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Summary

Study aim: The aim of the present study was to examine the effect of two different recovery durations (2 min versus 5 min) on the physiological responses (power output, stretch-shortening cycle and lactate concentration) to a 5×6 s repeated cycling sprint exercise protocol in pre-pubescent soccer players.

Materials and methods: Twelve male soccer players (age 12.23 ± 0.55 yrs, body mass 43.6 ± 5.5 kg and height 156.1 ± 5.8 cm) performed 5 × 6 s sprints on a cycle ergometer (Ergomedic 874E, Monark, Sweden) against 0.075 times their body mass resistance on two occasions within a week. In one session there was a 2 min recovery and in the other there was a 5 min recovery in a counterbalanced order. A squat jump (SJ) and a countermovement jump (CMJ) were tested before and after each trial, and the eccentric utilisation ratio (EUR) was calculated as CMJ/SJ.

Results: No significant trial × recovery interaction was observed in the participants’ peak power (p = 0.891, η² = 0.118), mean power (p = 0.910, η² = 0.106), SJ (p = 0.144, η² = 0.630), CMJ (p = 0.616, η² = 0.347) and EUR (p = 0.712, η² = 0.295). However, a main effect of the trial on the CMJ of a large magnitude (p = 0.006, η² = 0.862) was found, in which a higher score was recorded in the third trial than in the first trial (23.3 versus 21.8 cm). No differences were found in the lactate concentrations examined 5 min after the end of the protocol between the two recovery conditions (6.7 ± 1.8 vs. 6.0 ± 1.6 mmol · L⁻¹, in the 2 and 5 min recovery, respectively, Cohen’s d = 0.4).

Conclusions: The duration of the passive recovery time (2 min vs. 5 min) in trials of repeated sprints did not induce important changes either to the indices of the jumping performance or to the power output in pre-pubescent participants.
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Introduction

Repeated sprint exercise (RSE) has been recommended as an optimal training tool to improve the repeated sprinting ability, which is a physical fitness component that has been recognised during the last decades as playing a key role in the performance of team sports (e.g. soccer, basketball and handball) [23, 31]. In addition to its beneficial role for the performance of team sports, RSE has recently been shown to ameliorate health-rated parameters such as cardio-metabolic risk factors and psychological health [26, 30, 34]. Hence, the optimal prescription of RSE impacts both the sport performance and the health of an individual. Among the variables that coaches can manipulate in RSE (mode of exercise, workload, mode of recovery, number and duration of trials), an important variable is the duration of the recovery between the trials [3, 16, 24, 27].

The duration of the recovery in RSE using cycling has ranged from 24 s [16] to 20 min [1]. For instance, a 24 s recovery has been used in protocols of 5 × 6 s (number of trials × duration of each trial) [16]; a 20 and 90 s recovery has been used in 12 × 4 s [18]; a 25, 50 and 100 s recovery in 10 × 5 s [24]; a 30 s recovery in 4 × 10 s [21], 5 × 6 s [9] and 6×6 s protocols [8]; 30 s, 1 and 5 min recovery in 10 × 10 s [27]; and a 40 s recovery in a 10×10 s protocol [17]. Moreover, longer recovery times have ranged from 1.5, 3 and 6 min in 2 × 30 s [3]; 3 min in 10 × 10 s [25]; 4 min in 3 × 30 s [32] and in 6 × 30 s protocols [20]; 5 min in 5 × 60 s [7]; and 20 min in a 3 × 30 s protocol [1].
Observing the above-mentioned RSE protocols, a trend that should be highlighted is the use of a relatively large recovery time (>1 min) mostly when the trial lasts for at least 30 s. During these exercises, fatigue is recorded as changes in the power output, the blood lactate concentration and the knee extension maximum voluntary contraction force [18, 24, 25].

This analysis of previous studies clearly indicates a gap in the existing literature concerning the physiological impact of RSE using trials of a short duration (e.g. 6 s) and a recovery of a long duration (e.g. >1 min). Moreover, most of the abovementioned studies have been conducted on adult participants. It has been shown that lactate responses to RSE might vary between men and boys, with the latter showing higher values of lactate concentrations after performing the same exercise than the former [12, 28]. This age-related difference in the lactate response to RSE indicates that boys need a longer recovery than adults. Knowledge about the differences in the physiological impact of the recovery could help sport scientists and practitioners (e.g. fitness trainers) working with children to prescribe an optimal exercise intensity by altering the recovery duration. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to examine the effect of two different recovery durations (2 min versus 5 min) on the physiological responses (power output, jumping ability and lactate concentration) to a 5 × 6 s RSE protocol in pre-pubescent soccer players. We hypothesised that the two recovery conditions would result in similar physiological effects.

Materials and methods

Participants and study design

Twelve pre-pubescent male soccer players (age 12.23 ± 0.55 yrs, Table 1) participated in the present study. The participants were members of an elite soccer academy in Athens, who each week practiced in three training sessions (2 min versus 5 min) and competed in an official match. This was a convenience sample. The exclusion criteria were the presence of any illness or injury during the testing period; whereas the inclusion criteria were the participation in all of the soccer academy’s activities (training sessions and matches). All of the participants were informed about the aim and the procedures of this study, and their parents provided informed consent. The local institutional review board approved this study. The study was conducted in March 2013, and included two testing sessions at the same time of day and under similar environmental conditions (temperature and humidity) separated by 48 h. In the first session, the participants were measured for their anthropometric characteristics (body mass, height and skinfolds). At both sessions, they performed a repeated sprint ability protocol on a cycle ergometer: one session with a 2 min recovery; and the other with a 5 min recovery in a counterbalanced order. A squat jump (SJ) and a countermovement jump (CMJ) were tested before and after each trial, and the eccentric utilisation ratio (EUR) was calculated as CMJ/SJ. The lactate concentration in the blood was also measured after each repeated sprint ability protocol.

Table 1. Anthropometric characteristics of participants (n = 12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA (yrs)</td>
<td>12.23 ± 0.55</td>
<td>11.47; 12.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔAPHV (yrs)</td>
<td>−2.26 ± 0.59</td>
<td>−2.94; −1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>43.6 ± 5.5</td>
<td>37.0; 54.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>156.1 ± 5.8</td>
<td>149.0; 167.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CA – chronological age, ΔAPHV – difference between CA and age at peak height velocity; range refers to minimal and maximal value

Equipment and protocols

The height and body mass were measured using a stadiometer (SECA, Leicester, UK) and an electronic scale (HD-351, Tanita, Illinois, USA) with the participants in minimal clothing. In addition to the standing height, the sitting height was measured with the same apparatus. The warm-up was similar in the two test sessions and consisted of 10 min of cycling against 1 W · kg⁻¹ and 5 min of stretching exercises. The chronological age (CA) for each participant was calculated using a table of decimals for the year [29]. The peak height velocity (PHV), which reflects the maximum velocity in the growth of height, was used as an indicator of each boy’s biological maturity. The age at PHV (APHV) was predicted by an equation taking into account the sex, date of birth, date of the measurement, height, sitting height and body mass [22]; and the difference (ΔAPHV) between CA and APHV was used as a measure of the biological age.

The RSE protocol consisted of five trials of maximal sprints, with each lasting for 6 s, on a cycle ergometer (Ergomedic 874E, Monark, Sweden) against a braking force 0.075 times their body mass. In one session there was a 2 min recovery and in the other there was a 5 min recovery in a counterbalanced order. The braking force was that which was recommended for children of the participants’ age in the present study to elicit the highest peak power [6, 10]. The seat height was adjusted according to the participants’ preference. The participants assumed an upright position on the cycle ergometer. Toe clips were used to secure their feet on the pedals. Two indices of performance were used for each sprint: the peak power (Ppeak), i.e. the highest power achieved over any 3 s interval within the 6 s exercise trial; and the mean power (Pmean), i.e. the
average power achieved over the 6 s exercise trial [11]. Before the RSE protocol, the participants performed two trials for each of the jumping tests (SJ and CMJ) and the best score of the two trials was recorded. After each sprint protocol, they performed another trial of the SJ and CMJ. The height of each jump in the SJ and CMJ tests was estimated by using the Opto-jump system (Microgate Engineering, Bolzano, Italy) and was expressed in cm. Briefly, the Opto-jump system measures the flight time of a jump and then uses this time to calculate the height of the jump [19]. In the SJ test, an initial semi-squatting position was assumed for 3 s, and the hands were held on the waist during the jump [13]. The same position of the hands was assumed in the CMJ; however, in this case the initial position was upright and the participants performed a countermovement where they lowered to approximately a semi-squatting position and then jumped. The lactate concentration in the blood was assessed through a 20 μL sample obtained from the finger, 5 min after the end of the RSE protocol, using the Accutrend Plus analyser (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland).

Statistical analysis
The statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS v.20.0 (SPSS, Chicago, USA). The data was expressed as the mean and as the standard deviations of the mean (SD). A two-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) examined the effects of the recovery duration (2 min versus 5 min) in the trial on the Ppeak, Pmean, SJ, CMJ and EUR, where the within-subjects factors were the trial and the recovery. Subsequent comparisons between the trials were carried out using the post-hoc Bonferroni test. The magnitude of the differences among the splits was examined using the effect size eta squared (η²) and was evaluated as follows: small (0.010 < η² ≤ 0.059); moderate (0.059 < η² ≤ 0.138); and large (η² > 0.138) [33]. The blood lactate concentration was compared between the two protocols using the dependent t-test. The effect size of the magnitude of their difference was evaluated by using Cohen’s d with the following criteria: ES ≤ 0.2, trivial; 0.2 < ES ≤ 0.6, small; 0.6 < ES ≤ 1.2, moderate; 1.2 < ES ≤ 2.0, large; and ES > 2.0, very large [33]. The significance level was set at alpha = 0.05.

Results

No significant trial × recovery interaction was observed in the Ppeak (p = 0.891, η² = 0.118), Pmean (p = 0.910, η² = 0.106), SJ (p = 0.144, η² = 0.630), CMJ (p = 0.616, η² = 0.347) and the EUR (p = 0.712, η² = 0.249) (Fig. 1). However, a main effect of the trial on the height of the CMJ of a large magnitude (p = 0.006, η² = 0.862) was found, in which a higher score was recorded in the third trial than in the first trial (23.3 versus 21.8 cm). There were also the following cases where the effects approached, but did not quite achieve, significance (0.050 ≤ p ≤ 0.100): (a) main effect of the recovery on the Pmean (p = 0.100, η² = 0.227, 383 versus 391 W in the 2 min and 5 min recovery, respectively); (b) main effect of the recovery on the SJ (p = 0.071, η² = 0.266, 21.9 versus 21.1 cm in the 2 min and 5 min recovery, respectively); and (c) main effect of the recovery on the EUR (p = 0.082, η² = 0.249, 1.044 versus 1.089 in the 2 min and 5 min recovery, respectively). No differences were found in the lactate concentration, examined 5 min after the end of the protocol, between the two recovery conditions (6.7 ± 1.8 versus 6.0 ± 1.6 mmol · L⁻¹ in the 2 and 5 min recovery, Cohen’s d = 0.4).

Discussion

The main finding of the present study was that using a recovery of 2 or 5 min on a 5 × 6 s RSE elicited similar physiological responses (for power output, changes in jumping performance and lactate concentration) in child soccer players. Another major finding was a main effect of the trial on the CMJ, which increased from the first trial to the third trial.

Effect of the recovery on the power output

The participants produced a similar power output, when either the peak or the mean values were considered, between the two recovery conditions. This finding was in agreement with a previous study using a 10 × 10 s protocol with 30 s, 1 min and 5 min recoveries, which did not find any differences in the power output among the different recovery durations in 9.6 yrs boys. However, this was unlike post-pubescent and adult participants who needed the longest recovery duration in order to maintain their power output [27]. The similar power output between the 2 and 5 min recovery indicated a similar development of fatigue. The intramuscular accumulation of metabolic by-products, such as hydrogen ions, which accompany lactate production during RSE has been previously identified as a source of fatigue [14]. Since the participants showed similar levels of post-exercise lactate concentrations after both recovery conditions, it might be concluded that a similar fatigue mechanism was developed in both conditions.

Effect of the trial on the jumping performance

The height of the CMJ increased from the first trial to the third trial by +1.5 cm (~7%). Post-activation potentiation has been defined as an increase in the force production at sub-maximal levels of activation, due to the activation of fast-twitch skeletal muscle fibres [5] or the enhancement of the forces seen after the repetitive activation of
Fig. 1. Changes in peak power, mean power, height of squat jump and countermovement jump, and eccentric utilization ratio (EUR)
the skeletal muscles [2]. On the other hand, fatigue is considered to reflect the inability of the skeletal muscles to generate an expected level of force, and the measured performance following muscle activity is the net balance between the fatigue and the post-activation potentiation [15]. Hence, there was a positive effect of the repeated sprints until the third trial.

Effect of the trial on the power output

Compared to the first trial, the Ppeak and Pmean decreased in the last trial by 2.9 and 3.1%, respectively; however, these changes were not statistically significant. The changes of ~3% were in agreement with existing studies. For instance, in a comparison of different recovery durations among 10 × 5 s RSE, the peak power from the first trial to the last trial decreased by 8.5, 2.7 and 2.1% with 25, 50 and 100 s recoveries, respectively [24]. Also, a decrease of 13.2% from the first to the fifth trial was observed in a study of 5 × 6 s RSE with a 24 s recovery [16]. Therefore, the use of a long recovery in the RSE with short sprints (e.g. 5 or 6 s) resulted in a smaller decrease in the power output when compared to the shorter recovery.

Limitations

The present study was realised with ~12 yrs boys who were pre-pubescent according to their ΔAPHV (~2.3 yrs). A previous study compared the physiological responses to a 10 × 10 s protocol with various recovery durations (30 s, 1 min and 5 min) with participants of varying ages and found differences in the responses between 9.6 yrs and 15.0 yrs boys [27]. Therefore, caution should be exercised when generalising the findings of the present study to other age groups. Another limitation of the findings might be the workload against which the participants pedalled, because it has been shown that the power output can vary according to the workload [4]. Also, the present study was a pilot one and the number of participants (n = 12), despite not differing from other studies comparing varying recovery durations, might be the reason that in three parameters (Pmean, SJ and EUR) the comparison findings approached, but did not quite achieve, significance. Thus, the use of a larger sample is recommended in future relevant research.

Practical implications

RSE consisting of a number of sprints, each lasting ~6 s, has been used routinely by sport scientists and practitioners. Since the exercise intensity – in addition to the workload, duration and number of repetitions – is also defined by the recovery, it would be of great practical importance for practitioners to be informed about the effect of recoveries of different durations. Since the two recovery times in the present study did not differ with regards to their physiological impact, the selection of the shorter recovery might contribute to a better management of the training session’s duration. The exercise with a 2 min recovery lasted (4 recovery intervals among the trials × (5–2) min) 12 min less than the exercise protocol with the 5 min recovery, and this gain in time might be allocated to other purposes. As the additional time did not facilitate the recovery process, the selection of the shorter recovery might contribute to more time-savings and a more effective training session.

Conclusions

The duration of the recovery (2 min versus 5 min) in the trials of repeated sprints did not induce important changes either to the parameters of the stretch-shortening cycle or to the power output of the participants. Based on these findings, it is recommended that fitness coaches use a 2 min recovery instead of a 5 min recovery when prepubescent athletes are performing sprints of a similar repetition rate and duration as in this study.
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