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Abstract. Recent field expeditions have led to the discovery of a selachian assemblage from the earliest Miocene (Aquitanian) deposits of the Uitpa Formation in the La Guajira Peninsula, Colombia. This elasmobranch assemblage provides a unique glimpse into the Caribbean biodiversity at the onset of the Neogene. The assemblage consists of 13 taxa, of which some are reported from Miocene deposits for the very first time. There are also new records of taxa in the southern Caribbean region. The taxonomic composition of the selachian assemblage was used to conduct a paleoenvironmental and paleobathymetric analysis of the lower Uitpa Formation. The maximum likelihood estimation of paleobathymetry suggests that the lower part of the Uitpa Formation was probably accumulated at a water depth of 100 to 200 m. This indicates a rapid increase in relative sea level or basin deepening, providing new insights into the possible causes of marine biota changes in the Cocinetas Basin during the Oligocene/Miocene transition.


Resumen. UN NUEVO ENSEMBLE DE ELASMOBRANCHII DEL MIOCENO TEMPRANO (AQUITANIANO) DE LA PENÍNSULA DE LA GUAJIRA, COLOMBIA. Recientes trabajos de campo han llevado al descubrimiento de un conjunto de seláceos procedente del Mioceno temprano (Aquitaniano) en los depósitos más antiguos de la Formación Uitpa en la Península de La Guajira, Colombia. Este conjunto de elasmobranquios provee una visión única de la paleobiodiversidad del Caribe a inicios del Período Neógeno. El conjunto aquí descrito se compone de 13 taxones, algunos de los cuales son reportados por vez primera para el Miocene. Esta fauna también incluye nuevos registros para la región sur del Caribe. La composición taxonómica del nuevo ensemble permitió realizar un análisis paleoambiental y paleobatimétrico en la sección más inferior de la Formación Uitpa. La estimación de paleobatimetría usando maximum likelihood sugiere que la parte inferior de la Formación Uitpa fue probablemente depositada a profundidades entre los 100 y 200 m. Esto indica un rápido incremento en el nivel relativo del mar o en la profundización de la cuenca, proveyendo nueva información sobre las posibles causas que dieron origen a los cambios en la biota marina de la Cuenca de Cocinetas durante la transición del Oligoceno/Mioceno.

al., 2000; Aguilera and Rodrigues de Aguilera, 2004; Aguilera, 2010; Aguilera and Lundberg, 2010) available. These studies depict the composition of marine vertebrate Caribbean faunas before the complete closure of the Central American Seaway (Woodburne, 2010; Montes et al., 2012a,b; Coates and Stallard, 2013; Montes et al., 2015). Reports on marine chondrichthians from the Miocene of Colombia are scarce in the literature and previous works on the Cocinetas Basin (La Guajira Peninsula) only include a brief mention of elasmobranch fossils with no accompanying taxonomic description by Lockwood (1965) and a list of 14 families presented in Moreno et al. (2015).

The Cocinetas Basin is located in the eastern flank of La Guajira Peninsula, northern Colombia (Fig. 1), and provides an extensive and well-exposed sedimentary and paleontological record of the last 30 million years (Ma) (Jaramillo et al., 2015; Moreno et al., 2015). Recent expeditions to the La Guajira Peninsula (Cocinetas Basin) brought about the extensive surface collection of vertebrate fossils, including the chondrichthyan assemblage from the early Miocene (Aquitanian) Uitpa Formation reported here. We studied the taxonomic composition of this assemblage and its paleobiogeographical and chronostratigraphic significance. Additionally, we conducted a paleoenvironmental and paleobathymetric analysis of the data to explore possible causes related to the marine biota changes that occurred in the Cocinetas Basin during the Oligocene/Miocene transition (OMT). Furthermore, we compared the Uitpa Formation chondrichthians to other coetaneous faunas from Tropical America such as the Venezuela and Trinidad collection described by Leriche (1938). The new assemblage reported herein, which includes taxa previously unreported from the Caribbean region, is one of the oldest shark-ray associations known from the Neogene of Tropical America.

GEOLOGICAL AND STRATIGRAPHICAL SETTING

The Uitpa Formation and its type section were described by Renz (1960, p. 340) and named after the water spring of the Uitpa village, located to the SE of the Serranía de Jarara. The formation was re-described by Rollins (1965). The Uitpa Formation is one of the most extensive Cenozoic units in the La Guajira Peninsula and boasts a thickness of approximately 230 m. It conformably overlies the Siamana Formation while conformably underlying the Jimol Formation (Fig. 2). While an Oligocene to early Miocene age was proposed by Becker and Dusenbury (1958) based on benthic foraminifera, Lockwood (1965) and Rollins (1965) suggested an early Miocene age (Aquitanian) based on foraminifera and ostracods. More recently, an early Miocene to earliest middle Miocene age was proposed by Hendy et al. (2015) based on mollusks and stratigraphic relations. The outcrop studied herein is located close to the Uitpa village (Fig. 1) and the chondrichthyan-bearing strata (Fig. 2) lie at 0.5 to 10 m from the base of the Uitpa Formation. The lower part of the Uitpa Formation comprises well-differentiated layers of soft light brown shales and calcareous bioturbated silty-sandstones presenting thin selenite layers. We have also observed abundant mollusks, echinoids, crustaceans and bony fish fragmentary remains.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The fossils studied herein (Figs. 3–5) were collected from the base of the Uitpa Formation in the course of three field trips conducted between 2008 and 2014. Fossil specimens came from stratigraphic meters 0.5 to 10 (Fig. 2) in the Arroyo Uitpa locality, ID locality: 360181, 12° 1’ 32.73" N, 72° 33’ 48" W.
N, 71° 25′ 4.94″ W, ~0.9 km SW of the Uitpa village. Photographs of the fossils were taken with a Leica M216F and multifocal stereomicroscope. As for the imaging of small teeth, a Scanning Electronic Microscope was used. We identified all fossil chondrichthyan teeth and narrowed their classification down to the lowest possible taxonomic level. This material is deposited at the Mapuka Museum of Universidad del Norte (MUN-STRI), Barranquilla, Colombia.

While the dental terminology utilized herein is the one coined by Cappetta (2012), the systematics applied for fossil and recent taxa are consistent with Compagno (2005) and Cappetta (2012). The sole exception to this approach is the extinct genus *Carcharocles* Jordan and Hannibal, 1923, whose assignment in this study has prolonged the discussion presented by Pimiento et al. (2010). Measurements taken refer to the entire tooth, including the root, and consist of height, width and length. For incomplete teeth we used only crown measurements. In addition to MUN-STRI materials, specimens housed at the Natural History Museum of Basel (NMB), Basel, Switzerland, were also included here. Taxonomic identification involved an extensive bibliographical review and comparative studies concerning fossil and extant specimens from other collections such as the Fossil Vertebrate Section of the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, Germany; the Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi, Belem, Brazil; the Paleontological collections of the Alcaldía Bolivariana de Urumaco, Urumaco, Venezuela; the Paleontological Institute and Museum at the University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; the René Kindlimann private collection, Uster, Switzerland; and the Universidad Nacional Experimental Francisco de Miranda, Coro, Venezuela.

In this work, the term “Tropical America” (Neotropics) refers to the geographical area of the western hemisphere located between the Tropic of Cancer (23° 27′ N) and the Tropic of Capricorn (23° 27′ S). “Southern South America” is a region composed of the southernmost areas of South America.

---

**Figure 2.** Generalized stratigraphy of the Cocinetas Basin (after Moreno et al., 2015), including a complete stratigraphic section of the Uitpa Formation and an illustration of the studied outcrop, that corresponds to the lowest 10 stratigraphic meters of the formation.
America; namely, Argentina, Chile, Paraguay and Uruguay (south of the Tropic of Capricorn). We refer to Canada, the USA and the northern part of Mexico as "North America" (north of the Tropic of Cancer).

We compiled information on the paleodistribution of all chondrichthyan taxa known from the Neogene of the Americas (Appendix 1) [e.g., Tropical America (Leriche, 1938; Casier, 1958, 1966; Santos and Travassos, 1960; Gillette, 1984; De Muizon and Devries, 1985; Kindlimann, 1990; Kruckow and Thies, 1990; Iturralde-Vinent et al., 1996; Laury, 1999; Donovan and Gunter, 2001; Reis, 2005; Portell et al., 2008; Aguilara and Lundberg, 2010; Pimiento et al., 2010, 2013a, b; Aguilera et al., 2011; Carrillo-Briceno et al., 2014, 2015), Southern South America (Long, 1993a; Arratia and Cione, 1996; Suárez and Marquardt, 2003; Suárez et al., 2006; Cione et al., 2011; Carrillo-Briceno et al., 2013) and North America (Case, 1980; Kruckow and Thies, 1990; Long, 1993b; Müller, 1999; Gonzales-Barba and Thies, 2000; Purdy et al., 2001; Boessenecker, 2011)]. For conducting the paleoenvironmental interpretation, we used Compagno (1984a,b), Compagno and Last (1999), Compagno et al. (2005), Musick et al. (2004), Kiraly et al. (2003), Cao et al. (2011), Voigt and Weber (2011) and the FishBase website (Froese and Pauly, 2015) for collecting bathy metric and habitat information for both the taxa present in the Uitpa Formation and their extant relatives. In addition, we performed a maximum likelihood estimation of paleobathymetry following the method developed and extensively described in Punyasena et al. (2011), with which the probability that a fossil assemblage is derived from a given depth can be calculated. Drawing on information that was compiled from the literature (Fig. 6), all species with extant relatives were individually modeled with normal probability densities to replicate each species abundance distribution along a depth gradient. Probability density reflects the likelihood of finding a given species at a given point along a depth gradient. For the analysis put forth herein, we use the function \textit{truncnorm} in the package \textit{truncnorm} in R (R-Development-Core-Team, 2012) to simulate the probability density. Likelihood values for the depth estimates are the sum of the log likelihoods of the species found within a fossil sample (identical to the joint product of the probability densities of these families). The likelihood estimation was performed using the software package developed by Punyasena et al. (2011) that can be downloaded at https://www.life.illinois.edu/punyasena-download/. The R-code used to run the analysis can be found in Appendix 2.

\section*{RESULTS}

\subsection*{Elasmobranch taxonomical composition}

The elasmobranch assemblage described herein comprises 13 taxa attributed to 12 genera, 11 families and six orders (Appendix 1).

\section*{SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY}

\subsection*{CHONDRICTHYES Huxley, 1880}

\subsection*{NEOSELACHII Compagno, 1977}

\subsection*{SQUALOMORPHII Compagno, 1973}

\subsection*{HEXANCHIFORMES Buen, 1926}

\subsection*{HEPTRANCHIDAE Barnard, 1925}

\textit{Heptranchias} Rafinesque, 1810

\begin{flushright}
\textit{Type species.} Squallus cinereus Gmelin, 1789.
\end{flushright}

\textit{†Heptranchias cf. howellii} (Reed, 1946)

\begin{flushright}
Figure 3.1–7
\end{flushright}

1938 \textit{Notidanion tenuidens} Leriche, p. 3–4, fig. 2, pl. 1, figs. 1–4.
1946 \textit{Notidanion howellii} Reed, p. 1–2, figs. 1–3, p. 3, fig. 4.
1971 \textit{Heptranchias} Waldman, p. 166, pl. 1, figs. 1–2.
1968 \textit{Heptranchias ezoensis} Applegate and Uyeno, p. 197–198, pl. 1A.
1974 \textit{Heptranchias howellii} (Reed), Welton, p. 3, fig. 1A–B, p. 7, pl. 2.
1981 \textit{Heptranchias howellii} (Reed), Cappetta, p. 568, pl. 1, fig. 1–1’.
2015 \textit{Heptranchias howellii} (Reed), Adolfsson and Ward, p. 319–320, fig. 2K–L.

\begin{flushleft}
\textit{Referred material.} Five lower teeth (MUN-STRI-34777, MUN-STRI-34784a and MUN-STRI-39926) and one upper tooth (MUN-STRI-34784b).
\end{flushleft}

\begin{flushleft}
\textit{Geographic and stratigraphic occurrence.} See Remarks.
\end{flushleft}

\begin{flushleft}
\textit{Description.} The five lower teeth, of which two correspond to the mesial part of the tooth (Fig. 3.1–3.2) while the remaining three to the distal part (Fig. 3.3–3.4), are incomplete. All specimens are strongly compressed labiolingually. The mesial specimens present a developed acrocone, which is larger than the distal cusplets, with two small but well differentiated mesial cusps preserving the first two distal cusplets (accessory conules). The other specimens that correspond to the distal part of the teeth only preserve between three and four distal cusplets. In these
specimens, the acrocone and distal cusplets are smooth and inclined distally while their root is poorly preserved. The upper antero-lateral tooth (Fig. 3.5) preserves only the crown, which is tall and narrow with sigmoidal contour.

**Remarks.** *Heptanchias howellii* ranges from the early Paleocene to the late Oligocene with records from Africa (Morocco), Asia (Japan), Australia, Europe, North America and South America (Venezuela) (Leriche, 1938; Reed, 1946; Applegate and Uyeno, 1968; Welton, 1974; Kemp, 1978; Cappetta, 1981, 2012; Bieńkowska-Wasiluk and Radwański, 2009; Adolfsen and Ward, 2015). The teeth of *Heptanchias howellii* are very similar to those of the extant *Heptanchias perlo* Bonnaterre, 1788. However, the teeth of *H. howellii* tend to be larger, reaching up to 25 mm in length, and exhibit a broader and less attenuated acrocone than that of *H. perlo* (Kemp, 1978). According to Cappetta (1981), the acrocone of the *H. howellii* teeth is much less developed in comparison with their distal cusplets. The specimens of *Heptanchias (Notidanion) tenuidens* (Fig. 3.6–3.7) described from the locality of Mene de Acosta in Venezuela (Menecito Member of the San Lorenzo Formation: early to middle Miocene) by Leriche (1938, pl. 1, figs. 1–3), exhibit clear morphological similarities to the teeth of *Heptanchias cf. howellii* from both the Uitpa Formation described herein and those referred to the latter species found in African, European and North American deposits (Welton, 1974; Cappetta, 1981, 2012; Bieńkowska-Wasiluk and Radwański, 2009; Adolfsen and Ward, 2015). This resemblance suggests that *Heptanchias (Notidanion) tenuidens* could be a synonym of *H. howellii*. The merging of the two species was previously suggested by Cappetta (1981, 2012); nevertheless, the aforementioned author did not provide a direct comparison between the *H. tenuidens* specimens of the Leriche collection and *H. howellii*. It should also be noted that one of the specimens referred to as *H. tenuidens* by Leriche (1938, pl. 1, fig. 4) most likely corresponds to an upper anterior tooth of cf. *Centrophorus* (Fig. 3.16–3.17).

**Referred material.** Five poorly preserved lower teeth (MUN-STRI-39927).

**Geographic and stratigraphic occurrence.** See Remarks.

**Description.** These specimens exhibit ranges in height of about 3 to 4 mm and, in width, between 3.5 to 5 mm. The teeth are labio-lingually compressed and taller than broad, with a distally inclined triangular cusp. The mesial cutting edge of the main cusp is convex and markedly serrated. Such disposition contrasts with the distal cutting edge, which is straight to convex and presents finer serrations. The distal heel is high, convex and very weakly serrated. The apron is prominent and long but ends before reaching the base of the root. The uvula is less prominent and short. The labial face of the root is short and presents a well-defined external depression. On the lingual face, a large infundibulum opens below the tip of the uvula.

**Remarks.** *Centrophorus* ranges from the Late Cretaceous to the present (Adnet et al., 2008; Kriwet and Klug, 2009). Extant *Centrophorus* comprises at least 12 species characterized by complex interspecific morphological variations. Additionally, their dentition also displays ontogenetic changes and sexual dimorphism (White et al., 2008). The teeth described herein are not adequately preserved and consequently, although they do resemble the *Centrophorus* sp. teeth referred by Carrillo-Briceño et al. (2014) from the middle to late Miocene of Ecuador, they cannot be assigned to any known species. The specimen illustrated as *Acanthias stehlini* by Leriche (1938, pl. 1, fig. 5) from the locality of Mene de Acosta in Venezuela (San Lorenzo Formation: early–middle Miocene) is, instead, a lower tooth of *Centrophorus* (Fig. 3.14–3.15), as previously suggested by Cappetta (2012, p. 116). The poorly preserved single isolated and fragmented tooth used by Leriche (1938) to erect *Acanthias stehlini* (*Centrophorus stehlini*) does not exhibit any diagnostic features that may distinguish it from the specimens from the Uitpa Formation or any other known fossil or recent species. We consider Leriche’s species a *nomen dubium* of questionable taxonomical validity.

**Squaliformes** Goodrich, 1909

**Centrophoridae** Bleeker, 1859

**Centrophorus** Müller and Henle, 1837

**Type species.** *Squalus acanthias* Linnaeus, 1758.

**Figure 3.8–15**

**See Remarks.**
**Dalatias** Rafinesque, 1810

**Type species.** *Dalatias sparophagus* Rafinesque, 1810.

*Dalatias cf. licha* (Bonnaterre, 1788)  
Figure 3.18–21

1788 *Squalus licha* Bonnaterre, p. 2.  
1948 *Dalatias licha* (Bonnaterre), Bigelow and Schroeder, p. 502, figs. 96, 97.  
1970 *Scymnorhinus licha* (Bonnaterre), Ledoux, p. 353, figs. 20, 21.  
1975 *Dalatias licha* (Bonnaterre), Uyeno and Matsushima, p. 46, pl. 2, fig. 2a–b.

**Referred material.** Three lower teeth, one symphyseal (MUN-STRI-39928) and two laterals (MUN-STRI-39929).

**Geographic and stratigraphic occurrence.** See Remarks.

**Description.** The symphyseal tooth is complete and displays a height of 9.5 mm and a width of 4.1 mm. The tooth is labio-lingually compressed and bears an upright and triangular crown with strongly serrated cutting edges. The serrations are directed apically. Two short, narrow, weakly convex and finely serrated heels flank the main cusp. The root is high and presents convex distal and mesial edges. There is a well-developed medio-lingual foramen and a broad elliptical button hole with a broad channel-shaped depression. The lateral teeth are incomplete, lacking parts of their roots. Their main cusps are similar to those of the symphyseal tooth yet slightly inclined distally. Only one distal heel is observed in the lateral teeth and it is similar to that of the symphyseal. The root is high and resembles that of the symphyseal tooth though presenting a convex distal and a concave medial edge.

**Remarks.** *Dalatias* ranges from the early Paleocene to the Recent (see Kriwet and Klug, 2009; Cappetta, 2012), with the oldest record of *Dalatias licha* known from the middle Eocene of New Zealand (Keyes, 1984; Kriwet and Klug, 2009). The tooth morphology of the Neogene species is identical to that of the recent *D. licha* (Cappetta, 2012). Its fossil record includes occurrences in the Caribbean, Europe, Japan and New Zealand (Kriwet and Klug, 2009; Cappetta, 2012). A specimen previously referred to *Hemipristis serra* Agassiz, 1843, by Casier (1958, pl. 2, fig. 1; 1966, pl. 1, fig. 9), from the early Miocene of Barbados, is hereby identified as a crown of *Dalatias*. The fossil record of *Dalatias* from the Americas is shown in Appendix 1.

**Pristiophoridae** Berg, 1958  
**Pristiophoridae** Bleeker, 1859

*Pristiophorus* Müller and Henle, 1837

**Type species.** *Pristis cirratus* Latham, 1794.

*Pristiophorus* sp.  
Figure 4.1–3

**Referred material.** Thirteen rostral teeth (MUN-STRI-39930; MUN-STRI-39931).

**Geographic and stratigraphic occurrence.** See Remarks.

**Description.** The root is missing in all specimens. The crowns, which measure between 4 and 17 mm in length, are long and slender while dorso-ventrally compressed and bearing smooth edges. The fairly flat enameled cusp is slightly inclined distally.

**Remarks.** *Pristiophorus* ranges from the Late Cretaceous to the Recent and its rostral teeth are readily distinguishable from those of *Pliotrema* Regan, 1906 since the latter present a barbed posterior cutting edge (Cappetta, 2012). The *Pristiophorus* specimens from the Uitpa Formation described herein constitute the oldest known record of the genus from Tropical America. Other records from the Americas are shown in Appendix 1.  

**Type species.** *Isurus oxyrinchus* Rafinesque, 1810.

*Isurus cf. oxyrinchus* Rafinesque, 1810

**Figure 4.4–11**

1810 *Isurus oxyrinchus* Rafinesque, p. 12, pl. 13, fig. 1.


**Referred material.** Seven teeth. Two upper teeth (MUN-STRI-39932), five lateral teeth of indeterminate position (MUN-STRI-39933).

**Geographic and stratigraphic occurrence.** See Remarks.

**Description.** All teeth are incomplete and present damaged roots. The crown height measures between 9 and 45 mm. The upper anterior tooth exhibits a wide, asymmetrical and distally inclined crown. Both the lingual and the labial sur-

---

faces are smooth. The upper lateral tooth displays a slightly inclined triangular crown marked by smooth cutting edges. Its root is rather low with an obtuse V-shaped basal surface. Similarly, the teeth of indeterminate jaw position, of which some even preserve fragments of the eroded root, also exhibit a triangular crown with smooth cutting edges. In all seven teeth, the crown presents a flat labial face and a moderately convex lingual one.

**Remarks.** *Isurus oxyrinchus* ranges from the late Oligocene (middle Chattian) to the present (see Reinecke et al., 2011 for an excellent summary of the fossil record of the genus). Although the specimens from the Uitpa Formation are mostly incomplete, the fact that their teeth do exhibit a close resemblance to teeth of the extant *Isurus oxyrinchus* allows us to associate them with this taxon. We adopt the taxonomic proposal suggested by Purdy et al. (2001) and ratified by Reinecke et al. (2011) by which narrow-cusped *Isurus* teeth from Miocene–Pliocene deposits referred by other authors (see Purdy et al., 2001) to *Isurus desori* Agassiz, 1843, should be assigned to *Isurus oxyrinchus*. The tooth referred to *Oxyrhina cf. desori* by Leriche (1938, pl. 1, fig.15) from the northeast of the Mene de Acosta locality in Venezuela (San Lorenzo Formation *sensu* Leriche, 1938) is hereby reattributed to a lower lateral–posterior tooth of *Isurus oxyrinchus*. The fossil record of *Isurus oxyrinchus* in the Americas is shown in Appendix 1.

†**Otodontidae** Glikman, 1964

†**Carcharocles** Jordan and Hannibal, 1923

**Type species.** *Carcharodon megalodon* Agassiz, 1835.

†**Carcharocles** sp.
Figure 4.12–13

**Referred material.** Three incomplete teeth. Two upper teeth (MUN-STRI-39934; MUN-STRI-39935) and one lower tooth (MUN-STRI-39934).

**Geographic and stratigraphic occurrence.** See Remarks.

**Description.** The teeth are incomplete and only preserve the crown, which is triangular in shape and strongly serrated while presenting a pointed apex. Lateral cusplets are not preserved and the crowns of the upper teeth are broader than those of the lower ones. The crown height measures between ~31 and 45 mm.

**Remarks.** In the Neogene deposits, *Carcharocles* are mainly represented by *Carcharocles chubutensis* Ameghino, 1901 and *Carcharocles megalodon* Agassiz, 1843 (e.g., Pimiento et al., 2010, 2013a,b; Pimiento and Clements, 2014; Pimiento and Balk, 2015). While *Carcharocles chubutensis* ranges from the early to the middle Miocene, *Carcharocles megalodon* ranges from the middle Miocene to the late Pliocene (Pimiento and Balk, 2015). However, other authors have suggested that *C. megalodon* also occurs in the early Miocene (Burdigalian) of Europe and North America (e.g., Leriche, 1938; Purdy et al., 2001; Visaggi and Godfrey, 2010; Reinecke et al., 2011). The generic assignment of *C. chubutensis*, *C. megalodon* and the other species of the lineage has been debated over the years and hitherto there is no consensus among paleoichthyologists concerning the different taxonomical approaches that are still in use (e.g., Cappetta, 2012; Pimiento et al., 2010, 2013b; Reinecke et al., 2011; Bor et al., 2012). According to Pimiento et al. (2013a), the teeth of sub-adult and adult specimens of *C. chubutensis* differ morphologically from those of *C. megalodon* by possessing a pair of lateral cusplets that are not separated from the main cusp. Although the teeth of *Carcharocles chubutensis* from the early Miocene exhibit/retain lateral cusplets, these were lost in the adult stage of *C. megalodon* during the late Miocene. This phenomenon is a heterochronic process by which the ontogenetic changes mimic the changes in the *Carcharocles* clade throughout geologic time (Applegate and Espinosa-Arrubarrena, 1996; Ward and Bonavia, 2001; Pimiento et al., 2010, 2013a,b; Pimiento and Balk, 2015). According to Pimiento et al. (2013a) *Carcharocles chubutensis* can be distinguished from *C. megalodon* based on the age of the fossils, e.g., an early Miocene specimen would be *C. chubutensis* while a late Miocene specimen would be *C. megalodon*. However, *C. megalodon* is present in Burdigalian deposits (Leriche, 1938; Purdy et al., 2001; Visaggi and Godfrey, 2010; Reinecke et al., 2011). The specimens from the Uitpa Formation correspond to an early Miocene (Aquitanian) age, which could justify an assignment to *Carcharocles chubutensis*, but their poor preservation does not allow us to identify any species-diagnostic elements. Thus, we prefer to keep those specimens in open nomenclature. The fossil record of *Carcharocles* in the Americas is shown in Appendix 1.
**ALOPIIDAE** Bonaparte, 1838

**Alopias** Rafinesque, 1810

**Type species.** *Alopias macrourus* Rafinesque, 1810.

*Alopias cf. superciliosus* (Lowe, 1841)

**Description.** The tooth measures 28 mm in height and 27 mm in width and, bearing a main cusp flanked by a cusplet on each side, is of typical lamniform morphology. The lateral cusplets are sharp, broad and present tiny accessory cusps. The crown is slim, biconvex and bent distally while its cutting edges are smooth and reach the base of the crown. The latter clearly overhangs the root, labially. The root is short with rounded and flattened lobes while the lingual collaret is narrow and the median bulge is prominent. There is a small lingual nutritive foramen.

**Remarks.** This very rare, medium sized lamniform shark is only represented by a few isolated teeth from several regions of the world. It is found in the Paratethyan deposits in Austria, Switzerland and Germany (all in private collections, pers. obs. RK) while similar finds are also known from Sardinia (Tethyan/ Mediterranean deposits) (private collection RK). Records outside Europe include Peru and the east coast of North America (Atlantic), particularly the Miocene deposits of the Calvert Cliffs in Maryland (pers. obs. RK). Its distribution was cosmopolitan and it likely inhabited cold to subtropical waters. It is only abundant in the ichthyofauna of the Miocene Calvert Formation of Maryland. This taxon might be closely related to the otodontids and most likely represents an unknown member of this group that coexisted with the other known large otodontid shark *Carcharocles*. Alternatively, this tooth belongs to a yet unnamed lamnidae shark. Both a study of the relevant material in private collections and a comparison with other taxa are required before naming and properly assigning this new shark to a family. This, however, remains beyond the scope of the present work and will be presented separately in the future.

**Hemigaleidae** Hasse, 1879

**Hemipristis** Agassiz, 1835

**Type species.** *Hemipristis serra* Agassiz, 1835.

†*Hemipristis serra* Agassiz, 1835

**Description.** One upper tooth (MUN-STRI-39937).

**Geographic and stratigraphic occurrence.** See Remarks.
Referred material. One upper, lateral tooth (MUN-STRI-39938).

Geographic and stratigraphic occurrence. See Remarks.

Description. The single specimen recovered exhibits a crown 40 mm high and 30 mm wide. The tooth is labio-lingually compressed with a triangular crown curved distally. The mesial cutting edge is strongly concave and bears fine serrations that end shortly before reaching the apex. The distal edge is concave and coarsely serrated with the serrated part also terminating before reaching the apex. Even though the lingual face of the crown is damaged and the root is mostly missing, there is evidence for the presence of a strong lingual protuberance near the crown-root margin.

Remarks. Hemipristis serra is one of the most common fossil elasmobranch species around the world. It is particularly abundant in Neogene tropical to subtropical neritic deposits. Most of the records of this taxon come from Miocene and Pliocene deposits (see Cappetta, 2012). This taxon has also been found in the middle–late Oligocene of Baja California, Mexico (González-Barba and Thies, 2000), and the Oligocene–early Miocene deposits in the Atlantic Coastal Plain, USA (Müller, 1999; Chandler et al., 2006; Cicimurri and Knight, 2009). The fossil record of Hemipristis serra in the Americas is shown in Appendix 1.

CARCHARHINIDAE Jordan and Evermann, 1896

Carcharhinus Blainville, 1816

Type species. Carcharhinus melanopterus Quoy and Gaimard, 1824.

†Carcharhinus gibbesii Woodward, 1889

Figure 4.20–27

1889 Carcharias (Aprionodon) gibbesii Woodward, p. 437.
1938 Hydropriion sp. Leriche, p. 29, pl. 4, fig. 27.
1956 Negaprion gibbesii (Woodward), White, p.139, figs. 77–86, pl. 2, fig. 9.
1980 Negaprion gibbesii (Woodward), Case, p. 88, pl. 5, figs. 9a–9b, 10a–10b.
1999 Carcharhinus gibbesii Woodward, Müller, p. 49.
2009 Carcharhinus gibbesii Woodward, Cicimurri and Knight, p. 632, fig. 5A–D.

Referred material. Seven teeth. Six upper ones and a lower one (MUN-STRI-39945; MUN-STRI-39946).

Geographic and stratigraphic occurrence. See Remarks.

Description. The teeth range between 7 and 9.5 mm in height and between 9 and 11 mm in width. The upper teeth present low triangular crowns that are slightly inclined distally. While their mesial cutting edges are slightly convex, their distal ones are slightly concave. Both cutting edges are smooth and well differentiated from the heels by a notch. The mesial and distal heels are rather straight and strongly serrated. These serrations increase in size towards the base of the main cusp. The root is low, the lobes are slightly rounded and flattened, the lingual surface of the root is slightly inflated and the transverse medial lingual groove is narrow and reaches the base of the root forming a basal notch. The lower tooth presents a triangular crown marked by smooth cutting edges. There is no notch between the main cusp and the lateral heels, which bear completely smooth cutting edges. The root is low and its lobes rounded with a narrow medial lingual groove.

Remarks. Carcharhinus gibbesii is well known from the Oligocene of the Gulf and Atlantic Coastal Plains, USA (Case, 1980; Kruckow and Thies, 1990; Müller, 1999; Manning, 2006; Cicimurri and Knight, 2009), and the late Oligocene of Germany (Reinecke et al., 2014). According to White (1956) and Cicimurri and Knight (2009), the upper teeth of C. gibbesii present a smooth cusp flanked by serrated mesial and distal heels while the lower teeth exhibit a cusp flanked by low and smooth-edged heels. The upper teeth of C. gibbesii are similar to those of Carcharhinus elongatus Leriche, 1910, but the latter species can be distinguished by possessing more weakly serrated or smoother lateral heels (e.g., Génaud 1993; Baut and Génault, 1999, 2001, 2005; Haye et al., 2008). In contrast, the upper teeth of Carcharhinus gibbesii from the Uitpa Formation display strongly serrated mesial and distal heels, which allows us to differentiate them from those of C. elongatus reported from the Oligocene of Europe (e.g., Baut and Génault, 1999; Reinecke et al., 2001, 2005; Haye et al., 2008) and North America (Müller, 1999). Additionally, the tooth referred to Hydropriion sp. by Leriche (1938, pl. 4, fig. 27) from the Miocene of Trinidad belongs to an upper lateral tooth of C. gibbesii (Fig. 4.26–27).

Carcharhinus sp.

Figure 5.1–3

Referred material. Seven incomplete teeth (MUN-STRI-39947).
Description. The specimens are markedly damaged and the crowns heavily eroded. Due to fragmentary conditions and bad preservation in most cases, the remaining specimens are not distinguishable to the species level. However, given that the isolated main cusps (upper and lower) bear clearly serrated cutting edges, we suggest that these specimens differ from those referred to Carcharhinus gibbesi, also found in this assemblage.

*Sphyridae* Gill, 1872

*Sphyra* Rafinesque, 1810

**Type species.** *Squalus zygaena* Linnaeus, 1758.

†*Sphyra laevissima* (Cope, 1867)  
Figure 5.4–5.5

1867 *Galeocerdo laevissima* Cope, p. 141–142, pl. 79–80.  
1962 *Sphyra laevissima* (Cope), Leriche, p. 84, pl. 7, figs. 23–27.  
1980 *Sphyra zygaena* (Linnaeus, 1758), Case, p. 98, pl. 8, fig. 2a–2b.  
1999 *Sphyra laevissima* (Cope), Müller, p. 54, pl. 8, figs. 5–8.  
2011 *Sphyra laevissima* (Cope), Reinecke, Louwye, Havekost, and Moths, p. 81–86, fig. 30, pl. 79, figs. 1a–7c, pl. 80, figs. 1a–5d.

**Referred material.** One upper lateral tooth (MUN-STRI-39949).

**Geographic and stratigraphic occurrence.** See Remarks.

Description. The tooth is complete and measures 8 mm high and 6.5 mm wide. The triangular crown, which is high and wide as well as distally inclined, exhibits a strongly convex lingual face and a flat labial face. Whereas the mesial edge is convex, the distal one is straight. Both cutting edges are completely smooth. The mesial cutting edge continues on the mesial heel without being interrupted by a notch. In contrast, a shallow notch separates the distal heel from the main cusp. The root is low and marked by rounded lobes and a slightly concave basal surface. The lingual protuberance is well-developed and bears a deep groove that extends to the base of the root and forms a very shallow notch.

Remarks. Some authors (e.g., Purdy et al., 2001; Cicimurri and Knight, 2009) noted the close morphological similarities shared by the living *Sphyra zygaena* Linnaeus, 1758, and the extinct *Sphyra laevissima*. They described the latter from the early Miocene of Pungo River Formation, USA, and suggested that *S. laevissima* is a synonym of *S. zygaena* (e.g., Purdy et al., 2001). Despite this, authors like Reinecke et al. (2011) and Bor et al. (2012) have pointed out significant morphological differences between *S. zygaena* and *S. laevissima* and considered the latter as a valid species. Teeth of *S. laevissima* differ from those of *S. zygaena* in presenting a broader and more upright cusp in their upper teeth as well as a shorter and more triangular-shaped cusp in their lower anterior and anterior-lateral teeth (Reinecke et al., 2011). The morphological features observed in the specimen from the Uitpa Formation are comparable to those described by Reinecke et al. (2011) thus allowing us to assign this tooth to *S. laevissima*. According to Reinecke et al. (2011), *S. laevissima* probably first appeared in the upper Oligocene of USA (see Müller, 1999; Cicimurri and Knight, 2009), has a fossil record reaching the middle Miocene and most likely gave rise to *S. zygaena* (see Reinecke et al., 2011, p. 86 for more information about the fossil record of *S. laevissima*).

**Batomorpha** Cappetta, 1980

**Myliobatiformes** Compagno, 1973

**Mobulidae** Gill, 1893

*Mobula* Rafinesque, 1810

**Type species.** *Mobula auriculata* Rafinesque, 1810.

*Mobula* sp.

Figure 5.6–5.8

**Referred material.** One tooth of indeterminate position (MUN-STRI-39949).

**Geographic and stratigraphic occurrence.** See Remarks.

Description. The tooth, 1.4 mm long and 3 mm wide, is broader than long. The crown is higher than the root and its apical part is wider than the collar between the base of the crown and the root. The occlusal section shows a rectangular shape with a coarse ornamentation. The labial visor of the crown displays some traces of reticular ornamentation. The root is low, polyaulacorhizous and presents five irregularly spaced grooves along its width.

Remarks. *Mobula* has a fossil record ranging from the Oligocene to the present (Cicimurri and Knight, 2009; Cappetta, 2012) and its dental morphology is both variable and characterized by marked sexual dimorphism (Adnet et al., 2012). Our knowledge of the dental patterns in extant and fossil Mobulidae is scarce (Adnet et al., 2012; Cappetta, 2012) and therefore any specific taxonomic assignment of fossil speci-
mens becomes difficult. The teeth of the specimen from the Uitpa Formation resemble those of *Mobula tarapacana* Philippi, 1892, illustrated by Adnet *et al.* (2012). However, the scarcity of this taxon in the Uitpa assemblage and the poor preservation of the single specimen recovered preclude further taxonomic identification below the genus level. The fossil record of *Mobula* in the Americas is shown in Appendix 1.

**ACTINOPTERYGII Cope, 1887**  
**TELEOSTEI Müller, 1846**

**Teleostei gen. et spp. indet.**  
Figure 5.9–5.10

**Referred material.** Four fragmented teeth (MUN-STRI-39952).

**Description.** Two distinct morphologies of teleost teeth are present in our sample. The first morphology (Fig. 5.9–5.10) is represented by three specimens in which elongated and curved teeth with an elliptical basal outline can be observed. The presumed anterior face bears a cutting edge stretching from the base towards the missing apex of the teeth. Numerous striations, extending from base to apex, are present on the lateral surfaces of the teeth. The second morphology (Fig. 5.11) is represented by one low and triangular tooth with an elliptical basal outline and continuous cutting edges along its anterior and posterior surfaces. The lateral surfaces of the tooth are not ornamented.

**Remarks.** The small sample size and the incomplete state of preservation of the teeth preclude a more precise identification. The two morphologies correspond to two different teleost genera of marine affinities.

**Elasmobranch habitat preferences and paleobathymetric analysis**

The Uitpa Formation contains chondrichthians whose extant relatives are characterized by diverse environmental and bathymetric affinities. Most taxa recognized in the assemblage, including *Carcarhinus, Hemipristis, Sphyrna* and *Mobula*, have extant representatives that are usual inhabitants of coastal environments, but can also occur in adjacent deep waters (Fig. 6). Species such as *Carcarhinus, Sphyrna* and *Mobula* are pelagic and are able to move along significant distances over oceanic basins (*e.g.*, Compagno, 1984b; Compagno and Last, 1999; Compagno *et al.*, 2005; Voigt and Weber, 2011; Thorrold *et al.*, 2014). The only *Carcarhinus* from the Uitpa assemblage identified to the species level is *C. gibbesii*, which has been previously reported to be associated with relatively shallow and neritic environments.
environments (e.g., Cicimurri and Knight, 2009). As evidenced by C. gibbesii fossils in European deposits (Reinecke et al., 2014), the species was able to move across oceanic basins. In parallel to modern hammerheads, the extinct S. laevissima has been found in outer shelf deposits (e.g., Purdy et al., 2001; Cicimurri and Knight, 2009; Reinecke et al., 2011). Additionally, the extinct Carcharocles and the carcharhiniform Hemipristis serra are commonly found in coastal assemblages (e.g., Pimiento et al., 2010, 2013b; Cappetta, 2012) but must have also been present in open oceanic depositional environments (Carrillo-Briceño et al., 2015). Their fossil record and cosmopolitan distribution (Cappetta, 2012) suggest that these large species were able to move along significant distances over oceanic basins.

Almost one third of the taxa from the Uitpa assemblage which can relate to living counterparts corresponds to benthopelagic Squalomorphi species (Heptranchias cf. howelli, Centrophorus sp., Dalatias cf. licha and Pristiophorus sp.) that prefer deep waters near the continental slope (Fig. 6). These taxa are abundant in our sample (~50%). The extant Heptranchias perlo Bonnaterre, 1788, together with Dalatias licha and many species of Centrophorus and Pristiophorus, can be found in both shallow and deep-water environments but prefer depths greater than 100 m. Also, they are frequently found on the outermost margin of the continental shelves and upper slopes (Fig. 6) (Compagno, 1984a; Castro et al., 1999; Hennemann, 2001; Kiraly et al., 2003; Compagno et al., 2005). The only Pristiophorus species living in the Western Central Atlantic (Bahamas) is Pristiophorus schroederi Springer and Bullis, 1960, which prefers continental and insular slopes at depths of between 400 and 1000 m (Kiraly et al., 2003). A small component of this assemblage corresponds to Isurus cf. oxyrinchus and Alopias cf. superciliosus. Their extant representatives inhabit open waters (Fig. 6) (Compagno et al., 2005).

The maximum likelihood estimates concerning the paleobathymetric analysis (Fig. 7, Appendix 2) indicate that the most probable depth for the Uitpa assemblage is the 100 to 150 m interval (probability of 0.243) followed by the 150 to 200 m interval (probability of 0.240). Depths deeper than 200 m have probabilities <0.14 while depths shallower than 100 m have probabilities <0.17 (Fig. 7, Appendix 2 and Supplementary Online Information).

DISCUSSION

Taxonomical composition

The chondrichthyan assemblage described herein consists of 13 taxa assigned to 12 genera, 11 families and six orders (Appendix 1). While all taxa are reported for the first time from Colombian deposits, the fauna constitutes one of the earliest Miocene assemblages reported from the Caribbean and Tropical America. Other Aquitanian assemblages include the Bissex Hill, Barbados (Casier, 1958, 1966). Additional early Miocene Tropical American faunas have been reported in Barbados, Brazil, Cuba, Mexico, Panama and Venezuela (e.g., Casier, 1958, 1966; Santos and Travassos, 1960; Santos and Salgado, 1971; Iturralde-Vinent et al., 1996; Gonzales-Barba and Thies, 2000; Sánchez-Villagra et al., 2000; Reis, 2005; Portell et al., 2008; Costa et al., 2009; Aguilera, 2010; Aguilera and Lundberg, 2010; Pimiento et al., 2013a).

The taxa Heptranchias cf. howelli, Carcharocles sp., Hemipristis serra, Carcharhinus gibbesii, Sphyrna laevissima and the specimen referred to Lamniformes gen. et sp. indet., are extinct. Most of the remaining taxa correspond to genera or species (Appendix 1) that boast living representatives with a cosmopolitan distribution that includes Tropical America (see Compagno, 1984a,b; Compagno et al., 2005; Voigt and Weber, 2011). Genera such as Heptranchias, Centrophorus, Dalatias, Pristiophorus, Isurus, Carcharocles, Hemipristis, Carcharhinus, Sphyra and Mobula have been previously recognized in the Cenozoic fossil record of the Americas (see Appendix 1). Heptranchias howelli and Carcharhinus gibbesii had been previously reported only in North America (Welton, 1974; Case, 1980; Kruckow and Thies, 1990; Müller, 1999; Manning, 2006; Cicimurri and Knight, 2009) and herein we expand their distribution to include Tropical America. Both the specimens of Heptranchias cf. howelli from the Uitpa Formation (Aquitanian) and those reported by Leriche (1938) from the Mene de Acosta in Venezuela (Menecito Member of the San Lorenzo Formation; early–middle Miocene) represent the youngest record of the taxon. The assemblage from western Venezuela described by Leriche (1938) was originally proposed as of late Oligocene age. Yet, recent studies suggest an early to middle Miocene age (Díaz de Gamaro, 1985).

Specimens of Carcharhinus gibbesii from the Uitpa Formation and the conspecific tooth, which had erroneously
been assigned to *Hypoprion* sp. from the Miocene of Trinidad by Leriche (1938), represent the youngest fossil record for this taxon. The previous youngest record of *C. gibbesii* is from the Chattian of the Thalberg Beds in Bavaria, Germany (Reinecke et al., 2014).

**Paleoenvironment and paleobathymetry**

Previous studies have suggested that the Uitpa Formation was deposited in an open water environment (Becker and Dusenbury, 1958; Rollins, 1965; Thomas, 1972; Hendy et al., 2015). Becker and Dusenbury (1958) proposed, based on benthic foraminifera, a depositional depth of between 100 and 300 fathoms (182 m to 549 m) for the base of the unit. Based on an invertebrate fauna that prefers outer shelf to upper bathyal waters (100–250 m), a recent and more detailed report for the Uitpa Formation by Hendy et al. (2015) confirms the previous paleoenvironmental interpretations for the base of the Uitpa Formation. Hendy et al. (2015) also note a shallowing trend towards the top of the Uitpa Formation.

Our maximum likelihood paleobathymetrical analysis indicates that the lower 10 m of the Uitpa Formation (Fig. 2) were most likely deposited in depths ranging between 100 and 200 m (Figs. 6, 7) in a middle-outer shelf environment. The Uitpa assemblage shows a predominance of benthopelagic Squalomorphii sharks (*Heptanchias cf. howelli*, *Centrophorus* sp., *Dalatias cf. licha* and *Pristiophorus* sp.). The extant species corresponding to such sharks prefer environments with deep-water near the continental slope (Fig. 6).

**Oligocene/Miocene Transition in the Cocinetas Basin**

The Oligocene/Miocene Transition in the Caribbean region was accompanied by a significant change in the regional biota marked by a widespread extinction of the Oligocene Tethyan reef biota and the origin of many extant

---

**Table: Bathymetric Preferences of the Uitpa Chondrichthyans**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOSSIL TAXA</th>
<th>EXTANT SPECIES</th>
<th>n SPECIMENS</th>
<th>LIFESTYLE</th>
<th>PREFERRED HABITAT</th>
<th>BATHYMETRIC RANGE (m)</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>COMMON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inner shelf</td>
<td>mid-outer shelf</td>
<td>continental slope</td>
<td>50m</td>
<td>100m</td>
<td>150m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Heptanchias cf. howelli</em></td>
<td><em>Heptanchias perlo</em></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>MP/MP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>180-450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Centrophorus</em> sp.</td>
<td><em>Centrophorus</em> sp.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>MP/MP</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>180-600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dalatias cf. licha</em></td>
<td><em>Dalatias licha</em></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>MP/MP</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>200-1800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pristiophorus</em> sp.</td>
<td><em>Pristiophorus</em> sp.</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>N/E-MP/MP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>100-300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Isurus cf. oxyrinchus</em></td>
<td><em>Isurus oxyrinchus</em></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/E-MP/MP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>100-150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Carcharocles</em> sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Alopias cf. superciliosus</em></td>
<td><em>Alopias superciliosus</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/E-MP/MP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>10-400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemipristis serra</em></td>
<td><em>Hemipristis elongatus</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>N/E</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>inshore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Carcharhinus gibbesi</em></td>
<td><em>Carcharhinus</em> sp.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>P-BP</td>
<td>N/E-MP/MP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>inshore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Carcharhinus</em> sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>P-BP</td>
<td>N/E-MP/MP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>inshore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sphyma leviissima</em></td>
<td><em>Sphyma</em> sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>P-BP</td>
<td>N/E-MP/MP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>inshore</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mobula</em> sp.</td>
<td><em>Mobula</em> sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>N/E</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>less than 150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 6.** Lifestyle, habitat and bathymetric preferences of the Uitpa Formation chondrichthyan taxa, based on the biology of their extant relatives (references in text). The dark gray shaded area indicates the most probable depositional depth. The horizontal bars represent the water depth inhabited by each taxon with the preferred water depths indicated by thicker bars. Only taxa with recent relatives were considered for this analysis. Abbreviations are as follows: N, Neritic; E, Epipelagic; P, Pelagic; BP, Benthopelagic; MP, Mesopelagic.
lineages of reef corals that are characteristic of the western Atlantic at present (Johnson et al., 2009). This major biotic rearrangement has been attributed to changes in the quality of the regional water (e.g., Edinger and Risk, 1994; Johnson et al., 2008, 2009). For example, the collapse of the San Luis coral-reef ecosystems in the northwestern Falcón Basin (Venezuela) is attributed to a decline in water quality resulting from increased sedimentary influx from the complex drainage system of the region during the OMT (Johnson et al., 2009). In the nearby Cocinetas Basin, the Siamana-Uitpa sequence contains thick late Oligocene reef strata (Renz, 1960; Lockwood, 1965; Rollins, 1965; Thomas, 1972) overlain, in an abrupt transition, by the shales of the Uitpa Formation studied herein. Lockwood (1965) indicated that this fast transition could correspond to a strong environmental change from near-shore to offshore facies.

Reefs are relatively resilient to rises in sea level because corals are almost entirely subtidal and are able to accrete vertically. However, such resilience will deeply depend on the rate of rise (Hamlyton et al., 2014). A rapid sea-level increase will produce a collapse of the reef system (Blanchon et al., 2009; Done, 2011; Hamlyton et al., 2014).

Our chondrichthyan data indicate that, while the lowermost Uitpa Formation was most likely deposited in the mid-outer shelf (100 to 200 m) (Fig. 6), the Siamana reef deposits probably accumulated close to sea level (e.g., Rollins, 1965). These paleobathymetric changes suggest a rapid increase (100 to 200 m) in relative sea level at the Uitpa-Siamana contact during the early Aquitanian. Therefore, the collapse of coral reefs of the Guajira Siamana For-

mation is more likely related to a rapid increase in relative sea level than to an increase in sediment supply as proposed for the San Luis coral-reef ecosystems in the northwestern Falcón Basin (Venezuela) (Johnson et al., 2009).

A rapid increase in relative sea level could be explained by an increase in eustatic sea level and/or an increase in tectonic subsidence. The Aquitanian begins with a brisk increase in eustatic sea level (~40 m, Miller et al., 2005) (Fig. 8) that would nevertheless not be enough to account for the 100–200 m rise in relative sea level at the Uitpa-Siamana transition. An increase in regional tectonic subsidence as a result of the collision of the Panama Microplate and South America during the late Oligocene/early Miocene (Weber et al., 2010; Escalona and Mann, 2011; Farris et al., 2011) could also be responsible for this deepening. During the Oligocene–early Miocene, tectonic subsidence increases in western Venezuela (Falcón area) thus extending the Falcón Basin to the south and E-W (Escalona and Mann, 2011). According to Rollins (1965) and Lockwood (1965), a continuous subsidence and opening of the basins may be responsible for the marine transgression and deepening of the Cocinetas Basin in the beginning of the Miocene.

**CONCLUSION**

We provide descriptions of 13 selachian taxa that constitute the first fossil chondrichthyan assemblage from Colombia to be studied in detail and, also, one of the earliest Neogene occurrences of elasmobranchs in Tropical America. Our paleobathymetric and paleoenvironmental analyses suggest that the lower Uitpa Formation accumulated in waters ranging between 100 and 200 m.
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**APPENDIX 1. Chondrichthyan assemblage of Uitpa Formation and its fossil record in the Cenozoic of the Americas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Tropical America and the Caribbean</th>
<th>Southern South America</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E: early, M: middle, L: late, O: Oligocene, P: Pliocene, ● indicates presence of the genus</td>
<td>NA: North America, SSA: Southern South America</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Taxa

- **Carcharhinus sp.**
- **Dalatias cf. licha**
- **Pristiophorus sp.**
- **†Lamniformes gen. et sp. indet.**
- **Isurus cf. oxyrinchus**
- **†Carcharocles sp.**
- **Alopias cf. superciliosus**
- **Hemipristis serra**
- **†Carcharhinus gibbesii**
- **†Sphyrna laevissima**
- **Mobula sp.**
- ****

### Presence Indicators

- E: early, M: middle, L: late, O: Oligocene, P: Pliocene, ● indicates presence of the genus
- NA: North America, SSA: Southern South America
**APPENDIX 2.**

**Code in R used to run the Maximum Likelihood Analysis**

```r
library(truncnorm)
perlo=rtruncnorm(n=1000, a=0, b=1000, mean=315, sd=135, 
mean=(180+(600-180)/2), sd=600-(180+600-180)/2))
centrophorus=rtruncnorm(n=1000, a=50, b=1440, 
mean=(100+(300-100)/2), sd=300-(100+(300-100)/2))
dalatia=rtruncnorm(n=1000, a=37, b=1800, mean=(200+(1800-200)/2), sd=1800-(200+(1800-200)/2))
pristiophorus=rtruncnorm(n=1000, a=0, b=1000, 
mean=(100+(300-100)/2), sd=300-(100+(300-100)/2))
isurus=rtruncnorm(n=1000, a=0, b=500, mean=(100+(150-100)/2), sd=150-(100+(150-100)/2))
alopias=rtruncnorm(n=1000, a=0, b=730, mean=(10+(400-10)/2), sd=400-(10+(400-10)/2))
hemipristis=rtruncnorm(n=1000, a=0, b=130, mean=(5+(128-5)/2), sd=128-(5+(128-5)/2))
carcharhinus=rtruncnorm(n=1000, a=0, b=1000, mean=(5+(200-5)/2), sd=200-(5+(200-5)/2))
sphyms=rtruncnorm(n=1000, a=0, b=1000, mean=(5+(200-5)/2), sd=200-(5+(200-5)/2))

bathymet=rbind(seq(0,1750,by=50),perlo$counts,centrophorus$counts,dalatia$counts,pristiophorus$counts, 
isurus$counts,alopias$counts,hemipristis$counts,carcharhinus$counts,sphyms$counts,mobula$counts)

rownames(bathymet)=c("depth","perlo","centrophorus","dalatia","pristiophorus","isurus","alopias","hemipristis","carcharhinus","sphyms","mobula")

write.table(bathymet, file="bathym.csv", quote=FALSE, 
sep="",row.names = TRUE, col.names=FALSE)

uitpa.ml=read.table("uitpaML",sep="\t", header=TRUE) #ML results

plot(uitpa.ml$depth,uitpa.ml$Probability, xlab="depth (m.")
,ylab="probability", type="l", lab=c(20,5,7))#figure

abline(v=100, col="red")

abline(v=150, col="red")
```
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