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Spike Correlations in a Songbird Agree with a Simple Markov Population Model
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The relationships between neural activity at the single-cell and the population levels are of central importance for understanding neural codes. In many sensory systems, collective behaviors in large cell groups can be described by pairwise spike correlations. Here, we test whether in a highly specialized premotor system of songbirds, pairwise spike correlations themselves can be seen as a simple corollary of an underlying random process. We test hypotheses on connectivity and network dynamics in the motor pathway of zebra finches using a high-level population model that is independent of detailed single-neuron properties. We assume that neural population activity evolves along a finite set of states during singing, and that during sleep population activity randomly switches back and forth between song states and a single resting state. Individual spike trains are generated by associating with each of the population states a particular firing mode, such as bursting or tonic firing. With an overall modification of one or two simple control parameters, the Markov model is able to reproduce observed firing statistics and spike correlations in different neuron types and behavioral states. Our results suggest that song- and sleep-related firing patterns are identical on short time scales and result from random sampling of a unique underlying theme. The efficiency of our population model may apply also to other neural systems in which population hypotheses can be tested on recordings from small neuron groups.

Introduction

Spontaneous neural activity in the absence of sensory stimulation (e.g., during sleep) often exhibits stereotyped sequences that can resemble sensory or motor sequences [1–5]. A central question pertaining to such observations is the extent to which spike sequences in single neurons reflect sequential behaviors across larger populations. Sometimes there is strong correspondence, and the spike patterns in single neurons can be precisely predicted from a coarse population readout [6]. However, it is largely unexplored whether population-conditional models of spike trains can go beyond single-neuron statistics and also explain pairwise spike correlations.

Pairwise spike correlations can signal important information beyond that of firing rates [7,8], and in some sensory systems no higher-order interactions seem to exist beyond that of cell pairs [9]. Spike correlations can be interpreted as evidence either of direct synaptic interactions or of common synaptic inputs. To illustrate the relationship between spike correlations and population models, let us consider neurons that display some regular subthreshold oscillations and occasionally fire a spike at the peaks of oscillation cycles. From single-unit data, we cannot infer the activity distribution across the population. However, given pairwise spiking data, we can estimate the number of population states from the conditional probability that a cell spikes given that a spike in another cell occurs (which is a measure of spike correlation). For example, if the conditional spike probability (CSP) averaged over cell pairs is one, then all cells must be linked to the same population state, and fire with unit probability when that state is visited. If, on the other hand, CSPs average to 0.2, then the cells can be distributed among at most five equiprobable states. For example, neurons could each be randomly linked to one of five states and fire with unit probability when that state is visited; or they could all be linked to the same state and fire with probability 0.2 when that state is visited. Which of these cases applies depends on the spread of CSPs: in the one-state case, all CSPs would be narrowly distributed around 0.2, and in the five-state case, CSPs would be bimodally distributed around zero and one (and average to 0.2). The point of this hypothetical example is to illustrate that population-conditional models are constrained by spike correlations, and therefore such models must be tested on experimental data.

In the robust nucleus of the arcopallium (RA) and the high vocal center (HVC) of zebra finches, neurons exhibit precise and stereotyped high-frequency bursts during singing. The number of bursts produced per song motif varies strongly between neuron types, from about one burst in RA-projecting HVC neurons (HVC_{RA} neurons), to about 12 bursts in RA projection neurons, and up to more than 20 bursts in HVC interneurons (HVC_{I} neurons) (Figure 1A) [1,10,11]. In awake, non-singing birds, RA and HVC neurons do not burst and are either silent or in a mode of tonic firing.
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And during sleep they display incessant switching between bursting and tonic firing modes; in RA neurons, the sleep-related burst patterns can be highly similar to song-related patterns [4], and often the patterns are time-locked to bursts in simultaneously recorded RA-projecting HVC neurons (Figure 1Bi) [12].

Inspired by these data, we study a simple Markov model of neural populations that is based on a chain network of synaptic connections among HVCRA neurons [14,15]. Model spike trains depend on the sequence of population states and are otherwise independent of each other. Formally, state-space models allow for the a priori estimation of the state dynamics from given spike data [16–19]. However, because here we assume knowledge of the state-space topology (i.e., a chain-like network among HVCRA neurons), we are faced with the simpler problem of estimating the transition probabilities associated with the chain.

We explore to what fraction sleep-related bursts in HVC and RA constitute replay of premotor bursts. We compare our simulations to sets of song- and sleep-related spike data in different HVC and RA neuron types [1,10–12]. These datasets are affected by a nonnegligible variability, as exemplified by averages of sleep-related interspike interval (ISI) distributions in RA neurons (Figure 1Bii). This variability entails model parameters needing to be individually adjusted for each dataset. Our main finding is that the diversity of the data across sets and across behavioral states (waking, singing,
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**Figure 1.** Song and Sleep-Related Firing in HVC and RA Neurons of Zebra Finches

(A) During the production of a song motif (sound spectrogram on top), RA-projecting HVC neurons (HVCRA neurons) produce at most one stereotyped spike burst (red rasters). HVC interneurons (HVCi neurons) produce dense and less-stereotyped spike patterns (green rasters). A more elaborate version of this figure was originally published in [1].

(B) Sleep-related firing in HVCRA and RA neurons. (i) Top: spike-raster plot of a simultaneously recorded HVCRA–RA pair during sleep. RA spikes (black rasters) have been time aligned to HVCRA bursts (red rasters). (ii) Bottom: CSP function of the same neuron pair. Also known as the cross-intensity function, the CSP function is an estimate of the conditional RA spiking probability as a function of the time lag to HVCRA spikes (see Methods). (ii) ISI pdfs of RA neurons vary from one dataset to another. ISI pdfs have been averaged either over 29 RA neurons recorded in isolation (full line), or over 26 RA neurons recorded simultaneously with HVCRA neurons (dashed line), or over 50 RA neurons recorded simultaneously with HVCi neurons (dotted line). doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.0030249.g001
and sleeping) can be essentially ascribed to two macroscopic transition probabilities; these set the likelihood that population activity either evolves along the chain of motor states imprinted in the HVCRA network, or flips back and forth between motor states and a single resting state. Our results strengthen the view that synaptic networks are organized to support well-defined and highly constrained population behaviors.

**Results**

**Model**

In our model, HVC population activity is a random variable that evolves in roughly 5 ms steps and is either in the ground state, or in one of 100 song states. The number of song states is chosen such that a total song-motif duration of 500 ms results [20]. Each of the song states corresponds to activation of a virtual group of 50–150 RA-projecting HVC neurons (referred to as HVCRA neuron groups, or simply HVCRA groups). During singing, HVCRA groups are activated sequentially with probability $p = 1$ (Figure 2A). When birds are awake, but not singing, HVC activity remains in the ground state (state 0) with probability $q = 1$. During sleep, HVCRA groups are also sequentially activated, but with reduced probability $p < 1$, and the persistence probability in the ground state is also reduced to $q < 1$ (Figure 2B). By construction, neurons remain for exponentially distributed times in song and ground states during sleep, in agreement with recent estimates [17].

Given a sequence of states that describes HVC population activity, we generated spike trains in individual neurons by random sampling of model ISI probability density functions (pdfs). We assumed that HVCRA neurons are each randomly linked to exactly one HVCRA group and fired a burst only when that group was activated; otherwise they remained silent. RA and HVCI neurons were randomly linked to more than one HVCRA group and fired several bursts per song motif. For each neuron type, burst ISI pdfs were fixed and were simply derived from measurements (Figure 2C). Interestingly, in all neuron types, sleep-related bursts have lower firing rates than song-related bursts (see Figure S1). To accommodate this fact, model pdfs had to be slowed down during sleep (see Methods for details). Because waking-related RA and HVCi firing rates are very diverse [12], the means of...
gamma functions were kept as free parameters together with $p$ and $q$. Descriptions and derivations of model parameters are summarized in Table 1.

**Fits to Song-Related and Sleep-Related Data**

We found that song-related ISI pdfs beyond the burst scale could be well fit over the entire ISI range (up to 100 ms) by randomly linking RA neurons to $L_R = 12$ HVC$_{RA}$ groups and HVC$_I$ neurons to $L_I = 35$ groups (Figure 3A and 3B). Note that the larger the link counts $L_R$ and $L_I$, the steeper were the corresponding exponential tails of the pdfs. However, to also account for the considerable lack of stereotypy mainly in raster plots of HVC$_I$ neurons [11], we had to trade off high link counts against reduced burst probabilities (the probability that a neuron bursts when an HVC$_{RA}$ group to which it is linked is activated). Note that a less than unit burst probability can be interpreted as a reduction in neural responsiveness to excitatory synaptic drive, or as increased inhibition. We obtained good results with burst probabilities $p$ in RA neurons of $P_R = 0.92$ ($L_R = 13$) and in HVC$_I$ neurons $p_I = 0.63$ ($L_I = 50$) (Figure 3C and 3D). Note that first-order statistics impose the following constraints on the average number of RA and HVC$_I$ bursts per song motif: $p_{RL}R \approx 12$ and $p_{IL}I \approx 35$.

Sleep-related ISI pdfs of RA neurons could be well-fit given a suitable tonic-firing model and suitable persistence probabilities $p$ and $q$ (Figure 4A and 4B). The peak at small ISIs resulted from spikes produced in song states, and the peak at large ISIs from spikes produced in the ground state. Raster plots of simulated RA-neuron activity aligned to HVC$_{RA}$ bursts looked very realistic (compare Figure 4C and 4D to Figure 1B). Autocovariance functions of sleep-related RA spike trains could also be well-fit (see Figure S2).

The parameters $p$ and $q$ characterize what we shall refer to as the depth and the coherence of the sleep. By denoting the average number of time steps spent in song states by $(n_s) = p/(1-p)$ and similarly $(n_d) = q/(1-q)$ for the ground state (these numbers are known as the survival times in the language of point processes), we defined the sleep depth $d$ by their ratio $(n_s)/(n_d)$ (experimentally, $d$ could be estimated from burst-rate measurements as $d = b/(b_0 - b)$, where $b_0$ and $b$ are measured burst rates during song and during sleep, respectively). Small ISIs prevailed during deep sleep (Figure 4B, $(n_s)/(n_d) = 12\%$) and large ISIs during light sleep (Figure 4A, $(n_s)/(n_d) = 3.6\%$). The coherence of sleep was defined by the product $(n_s)/(n_d) = 12\%$. Model ISI pdfs showed almost no dependence on sleep coherence. For example, by doubling both $p$ and $q$, sleep-related ISI pdfs in Figure 4A and 4B remained essentially unchanged. However, the sleep coherence had a strong influence on raster plots: the larger the sleep coherence, the longer was the time interval relative to HVC$_{RA}$ bursts over which stereotyped RA bursting could be observed (Figure 4C and 4D: note that sleep depths were very similar in Figure 4C and 4D: 19% versus 14%).

RA and HVC$_I$ neurons frequently display 1–2 s epochs of increased burst density during sleep ([12]; Figure 5A, top). From a recent experimental study, we know that these burst epochs are shaped by input from the thalamic nucleus uveaformis (Uva): decreased tonic firing in HVC-projecting Uva neurons leads to increased bursting in HVC and RA neurons, whereas increased tonic firing in HVC-projecting Uva neurons suppresses HVC and RA burst rates (unpublished data). Here, we modeled this Uva-mediated control of burst epochs by random fluctuations of the parameter $p$ (we transiently set $p = 1$ to model a burst epoch; see Methods) (Figure 5A, middle and bottom). By modifying $p$ rather than any other parameter, we satisfied the experimental finding that burst shapes (burst-related ISI distributions) are unchanged during burst epochs. By virtue of burst epochs, raster plots of simulated HVC$_{RA}$–HVC$_I$ pairs were very realistic and displayed characteristic horizontal bands of long, uninterrupted bursting, coexisting with brief bands of very few bursts (Figure 5B). Without fluctuations in $p$, HVC$_I$ burst patterns would mostly be either narrow or wide, but not both.

One of the touchstones of our model is whether it can reproduce pairwise correlations in sleep-related spike trains on large time scales (two orders of magnitude beyond the burst scale). We modeled CSP functions by averaging over 50 simulated cell pairs with randomly drawn link sets. It was a simple matter to produce excellent fits of CSP functions in RA–RA and RA–HVC$_I$ pairs (Figure 5C and 5D). The effect of $p$ was to set the width of CSP functions, whereas $q$ and average RA and HVC$_I$ firing rates set the baseline and peak values.

---

**Table 1. Model Parameters and Their Derivation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Parameter$^a$</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Derived from</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population model</td>
<td>$p$, $q$</td>
<td>Transition probabilities. Markov process</td>
<td>Free. Range of $p$: 0.18–0.67. Range of $q$: 0.97–0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$(\Delta t) = 5$ ms</td>
<td>Time step of Markov process</td>
<td>Typical durations of songs and HVC$_{RA}$ bursts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\rho_{\text{in}} = 0.04$, $T_{\text{epoch}} = 400$ ms</td>
<td>Burst epoch parameters</td>
<td>CSP fits in Figure 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuron models</td>
<td>$\Delta t = 0.1$ ms</td>
<td>Spike-train sample time</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$v_s = 0.65$, $v_i = 0.9$, $v_R = 0.63$</td>
<td>Speed of sleep bursts</td>
<td>Average ISI pdfs in waking and sleeping states, Figure S1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$D_s = 240$ ms</td>
<td>Average duration of RA inhibition</td>
<td>Average RA burst-triggered IFR, Figure S1E. Range of $D_s$: 120–240 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\rho_{\text{in}} = 0.1$</td>
<td>Probability of RA inhibition</td>
<td>Figure 7B. Range of $\rho_{\text{in}}$: 0.06–0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$t_L = 4$ ms</td>
<td>Spike-propagation time from HVC to RA</td>
<td>Antidromic stimulation, RA–HVC CSP peaks [22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$L_{\rho R} &gt; 12$, $L_{\rho I} \approx 35$</td>
<td>Link counts ($\rho$) and burst probabilities (p)</td>
<td>Raster plots and ISI pdfs of song data, Figure 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$p_{R}(1)$, $p_{I}(1)$, $p_{I}(1)$</td>
<td>Model ISI pdfs of bursts</td>
<td>Average song-related ISI pdfs, Figure 2C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$p_{R}(1)$, $p_{I}(1)$</td>
<td>Model ISI pdfs of waking-related firing (gamma functions)</td>
<td>Free (average firing rates). Range RA: 15–27 Hz range HVC$_I$: 0–8 Hz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ranges specified are not representative of overall single-neuron ranges, but represent the ranges used in simulations to fit selected single-neuron data and population averages.

$^a$Indicates HVC$_{G I}$, I, HVC$_I$ and R, RA.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.0030249.t001
However, CSP function in HVCRA–HVCI pairs and HVCI–HVCI pairs turned out to be more problematic because it was impossible to reproduce the high CSP peaks near zero time lag. For HVCRA–HVCI pairs, there was a simple explanation for this shortcoming: when we simulated only as many model pairs as were available in the experimental dataset (26 instead of 50), then the high CSP peak could be occasionally reproduced due to random link sampling (Figure 5E). Thus, from a bootstrapping point of view, the small difference between model and real CSP functions in HVCRA–HVCI pairs was not statistically significant. In contrast, the peak CSP in HVCI–HVCI pairs was significantly higher than its model counterpart: even when sleep activity was restricted to song states only ($p_s = 1$), the high peak CSP in HVCI–HVCI pairs could not be reproduced. A good fit was only possible with substantially higher HVCI burst probability, $p_I = 0.95$. Thus, we were faced with the paradoxical conclusion that HVCI neurons burst more reliably during sleep than during singing (this conclusion is paradoxical, because with our estimate of HVCRA burst probability $p_R = 1$ during singing and $p_R = 0.8$ during sleep, the presumed HVCRA drive is smaller during sleep, and so $p_I$ should be smaller as well). We could imagine two reasons why the CSP peaks of HVCI pairs might be so high during sleep. First, during sleep, HVCI neurons could be selectively driven by X-projecting HVC (HVCX) neurons or by neurons in the nucleus interface of the nidopallium (NIf) that project to HVC (NIfHVC neurons), in addition to their weaker drive from HVCRA neurons. This explanation by itself seems somewhat implausible, because it would require that HVCRA neurons not be driven (or only very weakly driven) by HVCX or NIfHVC neurons, which appears not to be the case [21–23]). Therefore, we favored a second explanation, which is that our assumption of random and uniform links in HVCI neurons must be wrong. In other words, there must be a special subset of HVCRA groups to which HVCI neurons are linked with higher probability. In fact, such an explanation agrees with song-related data, according to which HVCI population activity is weakly correlated with sound amplitude and therefore not uniformly distributed over the time course of a song motif [11]. Indeed, when we relaxed the assumption
that HVCI neurons can be linked to any one of the 100 HVCRA groups, but to only 56 randomly selected groups, we obtained a good fit to the CSP peak with standard HVCI parameters $p = 0.63$ ($L = 50$) (Figure 5F).

Note that a requirement for the excellent CSP fits was the inclusion of burst epochs. Without burst epochs, the long tails of CSP functions could not be well fit (see Figure S3). Note also that the asymmetry in the average RA–HVCI CSP function in Figure 5D was largely due to RA inhibition that decreases tonic firing after bursts and due to differences between RA and HVCI tonic firing rates.

One of our model assumptions is that any HVCRA group can be activated from within the ground state. We were unable to stringently test this assumption: All of our results remained unchanged when singing-like activity could be initialized in only a random subset of ten or more song states. However, when this number was much smaller (two to four states), unrealistic peaks in correlation functions appeared, thereby setting a lower bound for the number of possible initial HVCRA groups.

Tests of HVC Ultrasparseness and Sequential Dynamics during Sleep

We tested the validity of our assumptions of ultrasparse-ness and sequential dynamics of HVCRA activity. Given that during sleep HVCRA bursts are time-locked to burst patterns in RA neurons (Figure 1Bi), we decided to use this locking to test whether individual HVCRA neurons are linked to a single or, potentially, to several HVCRA groups, and whether during sleep, HVCRA groups are activated sequentially or in more random order.

We determined the experimental CSP distribution of all HVCRA–RA pairs in the time interval $[-60, 60]$ ms of HVCRA spikes (Figure 6). With the exception of extreme (very small and very large) CSPs, the distribution was well-approximated by an exponential curve. The excessive occurrence of extreme CSPs did not happen by chance: the number of CSPs in the bin $[0.99, 1]$ was significantly larger than the number of CSPs in equally sized adjacent bins ($p = 0.01$, binomial test). The same held true for the number of CSPs in the bin $[0, 0.01]$, which was significantly larger than in adjacent bins. This CSP behavior illustrates that on the population level, RA activity tends to be highly locked to HVCRA bursts within at least $60$ ms.

We compared the experimental CSP distributions with model distributions for 50 simulated HVCRA–RA pairs under various model assumptions. For the model in Figure 2, very small and very large CSPs appeared frequently (red curve in Figure 6), in good agreement with the data. Almost no parameter tuning was necessary to achieve a good fit. The heights of extreme CSP peaks were positively correlated with $q$. When $q$ was small, the likelihood of repeated switching between ground and song states within $60$ ms was large, thereby decorrelating spike trains and forcing extreme CSP values to appear less frequently. CSPs in the intermediate range $0.5–0.95$ were positively correlated with $p$, because with longer RA burst sequences, intermediate CSP values occurred

![Figure 4. Modeling Sleep-Related Activity ($p,q < 1$)](Image)
more often. For a peak at unit CSP to appear, the RA burst probability \( p_R \) had to be close to one: by decreasing \( p_R \) from one to 0.8, the peak at unit CSP completely disappeared. Thus, to agree with the data, RA neurons must have a very high burst probability, which is suggestive of a strong drive from HVC.

We then assumed that HVC\(_{RA}\) neurons do not burst by linkage with a single HVC\(_{RA}\) group, but that 80% of their bursts are locked to a first HVC\(_{RA}\) group, and 20% of bursts are locked to a second group (in the simulations, the two groups were randomly chosen for each simulated HVC\(_{RA}\) neuron). We expected these double linkages to create a washout effect in which clear RA burst pattern would no longer be seen. Indeed, by remapping just 20% of HVC\(_{RA}\) bursts in this manner, very high and very low CSPs appeared less frequently (green curve in Figure 6), in disagreement with the data. This phenomenon was very robust because increasing \( p \) up to 99/100 and \( q \) up to 999/1000 was insufficient to reproduce the high peak at unit CSP. Thus, ultrasparseness of HVC\(_{RA}\) linkage is necessary to explain the abundance of extreme CSPs.

We also estimated the degree to which HVC\(_{RA}\) groups are activated in sequence as opposed to random (nonsequential) activation. In principle, our sleep model in Figure 2B allows for almost arbitrary state transitions by means of a brief intermission via the ground state. However, reasonable values for \( p \) and \( q \) imply that nonsequential HVC\(_{RA}\)-group activation is rare and that such events have little impact on...
Tests of RA Intrinsic Dynamics and Inhibition

In our model, RA neurons are simply driven by HVC<sub>RA</sub> bursts. To test for the possibility that RA burst sequences can be self-sustaining due to recurrent RA circuitry and in the absence of HVC drive, we performed model simulations in which after each transition into the ground state, RA burst sequences continued to propagate for a random duration uniformly distributed in the time interval 0–15 ms. By doing this, RA neurons produced less than 4% additional burst spikes compared to before. Despite this small addition of spikes, average CSP functions of RA–HVC<sub>1</sub> pairs became unrealistically heavy at negative time lags, Figure 7A. This behavior was very robust, though it obviously depended on the estimated HVC<sub>RA</sub> spike propagation time $\tau_R = 4$ ms; see Methods and [24]. To assess the relevance of RA intrinsic dynamics in a manner independent of spike-propagation estimates, we removed single spikes in RA neurons (these are spikes forming ISI pairs of more than 10 ms each). Thus-formed RA–HVC<sub>1</sub> CSP functions (with single RA spikes removed) displayed a high peak that in fact could not be reproduced with any set of model parameters $p$ and $q$ unless RA links were correlated with HVC<sub>G</sub> links (good agreement could be achieved when RA neurons were linked to 13 among the 56 HVC<sub>RA</sub> groups to which HVC<sub>G</sub> neurons were linked). Thus, rather than finding evidence for RA intrinsic dynamics, we found the contrary evidence that in order to explain the non-lagging and strong RA–HVC<sub>1</sub> correlations, RA neurons must be preferentially linked to and driven by the same HVC<sub>RA</sub> groups as are HVC<sub>G</sub> neurons.

We were also able to test a more subtle prediction of our model, such as the impact of RA-intrinsic inhibition. The key experimental observation is that right after sleep bursts, RA neurons do not immediately reenter the tonic firing mode, but that tonic firing recovers after an estimated recovery time of $D_R = 240$ ms (Figure S1E). We modeled this transient suppression of tonic firing by RA inhibition. This inhibition had average duration $D_R$ and was randomly elicited with independent probability $P_{\text{in}}$ per activated HVC<sub>RA</sub> group (see Methods). A good fit was achieved using $P_{\text{in}} = 0.1$. Due to the nonspecificity of this inhibition, tonic RA firing was suppressed also when the recorded RA neuron did not burst, but some other RA neuron did. The situation was different when we modeled the reduced tonic firing by a soft refractory period with average duration $D_R = 240$ ms, in which case tonic RA firing was suppressed only after bursts. To distinguish between these two models, we inspected paired RA–neuron recordings for periods when one neuron burst, but the other did not. We then plotted the average instantaneous firing rate (IFR) of the nonbursting neurons, time-aligned to burst onsets. We found that in synchrony with the bursts, there was a brief dip in the IFR. The inhibition model was able to reproduce this phenomenon, but the adaptation model was not, Figure 7B. These findings demonstrate that tonic RA firing during sleep is suppressed by intrinsic inhibition and not by firing adaptation alone.

Discussion

We have translated a popular diagram of songbird premotor dynamics into a simple state-space model of neuron populations. To produce good fits of spike correlations measured during sleep, we had to make use of a nonnegligible range of parameter values. We justified this requirement by intrinsic variability of the data that on the
one hand is due to nonstationarities of sleep modeled by \( p \) and \( q \), and on the other hand is due to individual differences in tonic firing rates. The parameters \( p \) and \( q \) interpolate between firing characteristics associated with two different behavioral states, i.e., waking and singing. We can at this point only speculate about their biophysical interpretations.

The persistence probability \( p \) of song states could be a neuromodulatory mechanism that affects vesicle release probability in HVCRA neurons, or their excitability. Such a scenario seems plausible if sequential activation of HVCRA groups derives from excitatory synaptic connections between HVCRA neurons. Current evidence indicates that HVC and RA burst epochs are shaped by a thalamic nucleus. Accordingly, the persistence probability \( p \) must depend on such extrinsic influences as well. We are more uncertain about the persistence probability \( q \) of the ground state. Songs of birds are initiated somewhere in the brain with the result of activating a particular HVC\(_{RA} \) group. During sleep, initializing signals appear to originate in the NIf that projects to HVC [23]. The parameter \( q \) could thus represent vesicle release probability in synapses of HVC-projecting NIf neurons or of synapses (or excitability) within NIf.

An inherent assumption in our model is conditional independence of spike trains given a sequence of population states. This is a strong assumption, as it ignores the fact that cells spike more reliably when their afferents spike more reliably as well. As a consequence, we found that the model tended to underestimate some measured correlations (Figure 5F), yet the differences could be explained by assuming nonhomogeneity of link distributions. Possibly, by doing so, we have overestimated the tendency by which neurons link to preferred HVC\(_{RA} \) groups; part of the high CSPs could be attributable to genuine pairwise interactions. To be able to estimate these interactions in future work, it will be necessary to simultaneously record from larger neuron populations. Our prediction would be that higher-order spike correlations must obey the regularities imposed by population-conditional spike-generation mechanisms. If this prediction turns out to be wrong and spike triplets appear more often than predicted, then we might have to revise our model by incorporating mutual dependencies of burst probabilities, which in essence corresponds to introducing higher-order spike correlations.

We were unable to characterize the HVC\(_{RA} \) groups to which HVC\(_1 \) and RA neurons are linked with higher probability, but we speculate that preference applies to HVC\(_{RA} \) groups that represent syllable onsets, in agreement with weak predictive correlations between song patterns and activity in HVC\(_1 \) and RA neurons [10,11]. These distinguished HVC\(_{RA} \) groups could also be leaders that are preferentially activated in transition from the ground state. Such a scenario seems plausible given that syllable onsets are flexible song elements optimally aligned with global song tempo [25]. Insights into these questions could emerge from applications of our modeling approach to a set of HVC and NIf recordings [23]; because NIf projection neurons tend to burst in time intervals of 100 ms and more, their correlations with HVC neurons might provide evidence of regular spacing between leading HVC\(_{RA} \) groups.

One of the benefits of our modeling approach compared to other approaches is increased simulation efficiency, because the time it takes to generate a model spike train is orders of magnitude shorter than for detailed biophysical models such as conductance-based integrate-and-fire neurons. Thanks to this efficiency, we were able to compare simulated data with real data to great detail, a task that usually becomes exhaustive in simulations of membrane biophysics. We have not hand-picked neurons for model comparison, but tested model predictions on data from all recorded cells and in all relevant behavioral states. Despite the many simplifications of our model, we believe it can be converted into the language of membrane voltages and synaptic potentials. For example, we have implicitly assumed that neurons are intrinsic bursters. It is known that intrinsic bursting can stabilize the
propagation of synchronized activity in conductance-based model neurons [14]. One of the main difficulties would then be to find the appropriate conductance values that implement our estimates of burst probabilities and burst durations. In contrast, comparatively little effort would have to be made to compose synaptic weight matrices, as these are specified by our estimates of link statistics.

It might also be interesting to apply our approach to other neural systems. For example, in the insect olfactory system, odor processing is associated with stereotyped neural sequences in the antennal lobe [26]. Although the diversity of these sequences is thought to have the function of maximizing odor discriminability in downstream areas, it is currently not clear whether odor-evoked sequences are assembled from discrete states and constrained by a small number of state transitions, or whether an almost infinite number of possibilities applies [27]. Our approach would be ideally suited to explore such hypotheses.

Our findings suggest that all sleep-related bursts are in fact replay of song-evoked bursts, as each model sleep burst is clearly associated with one of 100 song-related activity states. Such similarity seems not surprising given that song- and sleep-related activity is generated by the same synaptic circuits. However, what could be the function of such randomized replay? We do not know the answer, but generative probabilistic models as ours have the advantage that they are closely related to some machine learning algorithms [28]. With the growing notion that activity replay during sleep may be involved in memory consolidation and learning processes [29,30], our model provides a sound basis for the quantitative testing of such ideas.

Methods

Markov population model of HVC activity. We model the activity state of HVC at time \( t \) as a random variable \( S_t \) that can be in any one of 101 states, where state 0 is termed the ground state and states 1–100 are termed song states (Figure 2). When at time \( t \) the random variable is in the \( i \)th song state (\( S_t = i > 0 \)), we say that the \( i \)th group of HVCRA neurons (or \( i \)th HVCRA group) is activated. Accordingly, at time \( t + \delta_s \), the \((i + 1)\)th group is activated with probability \( p \) (a free model parameter): \( P(S_{t+s} = i + 1 | S_t = i) = p \); alternatively, with probability \( 1 - p \), HVC activity transits into the ground state: \( P(S_{t+s} = 0 | S_t = i) = 1 - p \). The space of song states has a ring structure such that when the 100th state is reached, HVC activity transits into state 1 with probability \( p \). When at time \( t \), HVC activity is in the ground state, it stays there at time \( t + \delta_s \) with probability \( q \) (another free model parameter): \( P(S_{t+s} = 0 | S_t = 0) = q \); alternatively, with probability \( 1 - q \), HVC activity transits into any one of the song states, \( P(S_{t+s} = i > 0 | S_t = 0) = (1 - q)/100 \).

The time steps \( \delta_s \) in which HVC dynamics evolve is a random variable that depends on the HVCRA group that is active at that time: \( \delta_s = (n_i - m_i) \delta S \). Here, \( n_i \) sets the maximum time-step duration of the \( i \)th group (a Gaussian random number with a mean of 9 ms and standard deviation of 1.8 ms), \( m_i \) introduces temporal fluctuations (a Gaussian random variable with a mean of 4 ms and standard deviation 0.4 ms), and \( \delta S \) is the Kroncker delta \( \delta_{i,j} = 1 \) if \( i = j \), and 0 otherwise. The reason for this doubly random choice of time steps is to avoid any periodicity which would lead to uncharacteristic ultranarrow peaks in correlation functions. For the ground state, time steps are not randomized, but simply set to the average duration of song states, i.e., 5 ms. The large-time behavior of the model output was independent of detailed time-step assumptions.

Model spike trains. Given a sequence \( \{S_{t+s}\}_{s \geq 0} \) of HVC activity states, we generate spike trains in a small set of HVCRA, HVCI, and RA neurons in the following way. First, we randomly link each of the neurons to a distinct subset of HVCRA groups, where the subset size (the link count) ranges from \( L_R = 35 \) to 50 for HVC neurons, from \( L_R = 12 \) to 13 for RA neurons, and is set to 1 for HVCRA neurons. In the time interval \( [t, t + \delta_s] \), neuron X is (1) in the burst mode with probability \( p_X \), if \( S_t = i > 0 \) and if neuron X is linked to the \( i \)th HVCRA group, or (2) in the tonic (firing) mode otherwise (X = P for HVCRA neurons, \( X = R \) for RA neurons, and \( X = I \) for HVCI neurons).

For HVCRA neurons, we chose \( p_p = 1 \) during singing and \( p_R = 0.8 \) during sleep [1], though none of the results depended on the actual values of \( p_X \) (due to our conditional assessment of spike correlations).

Spikes associated with the two firing modes are generated by time rescaling of a Poisson process [20] using conditional intensity functions (CIFs). The CIF \( h(t) \) (also known as the stochastic intensity function) is the instantaneous spiking probability as a function of the time lag \( t \) since the last spike. Mathematically, the CIF \( h(t) \) at a reduced speed defined by \( h'(V_t) \) is a Gaussian random variable with a mean \( \Delta t = 0.4 \) ms and standard deviation 0.4 ms, and \( h(t) \) at a reduced speed (the conditional probability \( h(t|N(t \geq 0) = P \) (one spike in \([t + s, t + s + \Delta t]\) last spike at \( t \)), where \( \Delta t = 0.1 \) ms is the smallest time unit in our simulations. CIFs can be derived from ISI pdfs \( p(t) \) according to [20]:

\[
    h(t) = \frac{p(t)}{1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p(k)},
\]

The CIFs associated with burst modes are denoted by \( h'(t) \) (burst) and are identical for all neurons of a given type; they are derived from averages of measured ISI pdfs (Figure 2C). During sleep, firing rates of burst spikes are typically lower than during singing (see Figure S1 for a comparison), suggesting a weakened synaptic drive during sleep. To account for this, when modeling sleep behavior \( (p < 1 \text{ and } q < 1) \), we sample burst CIFs \( h'(t) \) at a reduced speed defined by \( h'(V_t) \), where \( V_t = 0.063 \) for HVCRA neurons, \( V_t = 0.65 \) for RA neurons, and \( V_t = 0.9 \) for HVCI neurons. The CIFs associated with tonic firing modes in HVCI and RA neurons are denoted by \( h(t) \) (as, in awake) and are modeled by gamma functions (Figure 2D).

To model spike propagation times from HVC to RA [1,24], we add a fixed delay of 4 ms to all RA spikes. By construction, spike trains restricted to time intervals \([t, t + \delta_s]\) have renewal statistics, but because of frequent state switching of the HVC population, renewal statistics do not apply to large time intervals. All our simulations are performed with a unit time step of \( \Delta t = 0.1 \) ms. For each simulated neuron, we generate spike trains between 2 min and 30 min duration.

The following additional assumptions about switching behavior produce good results: when a neuron switches from the tonic mode into the burst mode, we automatically set the first spike of the burst. However, if a neuron remains for two or more consecutive time steps in the burst mode, then we continue to sample the CIF without setting a spike at subsequent time steps (we set a spike only after a state switch).

To model reduced tonic firing in RA neurons after spike bursts (Figure S1E), we incorporate an RA inhibitory mechanism into the tonic firing state (as long as state 0 is the precedent) probability \( p_{inh} = 0.1 \) that a neuron experiences inhibitory input from RA interneurons [31]. Such inhibitory input lasts for a duration \( D \), where \( D \) is randomly drawn from an exponential distribution with mean \( \mu_D \). As long as an RA neuron receives inhibitory input, it does not produce tonic spikes (in contrast, RA neurons are allowed to fire burst spikes while subjected to inhibitory input). To test the validity of this inhibition model, we compare it to a different model in which tonic firing is reduced after bursts by means of burst-triggered spike-rate adaptation. That is, when an RA neuron switches into the tonic firing mode, no spike is fired until a random time delay \( D \) passes since the onset of the last burst, where \( D \) is again randomly drawn from an exponential distribution with mean \( \mu_D \). Both models are able to explain burst-triggered firing adaptation in single RA neurons (Figure S1E); however, only the inhibition model is able to correctly reproduce transitive firing suppression in RA pairs (Figure 7).

 Bursting in RA and HVC neurons is under tight control of input from Uva (unpublished observation). We implement Uva-mediated burst epochs as a Poisson point process in regular time intervals of \( T_{epoch} = 400 \) ms and with probability \( p_{inh} = 0.04 \), we increase the persistence of song states to \( p = 1 \) for a duration of \( T_{epoch} \). No fine-tuning of burst epoch parameters \( T_{epoch} \) and \( p_{inh} \) was necessary to produce good fits in Figure 5C–5F.

Curves in Figures 2 to 5 were fit by manual parameter selection using a graphical user interface written in MATLAB (The Mathworks) and C++. The parameter values that were explored to produce fits in Figure 2 were \( p = 0.9 \) and \( q = 0.05 \) for Uva-related RA and HVC neurons (fixed for each neuron type). No objective fitting criterion or systematic parameter sampling was used; satisfactory results could be obtained by trial and error.
Spike-train analysis. All spike-train analysis is performed using Matlab scripts mixed with fast C++ routines. Methods are described in detail in [12] and [24].

The IFR $R(t)$ is defined as the inverse of the ISI enclosing time $t$. The ISI pdf $p(t)$ (t is the ISI) is defined as the histogram of ISIs normalized to sum to one.

We estimate CSP functions $P_{BA}(t)$ for simultaneously recorded or simulated neuron pairs A and B in terms of the fraction of spikes in neuron A that are associated with at least one spike in neuron B in the relative time window $[-\frac{s}{m}, s + \frac{s}{m}]$:

$$P_{BA}(t) = \frac{1}{N_{A}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \min[0, t - \theta_{i} + t - \theta_{i}]ight),$$

where $N_A$ is the total number of spikes in neuron A, $\theta_i$ is the spike time of neuron A, $\theta_i'$ is the spike times of neuron B, $\theta_i$ is the Heavyside function, and $s = 5$ ms is the half-width of the spike clipping window. For more information on CSP functions, consult [24].

Supporting Information

Figure S1. Comparison of Song-Related and Sleep-Related Average ISI pdfs (A–D) Shown are ISI pdfs (normalized to the first 10 ms) measured during singing and during sleep. In all neuron types, sleep-related bursts have lower firing rates, indicated by the rightward shift of ISI peaks. Matching of singing-related and sleep-related ISI pdfs can be achieved by different stretch factors $V$ (see Methods). $V = 0.65$ for RA neurons in (A), $V = 0.9$ for HVC neurons in (B), $V = 0.63$ for HVC-RA neurons in (C), and $V = 0.77$ for X-projecting HVC neurons (HVCx neurons in (D)). ISI pdfs were produced based on data in [1,10–12].

(E) RA spike histogram for a range of time lags since the last sleep burst, computed for all RA bursts that were followed by a burst-free period of at least 2 s (the histogram is composed of RA single spikes only). The red curve depicts the fit $1.9 - 1.5 \exp(0.016 t)$, where $t$ is the time lag since the last burst, and $D_B = 240$ ms is our estimation of the RA inhibition time constant.

Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.0030249.sg001 (94 KB PDF).

Figure S2. Autocovariance Functions of RA Spike Trains during Sleep

The autocovariance function $C(t)$ of a spike train $\rho(t)$ (modeled as a sum of delta functions) is a measure of spike density fluctuation and is defined as:

$$C(t) = -\frac{1}{T - |t|} \sum_{t_0 = 0}^{T - |t|} \rho(t_0 + s)\rho(s) - \bar{\rho}^2,$$

where $\bar{\rho}$ is the average firing rate and $T$ is the total duration of the spike train. The characteristic oscillatory behavior of autocovariance functions in RA neurons is well-reproduced by the model.

(A) A short survival time of the ground state leads to fast decay of autocovariance oscillations. $D_{RA} = 240$ ms and $V_{RA} = 0.7$.

(B) A long survival time of the ground state leads to slow decay of oscillations. $D_{RA} = 120$ ms and $V_{RA} = 0.67$.

In (A) and (B), $L_{RA} = 13$ and $p_{RA} = 0.92$.

Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.0030249.sg002 (85 KB PDF).

Figure S3. Average CSP Functions Fitted without Burst Epochs (A–D) Unlike in Figure 5, no burst epochs (fluctuations in $\rho$) were included in the model. Model curves (black) represents the best fits achievable by trial and error. The arrows indicate regions where the quality of fit could not be improved. Same legend as in Figure 5.

Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.0030249.sg003 (49 KB PDF).
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