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Abstract

The objective of the present study was to explore the potential relationships of ovine sperm chromatin integrity, as quantified by the Sperm Chromatin Structure Assay (SCSA™), to the heat load of the scrotum and the discomfort felt by the animals due to fluctuations of microclimatic factors at different time periods prior to ejaculation. Ejaculates were collected once per week from five Chios rams and four East Friesian rams for 12 months and stored in liquid nitrogen. Frozen-thawed semen samples were analyzed using the SCSA™, in order to determine the DNA fragmentation index (DFI) and the percentage of cells outside the main sperm population (%DFI) in each one of the samples. Scrotal surface temperature (SST) of each ram was measured by means of an infrared thermometer in daily basis. Ambient air temperature and relative humidity were recorded at hourly intervals throughout the experimental period and temperature-humidity index (THI) was used to assess the discomfort felt by the rams. Mean values of SST (SST_mean) and THI (THI_mean) were computed for eight different time periods (up to 61 d) preceding each ejaculation day (0 d). Linear mixed-effect model (MLM) analysis was performed to describe the relation of SCSA parameters to collection month, SST_mean and THI_mean of different time periods prior to ejaculation. The results of the statistical analysis revealed a relation of %DFI to the SST_mean of the last 12 days preceding ejaculation, namely the period that resembled the phase of epididymal maturation. On the contrary, the variation of DFI was most adequately described by the MLM applied for days 54 to 48 prior to ejaculation, which resembled the phase of spermatogonial mitoses. The effect of collection month was found significant for both DFI and %DFI, with semen samples collected in September and February exhibiting the lowest DFI values; a less
profound seasonal pattern was detected for %DFI. The effect of THI$_{\text{mean}}$ on DFI and %DFI was proven non-significant in regard to all time periods. In conclusion, a relation of SCSA parameters to SST$_{\text{mean}}$ of different periods prior to ejaculation was shown in the present study, implying an effect of scrotal microenvironment on both intratesticular and epididymal sperm population. On the other hand, we failed to detect any effect of microclimate-induced discomfort felt by the animals on the chromatin integrity of frozen-thawed ram spermatozoa.
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1. Introduction

The susceptibility of sperm DNA to elevated testicular temperature and/or impaired local thermoregulation has been well documented in laboratory and farm animals [1]. In the ram, published data have demonstrated an adverse effect of experimental heat stress on sperm motility [2, 3], morphology [2, 4-7] and fertilizing capacity [4, 6, 8]; however little is known about the effect of increased temperature on the chromatin integrity of the ovine sperm [9]. Although recent experiments question its relation to the fertility potential of ovine sperm [10], assessment of sperm DNA stability using Sperm Chromatin Structure Assay (SCSA™) provides useful information on ovine semen quality, that is not acquired by conventional semen tests [11].

The problem in studying the effect of elevated ambient temperature on spermatogenesis lies on the complex direct and indirect mechanisms through which temperature affects the physiological function of testicular and epididymal cells. Apart from the profound direct effect of heat or cold exposure on the male germ cells and their supporting tissues, fluctuations of microclimatic factors, and especially air temperature, may affect spermatogenesis through systematic physiological pathways. In the case of seasonal breeders (like rams), the role of ambient air temperature as modulating factor of seasonality should also be taken into account [12, 13].

The recording of intratesticular temperature for repeated-measurements experimental design, aiming to describe the lag effect of microclimatic fluctuations on spermatogenesis, is not compatible with animal welfare and endangers the reliability of the scientific results. In the ram, scrotal surface temperature (SST) is primarily regulated by the scrotum [14]. However, its close relation to the temperature of the
underlying tissues, as demonstrated by Coulter et al. [15], enables the use of SST as an indicator of the heat load of the scrotum and underlying testes.

Apart from their effect on the scrotal microenvironment, microclimatic fluctuations are felt as discomfort of varying degree by the animal. The calculation of temperature-humidity index (THI), a formula that combines the temperature and relative humidity of the air, has been widely used to assess the effects of heat exposure on the productive performance of dairy cattle [16]. Finocchiaro et al. [17] have recently studied the relation between heat tolerance and milk production of Mediterranean sheep, using a THI to quantify environmental heat stress.

In the present study, the hypothesis that SST and microclimatic conditions have a lag effect on the chromatin integrity of ovine frozen-thawed spermatozoa was tested. Thus, the potential relations of SCSA parameters to SST and THI of eight different time periods (up to 61 d) prior to ejaculation (0 d) were detected. Moreover, an attempt to investigate the effect of the month of semen collection and reveal any seasonal variations of SCSA parameters was also made.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals

Nine rams (five rams of Chios breed and four rams of East Friesian breed) were used as sperm donors in this study. At the beginning of the experiment, all rams were 36 to 48 months old; except for two East Friesian rams, that were 24 months old, and one Chios ram, that was 72 months old. Animals were housed under uniform conditions in the Institute of Reproduction and Artificial Insemination of
Thessaloniki, Greece, and exposed to natural photoperiodic pattern and microclimatic conditions of the region (40° 41' 00.70" N, 22° 51' 53.47" E). All rams had been previously acclimatized to local microclimate for at least two years. The feeding of the rams was uniform and included alfalfa hay and a commercial concentrate for sperm donor rams. No hormonal or other treatment affecting the physiological reproductive performance of the rams was administered during the experimental period. Animal housing and handling were in compliance with the European Commission Recommendation 2007/526/EC on the guidelines for the accommodation and care of animals used for experimental and other scientific purposes.

2.2. Semen collection and processing

Semen was collected in weekly routine from May 9th, 2007 until April 30th, 2008. The same two persons handled the rams and collected the ejaculates throughout the experimental period. Semen was collected between 08.30 and 09.00 a.m. on the ejaculations days. Rams mounted a ewe after several minutes of sexual preparation (false mounts) and one ejaculate was collected in Hannover type artificial vagina. Sperm concentration of each ejaculate was determined in 1:20 v:v diluted semen samples (with 0.9% normal saline) using a photometer (Dr Lange Photometer LP1, Minitüb, Germany). The percentage of motile spermatozoa (motility, %) was microscopically assessed. An eosin-nigrosin staining was performed to determine the percentage of live (eosin-negative) spermatozoa (viability, %). Eosin-nigrosin stained aliquots were also used for the evaluation of sperm morphology, in order to determine the percentage of morphologically normal spermatozoa (normal spz, %), as previously described by Barth and Oko [18]. Conventional semen traits (ejaculate volume, sperm
concentration, motility, viability, morphology) were always evaluated by the same examiner within short time after ejaculation. Regardless of their quality, all the ejaculates were further processed for cryopreservation. Two fractions (with and without glycerol) of a TRIS-egg yolk-citrate extender (3.02% wt/vol TRIS, 1.75 wt/vol citric acid, 1.36 wt/vol fructose, 20% v:v egg yolk) were used for a two-step dilution based on the method of Kupferschmied et al. [19] modified for ram. At first step (37°C), semen was diluted to 800x10^6 spermatozoa/mL concentration using the glycerol-free fraction and gradually cooled to 5°C. At second step (5°C), semen samples were diluted 1:1 v:v with the glycerolated fraction of the extender (12% v:v glycerol was added) to a final concentration of 400x10^6 spermatozoa/mL. Diluted semen was packed in 0.25 mL straws (IMV, L’Aigle, France) and equilibrated for 2 hours at 5°C. Filled straws were plunged into liquid nitrogen (LN) after a 5-minute freezing in LN vapors (at 10 cm above the surface of liquid nitrogen for 3 min and at 4 cm for 2 min) of an open dewar (Statebourne-Cryogenics, U.K.). The storage period of semen ranged from 2 to 13 months.

2.3. Membrane integrity and acrosomal status after thawing

Membrane integrity and acrosomal status of the frozen-thawed semen samples were flow cytometrically evaluated using a double staining with propidium iodide (PI) and peanut agglutinin conjugated with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC-PNA), as previously described by Nagy et al. [20] after modification. For this purpose, an Epics XL-MCL flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter Inc., Fullerton, California, U.S.A.) was used. Three straws of each frozen semen sample were thawed (37°C, 30 s), pooled and diluted to a final concentration of 5x10^6 spermatozoa/mL using Tyrode’s medium.
Two aliquots of each pooled sample were stained by adding 3 µL of PI working solution (2.99 mM; Propidium Iodide No P4170, Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Germany) and 5 µL of FITC-PNA working solution (100 µg/mL distilled water; FITC-PNA No L7381, Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Germany) to 500 µL of diluted semen. Stained aliquots were incubated at 37°C in humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ for 15 min before being analyzed in the flow cytometer (Epics XL-MCL, Beckman Coulter Inc., Fullerton, California, U.S.A.). Detector 1 (515 to 545 nm) and 3 (>670 nm) were set to detect FITC-PNA and PI fluorescence, respectively, and 10 000 events were counted per sample. The percentage of membrane-intact (viable), acrosome-intact spermatozoa (%VAI, %) was determined using EXPO 32 ADC XL4 Color software (Beckman Coulter Inc., Fullerton, California, U.S.A.).

### 2.4. Sperm Chromatin Structure Assay

Sperm Chromatin Structure Assay (SCSA™) was conducted by means of an Epics XL-MCL flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter Inc., Fullerton, California, U.S.A.). Flow cytometric data were acquired using EXPO 32 ADC XL4 Color software (Beckman Coulter Inc., Fullerton, California, U.S.A.). Flow rate was set to 200 events/s and 10 000 events were counted per sample. Detectors 1 and 3 were used for detection of green (515 to 530 nm) and red fluorescence (>630 nm), respectively. Three straws of each semen sample were thawed (37°C, 30 s) and pooled. Pooled samples were extended immediately after thawing to a final concentration of 2x10⁶ spermatozoa/mL using TNE buffer (0.01 M TRIS-HCl, 0.15 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4). For each sample, DNA fragmentation was evaluated using the
SCSA™ as previously described by Evenson and Jost [21]. Acid-induced
denaturation of DNA in situ was achieved by adding 400 µL of acid-detergent
solution (0.1% v:v Triton X-100, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.08 N HCl, pH 1.2) to 200 µL of
extended semen sample. After 30 s, sperm was stained by adding 1.2 mL of acridine
orange (AO) staining solution containing 6 µg purified AO (Acridin Orange, ‘Ȳ,
chromatographically purified No 04539, Polysciences Inc., U.S.A.) per mL of buffer
(0.1 M citric acid, 0.2 M Na2HPO4, 1 mM EDTA, 0.15 M NaCl, pH 6.0). Stained
sperm were incubated on ice for 3 min before flow cytometric analysis.

SCSA data were analyzed using XDAS 4.40 software [22]. The mean extent
of sperm DNA fragmentation (DNA fragmentation index, DFI), calculated by the
ratio red/(red + green) fluorescence multiplied by 1000, and the percentage of sperm
outside the main population (%DFI, %) in each sample were determined as previously
described by Evenson et al. [23].

2.5. Choice of time periods preceding ejaculation day

The potential relations of SCSA parameters to SST and THI encountered at
eight different time periods prior to each ejaculation day (0 d) were investigated by
analyzing the data set in a way similar to that previously described for the bull [24].
The time periods were set as follows: 1 to 12 d (period 1), 13 to 19 d (period 2), 20 to
26 d (period 3), 27 to 33 d (period 4), 34 to 40 d (period 5), 41 to 47 d (period 6), 48
to 54 d (period 7) and 55 to 61 d (period 8). Since spermatogenesis proceeds via an
orderly series of events, it is possible to estimate the approximate stage of germ cell
development at various intervals prior to the time sperm becomes available for
ejaculation. Previous experiments have shown that epididymal passage in the ram has
duration of 12 to 13 days [25]; thus, the duration of period 1 was set to 12 days to resemble the phase of epididymal maturation. Based on the description of the ovine seminiferous epithelium cycle by Cardoso and Gueiroz [26], periods 2 and 3 (with total 14-day duration) resembled the later and earlier steps of spermiogenesis, respectively, periods 4 and 5 with total 14-day duration resembled the phase of meiotic divisions and periods 6 to 8 resembled the phase of mitoses of spermatogonia.

2.6. Assessment of SST

SST was measured on a daily basis for six out of seven days each week. Daily SST (˚C) of each ram was assessed as the mean value of two different measurements, each one at the posterior scrotal surface over the midpoint of horizontal and vertical axis of each underlying testis. The surface temperature of a circular area of approximately 4-centimeter diameter was measured using a non-contact wide range infrared thermometer (42530, Extech Instruments Corporation, U.S.A.) from a distance of 25 cm. Based on a previous report on the daily circadian pattern of SST [27], all measurements were performed between 11.00 and 12.00 a.m.; this time of the day coincided with the time following animal feeding, so that the rams were standing at least 30 min prior to measurement. Mean values of scrotal surface temperature (SSTmean) of each ram were calculated out of the respective daily values for the time periods described in section 2.5.

2.7. Calculation of THI
The discomfort felt by the animals due to fluctuations of microclimatic factors was assessed using the THI previously proposed by Kelly and Bond [28]. For the calculation of THI, microclimatic factors [ambient air temperature (°C), relative humidity (%)] were recorded at hourly intervals (24 observations per day), using a digital data logger (HOBO 8, Onset, Massachusetts, U.S.A.) placed in the barn, in order to acquire values of daily maximum temperature and daily mean relative humidity (midnight and 23.59 p.m. were considered as the beginning and end of each day, respectively). As previously suggested by Finocchiaro et al. [17], mean THI (THImean) values were calculated by the following formula for each time period described in section 2.5:

\[
\text{(THImean)}_i = (T_{\text{max}})_i - 0.55 \times \left[1 - \frac{(1 - (RH_{\text{mean}})_i}{100}\right] \times [(T_{\text{max}})_i - 14.4]
\]

where THImean represents the calculated THI of period i, T_{\text{max}} (°C) the mean value of daily maximum temperatures of period i (i=1 to 8), RH_{\text{mean}} the mean value of relative humidity of period i.

2.8. Statistical analysis

The relations between dependent variables (DFI, %DFI) and the set of independent variables (breed, age class, month of semen collection, SST_{\text{mean}}, THI_{\text{mean}}) were assumed to be linear and assessed by linear mixed-effects model (MLM) analysis using the NLME [29] and MULTCOMP [30] packages of the R version 2.13.0 (13.4.2011) statistical programming language [31]. Data of five ejaculation days (in 3\textsuperscript{rd}, 22\textsuperscript{nd}, 34\textsuperscript{th}, 40\textsuperscript{th} and 44\textsuperscript{th} experimental week) were not included in statistical analysis; the reason was missing values due to technical issues (straws damaged during cryopreservation and/or transport) or missing ejaculates (ejaculates
of several rams were used for the AI needs of the Institute. Thus, statistical analysis
was applied for 43 ejaculates per ram (N=387). To assess the effect of age, the rams
were classified into three age classes (2, 3 to 4 and 6 years old). The dependent
variables were modeled as function of the effects of independent variables:

\[ Y_{jk} = \mu + \text{ram}/\text{week}_k + b_1(\text{breed}_l) + b_2(\text{age}_m) + b_3(\text{month}_n) + b_4[(\text{SST}_{\text{mean}})_{ijk}] + b_5[(\text{THI}_{\text{mean}})_{ik}] + \mu \]

where \( Y \) represents the individual observation of DFI or %DFI of the semen sample
collected from ram \( j \) (\( j=1 \) to 9) in week \( k \) (\( k=1 \) to 43), \( \mu \) the overall mean of the
population, \( \text{ram} \) the random effect of ram \( j \) repeated in week \( k \), \( \text{breed} \) the fixed effect
of breed \( l \) (\( l=1, 2 \)) of ram \( j \), age the fixed effect of age class \( m \) (\( m=1 \) to 3) of ram \( j \),
month the fixed effect of month of semen collection \( n \) (\( n=1 \) to 12), \( \text{SST}_{\text{mean}} \) the fixed
effect of \( \text{SST}_{\text{mean}} \) of ram \( j \), in period \( i \) (\( i=1 \) to 8) preceding the ejaculation of week \( k \),
\( \text{THI}_{\text{mean}} \) the fixed effect of \( \text{THI}_{\text{mean}} \) of period \( i \) (\( i=1 \) to 8) preceding the ejaculation of
week \( k \), \( b_{1-5} \) the estimated regression coefficients of the fixed effects and \( \mu \) the
random error of the MLM.

Independent variables of the formula (breed, age, month, \( \text{SST}_{\text{mean}} \) and \( \text{THI}_{\text{mean}} \))
were added in a stepwise fashion to a basic model including only the random effect of
ram. Thus, eight different groups of MLMs were created for each SCSA parameter,
one for each time period prior to ejaculation. The simplest MLM in each group was
the basic model, while the most complicated was the model which included the effects
of ram, breed, age, month, \( \text{SST}_{\text{mean}} \) and \( \text{THI}_{\text{mean}} \) of the respective time period. To
reach the best-fitting model of each group, the basic and subsequent MLMs were
compared in terms of goodness-of-fit performing ANOVA at a 0.05 significance
level. The -2 log-likelihood was used as a measure of the goodness-of-fit of each
MLM. Fixed effects that did not improve the goodness-of-fit of the MLM were not
included in the final model applied for each period. To determine the time period, the 
MLM of which most adequately described the relation between the dependent and 
independent variables, the best-fitting MLMs of the eight groups were compared in 
pair-wise manner as described above.

Relations among semen traits, as well as daily SST, THI and microclimatic 
Factors, were determined by calculating Spearman's correlation coefficient ($r_s$).

3. Results

Descriptive statistics of the microclimatic factors and THI observed throughout 
the experimental period are presented in Table 1. About 1/5 of the hourly observations 
of air temperature and relative humidity exceeded 25 °C and 75%, respectively 
(Figure 1). Rams of the experimental group spent a 6-week period in midsummer 
under conditions of elevated temperature (daily mean temperature >25 °C; Figure 1). 
Rams experienced very low temperatures (daily mean temperature <5 °C) for 22 days 
during winter months, with most of them being observed in late December and early 
January (Figure 1).

Scrotal surface temperature ranged from 12.80 to 38.90 °C (mean across the 
nine rams ± SD, 29.43±3.56 °C; Table 1). The variation of daily SST followed a 
distinct seasonal pattern for rams of both breeds (Figure 2). Its mean values across the 
nine rams were strongly related to daily mean temperature ($r_s=0.946$, $P<0.001$, one-
tailed test) and daily THI ($r_s=0.891$, $P<0.001$, one-tailed test), while a weaker negative 
relation was detected between SST and daily mean relative humidity ($r_s=0.448$, 
$P<0.001$, one-tailed test).
The mean values (across all rams) ± SD and the range of conventional semen traits and flow cytometrically analyzed sperm characteristics throughout the collection period are presented in Table 1. More than half of the ejaculates had motility and viability >70% (60% and 68% of the ejaculates, respectively). In the contrary, the mean percentage of viable spermatozoa (%VAI) in frozen-thawed semen samples did not exceed the acceptable threshold of 50% (mean ± SD, 10.50% ± 8.21%; Table 1).

A positive correlation was found between the two SCSA parameters (rₛ=0.623, P<0.01, two-tailed test). DFI and %DFI were negatively related to the percentage of live (rₛ=-0.396, P<0.01, two-tailed test and rₛ=-0.489, P<0.01, two-tailed test, respectively) and morphologically normal spermatozoa (rₛ=-0.264, P<0.01, two-tailed test and rₛ=-0.241, P<0.01, two-tailed test, respectively), while none of them showed a significant relation to %VAI (P>0.05 for both SCSA parameters).

Based on the goodness-of-fit criteria, the relation of %DFI was best described by the MLM applied for time period 1 (Table 2). On the contrary, MLM of time period 7 was found to be the most adequate to describe the relation of DFI to the set of independent variables (Table 2).

The estimated regression coefficients of the fixed effects of the best-fitting MLMs applied for each SCSA parameter are presented in Table 3. DFI and %DFI
were negatively related to $SST_{\text{mean}}$ of the periods with the best-fitting MLMs (Table 3).

As shown in Table 3, the effect of breed was proven significant for all dependent variables, with rams of East Friesian breed exhibiting slightly higher values of SCSA parameters compared with Chios rams. On the other hand, THI$_{\text{mean}}$ did not improve the goodness-of-fit of the applied MLMs in regard to either DFI or %DFI. Month explained a significant part of variation of DFI and %DFI (Table 3). Pair-wise comparisons between months revealed a significant decrease of DFI in February and September, while %DFI values showed a significant deviation from the observed annual pattern only for semen samples collected during August (Figure 3).
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4. Discussion

In our study, SCSA parameters were determined in frozen-thawed ram semen samples. Although the detrimental effect of cryopreservation on membrane integrity was profound (mean %VAI $\pm$ SD, 10.50% $\pm$ 8.21%), the mean post-thaw values of SCSA parameters compared favorably to those reported previously [11, 32]. The post-thaw deterioration of membrane integrity could not be easily explained. This finding could be attributed either to the stress suffered by the frozen-thawed spermatozoa during the dilution step of the PI/FITC-PNA staining protocol or to the freezing protocol applied in the present study. However, the levels of SCSA parameters did not suggest an analogous impact of cryopreservation on sperm chromatin stability. The
divergent effects of cryopreservation on spermatozoal DNA and membrane integrity
were reflected by the non-significant correlations found between SCSA parameters
and %VAI. This finding was in agreement with results of previous studies
demonstrating a non-significant or low correlation between sperm chromatin integrity
and viability of frozen-thawed ovine semen [11, 33].

According to our results, SST was proven a significant predictor of sperm DNA
fragmentation. Mean SST values were comparable with those of previous reports,
where rams were kept under experimental thermoneutral conditions [14, 15, 34]. In
our study, SST was recorded under a wide range of natural microclimatic conditions
and showed a close response to the fluctuations of air temperature and THI; the
response of SST to changes of ambient temperature has been previously reported by
Kastelic et al. [34]. It is known that independent thermoregulatory [27, 35] and local
blood flow controlling mechanisms [36] are responsible for maintaining the balance
of scrotal heat loss and gain. Dutt et al. [36] have shown that the initial blood flow
increase is followed by a remarkable reduction after 5 to 7 days of constant thermal
stimulus, implying an adaptation of scrotal thermoregulation to environmental
conditions. Given that the rams of the present experiment were exposed to natural
microclimate with extended periods (more than 15 days) of cold (daily mean air
temperature <5 °C) and heat (daily mean air temperature >25 °C) exposure, a long-
term acclimatization and adaptation of scrotal thermoregulatory and circulatory
mechanisms towards the protection of underlying tissues against extreme
temperatures can be assumed.

Regarding the lag effect of SST on chromatin integrity, we detected a
significant relation of DFI to SST_{mean} of time period 7. This finding implies an effect
of scrotal microenvironment on testicular sperm cells undergoing mitotic divisions,
which is manifested 48 to 54 days later as altered susceptibility of ejaculated spermatozoa to acid-induced DNA denaturation. Previous studies in the ram have shown that the effects of interference with scrotal thermoregulation on motility [3], morphology and viability [4, 37] of ejaculated spermatozoa are evident up to 50-60 days after the end of heat treatment. Ibrahim et al. [37] described a two-phase peak of pyriform-headed spermatozoa ejaculated on the 33rd and 57th day following scrotal insulation with a 9-day interval between them. In the latter study, an increase of spermatozoa accumulating clusterin, a glucoprotein produced by Sertoli and epididymal epithelial cells in response to heat and oxidative stress, was demonstrated up to the 57th day post-insulation; based on that finding the authors suggested a testicular origin of the capacity of ejaculated spermatozoa for accumulating clusterin on their surface [37]. The results of our study imply that the amount of DNA fragmentation of frozen-thawed ovine spermatozoa can be partly attributed to the scrotal microenvironment encountered by them at very early phases of their intratesticular development.

In contrast to DFI, the percentage of DNA-damaged spermatozoa (%DFI) in the ejaculate was related to the scrotal temperatures recorded the last 12 days prior to ejaculation. Values of %DFI were negatively related to SST values of period 1, which resembled the phase of epididymal maturation of ram spermatozoa. It is known that spermatozoal chromatin stabilization is completed during epididymal maturation by the formation of disulphide bonds within and between protamine molecules [38, 39], while the epididymis is also responsible for the secretion of a complex array of antioxidant factors, offering protection to epididymal spermatozoa against ROS-induced DNA damage [40]. Though not providing clear evidence on a causative relation, recent studies in the ram have shown a positive correlation of SCSA
parameters and lipid peroxidation levels in seminal plasma [41] and spermatozoa [41, 42]. Although a gradual resistance to chromatin decondensation is observed during epididymal transit [32, 43], ram spermatozoa of the caput and corpus epididymis still suffer from a considerable degree of chromatin instability [32]. Results of our study suggest that scrotal microenvironment can interfere with the process of sperm chromatin stabilization in the epididymis; however further experimental data are necessary to support such an assumption.

The estimated regression coefficients describing the relation of DFI and %DFI to SST mean of period 7 and 1, respectively, were found negative. We expected that fluctuations of scrotal surface temperature would adversely interfere with normal testicular and epididymal function, resulting in a positive relation between SCSA parameters and SST mean; however, that was not the case. Though collected after summer (when a distinct increase of SST values was observed), semen samples of September and October exhibited lower DFI and %DFI values.

Apart from SST mean, month was found to explain a significant part of the total variation of the two SCSA parameters, which implies that sperm chromatin integrity is subject to the combined effect of both scrotal temperature and season. Given this finding and the knowledge that ram is a short-day breeder exhibiting the peak of its reproductive performance in late summer and autumn [13], we attributed the negative relation between SCSA parameters and SST mean to a potential compensative effect of reproductive seasonality on DNA damage processes caused by elevated SST. A similar observation has been previously made by Ibrahim [44], who showed that the elevation of ambient air temperature during summer did not decrease the sexual activity and semen quality of crossbred Chios rams kept under subtropical-arid climatic conditions.
The results of our study showed that the annual variation of DFI followed a distinct seasonal pattern for rams of both breeds, with semen samples collected in February and from August to October exhibiting decreased levels of DFI. Though not as pronounced as in the ewe, seasonal fluctuations of sexual activity are also evident in the ram [13]. Published data indicate late summer and autumn as the seasons of higher reproductive performance of Chios [45, 46] and East Friesian sheep [46, 47]. The wave-like seasonal pattern of DFI variation described in our study is not in agreement with a recent work of Garcia-Macias et al. [9], who demonstrated an increase of ram spermatozoal chromatin decondensation in July and August and the lack of any significant differences between the SCSA parameters of semen samples collected in the breeding and non-breeding season. Similar results were also published by Rodriguez et al. [43], who reported a slightly higher percentage of ovine spermatozoa with stable nuclei in the non-breeding season, but detected no significant differences in comparison with the breeding season. The fluctuating pattern of %DFI observed in our study was not as clear as that of DFI and the rams of the two breeds exhibited slight differences in the monthly variation of %DFI. This was attributed to the individual factor of a single East Friesian ram that affected the mean %DFI values of the breed (data not shown), rather than to a breed-related feature.

The hypothesis, that DNA integrity of ejaculated spermatozoa was related to the degree of discomfort felt by the animals due to microclimatic fluctuations at different time periods prior to ejaculation, was also tested in the present study. The values of THI_{mean} inserted in statistical analysis reflected periods of varying environmental burden, including phases of thermonutral conditions, heat or cold exposure. Our results showed that THI_{mean} did not improve the goodness of fit of the statistical models applied for any SCSA parameter. This could be partly attributed to the high correlation
coefficient describing the relation of $SST_{\text{mean}}$ and $THI_{\text{mean}}$ (data not shown); in this case, the addition of the predicting variable $THI_{\text{mean}}$ would not offer any additional clue on the explanation of the variance of SCSA parameters. The comparison of MLMs that included either $SST_{\text{mean}}$ or $THI_{\text{mean}}$, when all other statistical parameters were kept constant, revealed that the former were more adequate to describe the variance of SCSA parameters, while the latter showed a non-significant effect of $THI_{\text{mean}}$ to DFI and $\%DFI$ (data not shown). Our results are in agreement with these of Moule and Waites [48], who showed that cooling of the scrotum had a beneficial effect on semen quality of Merino rams exposed to whole-body short-term heat stress and suggested that the efficiency of the heat-dissipating properties of the scrotum alone decided the magnitude of the adverse effects of short-term elevation of environmental temperature on semen quality.

In conclusion, our results implied an effect of SST on sperm at very early stages of spermatogenesis and epididymal maturation, but no influence of microclimate-related discomfort as quantified by THI. Given the kind of relation between SCSA parameters and $SST_{\text{mean}}$, we assume that seasonality of ram reproductive performance can compensate for the potential effect of elevated summer temperatures on DNA stability. However, a larger-scale experimental investigation of such an assumption is necessary, in order to clarify the combined influence of season and microclimate on DNA quality of ram spermatozoa.
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7. Figure captions

Figure 1. Daily mean values of microclimatic factors (ambient air temperature, °C, and relative humidity, %) and daily values of THI throughout a 12-month experimental period. Microclimatic factors were recorded in hourly intervals; daily maximum temperature and mean relative humidity were used for the calculation of the daily values of THI for one year, according to the formula proposed by Finocchiaro et al. [17].

Figure 2. Boxplots of daily values of SST (°C) of nine rams measured over a 12-month period, in relation to the month of SST measurement and the breed of ram. Boxes span the interquartile range (IQR; 25th and 75th percentiles are represented by the lower and upper hinges of boxes, respectively), with median values marked as horizontal lines within boxes. The lower and upper ends of whiskers represent the lower and higher values still within 1.5 IQR of the 25th and 75th percentile, respectively; circular markers (Ê) represent values outside the 1.5 IQR.
Figure 3. Boxplots of SCSA parameters (DFI, %DFI), in relation to the month of semen collection and the breed of ram. SCSA parameters were determined in frozen-thawed semen samples, collected weekly from nine rams for a 12-month period. Boxes span the interquartile range (IQR; 25th and 75th percentiles are represented by the lower and upper hinges of boxes, respectively), with median values marked as horizontal lines within boxes. The lower and upper ends of whiskers represent the lower and higher values still within 1.5 IQR of the 25th and 75th percentile, respectively; circular markers (Ê) and asterisks (*) represent values outside the 1.5 IQR and extreme outliers, respectively.
Table 1. Descriptive statistics of conventional and flow cytometrically assessed semen traits, daily scrotal surface temperature (SST), microclimatic factors (ambient air temperature, relative humidity) and daily temperature-humidity index (THI) over a 12-month experimental period. Semen traits were determined in frozen-thawed semen samples of nine rams ejaculating weekly for 12 months. Microclimatic factors were hourly recorded throughout the collection period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ejaculate volume (mL)</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.42</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sperm concentration (10^6 spermatozoa/mL)</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>3975.50 ± 1180.26</td>
<td>1177.00</td>
<td>6414.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sperm count (10^6 spermatozoa)</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>4719.23 ± 2245.91</td>
<td>235.4</td>
<td>17346.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motility (%)</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>67.36 ± 13.97</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viability (%)</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>73.77 ± 11.56</td>
<td>21.94</td>
<td>93.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal spz (%)</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>78.48 ± 12.77</td>
<td>13.58</td>
<td>95.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFI</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>175.62 ± 2.93</td>
<td>167.50</td>
<td>197.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%DFI (%)</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>6.58 ± 3.79</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>36.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%VA I (%)</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>10.50 ± 8.21</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>72.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (˚C)</td>
<td>8751</td>
<td>16.53 ± 8.12</td>
<td>-4.33</td>
<td>40.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity (%)</td>
<td>8751</td>
<td>59.85 ± 16.74</td>
<td>23.40</td>
<td>94.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THI</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>19.05 ± 6.22</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>31.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST (˚C)</td>
<td>2812</td>
<td>29.43 ± 3.56</td>
<td>12.80</td>
<td>38.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N: sample size, SD: standard deviation
Table 2. Fixed effects included (+) in the built-up of the MLMs that were found most adequate (among eight time periods) to describe the relation of SCSA parameters to the independent variables; fixed effects not improving the goodness-of-fit of the MLMs were excluded (-). The time period presenting the most adequate MLM for each SCSA parameter was determined by comparing the goodness-of-fit of different MLMs within and between time periods with ANOVA (P<0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>Fixed effects</th>
<th>Time period&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breed</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFI</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%DFI</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> presenting the best-fitting MLM for each dependent variable.
Table 3. Estimated regression coefficients (b) and P-values of fixed effects included in the best-fitting MLMs, as presented in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>Fixed effect</th>
<th>b±SEM</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DFI</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Breed 1</td>
<td>0.00a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Breed 2</td>
<td>1.041±0.218</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age class 1</td>
<td>0.00b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age class 2</td>
<td>0.149±0.227</td>
<td>0.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age class 3</td>
<td>0.141±0.338</td>
<td>0.676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Month</td>
<td>0.279±0.112</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SST&lt;sub&gt;mean&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-0.263±0.068</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%DFI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Breed 1</td>
<td>0.00a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Breed 2</td>
<td>0.690±0.294</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age class 1</td>
<td>0.00b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age class 2</td>
<td>-0.603±0.319</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age class 3</td>
<td>-1.187±0.476</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Month</td>
<td>0.162±0.060</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SST&lt;sub&gt;mean&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-0.179±0.061</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b: mean estimated regression coefficient, SEM: standard error of mean, breeds 1 and 2: Chios and East Friesian, respectively, age classes 1 to 3: 2, 3 to 4 and 6 years old, respectively.

<sup>a</sup> Breed 1 is set as a baseline for the categorical variable breed; the estimated regression coefficient b represents the difference (in units of the outcome) between the two breeds, when all other dependent variables are constant.

<sup>b</sup> Age class 1 is set as a baseline for the categorical variable age; the estimated regression coefficient b represents the difference (in units of the outcome) between different age classes, when all other dependent variables are constant.