



Year: 2009

EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research group statement and recommendations on endothelial precursor cells

Distler, J H W ; Allanore, Y ; Avouac, J ; Giacomelli, R ; Guiducci, S ; Moritz, F ; Akhmetshina, A ;
Walker, U A ; Gabrielli, A ; Müller-Ladner, U ; Tyndall, A ; Matucci-Cerinic, M ; Distler, O

Abstract: Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is characterised by a progressive microangiopathy that contributes significantly to the morbidity of patients with SSc. Besides insufficient angiogenesis, defective vasculogenesis with altered numbers of endothelial precursor cells (EPCs) might also contribute to the vascular pathogenesis of SSc. However, different protocols for isolation, enrichment, culture and quantification of EPCs are currently used, which complicate comparison and interpretation of the results from different studies. The aim of the European League Against Rheumatism Scleroderma Trials and Research (EUSTAR) group expert panel was to provide recommendations for standardisation of future research on EPCs. Consensus statements and recommendations were developed in a face to face meeting by an expert panel of the basic science working group of EUSTAR. The findings were: cardiovascular risk factors and medications such as statins should be described in detail. A detailed description of methods considering isolation, culture, enrichment and detection of EPCs should be given. For in vitro culture of EPCs, no protocol has been shown to be superior to another, but coating with laminin and type IV collagen would resemble most closely the situation in vivo. The endothelial phenotype should be confirmed in all in vitro cultures at the end of the culture period. We recommend using CD133, vascular endothelial growth factor type 2 receptor (VEGFR2) and CD34 in combination with a viability marker for quantification of EPCs in the blood. Finally, exact standard operating procedures for fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis are given that should be strictly followed. In summary, the EUSTAR recommendations will help to unify EPC research and allow better comparison between the results of different studies.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.2008.091918>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-27419>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Distler, J H W ; Allanore, Y ; Avouac, J ; Giacomelli, R ; Guiducci, S ; Moritz, F ; Akhmetshina, A ; Walker, U A ; Gabrielli, A ; Müller-Ladner, U ; Tyndall, A ; Matucci-Cerinic, M ; Distler, O (2009). EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research group statement and recommendations on endothelial precursor cells. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*, 68(2):163-168.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.2008.091918>

EUSTAR statement and recommendations on endothelial precursor cells

Jörg H.W. Distler¹, Yannick Allanore², Jerome Avouac², Roberto Giacomelli³, Serena Guiducci⁴, Falk Moritz⁵, Alfiya Akhmetshina¹, Ulrich A. Walker⁶, Armando Gabrielli⁷, Ulf Müller-Ladner⁸, Alan Tyndall⁶, Marco Matucci-Cerinic⁴, Oliver Distler⁵

¹Department for Internal Medicine 3 and Institute for Clinical Immunology, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany; ²Paris Descartes University, Rheumatology A dpt, Cochin Hospital and INSERM U781, Necker Hospital, Paris, France; ³Department of Internal Medicine, University of L'Aquila, School of Medicine, Italy; ⁴Department of BioMedicine, Division of Rheumatology AOUC, Denothe Center, University of Florence, Italy; ⁵Department of Rheumatology, Center of Experimental Rheumatology and Zurich Center of Integrative Human Physiology, University Hospital Zurich, Switzerland; ⁶Department of Rheumatology, Basle University, Switzerland; ⁷Dipartimento di Scienze Mediche e Chirurgiche, Sezione di Clinica Medica, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona, Italy; ⁸Justus-Liebig-University Giessen, Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Kerckhoff-Clinic Bad Nauheim, Germany

Word count: 2863

Key words: scleroderma, vasculogenesis, angiogenesis, EPCs, microvascular

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in ARD and any other BMJ PGL products and sublicences such use and exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence (<http://ARD.bmjournals.com/ifora/licence.pdf>).

Corresponding author and reprint requests: Oliver Distler, MD, Department of Rheumatology and Center of Experimental Rheumatology, University Hospital Zurich, Gloriastr. 25, CH-8091 Zurich, Switzerland, Tel: +41 44 255 2977; FAX: +41 44 255 4415; Email: Oliver.Distler@usz.ch

Abstract

Objectives: Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is characterized by a progressive microangiopathy that contributes significantly to the morbidity of SSc patients. Besides insufficient angiogenesis, defective vasculogenesis with altered numbers of endothelial precursor cells (EPCs) might also contribute to the vascular pathogenesis of SSc. However, different protocols for isolation, enrichment, culture and quantification of EPCs are currently used, which complicate comparison and interpretation of the results from different studies.

Methods: The aim of the EUSTAR expert panel was therefore to provide recommendations for standardization of future research on EPCs. Consensus statements and recommendations were developed in a face-to face meeting by an expert panel of the basic science working group of EUSTAR.

Results: Cardiovascular risk factors and medications such as statins should be described in detail. A detailed description of methods considering isolation, culture, enrichment and detection of EPCs should be given. For in vitro culture of EPCs, no protocol has been shown to be superior to another, but coating with laminin and type IV collagen would resemble most closely the situation in vivo. The endothelial phenotype should be confirmed in all in vitro cultures at the end of the culture period. We recommend using CD133, VEGFR2 and CD34 in combination with a viability marker for quantification of EPCs in the blood. Finally, exact standard operating procedures for FACS analysis are given that should be strictly followed.

Conclusions: The EUSTAR recommendations will help to unify EPC research and allow better comparison between the results of different studies.

Introduction

The first histopathological hallmark of SSc is apoptosis of endothelial cells and subsequent vasculopathy. The vasculopathy in SSc patients results in a decreased capillary blood flow, which can manifest clinically as finger tip ulcers [1]. Despite the presence of several stimuli that induce the formation of new vessels such as tissue hypoxia and increased levels of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), appropriate vessel formation does not occur in SSc patients [2, 3].

New vessels can be formed by angiogenesis and vasculogenesis. Angiogenesis is defined as sprouting of fully differentiated endothelial cells from preexisting vessels. In contrast, vasculogenesis describes the formation of new vessels de novo by circulating progenitor cells. Accumulating evidence suggests that vasculogenesis occurs in the adult and that endothelial precursor cells (EPCs) play an important role for the homeostasis of the vascular network. EPCs might not only be involved in the formation of new vessels in ischemic tissues, but might also contribute to the repair of preexisting vessels [4, 5]. Thus, EPCs might be interesting candidates for novel therapeutic approaches. This is indirectly supported by a recent study indicating that stem cell transplantation might improve microvascular disease in SSc [6-8]. Furthermore, EPCs could also serve as biomarkers for the individual capacity for vascular repair, new vessel formation and cardiovascular prognosis [9]. Recent studies suggest that defective vasculogenesis might also contribute to the vascular pathogenesis of SSc [1].

However, different protocols for isolation, enrichment, culture and quantification of EPCs are currently used. This complicates the interpretation of the results and might even lead to contradictory results. We summarize herein current pitfalls of EPC research and propose recommendations for standardization of future research on EPCs. These recommendations are on the level of expert opinion and were generated during a face-to-face meeting of the basic science working group of EUSTAR (EULAR Scleroderma Trials And Research group). The aim of EUSTAR is to foster the awareness, understanding and research of scleroderma and its management throughout Europe [10]. This includes clinical [11] and basic science [12] research in SSc. The following recommendations were also approved by the EULAR Standing Committee for International clinical Studies Including therapeutic Trials (ESCISIT). They might not only be of interest for EPC research in SSc, but also for other rheumatic diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), in which perturbed vasculogenesis has also been demonstrated [13-19].

EPCs became important: Summary of current knowledge about EPCs in SSc

Kuwana et al. first investigated, whether vasculogenesis is impaired in patients with systemic sclerosis [20]. In this study, CD34-positive cells were enriched from peripheral-blood mononuclear cells by an immunomagnetic technique with a monoclonal antibody to CD34 coupled to magnetic beads. EPCs were defined as circulating cells positive for CD34, CD133, and the type 2 receptor for VEGF (VEGFR2) and quantified by three-colour flow cytometry. Using this definition and method of detection, the absolute numbers of EPCs were found to be lower in SSc patients than in RA patients and controls. In SSc patients, the EPC count did not correlate with the disease subset, disease duration nor the modified Rodnan Skin Score. However, the numbers of EPCs were significantly lower in SSc patients with pitting scars. Active fingertip ulcers were observed exclusively in patients with the lowest numbers of EPCs. More than 80 % of the adherent, CD133 and VEGFR2 positive cells expressed also the endothelial cell markers CD31 and the angiopoietin receptor Tie-2 and took up acetylated LDL. In contrast, the expression of markers for mature endothelial cells such as vascular-endothelium cadherin (VE-cadherin), CD146 and, von Willebrand factor was faint or lacking. In a follow-up study using the same techniques, the authors showed an increase of circulating EPCs by atorvastatin treatment in patients with SSc. However, the impaired functional capacity of EPCs in SSc patients was not improved by atorvastatin [21].

Del Papa et al. identified EPCs in whole blood by expression of CD34, VEGFR2 and CD133 with FACS [22]. Despite using the same surface markers (with, however, antibodies from a

different manufacturer), the results were different and EPCs numbers were found significantly increased. Further subgroup analysis showed a negative correlation between the number of EPCs and disease duration. Apart from disease duration, no significant correlations were observed between EPC count and clinical parameters, in particular with digital ulcers. In addition to circulating EPCs, Del Papa et al determined also the number of EPCs in the bone marrow. The numbers of cells positive for CD133 was significantly decreased in SSc. To evaluate the ability of bone marrow cells enriched for CD133 positive cells to differentiate into endothelial cells, cells were cultured in M199 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, VEGF, basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) on fibronectin coated flasks. In a second step, cells were purified using fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labelled agglutinin-1 and anti-FITC coated magnetic beads. After 21 days of cultures, colonies obtained from SSc were rare and smaller than colonies obtained from healthy individuals and showed signs of senescence and stress.

Allanore et al investigated EPC counts in whole blood and potential correlations with clinical parameters in patients with SSc, OA and active RA [23]. The authors measured the numbers of cells positive for CD34 and CD133 cells, but did not analyze the expression of VEGFR2. The numbers of CD34/CD133 double positive cells were significantly higher in SSc than in patients with OA, but lower than in RA patients. The numbers of CD34/CD133 double positive cells increased in parallel with the European disease activity score. In agreement with Del Papa's results, numbers of CD34/CD133 double cells tended to be higher in early stages of SSc. In another study, this group used a method to enrich immature mononuclear cells: Negative lineage (Lin-) mononuclear cells were obtained by enrichment using a human progenitor cell enrichment cocktail. After incubation with a FcR blocking reagent, these cells were then subjected to triple labelling with anti-CD133, anti-CD34 and anti-VEGFR-2. Furthermore, 7AAD was used for viability staining. EPCs, defined as Lin-/7AAD-/CD34+/CD133+/VEGFR-2+ cells, were quantified in by cell sorting/flow cytometry and by counting late outgrowth colony-forming units (CFU). SSc patients displayed higher circulating EPC counts than controls. Lower EPC counts were associated with higher Medsger's severity scores and with digital ulcers. The number of colonies correlated with levels of EPCs validating the combination of FACS surface markers [24].

Different subpopulations of EPCs

Two main populations of EPCs with different origin, function and morphological characterisation have been identified, namely a CD14-positive and a CD14-negative subset. Short term cultures contain mainly CD14-positive cells. This subset of EPCs consists of transdifferentiated monocytes, which are capable of developing into an endothelial phenotype in certain culture conditions [25]. In contrast, long-term cultures consist of CD14-negative EPCs, which form late outgrowth cultures. The CD14-negative subset of EPCs is often referred to as "angioblast-like EPCs" and possesses a high proliferation capacity [26]. Both CD14-positive and CD14-negative EPCs can form capillary like structures in vitro. Furthermore, both subsets can mediate re-endothelialization after vessel injury, improve neovascularization and can be incorporated into vessels after short term-culture under conditions promoting their differentiation into EPCs [4].

In vitro culture of EPC

Current methods of detection and quantification of EPCs by flow-cytometry rely on the surface expression of CD34, CD133 and VEGFR2. When assessed by this method, the frequency of EPCs in the blood appears considerably low (0.01% - 0.0001% of PBMCs). The low yield obtained by the method is a major limitation for functional studies. To increase the yield of putative EPCs, in vitro outgrowth techniques are widely used. However, the protocols for culture vary remarkably (Figure 1). The vast majority of studies used one of the following three culture media: Medium 199 (Gibco) has been used for the CFU assay by Hill and co-workers without supplements besides fetal bovine serum [9]. In contrast, Shintani et al. added an undefined "endothelial cell growth

supplement” [27]. Several other studies used the so-called endothelial growth medium (EGM; Clonetics) supplemented with bovine brain extract and human epidermal growth factor. Most recently, EGM-2 (Clonetics) has been introduced as an advanced endothelial culture medium system. In contrast to EGM, EGM-2 contains well defined concentrations of VEGF-A, human fibroblast growth factor-2, human epidermal growth factor, insulin-like growth factor-1, ascorbic acid, heparin and hydrocortisone.

Apart from different culture media, different extracellular matrix proteins have been used for the coating of cell-culture dishes, which might also influence the outcome. Some studies have been performed on cells expanded on culture dishes coated with collagen, whereas others used fibronectin or gelatine coated dishes.

The different culture protocols might enrich for different cell populations leading to analysis of different cells. In the first protocol summarized in Figure 1, all cell populations of the PBMC fraction are cultured together. This implies the risk of contamination with mature circulating endothelial and monocytic cells. To minimize contamination, some authors included a pre-plating step. The rationale behind this pre-plating step is that mature endothelial cells should adhere to the culture surface, whereas EPCs should remain in suspension. In this protocol, non-adherent cells are removed after 24 to 48 hours of culture and re-plated on coated culture dishes. The re-plated cells are kept in culture for various time periods before analysis.

Besides contamination, another limitation of outgrowth techniques has recently been demonstrated. George et al. showed that the frequency of EPCs quantified by this culture method does not correlate with the number of CD34/CD133/VEGFR2 positive cells determined by flow-cytometry [28].

Enrichment techniques before FACS

Immunomagnetic enrichment of cells positive for CD34 and CD133

CD34 or CD133-positive cells may be enriched from peripheral-blood mononuclear cells by immunomagnetic techniques with monoclonal antibodies to CD34 or CD133 coupled to magnetic beads. These magnetically labelled cells are then retained on a column placed in a separator, while unlabeled cells pass through. The retained cells are then eluted after removal from the magnet.

Enrichment of lineage negative cells

Lineage negative cells can be enriched from peripheral blood after the depletion of mature haematopoietic cells (positive lineage cells). For depletion, lineage positive mononuclear cells may be magnetically labelled by using a cocktail of biotin-conjugated antibodies against a panel of so-called “lineage” antigens (CD2, CD3, CD11b, CD14, CD15, CD16, CD19, CD56, CD123, and CD235a). Lineage negative cells may also be obtained by enrichment from whole blood using a human progenitor cell enrichment cocktail. This antibody cocktail crosslinks unwanted lineage positive cells to red blood cells to form immunorosettes. This increases the density of the unwanted (rosetted) cells, such that they pellet along with the free red blood cells when centrifuged over the buoyant density medium [24].

FACS markers for EPCs

Attempts to enumerate EPCs centred on the use of antibodies to VEGFR2 (KDR) in combination with CD34 to identify EPCs. Because neither is specific for EPCs alone or together, it is unclear whether the data accurately reflect the number of true EPCs in the circulation. The advent of CD133 for use in flow cytometry provided a means for detecting primitive stem cells in the circulation. Gehling et al. demonstrated that purified CD133 positive stem cells have the capacity to differentiate into endothelial cells [29]. More recent studies have demonstrated that cells positive for CD133 and VEGFR2 in the circulation have functional properties of EPCs [30, 31]. Expression of CD45, which is a marker of differentiated haematopoietic cells, on these cells has been reported

by various groups to be positive or negative [31, 32]. Dim expression of CD45 by these cells might be the cause of this confusion; hence, the use of CD45 as a gating reagent for EPCs is not supported.

The phenotyping of EPCs requires a multicolour approach. The use of antibodies to CD133 and VEGFR2 is highly recommended to increase the specificity of the analysis. CD34 should also be added to the former combination although in some instances its lack of specificity might be confusing (Table 1).

| | Definition | “early” EPCs | “late” EPCs | Endothelial cells | Haematopoietic stem cells |
|--------------------|--|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| CD45 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - type I transmembrane protein - expressed on all differentiated haematopoietic cells except erythrocytes - assists in cellular activation - activates Lck (in T cells) or Syk (in B cells) | + | - | - | + |
| CD14 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - membrane-associated glycosylphosphatidylinositol-linked protein - expressed especially macrophages - co-receptor for the detection of bacterial lipopolysaccharide - soluble form sCD14 is secreted by the liver and by monocytes | + | - | - | - |
| CD34 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - monomeric cell surface glycoprotein - cell-cell and cell-matrix adhesion factor - found in the umbilical cord and bone marrow as haematopoietic cells and in vascular endothelium | +_(low)/- | + | +/- | + |
| CD133 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 5-transmembrane protein - expressed by a subset of haematopoietic stem cells - specifically localizes to cellular protrusions | - | + | - | + |
| VEGF R2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 7-transmembrane domain receptor - promotes the mitogenic and angiogenic activity of VEGF | +/- | + | + | - |
| CD144 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - also called VE-cadherin - adhesion molecule | - | + | + | - |
| CD146 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - cell adhesion molecule of the immunoglobulin superfamily - expressed in endothelial cells - involved in intercellular junctions | - | + | + | - |

Table 1: Definition of FACS-surface markers

Issues of quality controls for FACS

Various recommendations for the detection of EPCs by flow cytometry can be made. The recommendations include:

1. The rigorous cleaning of the flow cytometer to avoid contamination of the sample by debris or residual cells from a previous analysis
2. The setting and monitoring of fluorescence detectors sensitivity.
3. The mandatory collection of a large number of events (at least 500000) to identify an adequate number of this scarce population.
4. The use of a real-time viability stain such as 7-AAD or propidium iodide. Identification and exclusion of dead cells, which are a major source of non-specific staining, improve the resolution of the assay (Figure 3).
5. The use of a blocking serum to decrease non-specific binding via Fc receptors, another source of non-specific staining.
6. The establishment of a dump channel to exclude cells not of interest from analysis, which is extremely useful.

EUSTAR recommendations for studies of EPCs in SSc

Accumulating evidence suggests that vasculogenesis occurs in the adult and might play a role for vascular homeostasis. However, in SSc, several issues remain unclear and should be in the focus of further research: (i) EPCs have only been demonstrated in vascular lesions in animal models of ischemia, but not in SSc; (ii) The mechanisms by which EPCs contribute to vascular repair and neovascularisation have not fully been elucidated. It remains to be determined, whether EPCs mediate their effects in humans independently from mature endothelial cells or whether EPCs function more as bystanders of angiogenesis; (iii) Results addressing the numbers of EPCs in the blood of SSc are controversial. The initial study suggested a profound decrease of circulating EPCs, whereas subsequent studies found increased numbers of EPCs in SSc patients. These results might be explained by different disease durations in the patient populations and by different techniques for enrichment of cells before FACS analysis. Other important confounding factor might be differences in the prevalence of co-existing cardiovascular risk factors. Several medications, in particular statins, also affect the numbers of EPCs. Thus, cardiovascular risk factors and medications should be given in detail in future studies on EPCs in SSc patients. Considering the heterogeneity of the disease and the large number of confounding factors influencing the number of EPCs, studies with small number of patients are of limited help and should be avoided in future studies.

Methodical differences likely also account for different results in EPC studies. Different surface markers, enrichment techniques and operating procedures for FACS analysis, and specific culture conditions result in the characterization of different subpopulations of EPCs. While we are aware that these different subpopulations of EPCs represent different aspects of EPC biology and that there is no clear-cut “wrong” or “correct” way of identifying “true” EPCs, this expert panel believes that some basic methodical guidelines should be followed in future EPC studies.

Papers should include a detailed description of methods considering the critical points mentioned above. For in vitro culture of EPCs, no protocol has been shown to be superior to another. However, as the contents of EGM-2 are best defined, we suggest using this medium for future experiments. Systematic studies on the different extracellular matrix components for coating of the culture dishes are not available, but we feel that coating with laminin and type IV collagen would be least artificial as they resemble most closely the vascular basal membrane. For all in vitro cultures, the endothelial phenotype should be confirmed at the end of the culture period. Regarding surface markers, we recommend evaluation of CD133, VEGFR2 and CD34 in combination with a viability marker in a multiparameter flow cytometer. Finally, standard operating procedures for FACS analysis as outlined above should be strictly followed. Our key recommendations are summarized in Table 2.

| Key recommendations for research on EPCs | |
|---|---|
| General issues | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detailed described of the methods and materials used • Detailed summary of cardiovascular risk factors and medications |
| FACS issues | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Determination of CD133, VEGFR2 and CD34 in combination with a viability marker in a multiparameter flow cytometer • Collection of a large number of events • Blockade of Fc receptors • Experienced investigator |
| Culture issues | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of EGM-2 medium • Coating with laminin and type IV collagen • Confirmation of the phenotype at the end of the culture period |

Table 2. Key recommendations for research on EPCs

These recommendations will help to unify future EPC research in the field of SSc and also might also be of interest for other rheumatic diseases with abnormal vasculogenesis such as RA and SLE.

Grant support and statement of competing interests:

JHWD was supported by ELAN grant 53410022 of the University Erlangen-Nuremberg, grant A20 of the Interdisciplinary Center of Clinical Research (IZKF) in Erlangen and Career Support Award of Medicine of the Ernst Jung Foundation. JHWD received research grants and/or honoraria from Novartis, Cell Signal, Actelion, Encysive

OD has received research grants and/or served as a consultant and/or received honoraria from Encysive, Actelion, Ergonex, Array Biopharma and NicOx.

YA and JA were supported by Association des Sclérodermiques de France, Société Française de Rhumatologie, INSERM, Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (Grant number R07094KS).

YA received honoraria (less than 10 000 Dollars) for participation in meetings organised by Actelion and by Encysive.

All other authors did not declare a specific conflict of interest

Figure legends

Figure 1: Overview about the different protocols used for in vitro expansion of angiogenic, EPC-like cells from peripheral blood mononuclear cells.

Figures 2: Figure 2 a: FACS analysis without the use of a viability marker: the first region (R1) sorts the immature mononuclear cells, the second (R2) identifies CD34 positive cells. The third dot blot gates R1 and R2, identifying the cells positive for CD34, CD133 and VEGFR2 (EPCs). **Figure 2b:** Same sample, with the use of a viability marker (7AAD): a new region is selected (R3), sorting only the 7AAD negative cells (exclusion of dead cells). The last dot blot gating R1, R2, and R3 shows a decreased EPC number compared to the previous analysis. This accounts for a non-specific staining of dead cells in the assay performed without 7AAD.

References

- [1] Koch AE, Distler O. Vasculopathy and disordered angiogenesis in selected rheumatic diseases: rheumatoid arthritis and systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2007;9 Suppl 2:S3.
- [2] Distler O, Del Rosso A, Giacomelli R, Cipriani P, Conforti ML, Guiducci S, et al. Angiogenic and angiostatic factors in systemic sclerosis: increased levels of vascular endothelial growth factor are a feature of the earliest disease stages and are associated with the absence of fingertip ulcers. *Arthritis Res.* 2002;4(6):R11.
- [3] Distler O, Distler JH, Scheid A, Acker T, Hirth A, Rethage J, et al. Uncontrolled expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and its receptors leads to insufficient skin angiogenesis in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Circ Res.* 2004 Jul 9;95(1):109-16.
- [4] Urbich C, Dimmeler S. Endothelial progenitor cells: characterization and role in vascular biology. *Circ Res.* 2004 Aug 20;95(4):343-53.
- [5] Zammaretti P, Zisch AH. Adult 'endothelial progenitor cells'. Renewing vasculature. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol.* 2005 Mar;37(3):493-503.
- [6] Fleming JN, Nash RA, McLeod DO, Fiorentino DF, Shulman HM, Connolly MK, et al. Capillary regeneration in scleroderma: stem cell therapy reverses phenotype? *PLoS ONE.* 2008;3(1):e1452.
- [7] Nagaya N, Kangawa K, Kanda M, Uematsu M, Horio T, Fukuyama N, et al. Hybrid cell-gene therapy for pulmonary hypertension based on phagocytosing action of endothelial progenitor cells. *Circulation.* 2003 Aug 19;108(7):889-95.
- [8] Zhao YD, Courtman DW, Deng Y, Kugathasan L, Zhang Q, Stewart DJ. Rescue of monocrotaline-induced pulmonary arterial hypertension using bone marrow-derived endothelial-like progenitor cells: efficacy of combined cell and eNOS gene therapy in established disease. *Circ Res.* 2005 Mar 4;96(4):442-50.
- [9] Hill JM, Zalos G, Halcox JP, Schenke WH, Waclawiw MA, Quyyumi AA, et al. Circulating endothelial progenitor cells, vascular function, and cardiovascular risk. *N Engl J Med.* 2003 Feb 13;348(7):593-600.
- [10] Tyndall A, Mueller-Ladner U, Matucci-Cerinic M. Systemic sclerosis in Europe: first report from the EULAR Scleroderma Trials And Research (EUSTAR) group database. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2005 Jul;64(7):1107.
- [11] Czirjak L, Nagy Z, Aringer M, Riemekasten G, Matucci-Cerinic M, Furst DE. The EUSTAR model for teaching and implementing the modified Rodnan skin score in systemic sclerosis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2007 Jul;66(7):966-9.
- [12] Allanore Y, Borderie D, Airo P, Guiducci S, Czirjak L, Nasonov EL, et al. Lack of association between three vascular endothelial growth factor gene polymorphisms and systemic sclerosis: results from a multicenter EUSTAR study of European Caucasian patients. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2007 Feb;66(2):257-9.
- [13] Denny MF, Thacker S, Mehta H, Somers EC, Dodick T, Barrat FJ, et al. Interferon-alpha promotes abnormal vasculogenesis in lupus: a potential pathway for premature atherosclerosis. *Blood.* 2007 Oct 15;110(8):2907-15.
- [14] Grisar J, Aletaha D, Steiner CW, Kapral T, Steiner S, Saemann M, et al. Endothelial progenitor cells in active rheumatoid arthritis: effects of tumour necrosis factor and glucocorticoid therapy. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2007 Oct;66(10):1284-8.
- [15] Grisar J, Aletaha D, Steiner CW, Kapral T, Steiner S, Seidinger D, et al. Depletion of endothelial progenitor cells in the peripheral blood of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Circulation.* 2005 Jan 18;111(2):204-11.
- [16] Lee PY, Li Y, Richards HB, Chan FS, Zhuang H, Narain S, et al. Type I interferon as a novel risk factor for endothelial progenitor cell depletion and endothelial dysfunction in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2007 Nov;56(11):3759-69.

- [17] Moonen JR, de Leeuw K, van Seijen XJ, Kallenberg CG, van Luyn MJ, Bijl M, et al. Reduced number and impaired function of circulating progenitor cells in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2007;9(4):R84.
- [18] Silverman MD, Haas CS, Rad AM, Arbab AS, Koch AE. The role of vascular cell adhesion molecule 1/ very late activation antigen 4 in endothelial progenitor cell recruitment to rheumatoid arthritis synovium. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2007 Jun;56(6):1817-26.
- [19] Westerweel PE, Luijten RK, Hoefler IE, Koomans HA, Derksen RH, Verhaar MC. Haematopoietic and endothelial progenitor cells are deficient in quiescent systemic lupus erythematosus. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2007 Jul;66(7):865-70.
- [20] Kuwana M, Okazaki Y, Yasuoka H, Kawakami Y, Ikeda Y. Defective vasculogenesis in systemic sclerosis. *Lancet*. 2004 Aug 14-20;364(9434):603-10.
- [21] Kuwana M, Kaburaki J, Okazaki Y, Yasuoka H, Kawakami Y, Ikeda Y. Increase in circulating endothelial precursors by atorvastatin in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2006 Jun;54(6):1946-51.
- [22] Del Papa N, Quirici N, Soligo D, Scavullo C, Cortiana M, Borsotti C, et al. Bone marrow endothelial progenitors are defective in systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2006 Aug;54(8):2605-15.
- [23] Allanore Y, Batteux F, Avouac J, Assous N, Weill B, Kahan A. Levels of circulating endothelial progenitor cells in systemic sclerosis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2007 Jan-Feb;25(1):60-6.
- [24] Avouac J, Juin F, Wipff J, Couraud P, Chiochia G, Kahan A, et al. Circulating endothelial progenitor cells in systemic sclerosis: association with disease severity. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2008 Jan 3.
- [25] Rehman J, Li J, Orschell CM, March KL. Peripheral blood "endothelial progenitor cells" are derived from monocyte/macrophages and secrete angiogenic growth factors. *Circulation*. 2003 Mar 4;107(8):1164-9.
- [26] Kaushal S, Amiel GE, Guleserian KJ, Shapira OM, Perry T, Sutherland FW, et al. Functional small-diameter neovessels created using endothelial progenitor cells expanded ex vivo. *Nat Med*. 2001 Sep;7(9):1035-40.
- [27] Shintani S, Murohara T, Ikeda H, Ueno T, Honma T, Katoh A, et al. Mobilization of endothelial progenitor cells in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 2001 Jun 12;103(23):2776-9.
- [28] George J, Shmilovich H, Deutsch V, Miller H, Keren G, Roth A. Comparative analysis of methods for assessment of circulating endothelial progenitor cells. *Tissue Eng*. 2006 Feb;12(2):331-5.
- [29] Gehling UM, Ergun S, Schumacher U, Wagener C, Pantel K, Otte M, et al. In vitro differentiation of endothelial cells from AC133-positive progenitor cells. *Blood*. 2000 May 15;95(10):3106-12.
- [30] Peichev M, Naiyer AJ, Pereira D, Zhu Z, Lane WJ, Williams M, et al. Expression of VEGFR-2 and AC133 by circulating human CD34(+) cells identifies a population of functional endothelial precursors. *Blood*. 2000 Feb 1;95(3):952-8.
- [31] Quirici N, Soligo D, Caneva L, Servida F, Bossolasco P, Delilieri GL. Differentiation and expansion of endothelial cells from human bone marrow CD133(+) cells. *Br J Haematol*. 2001 Oct;115(1):186-94.
- [32] Asahara T, Murohara T, Sullivan A, Silver M, van der Zee R, Li T, et al. Isolation of putative progenitor endothelial cells for angiogenesis. *Science*. 1997 Feb 14;275(5302):964-7.