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The bony pelvis of adult humans exhibits marked sexual dimorphism, which is traditionally interpreted in the framework of the “obstetrical dilemma” hypothesis: Giving birth to large-brained/large-bodied babies requires a wide pelvis, whereas efficient bipedal locomotion requires a narrow pelvis. This hypothesis has been challenged recently on biomechanical, metabolic, and biocultural grounds, so that it remains unclear which factors are responsible for sex-specific differences in adult pelvic morphology. Here we address this issue from a developmental perspective. We use methods of biomedical imaging and geometric morphometrics to analyze changes in pelvic morphology from late fetal stages to adulthood in a known-age/known-sex forensic/clinical sample. Results show that, until puberty, female and male pelves exhibit only moderate sexual dimorphism and follow largely similar developmental trajectories. With the onset of puberty, however, the female trajectory diverges substantially from the common course, resulting in rapid expansion of obstetrically relevant pelvic dimensions up to the age of 25–30 y. From 40 y onward females assume a mode of pelvic development similar to males, resulting in significant reduction of obstetric dimensions. This complex developmental trajectory is likely linked to the pubertal rise and premenopausal fall of estradiol levels and results in the obstetrically most adequate pelvic morphology during the time of maximum female fertility. The evidence that hormones mediate female pelvic development and morphology supports the view that solutions of the obstetrical dilemma depend not only on selection and adaptation but also on developmentally plasticity as a response to ecological/nutritional factors during a female’s lifetime.

Females and males of most mammalian species differ in various morphological characteristics, such as the size and shape of the body as a whole and of soft and hard tissue structures (1). Sex-specific differences are also well documented in humans and nonhuman primates, particularly in the pelvis, and various hypotheses have been proposed to explain how pelvic sexual dimorphism evolves and develops (2–11). There is general agreement that the female pelvis is under obstetric selection to be adequately capacious for childbirth. However, the exact nature of selective pressures and developmental mechanisms yielding female and male pelvic phenotypes is still largely unknown, and whether obstetric adaptations involve trade-offs with other aspects of pelvic function, such as locomotor efficiency and abdominal stabilization, continues to be debated (12, 13).

One key hypothesis discussed in this context is Washburn’s obstetrical dilemma (OD) (14). In its original form (14), the OD hypothesis posits a conflict between the evolution of bipedal locomotion (selection for biomechanically efficient, narrow pelves) and of large brains (selection for large-brained neonates, and obstetrically efficient, wide pelves). According to Washburn, the dilemma is “solved by delivery of the fetus at a much earlier stage of development” (ref. 14, p. 74) than in our closest living relatives, the great apes. Although the OD hypothesis thus primarily seeks to explain the early timing of birth and human altriciality (15), it also provides an explanation for pelvic sexual dimorphism: Selection favored wider female pelves to reduce the risks involved in birthing large-brained/large-bodied babies, but did so at the expense of locomotor efficiency (2, 5, 7). According to this hypothesis, the tight fit between the neonate head and maternal pelvis (obstetric constraints) and the high prevalence of obstructed labor in humans (16–18) reflect a trade-off between obstetric and locomotor selection pressures on the female pelvis.

Over the past years, the OD hypothesis has been reexamined extensively and has been challenged on various grounds (10–13, 19–22). The energetics of gestation and growth (EGG) hypothesis (12, 20, 23) provides a new perspective, proposing that the timing of birth is constrained by the limited metabolic output of the mother rather than by spatial limitations of her pelvis. Furthermore, inverse-dynamics models and experimental data indicate that a wide pelvis does not reduce bipedal locomotor efficiency (12, 13). Because these studies effectively falsify a major tenet of the OD, the tight fit between neonate head and maternal pelvis and the high prevalence of obstructed labor require alternative explanations. It has been proposed that solutions to the OD can be renegotiated (11) through ecologically
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mediated phenotypic plasticity of pelvic and fetal dimensions but that rapid changes in environmental conditions may result in fetopelvic mismatch (10, 11, 23). Obstructed labor thus would be a consequence of a mismatch between maternal and neonatal growth in pelvic plasticity (23, 24) or of biocultural factors (22) rather than an evolutionary trade-off between obstetrics and locomotion.

On the other hand, indirect evidence for gene-mediated constraints on fetopelvic proportions comes from a recent study demonstrating that mothers with large heads (who, because of the high heritability of cranial dimensions, are likely to have large-headed babies) tend to have obstetrically more favorable pelvic dimensions than mothers with small heads (25). However, correlation between head size and these pelvic dimensions is also present in males (25), although the correlation is less pronounced than in females. Thus the extent to which the observed patterns represent female-specific obstetric selection, sex-neutral genetic–developmental integration, and/or developmental plasticity remains to be clarified.

Somatic sexual dimorphism such as that of the pelvis is largely the result of hormonally regulated sex-biased gene expression (26, 27). Previous research on the development of pelvic sexual dimorphism in mammals reveals a wide variety of modes of divergence. Several studies in rodents (28–30) suggest that the pubertal (or postmenopausal) mode of shape change (Fig. 2B) is largely similar (i.e., growth-related change in size) of females and males are largely similar (Fig. 2A). PC1, which accounts for 45% of the total shape variation in the sample, captures a shared male/female mode of shape change (Fig. 2B). It is closely correlated with increase in size (females: $r^2 = 0.91$; males: $r^2 = 0.92$) and thus represents ontogenetic allometry (i.e., growth-related change in shape). PC2 (accounting for 11% of the total shape variation) and PC3 (accounting for 10% PC1, PC2, and PC3) track the development of sex-specific differences in pelvic shape. Female and male trajectories diverge early during infancy (see PC3 in Figs. 1B and 2D) and exhibit further separation during late childhood (see PC2 in Figs. 1A and 2C), resulting in moderate but significant sexual dimorphism at the onset of puberty (age 10–12 y) (Fig. 2E and Table S1). These findings confirm previous studies on

Fig. 1. Developmental changes in human pelvic morphology from late fetal stages to late adulthood. (A and B) Bivariate plots of shape variation along PC1 (45% of total sample variation) and PC2 (11%) (A), and along PC1 and PC3 (10%) (B). Red symbols represent females; dots indicate immature or unknown parity status; filled and open circles indicate parous and nonparous status, respectively. Blue symbols represent males. Points A–S denote moving-average positions calculated at the ages indicated in Fig. 2. (C) Anterior and superior views of sex-specific pelvic mean shapes at birth and around 2, 6, 13, 25, and 80 y. (Scale bar, 5 cm.)

Results

Fig. 1 graphs sex-specific trajectories of pelvic shape change along the first three principal components (PCs) of shape space and visualizes actual pelvic morphologies at six developmental stages to birth to late adulthood. Fig. 2 graphs the temporal course of pelvic size and shape change. Pelvic growth trajectories (i.e., age-related increase in size) of females and males are largely similar (Fig. 2A). PC1, which accounts for 45% of the total shape variation in the sample, captures a shared male/female
Fig. 2. Age-related change in human pelvic size (A), shape (B–D), and shape dimorphism (E). Colors and symbols are as in Fig. 1; note that the age axis is scaled logarithmically in postconception years.

Fig. 3. Anterior, superior, and lateral views showing male and female patterns of pelvic shape change from ∼15 y (transparent) to ∼25 y (solid) (A), and from ∼40 y (solid) to ∼80 y (transparent) (B). For additional visualizations of the same patterns of shape change, see Movies S1–S6.
and diverge substantially before the attainment of sexual maturity, we suggest that estrogens have a strong influence on the development of pelvic obstetric dimensions? Our data suggest that estrogens have a strong influence on the development of pelvic obstetric dimensions? Our data further assessed whether maternity (pregnancy and lactation) has an influence on the development of pelvic shape, as reported, for example, in mice (41). To this end, we analyzed pelvic shape variation in a subsample of females with known maternity status. Results show that pelvic morphologies of parous and nonparous females (both groups with an average age of 34 y) are statistically indistinguishable (Figs. 1, 2 and Table S3).

**Discussion**

The findings presented here provide support for the DOD hypothesis along several lines of evidence: With the onset of puberty, the female developmental trajectory diverges substantially from the childhood trajectory, whereas the male trajectory essentially continues its earlier course (Table S2). As a result, the female pelvis attains its obstetrically most favorable morphology around the age of 25–30 y, i.e., at the age of highest fertility (33, 34). Furthermore, pelves in postmenopausal women assume a developmental mode that is largely similar to that of males (Table S2), with the effect that the birth canal becomes constricted.

Sexual dimorphism is largely the outcome of the sex-biased expression of autosomal genes, which in turn are regulated by sex-specific hormone levels and/or differential hormone receptor sensitivity (26, 27, 42). In mice, for example, testicular-feminized males (i.e., males lacking androgen receptors) and gonadectomized males develop male-like pelvic morphology, whereas experimental administration of androgens to females induces male-like morphologies (28–30). In humans, direct evidence for hormone-mediated sex-specific bone remodeling patterns of the pelvis is not yet available. Nevertheless, studies on long bone morphology indicate that sexual skeletal dimorphism develops via complex interactions between sex-specific steroid hormone levels, sex-biased gene expression, and gender differences in sensitivity to bone-loading conditions and to hormones such as the growth hormone/insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) axis (42–45).

Our data on pelvic development thus may be tentatively linked to hormonal change in the following way: The early differentiation of sex-specific pelvic shape might be related to the transient hormonal “minipuberty” during the first year of life (46). Directional changes in developmental trajectories during the prepubertal stage (see PC2 in Figs. 1 and 2) may be linked to the increase in IGF1 (47). The substantial divergent change in the female developmental trajectory during puberty (see PC3 in Figs. 1 and 2) is most likely caused by the sex-specific rise in estradiol levels, triggering a change in pelvic bone-remodeling patterns (46, 47). We further hypothesize that the obstetrically favorable shape of the female pelvis is maintained by the high estradiol levels during the time of maximum fertility and that the significant reduction of obstetric dimensions from age 40 y onwards is related to the premenopausal decline in estradiol levels (46). Further testing of these hypotheses will require combined hormonal, morphometric, and life-history data.

Short-term hormonal effects of pregnancy and birth on sacroiliac and pubic joint motility, as well as the effects of body position on pelvic obstetric dimensions, are well documented (48–50). However, the age-matched sample of parous and nonparous individuals studied here does not provide evidence for major effects of pregnancy and lactation on the development of female-specific pelvic morphology. The weak or absent influence of the growing fetus on its mother’s pelvic development thus might be one of the reasons for fetopelvic disproportion and obstructed labor.

What are the possible evolutionary implications of hormone-mediated development of pelvic obstetric dimensions? Our data suggest that estrogens have a strong influence on the development of the female pelvic morphology during puberty. At the same time, they imply a weak-to-absent influence of androgens on human male-specific pelvic development during puberty, although such influences are well documented in other developmental modules such as the face (51–53). As proposed

**Table S2, and Movies S4–S6).** This pattern largely corresponds to that present in ~15- to 25-y-old males (Table S2). Anteroposterior and superoinferior pelvic dimensions become relatively shorter. Interspinous distance is reduced, and the iliac blades become more everted. At the same time, the subpubic angle and the greater sciatic notch become narrower, as observed earlier (38, 40). In females, this mode of shape change results in a significant reduction of obstetrically relevant birth canal dimensions (Fig. 4).

Although our data show that female and male trajectories diverge substantially before the attainment of sexual maturity, we

**Fig. 4.** Sex-specific changes in angular (A) and size-normalized linear (B and C) pelvic dimensions and in pelvic proportions (D). Colors and symbols are as in Figs. 1 and 2.
earlier (4), testosterone may be involved in the maintenance of the human male pelvic morphology throughout development but does not lead to developmental divergence during puberty.

Referring to recent hypotheses on ecological and nutritional factors influencing the OD (23), we postulate that the female pelvis is highly sensitive to in vivo modification via environmental modulation of hormone levels. As proposed in the framework of human reproductive ecology (23), and specifically by the predictive adaptive response hypothesis (54), an individual’s developmental trajectory may be modified according to the environmental conditions “expected” (i.e., likely) during its reproductive phase. The relationship between the term fetus and its mother’s pelvic morphology thus might be mediated via estrogen levels, which in turn are sensitive to the current state of ecological parameters relevant for prenatal and postnatal development.

Based on the evidence presented here, the DOD hypothesis predicts that higher levels of estrogen in females during puberty/young adulthood result in development/maintenance of an obstetrically more favorable pelvic morphology, which facilitates the delivery of larger babies. The relationships between sex hormone levels, maternal pelvic morphology, fetal size, and pre/postnatal development are complex and are topics of intense research (10, 11, 23, 55, 56). For example, it has been shown that females who are large at birth have comparatively high estradiol levels during adulthood (57). Estradiol levels also are influenced by diet and nutritional status (58–60) and are good predictors of fertility (61), and, likely, of adult pelvic shape (this study). Given this network of cause and effect, there is ample opportunity for in vivo feedback between ecological/nutritional conditions, sex hormone levels, neonate size, and maternal body and pelvic dimensions. For instance, the observed within-subject correlation of pelvic obstetric dimensions with body size and head size (25) could partly be an effect of higher estradiol levels in larger females (57), resulting in obstetrically more favorable morphologies of their pelvis.

Evidence for estradiol-mediated female-specific patterns of pelvic development in humans (this study), nonhuman primates (4), and rodents (32) may indicate either evolutionarily conserved or convergent developmental mechanisms of sexual dimorphism in mammalian species exhibiting obstetric constraints. Because pelvic width does not correlate with locomotor efficacy (62), the question remains why the female pelvis did not evolve and/or does not develop wider obstetric dimensions, which would significantly reduce the existing perinatal risks for the mother and the infant. Pelvic size might be limited by nutritional conditions, which impose global constraints on body growth (11). The high prevalence of obstructed labor thus might largely represent a modern phenomenon resulting from a mismatch between secular increases in neonate size and maternal size. However, additional factors must be advanced to explain both the limited expansion of female pelvic dimensions during pubertal development and the reversal to more constricted dimensions during postmenopausal development. One conspicuous feature of the female expansion/reversal pattern is the widening/shortening of the distance between the ischial spines (Figs. 3 and 4C). The ischial spines are larger in humans than in nonhuman primates, because they constitute important attachment sites for the ligaments and fasciae forming the pelvic floor (62). The spines and associated ligamentous structures substantially constrain the birth canal dimensions, but they provide support for the abdominal and pelvic organs and contribute to sagittal stabilization of the sacrum (62–64). Intraabdominal hydrostatic pressure reaches high peak values during walking and running (65), and although that pressure positively influences the stability of the lumbar spine, it results in high strains in the pelvic floor (66). Pelvic floor strains thus might represent a limiting factor of birth canal dimensions, and this hypothesis receives support from the observation that wider dimensions correlate with a higher prevalence of pelvic floor disorders (67).

Based on these considerations, we hypothesize that the evolutionary and developmental dilemma of the female pelvis reflects a trade-off between obstetrics and abdominopelvic stability. During a female’s lifetime, the dilemma is alleviated first in one direction, by widening the birth canal during the time of highest fertility, and then in the other, by restricting its dimensions during postmenopausal life. Although our data provide support for the obstetric side of the dilemma, testing its locomotor side will require a shift of focus from bipedal locomotor economy toward locomotion-related abdominopelvic stability. It remains to be clarified whether the female postmenopausal reversal to more constricted birth canal dimensions evolved under selective pressures acting on postreproductive life (68) or whether it represents a proximate effect of reduced estrogen levels and developmental plasticity. Also, when during human evolution the developmental mode of the female pelvis started to diverge from the male mode remains to be investigated.

Materials and Methods

The study is based on an anonymized known-age and known-sex forensic clinical sample of nonsymptomatic humans (n = 275) ranging from late fetal stages to late adulthood (Table S4). Data sources are the Collections of the Anthropological Institute, the Vrtofsky database of the Institute of Forensic Medicine of the University of Zurich, Children’s Hospital of Zurich, the Institute of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology of the University of Zurich, the digital autopsy database of the Catholic University of Leuven, Belgium (KU Leuven), and clinical datasets freely available from the OsiriX web-page (www.osirix-viewer.com).

Volumetric data were acquired with medical CT (beam collimation 128 × 0.6 mm; in-plane pixel size 0.2 × 0.2–0.7 × 0.7 mm², slice increment 0.2–1.0 mm), 3D surface models of the bony pelvis were generated with Avizo 6.3.1 (FEI Visualization Sciences Group), and subsequent mesh cleaning was performed with Geomagic XOS (3D Systems). Only well-preserved pelvis were used. Several specimens (n = 9 with ages <8 y, n = 5 with ages 12–15 y, and n = 14 with ages 50–80 y) required minor virtual reconstruction (69, 70).

The shape of the pelvis was quantified with a total number of k = 377 3D anatomical landmarks, which denote locations of biological and/or geometric homology among specimens of the sample. These comprise fixed landmarks (LMs) (k1 = 63), curve semi-landmarks (SLMs) (k2 = 90), and surface landmarks (k3 = 24) (Fig. S2 and Tables S5 and S6). The fixed-LM set comprises 14 LM pairs, which eventually fuse during pelvic development. For geometric morphometric analyses, the mean position was calculated for each pair, resulting in k1 = 49 fixed LMs and a total of k = 363 LMs. Surface SLMs were generated from an arbitrary specimen’s point cloud, and iterative SLM sliding procedures were applied as described in ref. 71. SLM sliding was performed relative to the symmetric mean configuration, using the minimum bending energy criterion. These data were submitted to generalized Procrustes analysis. All procedures were performed with the R package Morpho, version 2.3.1.1 (72).

Principal component analysis was used to reduce the dimensionality of shape space and visualize major patterns of shape variation in the sample. Sex-specific moving averages of PC scores, centroid size, and angular and linear pelvic dimensions were calculated to explore patterns of morphological change along developmental trajectories. To test for differences between group-specific pelvic shapes (Tables S1 and S3), Procrustes ANOVA was performed using the R package geomorph, version 3.0.0-1 (73). Directions of developmental trajectories through shape space were compared using the methods proposed in ref. 74 (Table S2).
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