heat} \sim 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$. A straightforward integral of the emissivity in the simulated galaxies over the same volume in the halo yields a comparable value (which can be read as $L/f_{Lo}$ from Fig. 11). This implies that the toy-model parameter $f_c$ should be of order unity, indicating that most of the gravitational energy is deposited in the cold streams.

We now return to Fig. 11 where we showed the gravitational heating toy-model prediction for the cumulative 3D luminosity profile. Shown in comparison is the corresponding $L_\alpha$ luminosity profile as derived from the actual simulated CDB galaxies, averaged over the three...
galaxies. The similarity of the two profiles is remarkable. This implies, again, that the La emission is indeed powered by gravitational heating, where the potential energy of the instreaming cold gas is radiated as La.

The cumulative luminosity profile can be fitted by a power law,
\[ L(< r) \propto r^\alpha, \]
corresponding to a 3D luminosity density profile \( \ell(r) \propto r^{\alpha-3} \). In the range \( r = (0.1 - 0.4)R_{\text{vir}} \), we find for the simulated luminosity \( \alpha \simeq 0.8 \), namely \( \ell \propto r^{-2.2} \). This is consistent with the average surface-brightness profile \( I(r) \sim r^{-1.2} \) measured in [28]. The toy model predicts a similar power index over the same radius range. We also note that the density profile of cold gas follows a similar power law.

11 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Hydrodynamical cosmological simulations robustly demonstrate that massive galaxies at high redshifts were fed by cold gas streams, inflowing into dark-matter haloes at high rates along the cosmic web ([Keres et al. 2005], [Dekel & Birnboim 2006], [Dekel et al. 2009]). In this paper we have shown that these streams should be observable as luminous La sources, with elongated irregular structures stretching for distances of over 100 kpc. The release of gravitational potential energy by the instreaming gas as it falls into the halo potential well is the origin of the La luminosity, which ranges from \( 10^{42} \) to \( 10^{44} \) erg s\(^{-1} \) for haloes in the mass range \( 10^{11} - 10^{12} M_\odot \) at \( z \approx 3 \). The predicted La emission morphologies and luminosities make such streams likely candidates for the sources of observed high redshift La blobs. Most of the gas in the cold streams is at temperatures in the range \( (1 - 5) \times 10^4 \)K, for which the La emissivity is maximized. The hydrogen gas densities in the streams are in the range \( 0.01 - 0.1 \) cm\(^{-3} \), and are higher in clumps that flow with the streams, leading to the high surface brightnesses.

Using state-of-the-art cosmological AMR simulations with 70 pc resolution and cooling to below \( 10^4 \)K, and applying a straightforward analysis of La emissivity due to electron impact excitation, we produced maps of La emission from simulated massive galaxies at \( z \approx 3 \). We computed the average La luminosity per given halo mass and the predicted luminosity function of these extended La sources, with an uncertainty of \( \pm 0.4 \) dex. The properties of the individual images resemble those of the observed LABs in terms of morphology and kinematics. The predicted luminosity function is closed to the observed LAB luminosity function. The simulated LABs qualitatively reproduce the observed correlations between the global LAB properties of La luminosity, isophotal area and linewidth.

The LAB properties can be understood using a very simple toy model that accounts for gravitational heating of the inflowing gas. In comparison, the more elaborate toy model of [Dijkstra & Loeb 2009, DL09] predicts a steeper power-law relation between luminosity and halo mass (their Fig. 3, with \( f_g = 0.2 \)). In the mass range \( 10^{12} - 10^{13} M_\odot \), DL09 predict luminosities in the range \( 1.5 \times 10^{42} - 2.5 \times 10^{44} \) erg s\(^{-1} \). A comparison to our Fig. 11 indicates that they underestimate the luminosity at \( M_\nu \sim 10^{12} M_\odot \) by a factor of a few. The DL09 toy model matches the observed luminosity function only after the predictions are boosted, trying to account for an overdense region of the universe. The association of the predicted LABs with massive haloes places them preferentially in overdense environments, as observed ([Steidel et al. 2004], [Matsuda et al. 2004, 2006]), but this is already account for by the number density of haloes in a fair sample.

The association of observed LABs with star-forming LBGs ([Hayashino et al. 2004], sub-millimetre galaxies ([Chapman et al. 2001], [Geach et al. 2003]) or active galactic nuclei ([Geach et al. 2007], [Basu-Zych & Scharf 2004]) is not surprising since star formation and AGN activity are triggered by the same process of streaming of cold gas into massive haloes. While La emission can be driven by any of these sources of energy, our main finding here is that LABs driven by cooling of gravitationally heated cold streams are inevitable in the intense period of stream-fed galaxies at high redshift in an LCDM universe.

A limitation of our AMR simulations arises from the artificial pressure floor imposed in order to properly resolve the Jeans mass. This may have an effect on the temperature and density of the cold gas in the streams, with potential implications on the computed La emission. Still, the AMR code is the best available tool for recovering the stream properties. With 70 pc resolution and proper cooling below \( 10^4 \)K, the CDB simulations provide the most reliable description of the cold streams so far. The rather small correction that we had to apply to the luminosities extracted from the MN simulation indicates that the MN galaxies can be used to recover the mass and redshift dependence of the global stream properties and the scaling relations between them.

Another source of uncertainty has to do with the simplified way the ionization by the UV background is handled in the simulations, and with the post-processing calculation of the ionization state of the cold gas. In the CDB simulations, the centers of the streams, where the gas density is higher than \( 0.01 \) cm\(^{-3} \), were assumed to be self-shielded against the UV background, in agreement with our analytic estimates in [3]. The ionization state of the gas, which is a key ingredient in evaluating the La emissivity, was computed in post-processing assuming collisional ionization equilibrium (CIE). Photoionization is neglected since the streams are sufficiently thick to be self-shielded. An alternative calculation using CLOUDY ([Ferland et al. 1998]) yielded lower fractions of neutral hydrogen at \( n \lesssim 0.01 \) cm\(^{-3} \), and total luminosities that are typically three times smaller than obtained using CIE. This calculation assumed that each cell, with a given hydrogen density \( n \), is in the middle of a uniform slab of thickness 1 kpc. Based on our estimates of self-shielding, eq. (17), we adopt the CIE results as the more reliable approximation.

In our computations so far we did not consider the radiative transfer of La photons through the streams, or through the intervening intergalactic medium. We assume that most of the radiation emitted at \( z \approx 3 \) will reach the observer at \( z = 0 \) without undergoing significant attenuation. Resonant line scattering in the optically thick streams will tend to spread out the La emission region, while the repeated Doppler shifts broaden the line profiles, and the increased path length of the random walk amplifies the absorption by dust. Such effects are expected to modify
the images and line profiles, but to have only a small effect on the total Lo luminosity. An analysis of Lo emission from our simulated galaxies including the effects of radiative transfer and dust absorption and a more accurate treatment of photoionization from stars will be presented in a forthcoming paper (Kasen et al., in preparation).

Other hydrogen emission lines, such as Lβ or Hα, are expected to be two orders of magnitude less luminous than Lo in our model (Baldwin 1977; Miller 1974). The column densities of $N_{HI} \sim 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$ in the cold streams should also be detectable as Lo absorption in quasar spectra (Prochaska, Wolfe, Gawiser & Prochaska 2003). Considering all the galaxies that are intersected by a line of sight to a background quasar with an impact parameter $< R_e$, we crudely estimate from the simulations that absorption by $N_{H_2} > 1$ hydrogen should be detected in ~20% of the galaxies. Alternatively, Lo photons that are emitted form the central galaxy should be absorbed in the radial streams feeding the same galaxy at $N_{H_2} > 10$, but only in ~5% of the galaxies. The streams could also be detectable as low-ionization metal absorbers (e.g. Gnat & Sternberg 2007) as long as the metallicity in the streams is greater than ~0.01 solar (paper in preparation).

Our results support the idea that the observed LABs are direct detections of the cold streams that drive the evolution of massive galaxies at high redshifts. Even though the observed LABs are sometimes associated with central sources that are energetic enough to power the observed Lo emission, such as starbursts and AGNs, these central sources are very different from each other in the different galaxies, and in many LABs they are absent altogether (Yang et al. 2008; Geach et al. 2007). The gravitational heating associated with the inflowing cold streams is a natural mechanism for driving the extended Lo cooling radiation observed as LABs, and this extended Lo emission is inevitable in most high-redshift galaxies.
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