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Abstract

Previous findings indicate that administration of beta-adrenoceptor antagonist systemically blocks glucocorticoid impairment of memory retrieval. Here, we report that beta-adrenoceptor activation in the hippocampus and the basolateral complex of the amygdala (BLA) is implicated in the impairing effects of glucocorticoids on memory retrieval. The specific glucocorticoid receptor (GR) agonist 11beta,17beta-dihydroxy-6,21-dimethyl-17alpha-pregna-4,6-trien-20yn-3-one (RU 28362) (15 ng) infused into the hippocampus of male Sprague Dawley rats 60 min before water maze retention testing, 24 hr after training, impaired probe trial retention performance, as assessed by quadrant search time and initial latency to cross the platform location. Because we found previously that RU 28362 infused into the hippocampus does not affect water maze acquisition or immediate recall, the findings suggest that the GR agonist-induced retention impairment was attributable to a selective influence on long-term memory retrieval. Likewise, systemic injections of the beta1-adrenoceptor partial agonist xamoterol (3.0 or 10.0 mg/kg, s.c.) 60 min before the probe trial dose-dependently impaired retention performance. The beta-adrenoceptor antagonist propranolol (2.0 mg/kg) administered subcutaneously before retention testing did not affect retention performance alone, but blocked the memory retrieval impairment induced by concurrent intrahippocampal infusions of RU 28362. Pretest infusions of the beta1-adrenoceptor antagonist atenolol into either the hippocampus (1.25 microg in 0.5 microl) or the BLA (0.5 microg in 0.2 microl) also prevented the GR agonist-induced memory retrieval impairment. These findings suggest that glucocorticoids impair retrieval of long-term spatial memory by facilitating noradrenergic mechanisms in the hippocampus, and additionally, that norepinephrine-mediated BLA activity is critical in enabling hippocampal glucocorticoid effects on memory retrieval.
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Introduction

It is well established that adrenocortical hormones (corticosterone in rats, cortisol in humans) influence memory processes (Lupien and McEwen, 1997; de Kloet et al., 1999; Roozendaal, 2000). Although most studies have focused on acquisition and consolidation, recent findings indicate that glucocorticoids are also involved in stress effects on memory retrieval. Acutely administered corticosterone impairs retrieval of spatial–contextual information when given to rats shortly before memory retention testing (de Quervain et al., 1998; Roozendaal et al., 2004). Additionally, stress exposure or glucocorticoids administered immediately after learning impair short-term (i.e., 30–60 min) retention performance, and this impairment usually subsides after circulating glucocorticoid levels return to baseline (Diamond et al., 1999; Bats et al., 2001; Woodson et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2003; Okuda et al., 2004). Because a glucocorticoid receptor (GR) agonist infused into the hippocampus before retention induces comparable memory retrieval impairment, such findings suggest that glucocorticoid effects on memory retrieval depend, at least in part, on activation of GRs in the hippocampus (Roozendaal et al., 2003).

It is known that glucocorticoids increase norepinephrine turnover in the brain (McEwen, 1987; de Kloet, 1991). Studies investigating long-term memory consolidation indicate that noradrenergic activity within the basolateral complex of the amygdala (BLA) and the hippocampus plays a key role in mediating the modulatory influences of glucocorticoids as well as those of other neuromodulatory systems (Lee et al., 1993; Quirarte et al., 1997; McGaugh, 2000; Roozendaal et al., 2002). Norepinephrine is released in the brain during emotionally arousing conditions (Gold and van Buskirk, 1978; McIntyre et al., 2002), and recent findings suggest that glucocorticoids (and other stress-activated systems) may selectively modulate memory associated with emotionally arousing experiences (Buchanan and Lovallo, 2001; Cahill and Alkire, 2003; Rimmele et al., 2003; Okuda et al., 2004).

Recent findings also suggest that noradrenergic activity may...
be essential in regulating glucocorticoid effects on memory retrieval. A β-adrenoceptor antagonist administered systemically shortly before retention testing blocks the impairing effects of corticosterone on retrieval of memory for inhibitory avoidance training (Roozendaal et al., 2004). Because the hippocampus is important for mediating glucocorticoid effects on spatial–contextual memory retrieval and receives a dense input of noradrenergic terminals (Schoeter et al., 2000), glucocorticoids may interact with hippocampal noradrenergic mechanisms in influencing memory retrieval. However, β-adrenoceptors located in the BLA may also be involved, because BLA influences on memory are known to depend critically on noradrenergic neurotransmission (Liang et al., 1995; McIntyre et al., 2002), and we found previously that excitotoxic lesions of the BLA block memory retrieval impairment on a water maze spatial task induced by intrahippocampal infusions of a GR agonist (Roozendaal et al., 2003). The present experiments investigated whether β-adrenoceptors in the hippocampus and the BLA are involved in regulating glucocorticoid effects on spatial memory retrieval. A β-adrenoceptor antagonist was administered either systemically or directly into the hippocampus or the BLA shortly before probe trial retention testing in a water maze task to determine whether this noradrenergic blockade prevented memory retrieval impairment induced by concurrent intrahippocampal infusions of the specific GR agonist 11β,17β-dihydroxy-6,21-dimethyl-17α-pregna-4,6-trien-20yn-3-one (RU 28362).

Materials and Methods

Subjects. Male adult Sprague Dawley rats (270–320 gm at time of surgery) from Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Wilmington, MA) were housed individually in a temperature-controlled (22°C) vivarium room and maintained on a standard 12 hr light/dark cycle (lights on, 7:00 A.M.–7:00 P.M.). Food and water were available ad libitum. Training and testing were performed during the light phase of the cycle between 10:00 A.M. and 2:00 P.M., at the rat nadir of the circadian cycle for corticosterone. All experimental procedures were in compliance with the National Institutes of Health guidelines and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of California, Irvine.

Surgery. Animals were adapted to the vivarium for at least 1 week before surgery. They were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg body weight, i.p.), given atropine sulfate (0.4 mg/kg, i.p.) to maintain respiration, and were subsequently injected with 3.0 ml of saline to facilitate clearance of these drugs and prevent dehydration. The skull was positioned in a stereotaxic frame (Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA) and two stainless steel guide cannulas (11 mm; 23 gauge; Small Parts, Miami Lakes, FL) were implanted with the cannula tips 1.5 mm above the dorsal hippocampus [coordinates: anteroposterior (AP), −3.4 mm from bregma; mediolateral (ML), ±1.7 mm from midline; dorsoventral (DV), −2.7 mm from skull surface, with the incisor bar 3.3 mm below the interaural line]. For concurrent drug infusions into the BLA, some rats additionally received two guide cannulas (15 mm; 23 gauge) implanted 2.0 mm above the BLA (coordinates: AP, −2.8 mm; ML, ±5.0 mm; DV, −6.5 mm). The coordinates were based on the atlas of Paxinos and Watson (1997). The cannulas were affixed to the skull with two anchor screws and dental cement. Stylets (11- or 15-mm-long 00 insect dissection pins) inserted into each cannula to maintain patency were removed only for the infusion of drugs. After surgery, the rats were placed into an incubator until recovery from anesthesia and were then returned to their home cages. They were allowed to recover for a minimum of 7 days before initiation of training and were handled three times for 1 min each during this recovery period to habituate them to the infusion procedure.

Water maze training and testing. The water maze was a circular, black galvanized tank, 1.83 m in diameter and 0.58 m in height, that was filled with water (25°C) to a depth of 20 cm. A rectangular platform (20 × 25 cm) was placed at a fixed location 25 cm away from the edge of the pool. The platform was submerged 2.5 cm below the water surface and could not be seen by the rats. The maze was located in a room containing many salient, visual, extra-maze cues.

On each day of training, the rats were transported from the vivarium to the laboratory, and training began 60 min later. For spatial training, the rats were given four massed trials on each daily session for 3 consecutive days. This relatively small number of trials was chosen such that retention performance of control animals was moderate and drug administration could either enhance or impair performance. Before the first training trial, the rat was placed directly on the submerged platform for 30 sec. On each of the trials (i.e., swims), the rat was placed into the tank at one of the four designated starting points in a random order and allowed to find and escape onto the platform. If an animal failed to find the platform within 60 sec, it was manually guided to the platform. After mounting the platform, the rat was allowed to remain there for 15 sec and was then placed into a holding cage for 25 sec until the start of the next trial. The time each rat spent to reach the platform was recorded as the escape latency.

Retention of the spatial training was assessed 24 hr after the last training session with a 60 sec free-swim probe trial using a new starting position. The probe trial was videotaped for off-line analysis by an observer blind to drug treatment condition. The parameters measured on the probe trial were time spent in the quadrant containing the platform during training (training quadrant), time spent in the quadrant opposite to the training quadrant (opposite quadrant), initial latency to cross the platform location, and total swim distance. The time and opposite quadrants were equidistant from the starting position used on the probe trial (see Fig. 2C).

Drug treatment. The specific GR agonist RU 28362 (15.0 ng in 0.5 μl; a gift from Rousell UCLA, Romainville, France) was infused into the dorsal hippocampus 60 min before retention testing. Receptor binding studies have shown that this compound has selective and high affinity for GRs (Teuttsch et al., 1981). RU 28362 was first dissolved in 100% ethanol and subsequently diluted with 0.9% saline to reach its appropriate concentration. The final concentration of ethanol was 0.25%. The vehicle solution contained 0.25% ethanol in saline only. RU 28362 was kept in a stock solution in 100% ethanol at −20°C. The dose of RU 28362 was based on a previous study indicating memory retrieval impairment after infusion of this dose and volume into the dorsal hippocampus (Roozendaal et al., 2003). Bilateral infusions of RU 28362 or an equivalent volume of vehicle into the dorsal hippocampus were given 60 min before retention testing by using a 30 gauge injection needle connected to a 10 μl Hamilton microsyringe (Hamilton, Reno, NV) with polyethylene (PE-20) tubing. The injection needle protruded 1.5 mm beyond the tip of the cannula, and a 0.5 μl injection volume per hemisphere was infused over a period of 35 sec by an automated syringe pump (Sage Instruments, Boston, MA). The injection needles were retained within the cannulas for an additional 20 sec after drug infusion to maximize diffusion and to prevent backflow of drug into the cannulas.

To examine the influence of β-adrenoceptor blockade on RU 28362-induced spatial memory retrieval impairment, the centrally acting, non-selective β-adrenoceptor antagonist dl-propranolol hydrochloride (2.0 mg/kg; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was dissolved in saline and injected subcutaneously in a volume of 2.0 ml/kg 75 min before retention testing, 15 min before the infusion of either RU 28362 or vehicle into the hippocampus. Additionally, we examined dose–response effects of the β-adrenoceptor antagonist propranolol and the β1-adrenoceptor selective partial agonist xamoterol hemifumarate (Tocris Cookson, Ellisville, MO) on probe trial retention performance. For this experiment, propranolol (1.0 or 3.0 mg/kg, s.c.) and xamoterol (3.0 or 10.0 mg/kg, s.c.) were dissolved in saline and administered to intact, nonoperated rats 60 min before probe trial retention testing, 24 hr after completion of training. The β1-adrenoceptor agonist xamoterol was selected on the basis of a recent study indicating that β1-adrenoceptors, but not β2-adrenoceptors, are involved in memory retrieval (Murchison et al., 2004). The selective β1-adrenoceptor antagonist atenolol (Sigma) was used for direct infusions into either the hippocampus or the BLA. For bilateral infusions into the hippocampus, atenolol (1.25 μg) was coadministered either with the RU 28362 or vehicle solution 60 min before retention testing. For bilateral infusions into the BLA, atenolol (0.5 μg)
saline for cryoprotection. Coronal slices of 40
removed and immersed in fresh 4% formaldehyde. At least 24 hr before
were freshly prepared before each experiment.

The rats were anesthetized with an overdose of sodium pen-
tobarbital (Sigma) and perfused intracardially with 0.9% saline followed
by 4% formaldehyde solution (w/v). After decapitation, the brains were
removed and immersed in fresh 4% formaldehyde. At least 24 hr before
sectioning, the brains were transferred to a 20% sucrose (w/v) solution in
saline for cryoprotection. Coronal slices of 40 µm were cut on a freezing
microtome, mounted on gelatin-coated slides, and stained with cresyl
violet. The sections were examined under a light microscope and the
location of injection needle tips in the dorsal hippocampus and the BLA
were determined according to the standardized atlas plates of Paxinos
and Watson (1997) by an observer blind to drug treatment condition.

Histology. Rats with injection needle placements outside the hippocampus or the
BLA, or with extensive tissue damage at the injection needle tip, were
excluded from analysis. Figure 1, shows representative photomi-

crographs of injection needle tip placements in the dorsal hippocampus
and the BLA, respectively. The volume used for the intra-BLA infusions was based on
findings that drug infusions of this volume into either the BLA or the
adjacent central nucleus of the amygdala induce differential effects on
memory consolidation (Parent and McGaugh, 1994; Roozendaal and
McGaugh, 1997a). All β-adrenoceptor antagonist and agonist solutions were freshly prepared before each experiment.

Statistics. Water maze training data were analyzed with a one-way ANOVA with the 12 acquisition trials as repeated measure. Quadrant
search times on the probe trial were analyzed with a three-way ANOVA with training and opposite quadrants as repeated measure (two levels)
and intrahippocampal RU 28362 treatment (two levels) and β-adrenoceptor antagonist treatment (two levels) both as between-subject variables. Platform crossing latencies and total swim distance on the
probe trial were analyzed with two-way ANOVA with intrahippocampal RU 28362 treatment (two levels) and β-adrenoceptor antago-
nist treatment (two levels) both as between-subject variables. Paired t

results and Fisher’s post hoc tests were used to determine the source of the
detected significance in the ANOVAs. A probability level of <0.05 was
accepted as statistical significance.

Results

Systemic β-adrenoceptor antagonist injections block the
imparing effect of intra-hippocampal GR agonist infusions on
water maze retention performance

In a recent study, we reported that systemic administration of the
centrally acting β-adrenoceptor antagonist propranolol blocked
corticosterone-induced impairment of memory retrieval for in-
hibitory avoidance training (Roozendaal et al., 2004). This exper-
iment examined whether systemic injections of propranolol (2.0
mg/kg, s.c.), administered 75 min before probe trial retention
testing, also blocked memory retrieval impairment on a water
maze spatial task induced by intra-hippocampal infusions of the
specific GR agonist RU 28362 (15 ng) given 60 min before the
retention test.

All rats learned to locate the platform position during the 3 d
of training before drug treatment, as indicated by decreasing es-
cape latencies as training progressed (F(1,68) = 63.31; p <
0.0001; data not shown). As shown in Figure 2, systemic injec-
tions of propranolol blocked retention performance impairment
induced by intra-hippocampal infusion of RU 28362. A three-way
ANOVA with quadrant as repeated measure revealed no main
effects of propranolol (F(1,55) = 2.68; p = 0.11) or RU 28362
(F(1,55) = 1.42; p = 0.24) on quadrant search times during the
probe trial but did show a significant propranolol × RU 28362 ×
quadrant interaction (F(1,55) = 4.28; p < 0.05) (Fig. 2A). Control
gons vehicle infusions into the hippocampus exhibited
memory of the platform position during training, as indicated by
significantly longer search times in the vicinity of the platform
location (i.e., in the training quadrant) than in the opposite quad-

rant (p < 0.01). RU 28362 infused into the hippocampus 60 min
before retention testing decreased time spent in the training
quadrant to a chance level (p < 0.01, compared with vehicle) and
simultaneously increased time spent in the opposite quadrant
(p < 0.05). Although propranolol administration alone did not
affect time spent in the training and opposite quadrants, pro-
pranolol blocked the changes in quadrant search time induced by
intra-hippocampal infusions of RU 28362. Rats given propranolol
in combination with RU 28362 spent significantly more time in
the training quadrant (p < 0.01) and less in the opposite quad-

rant (p < 0.01) than rats given saline injections together with RU
28362. Furthermore, time spent in the training and opposite
quadrants by rats treated with propranolol and RU 28362 did not
differ significantly from that of either saline- or propranolol-
j ected rats given vehicle infusions into the hippocampus.

The pattern of effects for initial latency to cross the platform
location was similar to that for quadrant search times (Fig. 2B). A
two-way ANOVA revealed no propranolol effect (F(1,55) = 1.30;
p = 0.26) but did find a significant RU 28362 effect (F(1,55) = 4.85;
p < 0.05) and a significant interaction between both factors
(F(1,55) = 4.88; p < 0.05). Post hoc analysis indicated that RU
28362 infused into the hippocampus increased initial latency to
cross the platform location (p < 0.01) and that this retention
impairment was blocked in rats given systemic injections of pro-
pranolol concurrently. Initial crossing latencies of rats given pro-
pranolol and RU 28362 were significantly shorter than those of
rats given saline together with RU 28362 (p < 0.05) and were
equivalent to those of control rats given vehicle into the hip-

campus and either saline or propranolol systemically. A two-
way ANOVA for total swim distance during the probe trial did
not reveal significant RU 28362 (F(1,55) = 0.53; p = 0.47), pro-
pranolol administration blocks glucocorticoid-induced memory retrieval impairment, but do not provide evidence suggesting a general effect of propranolol in impairing memory retrieval (Roozendaal et al., 2004).

Such a dependence of glucocorticoids on β-adrenoceptor activity in influencing memory retrieval suggests that glucocorticoids impair memory retrieval by facilitating noradrenergic mechanisms. Therefore, we next examined whether β-adrenoceptor stimulation shortly before retention testing would mimic the effects of the GR agonist on memory retrieval. To test this possibility, the centrally acting β1-adrenoceptor selective partial agonist xamoterol (3.0 or 10.0 mg/kg, s.c.) was administered to intact, nonoperated rats 60 min before a probe trial, 24 hr after completion of training. As anticipated, there was a dose-dependent reduction in search time in the training quadrant that was significant for 10.0 mg/kg xamoterol (p < 0.05). Initial latency to cross the platform location was impaired by both doses of xamoterol (3.0 mg/kg, p < 0.05; 10.0 mg/kg, p < 0.01) (Table 1).

**Intrahippocampal β-adrenoceptor antagonist infusions block the effect of intrahippocampal GR agonist infusions on water maze retention impairment**

To investigate whether GRs directly interact with β-adrenoceptors in the hippocampus, this experiment examined the effect on memory retrieval of concurrent infusions of the β1-adrenoceptor antagonist atenolol and RU 28362 into the hippocampus.

All rats learned to locate the platform position during the 3 d of training before drug treatment (F11,528 = 70.83; p < 0.0001; data not shown). As shown in Figure 3, the β1-adrenoceptor antagonist atenolol (1.25 μg) administered into the hippocampus 60 min before retention testing blocked retention performance impairment induced by concurrent infusions of RU 28362. A three-way ANOVA with quadrant as repeated measure impaired memory retrieval of concurrent infusions of the β1-adrenoceptor antagonist atenolol and RU 28362 into the hippocampus.

A two-way ANOVA for initial latency to cross the platform location (Fig. 3B) revealed a significant atenolol effect (F1,145 = 4.13; p < 0.05), a significant RU 28362 effect (F1,145 = 9.58; p < 0.01), and a significant interaction between both factors (F1,145 = 13.10; p < 0.001). RU 28362 infusions into the hippocampus increased initial latency to cross the platform location (p < 0.01), and this drug effect was blocked in rats given atenolol concurrently. Initial crossing latencies of rats given atenolol and RU 28362 were significantly shorter than those of rats given RU 28362 alone (p < 0.01) and did not differ from those of control
Table 1. β-Adrenergic effects on probe trial retention performance in a water maze

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Time in training quadrant</th>
<th>Time in opposite quadrant</th>
<th>Platform crossing latency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saline (n = 14)</td>
<td>21.7 ± 1.0 *</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.1 b</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.1 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propranolol</td>
<td>20.4 ± 1.1 a</td>
<td>5.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>10.1 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 mg/kg (n = 11)</td>
<td>21.1 ± 1.7</td>
<td>7.9 ± 2.3</td>
<td>8.4 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xamoterol</td>
<td>21.1 ± 1.9</td>
<td>7.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>19.9 ± 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 mg/kg (n = 10)</td>
<td>17.4 ± 1.8 a</td>
<td>9.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>28.8 ± 6.6**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0 mg/kg (n = 9)</td>
<td>12.1 ± 2.9</td>
<td>28.6 ± 12.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Drugs were administered subcutaneously in a volume of 2.0 ml/kg 60 min before the 60 sec probe trial.

1 Values are expressed in seconds (mean ± SEM).

p < 0.05; **p < 0.01 compared with the sali ne group.

Figure 3. The β-3-adrenoceptor antagonist atenolol (1.25 μg in 0.5 μl) administered into the hippocampus 60 min before retention testing blocked the impairing effects induced by coadministration of the GR agonist RU 28362 (15 ng) on probe trial retention performance in a water maze. A, Time spent in the training (T) and opposite (O) quadrants (mean ± SEM) in seconds during the 60 sec probe trial. B, Latencies (mean ± SEM) in seconds to cross the platform location. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 compared with the corresponding vehicle group; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 compared with the RU 28362 group; ***p < 0.01 compared with the training quadrant (n = 11–13 per group).

Intra-BLA infusions of a β-adrenoceptor antagonist block the effect of intrahippocampal GR agonist infusions on water maze retention impairment

Previous findings have shown that emotionally arousing experiences also induce norepinephrine release in the BLA (Quirarte et al., 1998; McIntyre et al., 2002). Furthermore, because excitotoxic lesions of the BLA block memory retrieval impairment induced by intrahippocampal infusions of the GR agonist (Roozendaal et al., 2003), this experiment examined whether noradrenergic activity in the BLA may be essential in enabling hippocampal glucocorticoid effects on memory retrieval.

All rats learned to locate the platform position during the 3 d of training before drug treatment (F(1,45) = 49.10; p < 0.0001; data not shown). Figure 4 shows the effect of intra-BLA infusions of the β-adrenoceptor antagonist atenolol (0.5 μg) on probe trial retention impairment induced by pretest intrahippocampal infusion of RU 28362. A three-way ANOVA with quadratic as repeated measure revealed no main effects of atenolol (F(1,38) = 0.45; p = 0.51) or RU 28362 (F(1,38) = 0.25; p = 0.62) on quadratic search times during the probe trial but did show a significant interaction between propranolol, RU 28362, and quadratic (F(1,38) = 6.36; p < 0.05) (Fig. 4A). Control rats given vehicle infusions into the hippocampus spent significantly more time in the training quadrant than in the opposite quadrant (p < 0.01). RU 28362 infused into the hippocampus 60 min before retention testing decreased time spent in the training quadrant to a chance level (p < 0.01, compared with vehicle) and increased time spent in the opposite quadrant (p < 0.05). Although pretest intra-BLA infusions of this dose of atenolol did not affect retention performance alone, atenolol blocked the changes in time spent in the training and opposite quadrants induced by RU 28362 infused into the hippocampus. Rats given atenolol and RU 28362 spent significantly more time in the training quadrant (p < 0.01) and less in the opposite quadrant (p < 0.01) than rats given saline infusions together with RU 28362. Furthermore, time spent in the training and opposite quadrants by rats treated with atenolol and RU 28362 did not differ significantly from that of control rats treated with either saline or atenolol in the BLA and vehicle in the hippocampus.

Initial latencies to cross the platform location of rats in this experiment are presented in Figure 4B. A two-way ANOVA revealed significant atenolol (F(1,38) = 5.16; p < 0.05) and RU 28362 effects (F(1,38) = 7.33; p < 0.05), as well as a significant interaction between both factors (F(1,38) = 8.59; p < 0.01). RU 28362 infused into the hippocampus increased initial crossing latencies of rats given saline infusions into the BLA (p < 0.01), and this drug effect was blocked in rats given intra-BLA infusions of atenolol. Initial crossing latencies of rats given atenolol and RU 28362 were significantly shorter than those of rats given saline and RU 28362 (p < 0.01). Furthermore, crossing latencies of rats given atenolol and RU 28362 were equivalent to those of rats given vehicle into the hippocampus and either saline or atenolol into the BLA. A two-way ANOVA for total swim distance did not reveal significant RU 28362 (F(1,38) = 0.88; p = 0.36), atenolol (F(1,38) = 2.24; p = 0.14), or interaction effects (F(1,38) = 1.05; p = 0.31; data not shown).

Discussion

These findings indicate that β-adrenoceptor antagonist administration blocks the impairment of long-term memory retrieval in a water maze spatial task induced by intrahippocampal infusions of a GR agonist. Most importantly, the present findings indicate that β-adrenoceptors in both the hippocampus and the BLA are implicated in enabling hippocampal GR activation to impair memory retrieval. Because both glucocorticoids and norepinephrine are normally activated by emotional arousal and certain affective disorders, these observations are relevant to understanding the role of emotion and mood in affecting memory retrieval.

The GR agonist RU 28362 administered into the hippocampus 60 min before probe trial testing significantly impaired retention performance, as assessed by quadrant search time and latency to cross the platform location, but did not affect total swim distance. These findings are consistent with extensive previous evidence indicating that stress exposure or glucocorticoids administered systemically or into the hippocampus shortly before testing on a variety of learning tasks that differ in their behavioral demands, including water maze, inhibitory avoidance, and hole board, induce comparable temporary retention performance impairments (de Quervain et al., 1998; Roozendaal et al., 2003,
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Figure 4. The β1-adrenoceptor antagonist atenolol (0.5 µg in 0.2 µl) administered into the BLA 60 min before retention testing blocked the impairing effects induced by the GR agonist RU 28362 (15 ng in 0.5 µl) infused into the hippocampus 60 min before retention testing on probe trial retention performance in a water maze. A, Time spent in the training (T) and opposite (O) quadrants (mean ± SEM) in seconds during the 60 sec probe trial. B, Latencies (mean ± SEM) in seconds to cross the platform location. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 compared with the corresponding vehicle group. ***p < 0.01 compared with the saline–RU 28362 group. p < 0.01 compared with the training quadrant (n = 9–12 per group).

Recently, findings from an H215O-positron-emission tomography study in human subjects indicate that a stress-level dose of cortisone reduces regional blood flow in the right parahippocampal gyrus, an effect that correlates with memory retrieval impairment on episodic tasks (de Quervain et al., 2003).

Stress and glucocorticoids affect a wide range of neurotransmitter systems (de Kloet, 1991). Numerous studies have shown that glucocorticoids are intimately linked with noradrenergic mechanisms and permissively increase noradrenergic neurotransmission in the brain during emotional arousal (McEwen, 1987; Stone et al., 1987; Duman et al., 1989; Roozendaal et al., 2002). There is also evidence of increased sensitivity of the noradrenergic system in stressed individuals (Flugge et al., 1997; Park et al., 2001) and patients with depression or posttraumatic stress disorder (Heningher et al., 1988; Southwick et al., 1999). Our finding that corticosterone administration impairs memory retrieval of an emotionally arousing, but not an emotionally more neutral, version of an object recognition task (Okuda et al., 2004) suggests that glucocorticoid effects on memory retrieval require concurrent noradrenergic activation (Roozendaal et al., 2004). Consistent with this evidence, our current findings indicate that a β1-adrenoceptor antagonist administered systemically or directly into either the hippocampus or the BLA prevents the impairing effect of hippocampal GR activation on memory retrieval. Furthermore, the finding that stimulation of β1-adrenoceptors with xamoterol induces retention impairment comparable with that seen after GR activation is consistent with the hypothesis that glucocorticoid effects on memory retrieval impairment involve a facilitation of noradrenergic mechanisms in the hippocampus. Several studies indicate that glucocorticoids interact with β1- and α1-adrenoceptors in stimulating CAMP accumulation (Stone et al., 1987; Duman et al., 1989). Also, β1-adrenoceptor activation potentiates GR transactivation, independent of the cAMP–protein kinase A pathway (Schmidt et al., 2001), and glucocorticoids may increase norepinephrine levels by locally blocking extraneuronal norepinephrine reuptake mechanisms (Grundemann et al., 1998).

Our finding that propranolol administration alone did not affect memory retrieval appears to conflict with that of a recent study reporting that systemic propranolol impaired memory retrieval on a water maze spatial task (Murchison et al., 2004). That study also reported that mutant mice lacking norepinephrine–epinephrine show impaired retrieval of certain forms of memory. It is likely that norepinephrine effects on memory retrieval follow an inverted U-shaped dose–response relationship, as previously described for working memory (Arnsten, 2000). Moderately elevated norepinephrine levels such as those induced by mild arousal may facilitate memory retrieval (Sara and Devauges, 1989; Devauges and Sara, 1991; Barros et al., 2001). On the other hand, stress exposure or glucocorticoid administration may further potentiate the noradrenergic response and induce memory retrieval impairment. Additionally, retention-enhancing effects of increased noradrenergic tone, as reported previously, may be caused by influences on mechanisms other than memory retrieval (e.g., nonspecific effects on arousal and attention) (Aston-Jones et al., 2000).

Recent findings indicate that infusion of noradrenergic agents (as well as drugs affecting several other classes of neurotransmitters) into a variety of brain regions influences memory retrieval (Tuinstra et al., 2000; Barros et al., 2001). Such findings indicate that the hippocampus does not act in isolation in retrieval but, rather, interacts with other brain regions. Our finding that a
\(\beta\)-adrenoceptor antagonist infused into the BLA blocks memory retrieval impairment induced by intrahippocampal infusions of a GR agonist concurs with evidence indicating that excitotoxic BLA lesions block hippocampal glucocorticoid effects on memory retrieval (Roozendaal et al., 2003) and suggests that neuronal input from the BLA is essential for enabling hippocampal glucocorticoid effects on memory retrieval. Anatomical evidence is consistent with a role of the BLA in regulating hippocampal processes, because the BLA is known to project extensively to discrete hippocampal subfields (Pikkarainen et al., 1999; Petrovich et al., 2001). Such a modulatory influence of the BLA on memory retrieval involving other brain regions would be consistent with the findings of a recent study investigating the effects of retrieval cues on memory retrieval. Exposure of rats to a relevant retrieval cue before testing enhanced memory retrieval (Gisquet-Verrier et al., 2004) and was accompanied by increased metabolic activity of the amygdala (Boujabit et al., 2003). Because it is thought that the amygdala may not play a direct role in memory retrieval (Barros et al., 2000; Roozendaal et al., 2003), emotional arousal-induced amygdala activity may affect memory retrieval via influences on other brain regions.

Such a role of the BLA in modulating memory retrieval initiated by manipulating GRs in the hippocampus is highly comparable with that suggested by studies investigating its function in mediating drug effects on memory consolidation. Lesions of or \(\beta\)-adrenoceptor antagonist infusions into the BLA block memory enhancement induced by systemic or intrahippocampal administration of glucocorticoids (Roozendaal and McGaugh, 1996, 1997b; Quijarte et al., 1997; Roozendaal et al., 1999). Electrophysiological studies have provided additional evidence for a role of norepinephrine in BLA–hippocampus interactions. A \(\beta\)-adrenoceptor antagonist infused into the BLA blocks the effect of electrical stimulation of the perforant path on dentate gyrus population-spike long-term potentiation (Ikegaya et al., 1997). Furthermore, destruction of noradrenergic terminals in the brain produced by the neurotoxin \(N\)-(2-chloroethyl)-\(N\)-ethyl-2-bromobenzylamine prevents the effect of electrical stimulation of the BLA on hippocampal neuroplasticity (Akirav and Richter-Levin, 2002). In a broader theoretical framework, previous studies have indicated that BLA influences on the hippocampus during memory encoding and consolidation reflect the emotional or motivational significance of experiences (Cahill et al., 1996; McGaugh et al., 1996; Kilpatrick and Cahill, 2003; Richter-Levin and Akirav, 2003; Strange et al., 2003; Kensinger and Corkin, 2004). The present findings indicating that the role of BLA noradrenergic activity in regulating emotional arousal effects on hippocampus-dependent cognitive processes is more general and extends to memory retrieval suggest that a common neurobiological substrate may be involved and that stress effects on both cognitive phases may be regulated in a coordinated, albeit opposite, manner (Roozendaal, 2002). Thus, the findings of this study may be relevant to understanding the complex relationship between the multiple effects of stress and glucocorticoids on the brain in influencing different aspects of cognitive function.
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