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Abstract
Complexes with highly reactive stereogenic metal centers are of great interest to asymmetric synthesis. Thus, by reacting [Ni(COD)$_2$] with 2 equiv of the P,alkene ligands ((S)-5 ((S)-(+)N-(3,5-dioxo-4-phosphacyclohepta[2,1-a;3,4-a’]dinaphthalen-4-yl)-dibenz[b,f]azepine) or (S$_p$S$_c$)-6 ((2S,5S)-(−)N-(Aza-3-oxa-2-phosphabicyclo[3.3.0]octan-4-yl)-dibenz[b,f]azepine), the diastereomerically and enantiomerically pure tetrahedral complexes ($\Delta$,S,S)-[Ni(5-κP,η$^2$-alkene)$_2$] (2a) and ($\Delta$,S$_p$,S$_c$,S$_p$,$S_c$)-[Ni(6-κP,η$^2$-alkene)$_2$] (2b) were obtained in almost quantitative yields on multi-gram scales. The Ni atoms showed in both cases stable ($\Delta$) configurations. Even though these Ni(0) complexes were air-stable in the solid state, once dissolved, complex 2a readily activated CS$_2$, alkynes, and enones as the formal $d^{10}$-ML$_2$ fragment [Ni(5-κP)$_2$] (4) to form adducts 8–11. This is possible thanks to the decoordination of the hemilabile alkene arms of the P,alkene ligands, and the X-ray crystal structures of the CS$_2$ and 4-ethynyltoluene adducts confirmed the η$^2$ coordination modes of the substrates and the concomitant opening up of the alkene arms of ligand 5. The coordination of α,β-unsaturated carbonyl compounds in complexes 11a–c was reversible.
**Introduction**

Oxidative addition reactions to inherently unreactive closed shell tetrahedral d^{10}-ML₄ complexes only occur after ligand dissociation leads to unsaturated d^{10}-ML₂ or d^{10}-ML₃ species with 14 or 16 valence electrons (ve⁻), respectively (see for instance the chemistry of the widely used catalyst precursor [Pd(PPh₃)₄]).¹ In the case of the common tetrahedral [Ni(PP)(dialkene)] precursors of topology ¹² (see Scheme 1) usually the dialkene ligand (e.g. 1,5-cyclooctadiene, COD) dissociates irreversibly during reaction to liberate the reactive d^{10}-ML₂ fragment. However, it is often difficult to then stabilize such species towards decomposition.³ In fact, not many examples of isolable d^{10}-ML₂ complexes exist because they tend to be highly reactive and air-sensitive,⁴ and NiL₂ complexes are especially rare.⁴f Hemilabile ligands⁵ such as the P,alkene phosphoramidites ⁵ and ⁶ with weakly coordinating alkene functions⁷ may mask such reactive Ni species by forming d^{10}-ML₄ complexes of type ²⁸ which are connected to the latent d^{10}-ML₃ and d^{10}-ML₂ configurations ³⁹ and ⁴ through successive hemilabile equilibria. In ⁴ the de-coordinated alkene functions nevertheless have a high effective concentration to stabilize the metal center. There is some evidence that ligands with secondary donor functions perform well in a variety of Ni-catalyzed asymmetric C-C bond forming reactions.¹⁰

**Scheme 1.** Classic topology of ¹ vs. topology of ² attainable with P,alkene ligands ⁵ and ⁶ permitting hemilabile equilibria leading to d^{10}-ML₂ species ⁴
Complexes of type 2 are also good candidates for the fixation and activation of small molecules. For example, L₂Ni-CO₂ complexes¹¹ are key intermediates in catalytic systems that use CO₂ as feedstock,¹² and even though analogous L₂Ni-CS₂ model complexes¹³ seem more amenable to isolation,¹⁴ a common feature of such activating Ni(0) species is their pronounced air-sensitivity. We show in this paper that air-stable hemilabile Ni(0) complexes of type 2, once dissolved in the appropriate solvent, become highly reactive equivalents of d¹⁰-ML₂ fragments which readily activate small molecules such as CS₂, alkynes, and enones. In particular, we prove the hemilability of the P,alkene ligand system structurally: Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses reveal that both alkene arms of the ligands in type 2 complexes swing open to accommodate and activate the CS₂¹⁵ and ethynyltoluene molecules,¹⁶ thus demonstrating that a latent d¹⁰-ML₂ species 4 is operational.

Most important from a stereochemical perspective is the fact that complexes of topology 2 possess stereogenic metal centers (“chiral-at-metal” complexes, see Scheme 2).¹⁷ Presently, the development of enantioselective catalysts is almost exclusively ligand-based, and an axiom of ligand design is to move stereogenic elements as close as possible to the metal center. Naturally then, complexes with stereogenic metals have been intensively studied, and the vast majority of them are pseudo-octahedral species stabilized by η⁵-Cp⁻ or η⁶-arene type ligands¹⁷,¹⁸ which were used in stereoselective stoichiometric¹⁹ and catalytic²⁰ transformations. So far, Noyori’s octahedral [Ru(II)-BINAP-biscarboxylato] and [Ru(II)-η⁶-arene-N-tosylethylenediamine] complexes are the most compelling evidence for the effectiveness of stereogenic-at-metal complexes in asymmetric catalysis.²¹ Trigonal bipyramidal complexes of type 7 (Scheme 2) also present stereogenic metal centers, and, by using ligand 5, we recently synthesized the diastereomerically and enantiomerically pure and configurationally stable Ir complex (Δ,S,S)-7.²²a Carreira and co-workers showed this complex to be a highly competent catalyst in enantioselective allylic etherifications²²b and aminations.²²c Tetrahedral optically pure stereogenic-at-metal complexes are rare,²³ and recently Schrock and Hoveyda described a series of remarkable tetrahedral complexes with stereogenic Mo and W atoms that are highly enantioselective ring-closing-metathesis catalysts.²⁴ Thus, we also show here that optically pure and configurationally stable tetrahedral Ni(0) complexes of type 2 are easily accessible on gram scales by employing the phosphoramidite P,alkene ligands 5 and 6.
Scheme 2. Stereogenic-at-metal complexes with phosphoramidite P,alkene ligands of type 5 and 6
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Experimental Section

**General Considerations:** All reactions were carried out under anaerobic and anhydrous conditions, using standard Schlenk and glove box techniques (MBraun Labmaster). THF, Et₂O, and benzene were distilled from deep purple Na/Ph₂CO solutions, toluene from Na, C₆D₆, THF-D₈ from Na,K, and pentane and hexane from Na,K/tetraglyme. CS₂, CH₂CN, CH₂Cl₂, and CD₂Cl₂ were degassed with three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and distilled from CaH₂. Only crystalline bright yellow [Ni(COD)₂] was used.⁵⁵ 5 ((S)-(+)-(3,5-dioxa-4-phosphacyclohepta[2,1-a;3,4-a”]dinaphthalen-4-yl)-dibenzo[b,f]azepine) and 6 ((2S,5S)-(--)-(Aza-3-oxa-2-phoshabicyclo[3.3.0]octan-4-on-2-yl)-dibenzo[b,f]azepine) were prepared according published protocols.⁶ Diphenylacetylene (2.5 g) was recrystallized from dry boiling EtOH (11 mL) and thoroughly vacuum-dried. 4-Ethynyltoluene, 2-cyclohexenone, and 2-cyclopentenone (Aldrich) were purified by Kugelrohr distillation, degassed, and the enones were then kept over MS-4Å. Coumarine (Aldrich) was degassed. NMR spectra were recorded on Jeol 400 MHz and Bruker 500 MHz spectrometers. ATR-FTIR measurements were performed on a constant path transmission cell of ZnSe (Pike Technology) attached to a Bruker Tensor 27 spectrometer and samples were handled in air for brief periods of time. Elemental analyses were performed at IVIC or OCI and samples were handled in air.

[(Δ,5,5)-Ni(5)₂] (2a): A white slurry of (S)-5·0.05C₇H₈ (7011 mg, 13.69 mmol, as toluene solvate) in toluene (60 mL) was added over 10 min. to a vigorously stirred yellow solution of Ni(COD)₂ (1883 mg, 6.845 mmol) in toluene (40 mL) to afford a red clear solution. Stirring for 15 h afforded copious amounts of an orange microcrystalline precipitate. The mixture was concentrated to ca. half its initial volume, and pentane (100 mL) was added. The mixture was slurried for 3 h after which the solid was separated by filtration (GF/B glasfiber). The filter cake was thoroughly washed with hexanes (4 x 50 mL) and the yellow solid dried in vacuo (7.80 g, 96%).³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, THF-D₈) δ 207.3 (s). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, THF-D₈) δ 5.05-5.25 (m, 4H), 5.55 (t, 2H), 5.98 (d, 2H), 6.70-6.90 (m, 4H), 6.90-
7.65 (m, 26H), 7.85 (d, 2H), 7.90-8.10 (m, 4H). The spectrum indicated the presence of 1.3 equiv of co-crystallized hexane. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, THF-D$_8$) δ 121.7, 123.8, 124.0, 124.4, 125.7, 126.0, 126.2, 126.5, 126.7, 126.8, 126.9, 127.9, 128.2, 128.4, 128.6, 129.1, 129.3, 129.6, 129.7, 129.8, 130.1, 132.5, 132.6, 132.7, 132.8, 133.7, 133.8, 141.8, 142.5, 143.0, 143.4, 149.7, 150.2. Depending on the quality of Ni(COD)$_2$ recrystallization was sometimes necessary to remove traces of metallic Ni: 2.90 g of the complex were dissolved in THF (100 mL) and filtered over a Celite-covered GF4 filter frit. The resulting bright orange solution was exposed to pentane vapor (100 mL) during 8 d to yield large orange crystals that were collected and dried in vacuo (2.25 g, 76%). $^1$H NMR showed the presence of ca. 1.5 equiv of co-crystallized THF). Elemental analysis: C 74.97, H 4.50, N 2.40. Calculated for C$_{68}$H$_{44}$N$_2$NiO$_4$P$_2$: C 75.20, H 4.78, N 2.37.

Single crystals of X-ray diffraction quality were obtained by exposing a solution of 2a (50 mg) in THF-D$_8$ (0.6 mL) to n-pentane vapor.

($\Delta$,S,S,S,S)-[Ni(6)]$_2$ (2b): A solution of ($R$,S,C)$_6$ (1231 mg, 2.594 mmol) in benzene (5 g) was added dropwise over 5 min. to a vigorously stirred yellow slurry of Ni(COD)$_2$ (356.9 mg, 1.297 mmol) in benzene (3 g) to afford a clear red solution. After stirring for 1 h the volatiles were evaporated in vacuo leaving an orange solid foam that was slurried and washed with pentane (3 x 20 mL, filtrations over glass fiber filter GF/B). The filter cake was dried in HV to yield 1.25 g (96%) of a bright yellow soft powder. Elemental analysis found: C 73.80, H 5.66, N 5.34. Calculated for C$_{62}$H$_{54}$P$_2$N$_4$NiO$_2$: C 73.89, H 5.40, N 5.56. $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR (162 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) δ 174.8 (s).

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) δ 0.90-1.05 (m, 2H), 1.15-1.30 (m, 2H), 1.35-1.50 (m, 2H), 1.50-1.65 (m, 2H), 2.20-2.35 (m, 2H), 3.45-3.60 (m, 2H), 4.55-4.70 (m, 2H), 5.00 (t, 2H), 5.50-5.65 (m, 2H), 6.30-6.40 (m, 2H), 6.70-7.55 (m, 34H). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) δ 14.3, 22.7, 28.9, 34.4, 44.9, 45.1, 61.4, 69.2, 72.7, 73.0, 91.5, 91.7, 125.5, 125.7, 126.2, 126.5, 126.9, 127.2, 129.5, 132.1, 143.0, 143.4 (br), 143.5 (br), 144.0, 146.4. Single crystals suitable for an X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by dissolving 53 mg of 2b in 5.3 g of boiling CH$_3$CN, filtering the hot solution through a cotton plug, and allowing the solution to cool slowly to RT by guarding it in a Dewar vessel. NMR spectra of these crystals corresponded to the product synthesized at RT.

(S,S)-[Ni(5)]$_2$(η$^2$-CS$_2$) (8): CS$_2$ (104 mg, 1.37 mmol) in THF (6.0 g) was added dropwise over 15 min to a stirred orange-yellow slurry of 2a·1.3C$_6$H$_{14}$ (1204 mg, 1.015 mmol, as hexane solvate) in THF (6.0 g) immediately affording a clear Bordeaux-red solution. After stirring for 0.5 h the solution was filtered over Celite and then pumped down to a glassy red solid and left under vacuum for 4 h. The solid was slurried and washed in Et$_2$O (2x20 mL), separated by filtration, and dried in vacuo (1081 mg, 91%, mustard yellow powder). ATR-FTIR: ν = 1183 cm$^{-1}$ (s, C=S). $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR (162 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): AB
quartet, $\delta$ 155.0 (apparent $J = 21$ Hz), 155.9 (apparent $J = 21$ Hz). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) $\delta$ 4.95-5.05 (m, 1H), 5.25-5.35 (m, 1H), 5.50-5.60 (m, 1H), 5.85-6.05 (m, 3H), 6.10-6.20 (m, 1H), 6.25-6.35 (m, 1H), 6.35-6.65 (m, 5H), 6.65-6.95 (m, 4H), 7.00-7.55 (m, 19H), 7.60-7.70 (m, 1H), 7.75-8.10 (m, 8H). The spectrum indicates the presence of ca. 0.25 equiv of Et$_2$O of co-crystallization. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) $\delta$ 120.8, 121.1, 122.3, 122.4, 122.6, 123.0, 124.8, 124.9, 125.2, 125.3, 125.6, 125.8, 125.9, 126.0, 126.2, 126.3, 126.5, 126.8, 126.9, 127.2, 127.3, 127.6, 127.7, 127.9, 128.1, 128.5, 128.6, 128.7, 128.9, 129.2, 129.4, 129.6, 129.7, 129.8, 129.9, 130.2, 130.5, 130.7, 130.8, 131.1, 131.8, 132.0, 132.1, 132.8, 133.1, 133.4, 135.1, 135.5, 135.7, 139.8, 140.0, 140.7, 140.9, 147.7, 148.6, 148.7, 149.0, 149.1, 149.2, 255.3 (d, $J_{PC} = 67.2$ Hz). Crystalline material was obtained as follows: Pentane (8 mL) was added dropwise to a stirred, filtered solution of 0.50 g of 8·0.25 Et$_2$O in 11.5 g CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (limit of solubility), and the resulting slightly turbid dark red solution was left undisturbed for 2h at RT (8 is not stable in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution over extended periods of time). The microcrystalline solid was collected and dried in the glovebox atmosphere (0.33 g, 63%, NMR data correspond and indicate the presence of ca. 0.8 equiv of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ of co-crystallization). Single crystals suitable for an X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by layering a solution of 8 (40 mg) in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ (0.6 mL) with pentane (2 mL) in an NMR tube. Elemental analysis of the crystals found: C 70.09, H 3.95, N 2.32, S 4.83. Calculated for C$_{69}$H$_{44}$N$_2$NiO$_4$P$_2$S$_2$·0.5CD$_2$Cl$_2$: C 69.95, H 3.89, N 2.34, S 5.37. ($S,S$)-[Ni(5)$_2$($\eta^2$-PhCCPh)] (9): A solution of diphenylacetylene (117 mg, 0.656 mmol) in toluene (2.3 g) was added dropwise to a vigorously stirred pre-cooled (250 K) orange slurry of 2a·1.3C$_6$H$_{14}$ (519 mg, 0.438 mmol, as hexane solvate) in toluene (4.6 g). Within 5 min the slurry dissolved to give a clear orange solution that turned yellow within 10 min. After stirring for 1 h the solution was filtered over a Celite-covered cotton plug and evaporated to dryness. Slurrying in a Et$_2$O (6 mL)/pentane (6 mL) mixture afforded a lemon yellow microcrystalline solid that was separated by filtration and dried in HV (410 mg, 75%, pale yellow powder). Elemental analysis found: C 77.90, H 4.46, N 2.23. Calculated for C$_{82}$H$_{54}$N$_2$NiO$_4$P$_2$S$_2$·0.6Et$_2$O: C 78.19, H 4.66, N 2.16. The $^1$H NMR spectrum shows the presence of two isomers (ca. 9:1) and ca. 0.6 equiv of Et$_2$O of co-crystallization. FTIR (Fluorolube): 1802 cm$^{-1}$ (m, C≡C). $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR (202 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) $\delta$ 163.4 (s, 87% by integration), 165.2 (s, 13% by integration). ($S,S$)-[Ni(5)$_2$($\eta^2$-4-ethynyltoluene)] (10): 4-Ethynyltoluene (130 mg, 1.12 mmol) was added dropwise to a vigorously stirred orange-yellow toluene (3.8 g) slurry of 2a·1.3C$_6$H$_{14}$ (1108 mg, 0.9344 mmol, as hexane solvate) over 5 min. This immediately afforded a clear pale yellow solution that gradually turned brown-red over 20 min. After stirring for 2 h the solution was filtered over a Celite-covered cotton plug and then evaporated to a beige solid. Et$_2$O (9 mL) and pentane (9 mL) were added and the
microcrystalline product was slurried for 10h and cooled to 245 K to complete precipitation. The precipitate was separated by filtration and dried in vacuo (1045 mg, 94%, pale yellow soft powder). Elemental analysis found: C 76.52, H 4.32, N 2.35. Calculated for \( \text{C}_{177}\text{H}_{52}\text{O}_{4}\text{P}_{2}\text{Ni} \cdot 0.1\text{C}_{7}\text{H}_{8} \): C 77.83, H 4.44, N 2.34.

\( ^{31}\text{P}\{^{1}\text{H}\} \) NMR (202 MHz, \( \text{C}_{6}\text{D}_{6} \)): AB quartet, \( \delta \) 163.0 (apparent \( J = 14.2 \) Hz), 165.5 (apparent \( J = 14.2 \) Hz). \( ^{1}\text{H} \) NMR (500 MHz, \( \text{C}_{6}\text{D}_{6} \)) \( \delta \) 2.25 (s, 3H), 5.27 (m, 1H), 5.45 (m, 1H), 5.64 (m, 1H), 5.90 (m, 1H), 6.01 (m, 1H), 6.15-6.30 (m, 5H), 6.45 (m, 1H), 6.50-6.70 (m, 5H), 6.90-7.05 (m, 5H), 7.10 (m, 1H), 7.15-7.35 (m, 7H), 7.40-7.55 (m, 13H), 7.60-7.70 (m, 4H), 7.80 (m, 1H), 8.40 (m, 2H). The spectrum indicates the presence of ca. 0.1 equiv of toluene of co-crystallization.

\( ^{13}\text{C} \) NMR (127 MHz, \( \text{C}_{6}\text{D}_{6} \)) \( \delta \) 21.4, 121.6, 122.0, 122.4, 122.6, 123.3, 123.4, 123.6, 123.8, 124.7, 124.9, 125.5, 125.6, 126.0, 126.2, 127.4, 127.5, 127.6, 128.5, 128.6, 128.7, 128.8, 128.9, 129.1, 129.3, 129.4, 129.5, 129.6, 129.9, 130.1, 130.2, 130.5, 130.7, 130.9, 131.0, 132.1, 132.2, 132.4, 132.5, 132.9, 133.0, 133.4, 133.8, 135.8, 135.9, 136.1, 136.4, 136.8, 142.1, 142.3, 142.4, 142.5, 143.0, 149.3, 149.8, 150.5, 150.6, 151.0, 151.1. Single crystals suitable for an X-ray diffraction analysis were grown from a \( \text{C}_{6}\text{D}_{6} \) (0.6 mL) solution of 10 (50 mg) that was layered with Et$_2$O (1.2 mL) in an NMR tube.

**Representative reaction of 2a with coumarine (11c):** A solution of coumarine (27.7 mg, 0.190 mmol) in THF (0.7 g) was added dropwise to a stirred orange slurry of 2a·1.3C$_7$H$_{14}$ (102 mg, 0.0858 mmol, as hexane solvate) in THF (0.5 g). The resulting lemon yellow clear solution was stirred for 2h and then submitted to \( ^{31}\text{P}\{^{1}\text{H}\} \) NMR (202 MHz, \( \text{C}_{6}\text{D}_{6} \)) analysis: main AB quartet, \( \delta \) 155.0 (apparent \( J = 32 \) Hz), 157.8 (apparent \( J = 32 \) Hz), 84% of all integrals; minor AB quartet, \( \delta \) 157.6 (apparent \( J = 29 \) Hz), 158.1 (apparent \( J = 29 \) Hz), 11% of all integrals; minor AB quartet, \( \delta \) 156.3 (apparent \( J = 29 \) Hz), 158.7 (apparent \( J = 56 \) Hz), 5% of all integrals. \( ^{1}\text{H} \) NMR (500 MHz, \( \text{C}_{6}\text{D}_{6} \)) \( \delta \) 3.90 and 4.21 (s, br, 2H, \( \alpha,\beta \)-protons of coordinated coumarine), integral over the rest of signals (4.5–10.0 ppm) corresponded to ca. 54H (i.e. 48H of 11c, 6H of 1 equiv of excess coumarine). Isolation: The volatiles of the solution were evaporated and the pale yellow solid slurried and washed in pentane (2x5 mL), filtered, and dried in vacuo (94 mg). The \( ^{31}\text{P}\{^{1}\text{H}\} \) NMR (202 MHz, \( \text{C}_{6}\text{D}_{6} \)) spectrum was identical to the above plus a new resonance at \( \delta \) 209.6 (s, ca. 15% by integration), corresponding to complex 2a.

**X-ray crystal structure determinations:** All measurements were carried out at 160 K on an Agilent Technologies SuperNova CCD diffractometer (Mo K\( \alpha \) radiation, \( \lambda = 0.71073 \) Å). Table 2 lists the pertinent crystal data. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and an absorption correction based on the multi-scan method$^{27}$ was applied. The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS97,$^{28}$ which revealed the positions of all non-H-atoms. The non-H-atoms
were refined anisotropically. All of the H-atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions and refined by using a riding model where each H-atom was assigned a fixed isotropic displacement parameter with a value equal to 1.2\( U_{eq} \) of its parent C-atom (1.5\( U_{eq} \) for any solvent methyl groups). The refinement of each structure was carried out on \( F^2 \) using the full-matrix least-squares procedures of SHELXL97, which minimized the function \( \Sigma w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 \). The absolute structures, and hence the absolute stereochemistries of the molecules, have been determined by refinement of the absolute structure parameters (Table 1) according to the method of Flack and Bernardinelli.\(^{29}\) For 2a, the asymmetric unit contains one molecule of the Ni-complex plus two disordered THF molecules. One of the THF molecules could be seen in difference electron density peaks, but refinement yielded large atomic displacement parameters, indicating disorder or partial occupation of the site. The second THF molecule was not readily discernable from the difference electron density peaks. Therefore the SQUEEZE routine\(^{30}\) of the program PLATON\(^{31}\) was employed. When the solvent molecules are omitted from the model, each unit cell contains three cavities of 2073 Å\(^3\) located on three-fold axes. The electron count in each cavity was calculated to be approximately 217 e\(^-\), which corresponds with approximately six molecules of THF (240 e\(^-\)). This assumption was used in the subsequent calculation of the empirical formula, formula weight, density, linear absorption coefficient and \( F(000) \). Based on the assumption, the ratio of Ni-complex to THF molecules in the structure is 1:2. For 2b, the asymmetric unit contains three molecules of the Ni-complex and four disordered molecules of MeCN. Two sets of overlapping positions were defined for the atoms of two of the MeCN molecules and the site occupation factors of the major conformations of these molecules refined to 0.613(18) and 0.68(3). Similarity restraints were applied to the chemically equivalent bond lengths and angles involving all disordered atoms, while neighbouring atoms within and between each orientation of the disordered molecules were restrained to have similar atomic displacement parameters. For 8, the asymmetric unit contains one molecule of the Ni-complex plus one molecule of CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\). For 10, the asymmetric unit contains one molecule of the Ni-complex plus one disordered Et\(_2\)O molecule and one C\(_6\)D\(_6\) molecule. The C\(_6\)D\(_6\) molecule could not be modelled adequately, so the SQUEEZE routine was again employed in a similar way to that described for 2a. Each unit cell contains two cavities of 565 Å\(^3\) with a calculated electron count of approximately 70 e\(^-\) per cavity, which is a little less than two molecules of C\(_6\)D\(_6\) (42 e\(^-\)) per cavity. It is therefore assumed there is one molecule of C\(_6\)D\(_6\) per asymmetric unit and thus the ratio of Ni-complex molecules to Et\(_2\)O and C\(_6\)D\(_6\) molecules in the structure is 1:1:1. The alkyne ligand is disordered over two conformations which was modeled in a similar way to that described for 2b. The site occupation factor of the major conformation of this ligand refined to 0.572(10).
Table 1. Crystal data and refinement parameters of compounds 2a, 2b, 8, and 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>2a·2THF-D₈</th>
<th>2b·1.33CH₃CN</th>
<th>8·CD₂Cl₂</th>
<th>10·Et₂O·C₆D₆</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C₇₆H₄₄D₁₆NiO₆P₂</td>
<td>C₆₄H₅₈N₅₃NiO₆P₂</td>
<td>C₇₀H₈₄Cl₂D₂N₂NiO₆P₂S₂</td>
<td>C₈₇H₆₂D₂N₂NiO₆P₂</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (g mol⁻¹)</td>
<td>1234.08</td>
<td>1062.52</td>
<td>1236.84</td>
<td>1348.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Trigonal</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Orthorhombic</td>
<td>Orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>37.5195(6)</td>
<td>11.73863(10)</td>
<td>12.4492(2)</td>
<td>12.5470(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>37.5195(6)</td>
<td>11.70932(11)</td>
<td>14.1316(2)</td>
<td>22.4042(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>13.4940(2)</td>
<td>58.7106(5)</td>
<td>32.7302(5)</td>
<td>25.2593(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>92.4392(9)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (Å³)</td>
<td>16450.7(4)</td>
<td>8062.54(12)</td>
<td>5758.1(1)</td>
<td>7100.5(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µ (mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>0.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dg (g cm⁻³)</td>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>1.313</td>
<td>1.424</td>
<td>1.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2θ(max) (deg)</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>54.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (K)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflns collected</td>
<td>56708</td>
<td>81234</td>
<td>44842</td>
<td>39344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indep refln, Rint</td>
<td>15371, 0.047</td>
<td>33051, 0.038</td>
<td>11952, 0.030</td>
<td>14458, 0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refln with I &gt; 2σ(I)</td>
<td>14304</td>
<td>31815</td>
<td>10627</td>
<td>11500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters refined</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>2089</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOF</td>
<td>1.065</td>
<td>1.198</td>
<td>1.029</td>
<td>1.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(F) [I &gt; 2σ(I) reflns]</td>
<td>0.0359</td>
<td>0.0593</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>0.0548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR² (all data)</td>
<td>0.1059</td>
<td>0.1199</td>
<td>0.0888</td>
<td>0.1505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆ρ (max; min) [e Å⁻³]</td>
<td>0.35; -0.28</td>
<td>0.65; -0.78</td>
<td>0.71; -0.44</td>
<td>1.16; -0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute structure parameter</td>
<td>0.018(6)</td>
<td>0.034(8)</td>
<td>-0.012(9)</td>
<td>-0.02(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*) Details of the treatment of solvent molecules and disordered ligands are in the experimental part and the deposited CIFs.

Results and discussion

Complexes with stereogenic Ni centers: The chiral Ni(0) complexes 2a and 2b were prepared by reacting 2 equiv of (S)-5 and (Rₚ, Sₜ)·6 (see Scheme 1) respectively, with [Ni(COD)] (COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) in toluene solution according to eq 1. These reactions were clean and quantitative on an NMR basis, and the bright orange analytically pure micro-crystalline products were reproducibly isolated in excellent yields on multi-gram scales. Recrystallization after filtration over Celite sometimes was necessary in order to eliminate small amounts of metallic Ni dragged along from the [Ni(COD)] starting material. Complexes 2a and 2b in the solid state are stable in moist air for several
days. The solubility of crystalline 2a is low in aromatics, medium in THF, and high in chlorinated solvents, whereas crystalline 2b is generally much more soluble. Orange CD$_2$Cl$_2$ and CDCl$_3$ solutions of both complexes decomposed within minutes to afford greenish mixtures, presumably via C-Cl activation of the chlorinated solvents.\textsuperscript{32}

\[ \text{Ni(COD)$_2$} + 2 \left( \text{S treatment of the chlorinated solvents.} \right) \]

The $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectra of 2a and 2b displayed sharp singlets at $\delta$ 209 and 175, respectively. [$^1$H,$^{31}$P] and [$^1$H,$^{13}$C] HMQC NMR experiments in C$_6$D$_6$ solution identified the $^1$H and $^{13}$C signals of the coordinated alkene functions: In 2a the protons resonate at $\delta$ 5.14 (d, 2H) and 5.40 (m, 2H), and the carbon nuclei at $\delta$ 71.3 and 69.5, while in 2b the protons resonate at $\delta$ 4.46 (m, 2H) and 5.58 (m, 2H) and the carbon nuclei at $\delta$ 72.9 and 61.4. Variable temperature $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR studies between -80 °C and +80 °C in toluene solution were undertaken in order to probe the configurational stability of the Ni centers in 2a and 2b.\textsuperscript{33} Apart from small variations in linewidths and chemical shifts ($\Delta \delta < 2$ ppm), no new resonances appeared in the aforementioned temperature window. These observations suggest \textit{perfect diastereoselectivities} of the complexation reactions with respect to Ni stereochemistry and configurational stability of the complexes.

In order to elucidate the precise molecular structures and the absolute configurations of the Ni centers, single crystals of 2a and 2b were grown from THF/$n$-pentane and CH$_3$CN solutions, respectively, and
subjected to X-ray diffraction analyses. As expected, complexes 2a and 2b, as their respective THF and CH₃CN solvates, are monomeric and display tetrahedral coordination spheres around the Ni atoms (see Figures 1 and 2 and Table 1 for the crystal data and refinement parameters of all reported crystal structures) thanks to a bidentate coordination mode of the P,alkene ligands. Complex 2a shows a pseudo C₂ point symmetry which is evidenced in the inset of Figure 1. It is noteworthy that the asymmetric unit of 2b contains three independent molecules of the Ni-complex and four disordered molecules of CH₃CN. Both complexes in their respective crystals are enantio- and diastereomerically pure and the absolute configurations of the molecules have been determined independently by the diffraction experiment. In order to exclude the possibility of epimerization of the metal stereocenter or the stereogenic P atoms in 2b during crystallization from boiling CH₃CN to obtain the X-ray quality single crystals (see experimental part), a separate ³¹P and ¹H NMR analysis of a C₆D₆ solution of such crystals established their identity with 2b from bulk preparations. The data confirmed the identity with bulk preparations and the configurational stability of 2b in hot CH₃CN. In both cases the optically pure modifiers used in the ligand syntheses ((S)-binaphthol and (S)-diphenylprolinol) showed the expected configurations. Most importantly, these structures allowed us to assign the absolute configurations of the Ni stereocenters, which are (Δ) in both cases.

As observed in other complexes and in the free ligands 5 and 6,⁶,⁷a,²²a the benzazepine moiety adopts a boat conformation that allows for an effective bidentate metal complexation. The average bite angle spanned by the mid-points of the alkenes, the Ni centres, and the P atoms is 93.8(1)° in 2a and 95.13(3)° in 2b, thanks in both cases to a distinctly pyramidal (i.e. sp³) geometry of the benzazepine-N atoms: The sum of the bond angles at N1 and N2 in complex 2a are 335.9(2)° and 334.3(3)°, respectively, and in complex 2b they range from 335.9(5) to 337.6(5)° across the three independent molecules. Note that in the free ligands 5⁶ and 6,³⁴ this same N atom was shown to be perfectly trigonal planar. The mean Ni–P and Ni–C distances for 2a are 2.1249(7) Å and 2.097(8) Å, respectively, and for all independent molecules of 2b they are 2.141(2) and 2.09(1) Å, respectively. In Bennett’s triarylphosphine-based [Ni(P,alkene)₂] complex⁸ the corresponding Ni–P bonds are longer (2.19–2.20 Å), while the corresponding Ni–C are slightly shorter (mean value of 2.04 Å). Both observations are consistent with a lower electron density on Ni in our complexes due to the higher π-acidity of the phosphoramidite P atoms. The alkene bonds in the free ligands 5 and 6 measure 1.34 Å⁶ and 1.33 Å,³⁴ and upon coordination to the Ni centers in complexes 2a and 2b they elongate to an average of 1.405(4) and 1.41(2) Å, respectively. These distances are in good agreement with values found in
electron rich Ni(0) complexes,\textsuperscript{2b,d,8} even though phosphoramidite ligands are expected to lead to electron poorer metal centers when compared to phosphines.

**Figure 1.** The molecular structure of complex (Δ,δ<sub>α</sub>,δ<sub>α</sub>')-2a in the crystal (50% probability ellipsoids, hydrogen atoms are omitted). The inset is a view along the <i>C</i><sub>2</sub>-axis of the complex. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) are: Ni1–P1, 2.1270(5); Ni1–P2, 2.1228(5); Ni1–C47, 2.111(2); Ni1–C48, 2.072(2); Ni1–C61, 2.110(2); Ni1–C62, 2.081(2); P1–N1, 1.714(2); P1–O1, 1.625(1); P1–O2, 1.642(1); P2–N2, 1.724(2); P2–O3, 1.641(2); P2–O4, 1.629(1); C47–C48, 1.406(3); C61–C62, 1.403(3); P1–Ni1–P2, 118.85(2); Ni1–P1–N1, 109.65(6); Ni1–P2–N2, 109.70(7); P1–N1–C41, 113.3(1); P2–N2–C55, 111.9(1). Torsion angles \( \phi \) along the P-N vectors (see text for the definition and Scheme 4): 6.1 (P1-N1), 4.9 (P2-N2).
**Figure 2.** The structure of one of three independent molecules of the complex $(\Delta R_{p}R_{p},S_{C}S_{C})-2b$ in the crystal (50% probability ellipsoids, hydrogen atoms are omitted). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) are: Ni1-P1, 2.154(1); Ni1-P2, 2.132(1); Ni1-C24, 2.075(4); Ni1-C25, 2.108(4); Ni1-C55, 2.098(4); Ni1-C56, 2.081(4); P1-O1, 1.627(3); P1-N1, 1.665(3); P1-N2, 1.741(4); P2-O2, 1.616(3); P2-N3, 1.645(3); P2-N4, 1.758(3); C24-C25, 1.424(6); C55-C56, 1.421(6); P2-Ni1-P1, 103.36(4); C24-Ni1-P1, 98.4(1); C25-Ni1-P1, 92.0(1); C55-Ni1-P2, 91.9(1); C56-Ni1-P2, 97.5(1); C24-Ni1-C55, 106.8(2). Torsion angles $\phi$ along the P-N vectors (see text and Scheme 4 for the definition). Molecule A: 8.3 (P1-N2), 6.9 (P2-N4); Molecule B: 3.1 and 2.7; Molecule C: 7.8 and 10.6.

**Activation of CS$_2$, alkynes, and enones:** In view of potential applications in asymmetric synthesis, we were interested to assess the reactivity of complex 2a towards promising substrates, such as CO$_2$ and its analogues, alkynes, alkenes, ketones, and enones. While 2a did not react with CO$_2$ (1 bar),
dicyclohexylcarbodiimide, or 1-naphthylisocyanate, a slight excess of CS$_2$ reacted quantitatively and in the time of mixing with a yellow slurry of complex 2a in THF to afford a clear Bordeaux red solution (see Scheme 3). Compound 8 was isolated in excellent yield on a gram scale, and an ATR-FTIR spectrum was consistent with a $\eta^2$ coordinated CS$_2$ molecule showing a strong band at 1183 cm$^{-1}$ (see Figure 3). The $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectrum indicated desymmetrization of the molecule, showing an AB quartet centered around $\delta$ 155 and 156 (apparent $J = 21$ Hz), and the $^{13}$C{$^1$H} NMR spectrum showed a characteristic doublet at $\delta$ 255.3 ($J_{PC} = 67.2$ Hz, $J_{P'C}$ not resolved) which is in line with reported values.$^{14c}$ The CS$_2$ coordination is irreversible, resisting vacuum ($10^{-3}$ mmHg), recrystallizations, and washing with alkanes or ether.

The single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of complex 8, as its $\mathbf{8} \cdot$CD$_2$Cl$_2$ solvate, revealed the mononuclear structure depicted in Figure 4 and confirmed $\eta^2$ coordination of CS$_2$ with concomitant de-coordination of the ligand alkene functions. To the best of our knowledge, this represents the first example of a structurally authenticated chiral CS$_2$ metal complex.$^{35}$ As expected for a diamagnetic Ni(II) complex, the sulfa-nickela-cyclopropane ring is coplanar with the plane spanned by P1, P2, and Ni. The maximum deviation of atoms Ni1, S1, S2, C69, P1 and P2 from their mean plane is 0.029(1) Å for atom S2. The C69–S1 distance of 1.578(3) Å is the expected value for a C=S bond, and the $\eta^2$ coordinated portion C69–S2 of the CS$_2$ molecule is elongated to 1.685(3) Å. Expectedly, this distance is shorter in our phosphoramidite-ligated complex than in Hillhouse’s trialkylphosphine-ligated [(dtbpe)Ni($\eta^2$-CS$_2$)] (dtbpe = 1,2-bis(di-t-butyolphosphino)ethane) complex, where the corresponding distance of 1.732(6) Å$^{14c}$ suggests a higher electron density at the Ni center and therefore stronger backbonding to the coordinated CS$_2$ molecule. In Mealli’s pentacoordinate [(MeC(CH$_2$PPh$_2$)$_3$)Ni($\eta^2$-CS$_2$)] complex, by contrast, this bond is significantly shorter (1.63(1) Å)$^{14b}$

The opening up of the ligand alkene arms by going from the starting complex 2a to complex 8 causes a geometry change of the N1 and N2 atoms from significantly pyramidal in 2a to trigonal planar in 8 (see Scheme 4). The sum of the angles at both N1 and N2 is 360.0(3)$^\circ$. The mean P–N distance in 8 is 1.663(3) Å, while in 2a it is 1.719(2) suggesting that the N lone pair is involved in the P–N bond, giving it some double-bond character when the alkene arm is not coordinating. The mean C=C distance in the now uncoordinated alkenes of 8 is 1.327(5) Å, which corresponds to the bond lengths in the free ligands 5 and 6, mentioned above.
Scheme 3

Figure 3. ATR-FTIR spectra of complexes 2a (grey trace) and 8 (black trace)
Figure 4. The molecular structure of complex (S,S)-8 in the crystal (30% probability ellipsoids, hydrogen atoms are omitted). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) are: Ni1–P1, 2.1195(7); Ni1–P2, 2.1879(7); Ni1–S2, 2.1529(8); Ni1–C69, 1.913(3); S1–C69, 1.587(3); S2–C69, 1.685(3); P1–N2, 1.664(2); P1–O1, 1.628(2); P1–O2, 1.620(2); P2–N1, 1.661(2); P2–O3, 1.632(2); P2–O4, 1.622(2); C61–C62, 1.327(4); C47–C48, 1.334(5); P1–Ni1–P2, 99.02(3); P1–Ni1–S2, 153.44(3); P1–Ni1–C69, 105.01(9); P2–Ni1–S2, 107.45(3); P2–Ni1–C69, 155.97(9); S2–Ni1–C69, 48.53(9); Ni1–S2–C69, 58.3(1); Ni1–C69–S2, 73.2(1); S1–C69–S2, 142.6(2); Ni1–C69–S1, 144.2(2); Ni1–P1–N2, 115.12(8); Ni1–P2–N1, 116.47(8); P1–N2–C41, 124.4(2); P2–N1–C55, 117.3(2). Torsion angles $\phi$ (see text for the definition and Scheme 4) along the P-N vectors: 79.2 (P1-N2), 81.9 (P2-N1).
While 2a did not react with styrene or 1-hexene, the addition of 1.5 equiv of diphenylacetylene to an orange benzene slurry of 2a led to a pale yellow solution, and product 9 (see Scheme 3) was isolated in good yield as a pale yellow microcrystalline solid. The $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectrum showed a major (87% of integrals) singlet at $\delta$ 163.4 consistent with a $C_2$-symmetric adduct. On the other hand, 1.2 equiv of 4-ethynyltoluene reacted with an orange slurry of 2a to afford a clear pale yellow solution of 10, but this time its $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR spectrum showed an AB quartet centered at $\delta$ 163.0 and 165.5 with an apparent $J = 14.2$ Hz, as would be expected for an adduct of lower symmetry. The resonance of the acetylenic H is shifted strongly downfield to $\delta$ 7.67 when compared to free 4-ethynyltoluene ($\delta$ 3.05) displaying an ABX quartet by coupling to the P atoms ($J_{HP} = 42.8$ Hz, $J_{HP'} = 15.9$ Hz). 10 was isolated in excellent yield on a gram scale as a pale yellow powder. The addition of slight excesses of CS$_2$ to pale yellow slurries of the alkyne complexes 9 and 10 in C$_6$D$_6$ afforded deep red clear solutions in the time of mixing. $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR analyses showed quantitative formation of the CS$_2$ adduct 8 in both cases (Scheme 3).

Single crystals of adequate quality grew as the 10·C$_6$D$_6$·Et$_2$O solvate from a C$_6$D$_6$/Et$_2$O mixture, and the X-ray diffraction analysis confirmed the expected $\eta^2$ coordination of the alkyne function and the de-coordinated, open alkene arms of the ligands in the mononuclear, distorted square planar Ni(II) complex (Figure 5). We note that structurally characterized complexes of H-substituted alkynes are quite rare, and that in catalytic systems such species are thought to undergo oxidative addition of the acetylenic C-H bond to form Ni$^{II}$(H)(alkynyl) intermediates. Even heating a benzene solution of complex 10 to 70º C for 30 minutes did not cause any reaction; in particular no hydrides product of C-H activation were observed. The 4-ethynyltoluene ligand in 10 is disordered over two conformations that are nearly overlapping. The molecular structure of 10 is extremely similar to that of 8, as shown by the overlay in Figure 6. However, the nickela-cyclopropane ring is slightly tilted with respect to the plane spanned by P1, P2, and Ni giving a dihedral angle of 11.9(13)º for the major conformation of the ligand and 7.8(17)º for the minor conformation. The distance of the coordinated alkyne function C69–C70 of 1.265(7) Å is only ca. 0.01 Å shorter than in comparable electron rich diphosphine stabilized Ni complexes.

Corresponding complexes stabilized by phosphite ligands are scarce, and we are unaware of structurally characterized $\eta^2$-alkyne Ni adducts bearing phosphoramidite ligands other than complex 10. The sum of the angles at N(1) and N(2) of 359.6(5) and 359.9(4)º, respectively, again confirms the trigonal planar nature of these N-atoms when the alkene component is not coordinated to the Ni-atom. The mean P–N and alkene C=C distances are 1.658(4) and 1.329(7) Å, respectively, consistent with the corresponding values in 8. The mean Ni–P distances for 8 and 10 are 2.154(1) Å.
and 2.101(1) Å, respectively, which are similar to those found in 2a and 2b and indicate that these distances are not influenced significantly by the modified coordination environment around the Ni-atom, nor by its formal oxidation state.

Figure 5. The molecular structure of complex (S,S)-10 in the crystal (50% probability ellipsoids, hydrogen atoms and minor components of the disordered alkyne ligand are omitted). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg) are: Ni1–P1, 2.1017(11); Ni1–P2, 2.1010(9); Ni1–C69, 1.886(4); Ni1–C70, 1.894(4); C69–C70, 1.265(7); P1–N1, 1.650(3); P1–O1, 1.647(2); P1–O2, 1.633(3); P2–N2, 1.665(3); P2–O3, 1.649(2); P2–O4, 1.629(3); C61–C62, 1.326(6); C47–C48, 1.332(7); P1–Ni1–P2, 101.64(4); P1–Ni1–C69, 155.2(3); P1–Ni1–C70, 117.1(3); P2–Ni1–C69, 102.8(3); P2–Ni1–C70,
Torsion angles $\phi$ (see text for the definition and Scheme 4) along the P-N vectors: 86.6 (P1-N1), 74.4 (P2-N2).

Figure 6. Overlay of the molecular structures of 8 and 10. Only the major conformation of the disordered 4-ethynyltoluene ligand in 10 is shown.

Scheme 4 depicts the geometrical changes that ligand 5 undergoes on coordination and de-coordination of its alkene arm. The orientation of the alkene ligand is quantified by the torsion angle $\phi$ around the P-N vector in Ni–P–N···X where X is the mid-point of the alkene C=C bond. When the alkene is coordinated, ideally $\phi = 0$ might be expected, and indeed the values are quite close to zero for 2a and 2b (see captions of Figures 1 and 2). When the alkene is not coordinated, the ligand turns through an angle approaching 90° consistently for both ligands in both complexes 8 and 10 (see captions of Figures 3 and 4). The hybridization change of the N atom from $sp^3$ to $sp^2$ upon de-coordination of the alkene arm suggests that the bidentate coordination mode of the P,alkene ligands is ‘springloaded’.
Scheme 4. Geometry changes of the P,alkene ligand 5 upon coordination/de-coordination of the alkene function as observed in crystal structures 2a, 8, and 10 (mean values, S = substrate molecule, aromatic rings of dibenzazepine omitted for clarity, definition of φ: see text)

Three equivalents of 1,2-cyclohexenone, 1,2-cyclopentenone, and coumarine reacted with orange benzene slurries of complex 2a to afford, by the time of mixing, pale yellow clear solutions of adducts 11a–c (see Table 2). By contrast, even large excesses of cyclohexanone or benzophenone did not react, and IR spectra of 11a–c showed the characteristic bands of free C=O functions. This supports the view that only the alkene functions of α,β-unsaturated carbonyl compounds coordinate the Ni center of 2a. The $^{31}$P{${}^1$H} NMR spectra of the in situ formed adducts 11a–c revealed quantitative reactions and were strongly reminiscent of the spectral properties of the dissymmetric complexes 8 and 10 showing characteristic AB quartets. Also, in the $^1$H NMR spectra of 11a–c the characteristic resonances of the coordinated ligand-alkene functions of the starting complex 2a at δ 5.14 and 5.40 had disappeared. [$^1$H, $^{31}$P] HMQC NMR experiments of 11a–c identified the two resonances of the α,β-protons of the coordinated enones and coumarine (broad singlets) and confirmed the absence of coordination of the ligand alkene functions. For example, in adduct 11c the resonances of the α,β-protons of coumarine were shifted strongly up-field to δ 3.90 and 4.21 (br, s) when compared with the pair of doublets at δ 6.4 and 7.7 in free coumarine. The enone adducts 11a and 11b showed very similar spectroscopic behavior, and in all cases the integration of the α,β-proton resonances were consistent with the coordination of one sole equivalent of enone per Ni complex. We therefore propose the formation of $\eta^2$ adducts of type 11 (Table 2) which feature non-stereogenic Ni centers. Unfortunately, these adducts could not be isolated in analytically pure form, because recrystallization, washing with pentane or Et$_2$O
to eliminate excess enone, or drying under vacuum caused the equilibrium to partially revert to the starting complex 2a. This reverse reaction formed the same pure (Δ,S,S) diastereoisomer of 2a. The addition of 3 equiv of 4-ethynyltoluene to the complexes 11a–c (generated in situ with 3 equiv of each of the α,β-unsaturated carbonyl compounds in C₆D₆ solution) led in each case to the quantitative formation of complex 10 in the time of mixing.

Table 2. Reversible coordination of cyclic enones and coumarine to 2a and characteristic NMR data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>adduct</th>
<th>substrate</th>
<th>³¹P{¹H} NMR a</th>
<th>¹H NMR b of α,β-H, δ c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11a d</td>
<td>2-cyclopentenone</td>
<td>151.6 (d), 154.9 (d); 45</td>
<td>3.55, 4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11b e</td>
<td>2-cyclohexenone</td>
<td>151.4 (d), 159.8 (d); 45</td>
<td>3.23, 4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11c e</td>
<td>coumarine</td>
<td>155.0 (d), 157.8 (d); 32</td>
<td>3.90, 4.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) 202 MHz; b) 500 MHz; c) Mayor isomers only (>80% by integration); d) THF-D₈; e) C₆D₆

In conclusion, we have shown that the use of chiral phosphoramidite P,alkene ligands is an excellent method for the high-yield synthesis of optically pure complexes that feature stereogenic Ni(0) centers. 2a and 2b are rare examples of stereogenic-at-metal complexes that are not stabilized by η⁵-Cp or η⁶-arene type ligands. Apart from presenting an unambiguous and stable stereochemistry, these novel Ni(0) complexes are air and moisture stable thanks to the protecting hemilabile alkene functions of ligands 5 and 6, but nonetheless, once dissolved in the appropriate solvent, readily fix and activate small molecules such as CS₂, alkynes, and α,β-unsaturated carbonyl compounds, the latter reversibly so. The results also indicate that phosphoramidite ancillary ligands form Ni(0) complexes of sufficient electron density to activate small molecules. Structural data of the CS₂ adduct 8, however, indicate diminished electron density on the Ni center when compared to phosphine stabilized analogues. In contrast, ancillary ligand basicity does not seem to exert great influence on alkyne coordination as observed in adduct 10. The binding affinity for complex 2a of the substrates we tested follow the order CS₂ > alkynes > enones. Finally, the structural data demonstrate that [Ni(P,alkene)₂] complexes of
topology 2 can indeed be viewed as latent 14 ve’ species 4 (Scheme 1), and the application of such complexes in asymmetric catalysis is the subject of ongoing investigations in our laboratory.
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