Can consumption predict advertising expenditures? The advertising-consumption relation before and after the dot-com crisis in Germany

Lischka, Juliane; Kienzler, Stephanie; Mellmann, Ulrike

Abstract: Two contradictory schools of thought—the activists and the determinists—predict that either diffusion of knowledge (e.g., through advertising) leads to economic growth or that economic growth increases marketing and advertising activities. This study assesses the causal relation between corporate advertising expenditures and private consumption applying vector autoregressive (VAR) models to aggregate German quarterly data from 1991 to 2009. Results indicate a break in the advertising-consumption relation after the dot-com crisis. Hence, the macroeconomic advertising-consumption relation is not stable over time. Since 2001, consumption has Granger-caused advertising expenditures. This change suggests a higher relevance of consumer behavior for advertising budgeting decisions.

Originally published at:
Lischka, Juliane; Kienzler, Stephanie; Mellmann, Ulrike (2014). Can consumption predict advertising expenditures? The advertising-consumption relation before and after the dot-com crisis in Germany. Advertising Society Review, 15(3):online.

Can Consumption Predict Advertising Expenditures?: The Advertising-Consumption Relation Before and After the Dot-Com Crisis in Germany

Juliane A. Lischka, Stephanie Kienzler, Ulrike Mellmann
Institute of Mass Communication and Media Research (IPMZ), University of Zurich

Keywords: Advertising budgeting, private household consumption, consumer behavior, activist view, deterministic view, economic crisis, Granger causality, impulse response function

Abstract

Two contradictory schools of thought—the activists and the deterministsmpredict that either diffusion of knowledge (e.g., through advertising) leads to economic growth or that economic growth increases marketing and advertising activities. This study assesses the causal relation between corporate advertising expenditures and private consumption applying vector autoregressive (VAR) models to aggregate German quarterly data from 1991 to 2009. Results indicate a break in the advertising-consumption relation after the dot-com crisis. Hence, the macroeconomic advertising-consumption relation is not stable over time. Since 2001, consumption has Granger-caused advertising expenditures. This change suggests a higher relevance of consumer behavior for advertising budgeting decisions.

Corresponding author: Juliane A. Lischka
Address: IPMZ, Andreasstrasse 15, CH-8050 Zurich, Switzerland
Phone: +41 (0)44 635 20 75
Fax: +41 (0)44 634 49 34
E-mail: j.lischka@ipmz.uzh.ch

Authors' note: This study was conducted for the project "The Impact of Changes in Advertising on the Media", funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant number 100017_130367). The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their most useful comments.

INTRODUCTION

Advertising expenditures are closely related to the economic cycle[1] as companies tend to cut down their expenses in a recession. Hence, advertising markets struggle during economic crises. Being the most dominant contributor to media, media markets struggle as a result.[2]

Consumption as a measure of aggregate consumer behavior should show an even closer connection to advertising expenditures since most advertising is consumer targeted. While the dynamic interrelation between advertising and private consumption has been widely discussed,[3] a consensus has yet to be reached. The debate is based on two contradictory schools of thought, the "activist" and "deterministic" view:

According to the activist view, one of advertising's purposes is to activate demand by diffusion of knowledge and by influencing consumers' inter-temporal preferences.[4] This is based on the endogenous growth theory, which states that diffusion and accumulation of knowledge lead to economic growth. Therefore, both consumption and economic growth are expected to increase *in response* to advertising activity. Accordingly, lower advertising expenditures can negatively impact household consumption and, consequently, overall economic performance.

In the deterministic view, consumption is a determinant of advertising expenditures. On the one hand, it refers to a microeconomic point of view based on the idea that increasing income leads to an increase in demand. "Companies that want to have their share of the growing economic pie, for example, use advertising to attract new customers, and growing demand for personnel boosts recruitment advertising."[5] On the other hand, it can be traced back to the "principle of relative constancy (PRC)" that was originally formulated by McCombs in 1972 postulating a parallel development of consumers' as well as advertisers' spending on mass media and the general economy.[6] Thus, increasing (decreasing) revenues should result in increasing

(decreasing) advertising expenditures. Accordingly, one would assume the opposite direction of influence running from consumption to advertising expenditures.

In face of these arguments, the goal of this study is to detect whether consumption is useful to predict advertising expenditures that would enable decision makers to better anticipate future developments in media markets. From a media economic point of view, advertising is one of the most important financing sources for media organisations and a key factor for the existence of mass media systems. Being able to predict advertising revenues of media companies would close one important research gap in media economics. Therefore, we ask the following research question:

When can household consumption predict companies' advertising expenditures?

Our paper is structured as follows. First, we use a circular flow model to illustrate the economic relation between corporate advertising expenditures and household consumption. Then, we summarize the literature on this relation. We review the activist and deterministic argument and describe empirical results. Next, we apply vector autoregression (VAR) models, Granger-causality tests, and impulse response functions (irf) to German quarterly data on advertising expenditures and household consumption. The data cover the period fiscal quarter 1 in 1991 to fiscal quarter 4, 2009, therefore covering the period of the dot-com crisis in 2000. After discussing results, we close with conclusions and the study's limitations.

THEORETICAL REVIEW AND EMPIRICAL RELATIONS

A circular flow model illustrates potential relationships (in terms of accounting entities) in which economic behavior occurs. Household consumption and advertising expenditures of companies are parts of the circular flow that are not directly linked with each other (see **Figure 1**). Advertising expenditures can be considered company investments or expenses[7] that underlie a decision-making process generally involving costs, expected revenues, and therefore

also demand.[8] Household consumption can be considered returns to companies in trade of real goods or services that were subject to consumer choice.

Figure 1. Simple Circular Flow Model With Illustration of Activists' and Determinists' Assumptions



Note. Capital market not displayed

Source: Compiled by the authors

Of central concern in this study, the activists and deterministic arguments are visualized in the circular flow model (see

Figure 1). Representing the activist view, already Galbraith claims that advertising serves as a central instrument of an "effective management of consumer behavior."[9] Advertising expenditures are said to create demand for products (see bold black arrow in

Figure 1) by drawing income from savings to consumption. Contrarily, the deterministic view assumes that consumption drives advertising because higher sales derived from increased consumption lead to more advertising activities (see dotted arrow in

Figure 1). This cause-and-effect chain is considered to be consistent with the practitioners' rule wherein the advertising budget should comprise a share of sales, market share, or similar.[10] Accordingly, Hsu et al. find that, "whenever manufacturers realise more revenues from sales, they tend to spend more on advertising."[11] Note that these rules can be optimal for a company when the elasticity of demand increases with advertising expenditures.[12]

However, "[c]ommunications activities alone or in combination do not simply *cause* market impact…. In fact, a myriad of controllable and uncontrollable factors complicate the relationship between, say, a product advertisement run and the product sales afterward"[13] (emphasis in original) on the micro level. Therefore, empirical results testing the directional relation between advertising and consumption fail to provide a unified picture.

On the one hand, a set of studies often support that advertising has an impact on aggregate consumption. Taylor and Weiserbs[14] and Molinari and Turino[15] confirm the causal direction argued by the activists, running from advertising expenditures to aggregate consumption, using U.S. annual data from 1929 to 1968 and U.S. quarterly data from 1971 to 2005, respectively. In addition, Rehme and Weisser,[16] using German annual data from 1950 to 2000, show that advertising expenditures Granger-cause consumption. Also Sturgess and Wilson[17] show that advertising precedes consumption for German quarterly data from 1974 to 1982.

On the other hand, many studies support the determinists, finding a unidirectional causal relation from consumption to advertising expenditures. Ashley, Granger, and Schmalensee conclude from quarterly U.S. data from 1956 to 1975 that "fluctuations in aggregate consumption cause fluctuations in aggregate advertising."[18] Quarles and Jeffres[19] apply a path analytic framework for 53 countries, confirming the influence of income on consumption, which in turn influences advertising expenditures. Also Duffy[20] finds support for the deterministic view using quarterly U.K. data from 1963 to 1985 for non-durables. Hsu et al.[21] apply a VAR model including Granger-causality tests and impulse-response functions to annual U.S. aggregate data to examine advertising expenditures and consumption from 1848 to 1995. Whereas impulse response functions do not show significant impacts between changes in consumption and advertising, Granger-causality tests show that consumption helps to predict future advertising—but not the other way around.

Additionally, there are also studies that find both directions of influence[22] or no causal relation.[23]

Inconsistent results of former studies might derive from different time horizons or different levels of temporal aggregation. Most importantly however, none of the studies considered possible shifts in the relation between advertising and consumption during their observation periods, although "model stability over such a long period of time is highly unlikely."[24] Within each observation period, there could be periods with structural changes and therefore different causal relations between both variables. Ignoring structural changes is perilous and could lead to inaccurate forecasts.[25]

METHOD

Sample and Procedures

This study analyzes the relation between total advertising expenditures (on newspapers, magazines, journals, television, and radio) and aggregate private household consumption, accounting for potential structural breaks over time. We also include GDP—which correlates with consumption as well as advertising expenditures—in order to eliminate the impact it might have on the relationship between our two focal variables. This way, we make sure that the relation between consumption and advertising is not solely driven by GDP. We use quarterly data for Germany from fiscal quarter 1, 1991 to fiscal quarter 4, 2009. Data on advertising expenditures stem from Nielsen Media Research, as published in *Media Perspektiven;* data on aggregate household consumption, and GDP were retrieved from Eurostat.

Compared to annual data, quarterly data contain additional information on causal relations that occur within one year.[26] Due to the German reunion in 1990, only German data from 1991 onward are used. Because advertising expenditures strongly decrease from 2010 onwards due to the financial crisis, the observation period ends in fiscal quarter 4, 2009. German data were selected due to the size of Germany's economy and the lack of research on German data, compared to U.S. or U.K. data. All data are deflated by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Consumer Price Index to eliminate possible inflation noise. Furthermore, data were seasonally adjusted because all variables follow a specific pattern over the four quarters of each year. By cleaning up the seasonal component, we make sure that our results are not merely based on spurious correlations of these short-term movements, but effectively capture the fundamental relation between the variables.

Figure 2 plots multiplicative seasonally adjusted quarterly advertising expenditures, private consumption, and GDP against time. Observations are z-transformed by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation. Thus, we can display all data on one scale that indicates

the standard score (i.e., the signed number of standard deviations of which the data are above or below the mean (0)). From visual observation, the fourth quarter in 2000 of advertising expenditure forms a clear break in the series. Advertising expenditures seriously dropped after the dot-com crisis due to a loss of confidence in business.[27]

Figure 2: Advertising Expenditures, Private Consumption, and GDP (Seasonally Adjusted, Z-Standardized)



Besides the burst of the dot-com bubble in March 2000, the observation period from 1991 to 2009 includes further external global and local events that could confound the advertising-consumption relation. For example, the founding of European Union in 1993, the terrorist attack on September 9, 2001, in New York, the introduction of the Euro currency in January of 2002 or even the 2006 FIFA World Cup in Germany could change consumer or business confidence and therefore purchasing or advertising investing decisions. Further, recessions or economic upturns can moderate advertising expenditures as well as private consumption. The German economy experienced several upturns (e.g., the German post-reunification boom phase until

1992, 2005 to 2008) as well as recessions (e.g., troughs in 1993, 1996, 2004, and 2009)[28] during the observation period.

To test potential impacts of external events to the data series, we apply break date analyses. Following Stock and Watson (2012), it is important to test for break dates in time series. The Quandt likelihood ratio (QLR) test[29] for unknown breaks with 15 percent trimming[30] calculates Chow breakpoint tests. QLR identifies the first and second quarter of 2001 as break dates (trimmed range of the sample, critical value for ten restrictions: 2.71 ($p < .05$) and 3.23 ($p < .01$).[31] **Figure 3** displays the *F*-values. The break follows after the burst of the dot-com bubble in fiscal quarter 1, 2000, and the strong cutback in advertising expenditures in fiscal quarter 4, 2000. Since no other breaks are detected, the conclusion can be drawn that no further external event has had a statistically significant impact on the advertising-consumption relation. Therefore, the relation between advertising and consumption will be separately estimated before fiscal quarter 4, 2000, and after fiscal quarter 2, 2001, including GDP as control variable. Because of the small number of observations in each period (n = 34), we try to minimize the number of included regressors.

Figure 3: Quandt Likelihood Ratio Test on Advertising Expenditures and Consumption Model



Measures

The relation between advertising expenditures and consumption is estimated using VAR modeling, Granger-causality tests, and irf. Since VAR modeling is a persistence modeling procedure[32] and allows dynamic and interdependent analyses between intervening variables of different time lags without the a priori definition of endogenous or exogenous variables,[33] it can well be employed to the dynamic advertising-consumption relation.

Before estimating the advertising-consumption relation in a VAR, we tested the relation between consumption and GDP as well as advertising and GDP by estimating separate VAR models. For consumption, current GDP and GDP of the first lag are relevant. On the other hand, GDP and advertising are in no relation. Therefore, current GDP and the first lag of GDP are included to the advertising-consumption model as external variable. The resulting VAR model for consumption (C) and advertising expenditures (A), including p lags as well as GDP (G), can be written as advertising and consumption equations

$$A_t = \mu_1 + \pi_{11}A_{t-1} + \pi_{12}C_{t-1} + \ldots + \pi_{1p}A_{t-p} + \pi_{1p}C_{t-p} + \lambda_1 G_t + \lambda_{12}G_{t-1} + u_{1t}$$

$$C_t = \mu_2 + \pi_{21}C_{t-1} + \pi_{22}A_{t-1} + \ldots + \pi_{2p}A_{t-p} + \pi_{2p}C_{t-p} + \lambda_2 G_t + \lambda_{22}G_{t-1} + u_{2t}$$

where μ, π, and λ are coefficients and u_1 and u_2 are the error terms.

Commonly used augmented Dickey–Fuller (ADF) test, Kwiatkowski–Phillips–Schmidt–Shin (KPSS) test and Zivot-Andrews unit root test allowing for one structural break prove that neither advertising expenditures nor consumption first differences contain unit roots but are trend and level stationary (see Appendix A). As a standard procedure, seasonal components are eliminated from the consumption and GDP series by including external dummy variables. Due to different seasonal patterns before and after 2001, advertising expenditures are deseasonalised before estimating the VAR. The appropriate lag order of the VAR model is selected using Akaike's information criterion, Schwarz's Bayesian information criterion, the Hannan–Quinn's information criterion, and Wald lag exclusion statistics. VAR model adequacy is verified using Lagrange multiplier test for residual serial correlation and Portmanteau test and Bartlett's (B) statistic for white noise in the residuals (see Appendix C). Please see Appendix B for advertising and consumption coefficients of the VAR models.

ESTIMATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The break in 2001 proves true since different advertising-consumption relations can be detected before and after 2001. The results of Granger-causality tests in Table 1 show that consumption tends to be better predicted when changes in advertising expenditures are taken into account before 2001. The irf results in
Figure 4 also show a tendency of advertising impacting consumption—for both periods. Likewise, the VAR coefficients confirm that changes in advertising expenditures influence future consumption before and after 2001 (Appendix B). After 2001, advertising expenditures can be predicted better using previous changes in consumption. Irf in Figure 5 support this result: after 2001, a change in consumption will cause a positive change in advertising expenditures in the next quarter. Before 2001, there was no impact of consumption on advertising. The underlying VAR (Appendix B) identifies coefficients of consumption of lags

one, two, and three as influencers of advertising expenditures after 2001. Therefore, the strong effect of consumption changes on the next quarter might die out until three quarters ahead.

In sum, the influence of advertising on consumption exists throughout the observation period, which is in line with the activist view. But the impact of consumption on advertising evolves only after the dot-com crises and the drop of advertising expenditures. Therefore, after 2001, private consumption helps to predict corporate advertising expenditures. On a macro level, this result supports the deterministic point of view.

Within the next paragraphs, we interpret these findings from a meso perspective (i.e., we discuss what might have changed with the organization's budget-setting process).

Table 1. Granger-causality Test Before and After the Break in 2001 q1 and q2

Causal direction	1992 q2 – 2000 q3		2001 q3 – 2009 q4	
	F	*p*	*F*	*p*
Advertising expenditures → consumption	1.6588	0.0967	1.7838	0.1717
Consumption → advertising expenditures	2.3716	0.2036	3.2622	0.0326

Note. n = 34 observations each, 1992 q2 – 2000 q3 and 2001 q3 – 2009 q4

Figure 4: Impulse Response Function of Advertising Expenditures → Consumption



Figure 5. Impulse Response Function of Consumption → Advertising Expenditures



First of all, these results are in line with observations that a new generation of marketing manager pays closer attention to strengths and opportunities.[34] There are also findings indicating that companies adjust their marketing strategy and activities during recessions and are thus more reactive to consumer behavior during crises.[35] In this respect, the crisis might have served as a catalyst to foster a general trend among decision makers to rely more heavily on data.[36] Studies also show that, in times of uncertainty, planning horizons become shorter,[37] and scholars argue that "real option" approaches are more suitable than commonly used static decision-making frameworks.[38] Here, the idea is to pursue a dynamic and flexible decision-making process; that is, to constantly incorporate new information in order to get better results.[39] In addition to that, Deleersnyder et al.[40] show that, with higher stock market pressure, advertising expenditures react more sensitively to economic situations. As stock market pressure may have increased since the dot-com crisis, advertising activities are more closely

adjusted to consumer behavior. To sum it up, the impact of consumption on advertising expenditures after the crisis can be interpreted as the result of a more short-term-oriented and data-driven decision-making process due to the uncertainties managers were facing. Hence, a deterministic advertising budgeting may not involve mechanical decisions, but, rather, is dynamically consumption driven. In general, consumer choice is important to a company's marketing strategy,[41] which is especially true during crises. Dutt and Padmanabhan[42] argue that the impact of a crisis on a company is best understood by considering changes in consumer behavior. Further, during the last decade, managers may have increasingly recognized that successful marketing requires understanding of and reacting to the demand side. This new mindset is essential for successful resonance marketing,[43] which adapts to changes in consumption. The burst of the dot-com bubble might have operated as a catalyst leading to more carefully planned advertising activities considering external information, such as previous consumer choice instead of expected demand.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This study assesses the relation between advertising expenditures and consumption in a VAR model using German quarterly data from 1991 to 2009. Results reveal that macroeconomic relations may not be stable over time. Changes in relations can occur due to breaks caused by major, dramatic events in the environment. The advertising-consumption relation is susceptible to such breaks due to changes in corporate advertising activities.

The dot-com crisis in 2000 accounts for a strong negative impact on advertising expenditures in 2001. Separate analyses of the periods before vs. after the dot-com crisis show that this dramatic event inverted the Granger-causality between advertising expenditures and consumption. Before the crisis, the estimation shows that advertising expenditures tend to Granger-cause consumption. From 2001 onwards, consumption changes can predict changes in advertising expenditures, revealing a closer adjustment of advertising activities to previous

consumer behavior and resulting revenues. Hence, periods of different causal relations between advertising expenditures and consumption exist and can be determined by detecting structural breaks. We argue that a change in advertising budgeting occurred since 2000 that is detectable on aggregate level. This change incorporates a higher relevance of previous consumer behavior for decision making about advertising budgeting. Since the results also indicate an influence of advertising on consumption, this study finds support for a circular advertising-consumption relation.

To establish our findings, the relation between consumption and advertising expenditures must be explored before and after other recent crises in different countries. In addition, it may be valuable to assess differences in this relation for various media, between consumer and durable goods, and industries. As our study draws conclusions on a micro level using aggregate data that might lead to a loss of information,[44] it is desirable to analyze the advertising-consumption relation on temporal[45] and sectoral disaggregate levels. Yet, accessing advertising expenditure data on a disaggregated level is often difficult.[46]

We conclude that, besides the economic cycle, consumer behavior is relevant for the prediction of advertising activity of companies. The drop of advertising expenditures after the dot-com crisis is a dramatic event that changed advertising behavior in Germany in terms of a closer adaptation of advertising budget to previous consumption. Changes in consumption behavior have become more relevant than ever for the advertising income of media companies today.

Appendix A: Augmented Dickey–Fuller (ADF) and Kwiatkowski–Phillips–Schmidt–Shin (KPSS) Tests for Stationarity

Variable	ADF test	KPSS test[b]		Zivot-Andrews test
	Test statistic[a]	Trend stationarity test statistic[c]	Level stationarity test statistic[d]	Minimum t-statistic[e]
Advertising expenditures	-13.30 Conclusion: no unit root	.06 Conclusion: trend stationary	.10 Conclusion: level stationary	-13.85 Conclusion: trend stationary process that allows for a one time break
Consumption	-10.22 Conclusion: no unit root	.04 Conclusion: trend stationary	.37 Conclusion: level stationary	-10.80 Conclusion: trend stationary process that allows for a one time break

Note.

[a] Critical value for H0: "*Y* contains unit root" is -3.475 at the 5% level of significance.

[b] Test statistics are reported at lag order 4.

[c] Critical value for H0: "*Y* is trend stationary" is 0.146 at the 5% level of significance.

[d] Critical value for H0: "*Y* is level stationary" is 0.463 at the 5% level of significance.

[e] Critical value for H0: "*Y* is a unit root process with drift that excludes exogenous structural change" -5.08 at the 5% level of significance.

Appendix B: VAR Coefficients of Advertising Expenditures and Consumption

1992 q2 – 2000 q3

Consumption equation									
Advertising expenditures	Coef.	Std. Err.	*t*	*p*	Consump-tion	Coef.	Std. Err.	*t*	*p*
A_{t-1}	1.70E+04	2.50E+04	0.68	0.50	C_{t-1}	-0.42	0.16	-2.56	0.02
A_{t-3}	5.63E+04	2.60E+04	2.16	0.04	C_{t-2}	0.02	0.08	0.20	0.84
A_{t-4}	5.05E+04	2.82E+04	1.79	0.09	C_{t-3}	0.21	0.10	2.23	0.04
Advertising exenditures equation									
Advertising expenditures	Coef.	Std. Err.	*t*	*p*	Consump-tion	Coef.	Std. Err.	*t*	*p*
A_{t-1}	-0.04	0.19	-0.19	0.85	C_{t-1}	-2.11E-06	1.28E-06	-1.65	0.11
A_{t-3}	-0.45	0.20	-2.25	0.03	C_{t-3}	-7.10E-07	6.01E-07	-1.18	0.25
A_{t-4}	0.41	0.22	1.87	0.08	C_{t-4}	-6.00E-07	7.42E-07	-0.81	0.43

2001 q3 – 2009 q4

Consumption equation									
Advertising expenditures	Coef.	Std. Err.	*t*	*p*	Consump-tion	Coef.	Std. Err.	*t*	*p*
A_{t-1}	-3.02E+04	1.70E+04	-1.78	0.09	C_{t-1}	-0.30	0.19	-1.60	0.13
A_{t-2}	2.21E+04	1.69E+04	1.31	0.21	C_{t-2}	-0.15	0.21	-0.71	0.49
A_{t-3}	1.77E+04	1.03E+04	1.72	0.10	C_{t-2}	0.03	0.19	0.17	0.87
A_{t-4}	1.30E+04	5.46E+03	2.37	0.03	C_{t-3}	-0.45	0.16	-2.87	0.01
Advertising expenditures equation									
Advertising expenditures	Coef.	Std. Err.	*t*	*p*	Consump-tion	Coef.	Std. Err.	*t*	*p*
A_{t-1}	-0.06	0.17	-0.33	0.74	C_{t-1}	5.65E-06	1.87E-06	3.01	0.01
A_{t-2}	-0.40	0.17	-2.33	0.03	C_{t-2}	4.57E-06	2.11E-06	2.17	0.04
A_{t-3}	-0.07	0.10	-0.66	0.51	C_{t-3}	4.05E-06	1.89E-06	2.15	0.04
A_{t-4}	0.06	0.05	1.06	0.30	C_{t-4}	1.48E-06	1.57E-06	0.94	0.36

Appendix C: Post Estimation of VAR Residuals

Lagrange Multiplier Test

H0: No autocorrelation at lag order

Lag	1992 q2 – 2000 q3			2001 q3 – 2009 q4		
	χ^2	*df*	*p*	χ^2	*df*	*p*
1	1.73	4	0.79	6.34	4	0.17
2	6.66	4	0.15	3.38	4	0.50
3	3.48	4	0.48	5.44	4	0.25
4	7.14	4	0.13	6.07	4	0.19
5	7.10	4	0.13	3.31	4	0.51
6	3.72	4	0.45	1.20	4	0.88

White Noise Tests

Estimation	Portmanteau (Q) test		Bartlett's test	
	H0: No serial correlation		H0: White-noise process	
	Q statistic	*p*	B statistic	*p*
1992 q2 – 2000 q3	12.44	0.65	0.47	0.98
2001 q3 – 2009 q4	16.96	0.32	0.46	0.99

[1] Richard Van Der Wurff, Piet Bakker, And Robert G. Picard, "Economic Growth And Advertising Expenditures In Different Media In Different Countries," *Journal of Media Economics* 21, no. 1 (2008), doi:10.1080/08997760701806827. Barbara Deleersnyder et al., "The Role Of National Culture In Advertising's Sensitivity To Business Cycles: An Investigation Across Continents," *Journal of Marketing Research (JMR)* 46, no. 5 (2009), doi:10.1509/jmkr.46.5.623.; Gerard J. Tellis and Kethan Tellis, "Research On Advertising In A Recession: A Critical Review And Synthesis," *Journal of Advertising Research* 49, no. 3 (2009), doi:10.2501/S0021849909090400.; Robert G. Picard, "Effects Of Recessions On Advertising Expenditures: An Exploratory Study Of Economic Downturns In Nine Developed Nations," *Journal of Media Economics* 14, no. 1 (2001), doi:10.1207/S15327736ME1401_01.

[2] Karl E. Gustafsson, "Advertising And The Development Of Media: The Forgotten Connection," *Journal of*

Media Business Studies 3, no. 1 (2006); Dennis A. Kopf, Ivonne M. Torres, and Carl Enomoto, "Advertising's Unintended Consequence," *Journal of Advertising* 40, no. 4 (2011), doi:10.2753/JOA0091-3367400401; Gabriele Siegert, Ulrike Mellmann, and Loris Russi, "Comparing Advertising Markets: Case Studies Of Eight Countries" Working Paper of IPMZ Division 4 media economics media management and advertising 7 (2010) (unpublished manuscript, July 25, 2010); van der Wurff, Bakker and Picard, "Economic Growth."

[3] Kyle Bagwell, "The Economic Analysis of Advertising," in *Handbook of Industrial Organization Volume 3: Volume 3*, vol. 3, edited by Mark Armstrong and Robert Porter, Handbook of Industrial Organization (Elsevier, 2007), 1701–844, 3.; Richard F. Beltramini, "Communications Budgeting," In *Wiley International Encyclopedia of Marketing*, edited by Jagdish Sheth and Naresh K. Malhotra (Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2010), 1–2, http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/9781444316568.wiem01007/pdf.; Marnik G. Dekimpe et al., "Time-series Models in Marketing," in *Handbook of marketing decision models*, vol. 121, edited by Frederick S. Hillier and Berend Wierenga, International Series in Operations Research & Management Science (Boston, Mass.: Springer US, 2008), 373–98, 121.; Kopf, Torres and Enomoto, "Advertising's Unintended Consequence"; Ronald Savitt, "The State of the Art in Marketing and Economic Development," *Research in Marketing* 4, no. 4 (1988).

[4] Kyle Bagwell, *The Economics of Advertising,* The international library of critical writings in economics 136 (Cheltenham: Elgar, 2001), http://www.gbv.de/dms/hbz/toc/ht013424801.pdf; John Philip Jones, *When Ads Work: New Proof that Advertising Triggers Sales*, 2nd ed. (Armonk, NY: Sharpe, 2007); Kopf, Torres and Enomoto, "Advertising's Unintended Consequence."

[5] van der Wurff, Bakker, and Picard, "Economic growth and advertising expenditures" 29.

[6] Byeng-Hee Chang and Sylvia M. Chan-Olmsted, "Relative Constancy of Advertising Spending: A Cross-national Examination of Advertising Expenditures and Their Determinants," *International Communication Gazette* 67, no. 4 (2005), doi:10.1177/0016549205054283.

[7] See the discussion on advertising as expense versus advertising as investment in Deleersnyder et al., "The Role of National Culture in Advertising's Sensitivity," 625.

[8] Richard F. Beltramini, "Communications Budgeting," in *Wiley International Encyclopedia of Marketing*, edited by Jagdish Sheth and Naresh K. Malhotra (Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2010), 1–2, http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/9781444316568.wiem01007/pdf; Gary L. Lilien and Philip Kotler, *Marketing Decision Making: A Model-Building Approach* (New York: Harper & Row, 1983).

[9] John Kenneth Galbraith, *The New Industrial State*, 3rd ed. (Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1967), 210.

[10] Maxwell K. Hsu et al., "Does Advertising Stimulate Sales or Mainly Deliver Signals? A Multivariate Analysis," *International Journal of Advertising* 21, no. 2 (2002); Lilien and Kotler, *Marketing Decision Making;* Malcolm Wright, "A New Theorem for Optimizing the Advertising Budget," *Journal of Advertising Research* 49, no. 2 (2009), doi:10.2501/S0021849909090229.

[11] Hsu et al., "Does Advertising Stimulate Sales?," 187.

[12] See, for example, Robert Dorfman and Peter O. Steiner, "Optimal Advertising and Optimal Quality," *The American Economic Review* 44, no. 5 (1954); Wright, "A New Theorem for Optimizing the Advertising Budget," We would like to thank an anonymous reviewer for pointing that out.

[13] Richard F. Beltramini in *Wiley international encyclopedia of marketing*, ed. Jagdish N. Sheth and Naresh K. Malhotra (Chichester, West Sussex, U.K: Wiley, 2010), 1.

[14] Lester D. Taylor and Daniel Weiserbs, "Advertising and the Aggregate Consumption Function," *American Economic Review* 62, no. 4 (1972).

[15] Benedetto Molinari and Francesco Turino, "The Role of Advertising in the Aggregate Economy: The Working-Spending Cycle," Universitat Pompeu Fabra, accessed September 12, 2011, http://sugarhoover.co.uk/articulosrecibidos/Molinari-Turino.pdf.

[16] Günther Rehme and Sara-Frederike Weisser, "Advertising, Consumption and Economic Growth: An Empirical Investigation," Technische Universität Darmstadt, accessed December 22, 2011, http://www.econstor.eu/handle/10419/32093.

[17] Brian T. Sturgess and Nicholas Wilson, "Advertising Expenditure and Aggregate Consumption in Britain and West Germany: An Analysis of Causality," *Managerial and Decision Economics* 5, no. 4 (1984), doi:10.2307/2487580.

[18] Richard Ashley, Clive W. J. Granger, and Richard Schmalensee, "Advertising and Aggregate Consumption: An Analysis of Causality," *Econometrica* 48, no. 5 (1980): 1163, doi:10.2307/1912176.

[19] Rebecca C. Quarles and Leo W. Jeffres, "Advertising and National Consumption: A Path Analytic Re-examination of the Galbraithian Argument," *Journal of Advertising* 12, no. 2 (1983), doi:10.1080/00913367.1983.10672835.

[20] Martyn Duffy, "Advertising in Demand Systems: Testing a Galbraithian Hypothesis," *Applied Economics* 23, no. 3 (1991): 494.

[21] Hsu et al., "Does Advertising Stimulate Sales or Mainly Deliver Signals?,"

[22] Chulho Jung and Barry J. Seldon, "The Macroeconomic Relationship Between Advertising and Consumption," *Southern Economic Journal* 61, no. 3 (1995), doi:10.2307/1060982.

[23] Abdur R. Chowdhury, "Advertising Expenditures and the Macro-Economy: Some New Evidence," *International Journal of Advertising* 13, no. 1 (1994); Douglas J. Lamdin, "Galbraith on Advertising, Credit, and Consumption: A Retrospective and Empirical Investigation with Policy Implications," *Review of Political Economy* 20, no. 4 (2008), doi:10.1080/09538250802308984.
[24] Kenneth O. Cogger, "A Time-Series Analytic Approach to Aggregation Issues in Accounting Data," *Journal of Accounting Research* 19, no. 2 (1981): 287.
[25] Bruce E. Hansen, "The New Econometrics of Structural Change: Dating Breaks in US Labor Productivity," *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 15, no. 4 (2001): 127, doi:10.1257/jep.15.4.117.
[26] Brendan O'Donovan, David Rae, and Arthur Grimes, "Determinants of Advertising Expenditures: Aggregate and Cross-Media Evidence," *International Journal of Advertising* 19, no. 3 (2000).
[27] "European Adspend Trends in 2001," *International Journal of Advertising* 20, no. 3 (2001).
[28] Beate Schirwitz, "A Comprehensive German Business Cycle Chronology," *Empirical Economics* 37, no. 2 (2009), doi:10.1007/s00181-008-0233-y.
[29] Richard E. Quandt, ed., *The Collected Essays of Richard E. Quandt. Volume 1* (Economists of the Twentieth Century series; Aldershot, U.K; Elgar; distributed in the U.S. by Ashgate, Brookfield, Vt, 1992).
[30] James H. Stock and Mark W. Watson, *Introduction to Econometrics*, 3rd ed., The Pearson Series in Economics (Essex: Pearson Education Limited, 2012), 600–3.
[31] See ibid., 601.
[32] Marnik G. Dekimpe et al., "Time-Series Models in Marketing," in *Handbook of marketing decision models*, vol. 121, ed. Frederick S. Hillier and Berend Wierenga, International Series in Operations Research & Management Science (Boston, MA: Springer US, 2008), 373–98, 121.
[33] Christopher Sims, "Macroeconomics and Reality," *Econometrica* 48, no. 1 (1980), doi:10.2307/1912017.
[34] Deborah Morrison, "Talent Shift: a New Generation of Professionals Means a New Profession in the Making," *Advertising & Society Review* 10, no. 1 (2009), doi:10.1353/asr.0.0024.
[35] Swee H. Ang, "Crisis Marketing: A Comparison Across Economic Scenarios," *International Business Review* 10, no. 3 (2001); Shintaro Okazaki and Barbara Mueller, "The Impact of the Lost Decade on Advertising in Japan," *International Journal of Advertising* 30, no. 2 (2011), doi:10.2501/IJA-30-2-205-232; Raji Srinivasan, Arvind Rangaswamy, and Gary L. Lilien, "Turning Adversity Into Advantage: Does Proactive Marketing During a Recession Pay Off?," *International Journal of Research in Marketing* 22, no. 2 (2005), doi:10.1016/j.ijresmar.2004.05.002.
[36] For an overview, see Graeme L. Harrison, "The Accountant's Role in Marketing: A Bibliographic Study and Analysis of its Origins and Development," in *Financial dimensions of marketing: A sourcebook*, ed. Wilson, Richard M. S (London [u.a.]: Macmillan, 1981), 22–57. See also e. g. David W. Stewart, "How Marketing Contributes to the Bottom Line," *Journal of Advertising Research* 48, no. 1 (2008): 94, doi:10.2501/S0021849908080112; Malcolm McDonald, "The Future of Marketing: Brightest Star in the Firmament, or a Fading Meteor? Some Hypotheses and a Research Agenda," *Journal of Marketing Management* 25, 5-6 (2009), doi:10.1362/026725709X461786; Shuba Srinivasan, Marc Vanhuele, and Koen Pauwels, "Mind-Set Metrics in Market Response Models: An Integrative Approach," *Journal of Marketing Research* 47, no. 4 (2010): 672,681, doi:10.1509/jmkr.47.4.672; Marcel Corstjens, Andris Umbljis, and Chao Wang, "The Power of Inertia," *Journal of Advertising Research* 51, no. 2 (2011), doi:10.2501/JAR-51-2-356-372; Morten Holm, V. Kumar, and Carsten Rohde, "Measuring Customer Profitability in Complex Environments: An Interdisciplinary Contingency Framework.," *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 40, no. 3 (2012): 387; Juliane A. Lischka, Stephanie Kienzler, and Ulrike Mellmann, "Sales Drive Advertising Expenditures: Evidence for Consumer Packaged and Durable Goods in Germany," *International Journal of Marketing Studies* 6, no. 1 (2014), doi:10.5539/ijms.v6n1p31.
[37] Robert M. Grant, "Strategic Planning in a Turbulent Environment: Evidence from the Oil Majors," *Strategic Management Journal* 24, no. 6 (2003), doi:10.1002/smj.314.
[38] Charlotte Krychowski and Bertrand V. Quélin, "Real Options and Strategic Investment Decisions: Can They Be of Use to Scholars?," *Academy of Management Perspectives* 24, no. 2 (2010).
[39] Erik Brynjolfsson, Lorin M. Hitt, and Heekyung H. Kim, "Strength in Numbers: How Does Data-Driven Decisionmaking Affect Firm Performance?," Working papers series; Krychowski and Quélin, "Real Options and Strategic Investment Decisions: Can They Be of Use to Scholars?,"; S. M. Young, James J. Gong, and Van der Stede, Wim A., "Using Real Options to Make Decisions in the Motion Picture Industry.," *Strategic Finance* 93, no. 11 (2012).
[40] Deleersnyder et al., "The Role of National Culture in Advertising's Sensitivity to Business Cycles,"
[41] Eric K. Clemons, "How Information Changes Consumer Behavior and How Consumer Behavior Determines Corporate Strategy," *Journal of Management Information Systems* 25, no. 2 (2008): 15, doi:10.2753/MIS0742-1222250202.
[42] Pushan Dutt and V. Padmanabhan, "Crisis and Consumption Smoothing," *Marketing Science* 30, no. 3 (2011), doi:10.1287/mksc.1100.0630.
[43] Clemons, "How Information Changes Consumer Behavior and How Consumer Behavior Determines

Corporate Strategy,"
[44] Cogger, "A Time-Series Analytic Approach to Aggregation Issues in Accounting Data,"
[45] Gerard J. Tellis and Doyle L. Weiss, "Does TV Advertising Really Affect Sales? The Role of Measures, Models, and Data Aggregation," *Journal of Advertising* 24, no. 3 (1995).
[46] Gabriele Siegert, Nathan Thomas, and Ulrike Mellmann, "The Development of Advertising: Difficulties of Empirical Measurement and Implications for Media," in *The Media as a Driver of the Information Society: Economics, Management, Policies and Technologies*, ed. Alan B. Albarran, Paulo Faustino and Rogério Santos (Lisbon: MediaXXI/Formalpress, 2009), CD-Rom.