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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Mandibular fractures are amongst the most common facial fractures and are usually treated by open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF). Inferior alveolar nerve (IAN) injuries are seen frequently in mandibular fractures as well as after ORIF of these fractures due to the exposition and the close proximity of the nerve during fracture reduction. Therefore the continuity of the IAN can be disrupted. Permanent injury to the IAN can result in diminished quality of life. This retrospective study was designed to objectively analyse the incidence and the outcome of pre- and postoperative mental nerve hypoesthesia after ORIF of mandibular fractures.

Material and methods: Patients who were consecutively treated at the Department of Cranio-Maxillofacial and Oral Surgery of the University Hospital Zurich between 2004 and 2010 with mandibular fractures who underwent ORIF were included. Follow-up period was 12 months. Demographic, pre-, peri- and postsurgical data were tabulated and statistically evaluated using the $\chi^2$ test and the Kruskall-Wallis-Test.

Results: 340 patients met the inclusion criteria. 27% of the study population presented with postinjury (preoperative) mental nerve hypoesthesia, 46% suffered from purely postoperative hypoesthesia and 27% showed no nerve damage. Complete recovery was seen in 70% of all cases, partial recovery in 20% of the cases and less than 10% suffered from a permanent (>12 months) IAN damage. Mandibular angle fractures were accompanied with significantly higher rates of hypoesthesia (79% vs. 68%). Recovery rate was significantly worse in older patients, when preoperative hypoesthesia was present (66% vs. 73%) and in patients with multiple fractures in proximity to the IAN (36% vs. 52%). Mandibular body fractures showed worse recovery rates than fractures that did not affect the body (44% vs. 52%).

Conclusion: The present study shows that IAN injury is seen frequently in mandibular fractures. Mental nerve hypoesthesia may influence quality of life. Nerve continuity may not be preserved due to the initial trauma or may result as a postoperative complication. Nevertheless the results of this study show a high potential for full recovery.

© 2016 European Association for Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Mandibular fractures are among the most common facial injuries (Boffano et al., 2015). As literature shows, aetiology is country as well as age dependent, but major causes include motor vehicle crashes, work-related injuries, assaults, or sports-related injuries (Gassner et al., 2004; Erdmann et al., 2008; Iatrou et al., 2010). Treatment options include ORIF (open reduction and internal fixation) by either the intraoral or the extraoral approach. Conservative treatment, consisting of closed reduction and mandibu-lo-maxillary fixation (MMF), may be indicated depending on the fracture site and the degree of fragmentation. Modern treatment principles for mandibular fractures in the symphyseal, the body, the angle and the ramus region evidently tend toward rigid internal fixation as fracture fragments can be reduced to re-establish the pretraumatic facial profile (Alpert et al., 2009; Chrcanovic, 2013).

Common complications after ORIF of mandibular fractures include nerve trauma, disturbed wound-healing, infection, malocclusion and non-union (Renton and Wiesenfeld, 1996; Seemann et al., 2010). One of the most common postoperative complications after ORIF of mandibular fractures is mental nerve...
hypoesthesia, which can be of transient or permanent nature. The inferior alveolar nerve (IAN) is at high risk in these fractures due to the exposition to bony fragments, which can cause compression, straining or tearing of the nerve. Therefore the continuity of the nerve may be partially or fully injured.

Risk factors for posttraumatic IAN dysfunction are highly displaced fractures, such as comminuted fractures, and fractures with close proximity to the inferior alveolar nerve (angle, ramus and body) (Bede et al., 2012; Boffano et al., 2014). Literature shows postinjury (preoperative) hypoesthesia rates of up to 81% (Halpern et al., 2004; Bede et al., 2012). When preoperative alveolar nerve function is intact, the literature demonstrates postoperative hypoesthesia rates around 30% (Schultze-Mosgau et al., 1999; Renzi et al., 2004) caused by intraoperative irritation during ORIF. Recovery rates of the inferior alveolar nerve between 33% (Marchena et al., 1998) and 100% (Mayrink et al., 2013) have been published, which suggests a high potential for recovery. Nevertheless disrupted continuity of the inferior alveolar nerve may cause trouble with chewing, eating, swallowing, smiling, or drooling (Lenke et al., 1998) due to diminished or complete loss of sensitivity of the skin and the mucous membranes.

IAN injury can result in severe reduction of quality of life and in chronic pain (Smith et al., 2013). In a study performed by Marchena et al., 1998, 55% of patients with persistent sensory deficit felt disturbed by the absence of nerve recovery.

It is therefore important to analyse the preoperative as well as the postoperative IAN function and to inform patients about possible recovery rates. Different methods of assessing the IAN function have been suggested in the literature, such as the sharp/blunt discrimination, two point discrimination, temperature testing, and others (Poort et al., 2009). While the more elaborate tests are more accurate it can be difficult to incorporate them into a quick postoperative follow-up, which might explain why simple tests are used more routinely.

Nerve lesions can be categorized as neurapraxia, axonotmesis and neuromtosis according to the Seddon classification. Neurapraxia is the mildest nerve injury with intact axon anatomy and shows spontaneous recovery within a few weeks. In axonotmesis the axon is damaged to some degree but recovery without surgical intervention is still possible. In neuromtosis the nerve is completely divided and no spontaneous recovery is possible (Seddon, 1942; Chhabra et al., 2014). The Sunderland classification groups nerve injury into five different groups. A Sunderland first degree injury corresponds to neurapraxia. A Sunderland second degree damage describes axonal damage with full recovery. A Sunderland third degree injury usually recovers in months but surgical intervention may be needed. In Sunderland fourth degree injury recovery only occurs if surgery is performed. Sunderland fifth degree nerve damage corresponds to neuromtosis (Sunderland, 1951). These classifications can help to forecast a prognosis for nerve recovery.

This retrospective study was designed to objectively analyse the incidence and the outcome of mental nerve hypoesthesia after mandibular fractures and after ORIF of these injuries. It was hypothesized that mental nerve hypoesthesia is a common condition after mandibular fractures and that its recovery potential is generally high without special treatment executed.

2. Material and methods

This retrospective analysis was performed in concordance with the Swiss Ethical committee (ref: # 2014-0341). Patients who were consecutively treated at the Department of Cranio-Maxillofacial and Oral Surgery between 2004 and 2010 with mandibular fractures who underwent ORIF by an intraoral approach were included. Inclusion criteria were defined as:

1) Patient presented between the years 2004 through 2010 with a mandibular fracture at the University Hospital Zurich
2) Only patients with at least one fracture site where the continuity of the IAN might have been injured were included (ramus, angle, body, parasymphyseal and symphyseal region). The anatomical regions for this study were defined as:
   - Symphyseal region: area between the lateral incisors
   - Parasymphyseal region: area between the lateral incisor and the second premolar
   - Body: between the second premolar and the third molar
   - Angle: distal of the third molar
   - Ramus: area between the mandibular angle and the mandibular notch
3) Treatment was performed by ORIF with an intraoral approach and in fractures of the angle and the ramus a transbuccal incision supported the intraoral approach.
4) Sufficient radiological and clinical documentation with 12 months of follow-up was available.
5) IAN function was routinely assessed by sharp/blunt and two point discrimination of the mental nerve. However hypoesthesia of the mental nerve does not automatically mean that there is hypoesthesia of the IAN.

All patients were treated by the AO/ASIF (Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Osteosynthesefragen/Association for the Study of Internal Fixation) guidelines by an intraoral approach. A transbuccal incision to support the intraoral approach has been used for angle and ramus fractures. Fractures were anatomically reduced and internal fixation was performed with the Synthes Mandible or the Medartis osteosynthesis system. In general two mini-plates were used for each fracture, one at the inferior border of the mandible with bicortical screws and one at the superior crestal part with monocortical screws. Non-dislocated fractures of the mandibular angle were treated with a Champy plate on the oblique ridge. At least two screws on each side of the fracture gap were placed.

Demographic information was tabulated including the patients’ age, gender and diagnosis. Fracture site, pre- and postoperative mental nerve function as well as period to recovery were extracted from the hospital information system and coded in Excel (Microsoft Excel, Microsoft Corp., Redmond, Washington, USA). The postoperative follow-up interval was 12 months.

Data were then analysed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (IBM Corp. SPSS Statistics Version 21.0, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Descriptive statistics such as mean, standard deviations, medians, IQRs as well as relative frequencies were computed. Associations between two discrete variables were investigated by means of a Chi-square test. Differences in medians between four groups with respect to continuous variables were analysed by means of a Kruskall-Wallis-Test. Results of statistical analysis with p-values smaller than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Demographics

In total 340 patients met the study’s inclusion criteria and could be evaluated. The majority of the patients (79%, n = 268) were of male gender. Patients were between 18 and 90 years old, with a mean and median age of 33 and 27 years, respectively and with an interquartile range of 21 years.

3.2. Fractures and nerve injuries

While every patient included into this study suffered from at least one fracture in close proximity to the IAN (defined in the inclusion
criteria as: ramus, angle, body, parasympyseal and symphyseal region), the most common fractures were parasympyseal (59% n = 199), angle (48% n = 163) and unilateral collum fractures (27% n = 91). Other involved sites were the symphyseal region (8% n = 27), the body (7% n = 25) and bilateral condylar process fractures (7% n = 22). Rare fracture sites in this study were the condylar head (2% n = 6), the ramus (2% n = 6), bilateral body fractures (1% n = 3) and the coronoid process, the angle bilaterally and the symphyseal region bilaterally (each <1% n = 2).

Hypoesthesia of the inferior alveolar nerve was a common finding as shown in Fig. 1. Over one quarter of the patients (27%, n = 91) presented with postinjury (preoperative) hypoesthesia, 46% of the patients (n = 158) suffered from purely postoperative hypoesthesia, meaning the hypoesthesia was caused intraoperative due to ORIF. In 27% (n = 91) the nerve function was completely intact preoperative and postoperative. All patients with preoperative hypoesthesia had postoperative hypoesthesia as well. If the patients with postinjury (preoperative) and the patients with purely postoperative hypoesthesia are combined, 73% (n = 249) of the study population showed hypoesthesia of the mental nerve. No cases of IAN anaesthesia or completely disrupted nerve continuity were found in this study. The left and the right IAN were involved to a similar extent (44% on the left n = 110 versus 39% on the right n = 96). Both sides of the IAN were involved in 17% (n = 43).

Analysis of the fracture aetiology was performed for all three groups (hypoesthesia preoperative, hypoesthesia postoperative, no hypoesthesia). The most common cause for mandibular fractures in this study population were interpersonal altercations (39%, n = 131). The second and third most common reasons were falls (18%, n = 62) and traffic accidents (18%, n = 61, bicycle accidents included). Over 50% (n = 47) of the patients suffering from preoperative (posttraumatic) hypoesthesia had a mandibular fracture due to an interpersonal alteration. Nonetheless, no statistical significance was detected between the groups with preoperative and postoperative hypoesthesia and the fracture aetiology (p-value: 0.060). Furthermore, the patients with neither preoperative nor postoperative hypoesthesia did not show a significantly different fracture mechanism. The most common aetiology for this group were interpersonal altercations (30%, n = 27), followed by traffic accidents (23%, n = 21) and falls (22%, n = 20).

3.3. Postoperative course and follow-up

Complete recovery of the IAN was seen in the majority of the cases (70% n = 175) and partial recovery was gained in 20% (n = 51). Less than 10% (n = 23) presented with permanently disturbed IAN nerve function assessed by sharp/blunt and two point discrimination within the follow-up period of 12 months. An overview can be found in Fig. 2. The period to complete or partial recovery was less than 6 months in almost half of the patients suffering from hypoesthesia (45% n = 113) while in another 45% (n = 113) it was between 6 and 12 months. The remaining 23 patients (<10%) with hypoesthesia did not show any recovery in the follow-up period of 12 months. No interventions such as a nerve reconstruction were performed in the group with only partial or no recovery, since there were only cases with hypoesthesia and no patient suffered of complete anaesthesia.

3.4. Influencing factors for hypoesthesia

Patients who presented with a fracture in the mandibular angle were found to have a significantly (p-value <0.001) higher rate of hypoesthesia than patients without angle fractures. Those with fractured angles complained of hypoesthesia in 79% (n = 128, 62 pre- and 66 postoperatively) while patients without fractures in the angle region presented with hypoesthesia in 68% (n = 121, 29 pre- and 92 postoperatively). No statistical significance could be detected between hypoesthesia and multiple fractures in proximity to the IAN. Neither the gender (p-value: 0.8) nor the age (p-value: 0.1) had a statistical influence on the rate of hypoesthesia.

3.5. Influencing factors for recovery rate

The recovery rate was significantly (p-value <0.001) influenced by the patients’ age. Younger patients had a greater potential for recovery than did older patients. Those who did not suffer from IAN injury had a mean age of 30 years (median 24 years) while patients...
IAN continuity is a common complication after mandibular fractures as well as after its operative treatment. Almost one third of the study population showed postinjury preoperative mental nerve hypoesthesia and over 45% suffered from purely postoperative hypoesthesia without pre-existing posttraumatic nerve injury. This finding correlates with other studies that analysed the IAN function after mandibular fractures (Schultze-Mosgau et al., 1999; Halpern et al., 2004; Renzi et al., 2004; Bede et al., 2012).

Fortunately the literature shows a high potential for recovery after disturbed IAN continuity with published recovery rates between 33% (Marchena et al., 1998) and 100% (Mayrink et al., 2013). Analysis of the results of this publication yielded full recovery in 70% and partial recovery in 20%, leaving only 10% with no improvement within 12 months after disruption of the IAN continuity. This number might be even lower if the follow-up period of 12 months was extended, since neural regeneration is slow. The large diversity in the published recovery rates might be explained due to the different methods of assessing the IAN function, as suggested by Poort et al., 2009. Sharp/blunt and two point discrimination as well as patient reporting was the method routinely used to check for IAN function in this study, which is one of the publication’s limitations.

None of the patients in this study received any treatment if hypoesthesia persisted for over 12 months. No case of complete anaesthesia or complete nerve transection has been observed in this study population, which explains the avoidance of nerve reconstruction techniques.

The analysis of the data revealed that almost one third of the study population did not show any signs of hypoesthesia during the whole treatment course. It is of special interest to know if this group has a different fracture epidemiology than patients with hypoesthesia. However, this study could not reveal any significant differences between the different groups regarding age, fracture aetiology or gender. However, literature shows several risk factors for IAN damage. Two studies, one performed by Bede et al., 2012, the other by Boffano et al., 2014, analysed that highly displaced fractures, such as comminuted fractures and fractures in close proximity to the inferior alveolar nerve (angle, ramus and body) are associated with higher posttraumatic inferior alveolar nerve injury rates. The

4. Discussion

As shown in this study and in the literature, disruption of the IAN continuity is a common complication after mandibular fractures as well as after its operative treatment. Almost one third of the study population showed postinjury preoperative mental nerve hypoesthesia and over 45% suffered from purely postoperative hypoesthesia without pre-existing posttraumatic nerve injury. This finding correlates with other studies that analysed the IAN function after mandibular fractures (Schultze-Mosgau et al., 1999; Halpern et al., 2004; Renzi et al., 2004; Bede et al., 2012).

Fortunately the literature shows a high potential for recovery after disturbed IAN continuity with published recovery rates between 33% (Marchena et al., 1998) and 100% (Mayrink et al., 2013). Analysis of the results of this publication yielded full recovery in 70% and partial recovery in 20%, leaving only 10% with no improvement within 12 months after disruption of the IAN continuity. This number might be even lower if the follow-up period of 12 months was extended, since neural regeneration is slow. The large diversity in the published recovery rates might be explained due to the different methods of assessing the IAN function, as suggested by Poort et al., 2009. Sharp/blunt and two point discrimination as well as patient reporting was the method routinely used to check for IAN function in this study, which is one of the publication’s limitations.

None of the patients in this study received any treatment if hypoesthesia persisted for over 12 months. No case of complete anaesthesia or complete nerve transection has been observed in this study population, which explains the avoidance of nerve reconstruction techniques.

The analysis of the data revealed that almost one third of the study population did not show any signs of hypoesthesia during the whole treatment course. It is of special interest to know if this group has a different fracture epidemiology than patients with hypoesthesia. However, this study could not reveal any significant differences between the different groups regarding age, fracture aetiology or gender. However, literature shows several risk factors for IAN damage. Two studies, one performed by Bede et al., 2012, the other by Boffano et al., 2014, analysed that highly displaced fractures, such as comminuted fractures and fractures in close proximity to the inferior alveolar nerve (angle, ramus and body) are associated with higher posttraumatic inferior alveolar nerve injury rates. The
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The present study supports similar results with worse recovery rates in patients with multiple fractures in the IAN area and especially high rates of hypoesthesia in mandibular angle fractures. A plausible explanation for the higher hypoesthesia rate in mandibular angle fractures is the exposition of the IAN, which is normally protected in the mandibular canal, when fractures occur in the angle area. In these fractures, the fracture line likely runs through the mandibular canal, which leads to straining and compression forces of the IAN. However, in mandibular body and ramus fractures, the IAN is at risk as well, since the IAN is exposed too. Nonetheless, the analysis of this study’s data did not reveal a significantly higher rate of hypoesthesia in those fractures. The authors suspect that the lack of significance might be explained due to the low number of mandibular body (n = 25) and ramus (n = 6) fractures compared with the high number of angle fractures (n = 163). A possible explanation for the low number of mandibular body fractures might be the definition of the anatomical regions for this study. While fractures between the lateral incisor and the second premolar were classified as parasymphysial, only fractures between the second premolar and the third molar were categorized as body fractures, which leaves a relatively small part of the mandible for the body. In addition, there is a high number of angle and a low number of ramus fractures. No distinct border exists between the angle and the ramus. Therefore, a certain amount of the fractures of the angle possibly could have been categorized as ramus fractures as well.

Another noteworthy finding is that patients with existent preoperative disturbed nerve continuity showed a significantly lower rate of complete recovery than patients with only postoperative hypoesthesia. This suggests that the initial trauma that directly affects the nerve continuity has a greater impact on the IAN function than the ORIF does.

Furthermore, the recovery rate for body fractures was worse than for fractures that did not affect this part of the mandible. This is a surprising finding, since a study performed by Ruggiero, 1996, states that the mandibular canal might act as guidance for nerve regeneration. A possible explanation for the worse recovery rate might be that the body was defined as the area between the second premolar and the third molar. This area covers a large part of the mandible where the nerve runs in the mandibular canal. The nerve indeed is somewhat protected in the canal, however in mandibular body fractures the fracture line runs through the mandibular canal, which will cause tearing and straining of the nerve. The IAN might also get exposed to sharp bony fragments that can damage or even dissect the IAN. The same mechanism resulted in a higher rate of hypoesthesia in mandibular angle fractures. Nonetheless, it has to be stated that only 25 patients with mandibular body fractures were included into the study. Even though statistical significance was achieved, caution has to be exercised when analysing such small patient samples.

Limitations of this study include that all data were analysed retrospectively, that IAN function was routinely assessed only by sharp/blunt and two point discrimination and that the follow-up period was limited to 12 months. It has to be noted that retrospective studies can have selection and information bias, which is another limitation of the study.

5. Conclusion

Disrupted IAN continuity is seen frequently after mandibular trauma and is a common complication after ORIF of mandibular fractures. Interpersonal altercation was the cause for most of the fractures.

Special attention has to be paid to the IAN when ORIF is performed, since IAN injuries can diminish the quality of life. The present study revealed worse recovery rates of the IAN for older patients as well as for mandibular body fractures. An especially high rate of IAN damage was found for mandibular angle fractures.
Fortunately mental nerve hypoesthesia has a high potential for recovery. If no recovery occurs after 6 months nerve reconstruction has to be considered.
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