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Abstract

We construct a Brownian path conditioned on its minimum value over a fixed time interval  
by simple transformations of a Brownian bridge.

Path transformations have proved useful in the study of Brownian motion and related  
processes, by providing simple constructions of various conditioned processes such as Brownian  
bridge, meander and excursion, starting from an unconditioned Brownian motion. As well as  
providing insight into the structure of these conditioned processes, path constructions assist  
in the computation of various conditional laws of Brownian functionals, and in the simulation  
of conditioned processes.

Starting from a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion $B = (B_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ with $B_0 = 0$,  
one well known construction of a Brownian bridge of length 1 from 0 to $x$, denoted $B_{br,x}^{br,x}$, is  
the following:

$$B_{u}^{br,x} := B_u - uB_1 + ux \quad (0 \leq u \leq 1).$$  

(1)

Then a Brownian meander of length 1 starting at 0 and conditioned to end at $r \geq 0$,  
denoted $B_{me,r}^{me,r}$, can be constructed from three independent copies $(B_{i,u}^{br,0})_{0 \leq u \leq 1}, i = 1, 2, 3$ of the
standard Brownian bridge $B^{br,0}$ as

\[ B^{me,r}_u := \sqrt{\left(r_u + B^{br,0}_{1,u}\right)^2 + \left(B^{br,0}_{2,u}\right)^2 + \left(B^{br,0}_{3,u}\right)^2} \quad (0 \leq u \leq 1). \] (2)

So $B^{me,r}$ is identified with the three-dimensional Bessel bridge from 0 to $r$, the case $r = 0$ yielding the standard Brownian excursion. The standard Brownian meander is recovered as $B^{me} := B^{me,0}$, where $\rho = B^{me}_1$ is independent of the three bridges with the Rayleigh density

\[ P(\rho \in dx) = xe^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \quad (x > 0). \]

The above descriptions of $B^{me,r}$ and $B^{me}$ are read from [20, 12]. See also [8, 3, 6, 17, 18] for further background. Many other path transformations relating these processes are known. For instance, the transformation of Vervaat [19] (see also Biane [4] and Imhof [13]) shows that the standard Brownian excursion can be obtained by transposing the pre-minimum and the post-minimum parts of a standard Brownian bridge. Analogously, reversing the pre-minimum part and then tacking on the post-minimum part of a standard Brownian bridge from 0 to 0 yields a standard Brownian meander, as shown by Bertoin [2]. We refer to Biane and Yor [5], Bertoin and Pitman [3], Chaumont [7] and Yor [22] for many further results in this vein.

The work of Williams [21] and Denisov [10] shows how the path of $B$ over $[0,1]$ decomposes at the a.s. unique time $\mu$ of its minimum on $[0,1]$ into two path fragments, which given $\mu$ are are two independent Brownian meanders of lengths $\mu$ and $1 - \mu$ respectively, put back-to-back. Combined with any of the constructions of Brownian meander mentioned above, this gives an explicit construction of the path of $B$ given $\mu$, the time of its minimum on $[0,1]$. The main purpose of this note is to present the following construction of $B$ conditioned instead on $B_\mu$, the level of the minimum:

**Theorem 1** For each $x \leq 0$ there is the equality of distributions on the path space $C[0,1]$

\[ (B \mid B_\mu = x) \overset{d}{=} B^{(\text{max-reflect},x)} \] (3)

where the left side denotes the unique determination of the conditional law of $(B_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ given $B_\mu = x$ that is weakly continuous in $x$, and the process on the right side is constructed as follows from a Brownian bridge $B^{br,x}$ from 0 to $x$:

\[ B^{(\text{max-reflect},x)}_t = \begin{cases} B^{br,x}_t & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq T^{br,x}_x \\ 2 \left( \max_{t \leq u \leq T^{br,x}_x} B^{br,x}_u \right) - B^{br,x}_t & \text{if } T^{br,x}_x < t \leq 1 \end{cases} \] (4)

where $T^{br,x}_x$ is the first hitting time of $x$ by $B^{br,x}$. The path-transformation $B^{br,x} \rightarrow B^{(\text{max-reflect},x)}$ is depicted in Figure 1 below.
Proof: The path decomposition at time μ due to Williams [21] and Denisov [10] as formulated in Proposition 2 of [1] states that the process \((B_{s-t} - x)_{t \geq s} \mid μ = s \text{ and } B_μ = x\) has the same distribution as a three-dimensional Bessel bridge of length \(s\) from 0 to \(|x|\). It then follows from a classical time-reversal identity observed by Williams [21] that there is the equality in distribution of processes

\[
((B_t)_{0 \leq t \leq s} \mid μ = s \text{ and } B_μ = x) \overset{d}= ((B_t)_{0 \leq t \leq s} \mid T_x = s)
\]  

(5)

where \(T_x\) denotes the first hitting time of \(x\) by the Brownian motion \(B\).

On the other hand, it is elementary, and implicit in well known results [9, 17], that for \(0 < t < 1\) and \(x < 0\)

\[
P(μ \in ds, B_μ \in dx) = 2P(T_x \in ds, B_1 \in dx).
\]  

(6)

This can be understood in terms of random walks, using the basic random walk duality lemma of Feller [11]. Or it can be justified by excursion theory, using the fact underlying the arcsine density of \(μ\), that \(1/\sqrt{t}\) is up to constant factors both the rate of Brownian excursions of length
> t, and the density of returns to 0 at time t. It follows now readily from (5) and (6) that

\[ ((B_t)_{0 \leq t \leq \mu} \mid B_\mu = x) \overset{d}{=} (B_t^{br,x})_{0 \leq t \leq T_x^{br,x}} \]  

(7)

Again by decomposition at the minimum, the remaining path of B on the interval \([\mu, 1]\) given \((B_t)_{0 \leq t \leq \mu}\) is a Brownian meander of length \(1 - \mu\) shifted to start at \((\mu, x)\). On the other hand, the rest of the bridge \(B^{br,x}\) given \((B^{br,x}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T_x^{br,x}}\) is a Brownian bridge of length \(1 - T_x^{br,x}\) from \((T_x^{br,x}, x)\) to \((1, x)\). The conclusion of the theorem now follows by a simple scaling of the construction of the standard meander from a standard bridge which is the known special case \(x = 0\) of the theorem, recalled in the following lemma rephrasing Theorem 4.3 in [3]. □

**Lemma 2** In the notation of Theorem 1,

\[ (B \mid B_\mu = 0) \overset{d}{=} B^{me} \overset{d}{=} B^{(max-reflect,0)} \]  

(8)

where

\[ \alpha_t^{(max-reflect,0)} := 2 \left( \max_{0 \leq u \leq t} B^{br,0}_u \right) - B^{br,0}_t \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1). \]

We now point out another construction of the conditioned process considered in Theorem 1 which involves a time-reversal. See also [16] for another application of a very similar construction. Given a path \(\omega = (\omega_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}\) and a real number \(x \in \{\omega_t, t \in [0, 1]\}\), let

\[ \ell(x) := \sup\{t \in [0, 1] : \omega_t = x\}, \]

denote the last passage time of \(\omega\) at level \(x\). Consider the path transformation \texttt{Reverse} defined by time-reversing the portion of \(\omega\) before its last passage time at level \(x\), then tacking on the part after \(\ell(x)\). That is

\[ \text{Reverse}(\omega, x)_t := \begin{cases} 
\omega_{\ell(x) - t} - x & \text{if } t \leq \ell(x), \\
\omega_t - 2x & \text{if } \ell(x) \leq t \leq 1.
\end{cases} \]

**Theorem 3** With notation as Theorem 1, for each \(x < 0\), there is the following equality of distributions on \(C[0, 1]\):

\[ (B \mid B_\mu = x) \overset{d}{=} (\text{Reverse}(B^{me}, |x|) \mid B^{me}_1 > |x|). \]  

(9)

The path-transformation \texttt{Reverse}(\(B^{me}, |x|\)) is depicted in Figure 2 below.
Proof: This is the weak limit, by standard approximation arguments, of a corresponding bijection between the set of $n$-step lattice paths as in [11], starting at $(0, 0)$ whose minimum value is $x$, and the set of $n+1$ step lattice paths starting at $(0, 0)$ which remain strictly positive and terminate at level $|x| + 1$ or higher.

We point out that the Brownian meander conditioned on having a terminal value greater than $|x|$ which appears in Theorem 3 can be constructed from a Brownian bridge with length 1 from 0 to $x$ by a path transformation similar to that in Lemma 2; see the remark after Theorem 4.3 in [3].

In the same vein, we record also the following result, which is related to Corollary 4 in
Theorem 4  Let $(R_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ be a 3-dimensional Bessel process, started at $R_0 = 0$, and $U$ an independent random variable with uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$. Set

$$B := \text{Reverse}(R, U R_1).$$

Then $B$ is a standard 1-dimensional Brownian motion and

$$\min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B_t = -U R_1.$$

Proof: This is a variation of the result of [15] that if $(R_t)$ is constructed from a Brownian motion $B$ as $R_t := 2M_t - B_t$, where $M_t := \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_s$, then $M_1 = U R_1$ where $U$ is uniform on $[0, 1]$ independent of $R$. There is an exact analog for lattice walks, which can be given a bijective proof and then passed to the limit as in [15] and [14].

Theorem 4 can also be deduced from excursion theory, or by the techniques developed by Biane and Yor [5].
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