



**University of
Zurich** ^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
Main Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2017

Child Development: Research and Policy

Schwandt, Hannes ; Duque, Valentine

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich
ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-173285>
Scientific Publication in Electronic Form
Published Version

Originally published at:

Schwandt, Hannes; Duque, Valentine (2017). Child Development: Research and Policy. ashecon.org: American Society of Health Economics.

Categories: [Featured Articles](#), [Newsletter Issue 2017:3](#)

Child Development: Research and Policy

Child Development: Research and Policy

by Hannes Schwandt and Valentine Duque



The economic literature on child development, emerging over the last 20 years, has made two important contributions to the study of human inequality: (1) Child development is a major determinant of individual's life-long health and productivity and (2), particularly important are the in-utero period (the so called 'fetal origins' hypothesis) and the first years of life in laying the foundations for future success.

Healthy children grow up to become healthy and productive adults, and this trajectory starts already in womb (Barker 1998; Almond, Currie, Duque 2017; Heckman 2007).

Despite the increasing prominence of the child development literature in economics and social science, public discussions and policy debates have so far paid little attention to these important insights. Take for example the current debate on health care reform. The discussion is mainly focused on the Affordable Care Act (ACA) and on the services that adults and the elderly would lose if it were repealed. But some of the measures that are debated would also roll back pre-ACA Medicaid expansions affecting the health care coverage of millions of children – currently, the ACA provides coverage for 40% of children and for half of all births in the U.S.

This apparent disconnect between academic research on child development and the policy debate does not seem to be explained by the lack of evidence on specific policies. For instance, child development studies show that the introduction of Medicaid in the 1960s as well as the program's expansions during

the 1980s and the 1990s led to significant improvements in children's health (Currie and Gruber 1996; Goodman-Bacon forthcoming). Deaths among infants and children dropped dramatically, especially among disadvantaged groups and in poor areas (Currie and Schwandt 2016), and in young adulthood, cohorts with more health care access enjoyed better labor market outcomes (Brown, Kowalski, and Lurie 2015; Goodman-Bacon 2017). If the broader public were more aware of the insights from this literature, there could be a better understanding that much more is currently at stake than just the repeal of the ACA.

But evidence on striking policy impacts running through child development is not limited to Medicaid. Other studies have shown that social programs targeted at children in the form of nutritional supplementation (e.g., Foods Stamps, WIC), maternity leave, child care access, preschool education, or income transfers (e.g., Earned Income Tax Credit) can significantly boost children's health and cognitive potential (Hoynes, Schanzenbach, and Almond, 2016; Almond, Hoynes, Schwanden, 2011; Hoynes, Miller, and Simon, 2015; Dahl and Lochner, 2012; Ludwig and Miller 2007; Elango et al. 2016), and some of these gains could be transmitted across generations (Aizer et al. 2016; East et al. 2017). And even interventions not directly targeted at children but related to improving environmental conditions such as policies that reduce pollution levels (Isen, Rossin-Slater, and Walker 2017) or those aimed at lowering infectious disease burden (Bhalotra and Venkataramani 2013, Schwandt 2017), have been found to imply positive long-term gains in human capital.

How can we make sure that the public debate and the design of new policies is more actively informed by these findings from the child development literature? First, by developing "sufficient statistics" on key elasticities that summarize the effects of interventions on particular outcomes of interest (e.g., wages), researchers could facilitate policy makers' role in taking advantage of the myriad of evidence in the literature (Almond, Currie, and Duque 2017). Second, providing cost-benefit evaluations of interventions would allow policy makers to arguably compare effectiveness across specific programs. In many cases this would require researchers to investigate additional aspects of the program such as costs of implementation, the interest rate at which estimated effects should be discounted, etc., and often this information is easily not available. Given this limitation, studies that focus on interventions that are quite cheap and easy to implement, are of particular interest to policy makers.

One example of this is the case of vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy as discussed in Wernefeld, Slusky, and Zeckhauser (2016) – featured in this volume of the *American Journal of Health Economics*. The authors showed that doubling the amount of in-utero exposure to sunlight reduces the incidence of child's asthma — a condition that imposes staggering physical and financial costs across the world— by a sizeable effect of 10-20%. Finally, in order to allow policy makers to prioritize resources to specific populations and needs it is crucial to examine heterogeneities in the effects of policies and how their impacts interact with other factors of child and youth development.

References:

Aizer, A., Eli, S., Ferrie, J., Lleras-Muney, A. (2016) The Long Term Effects of Means Tested Cash Transfers During Childhood. *American Economic Review*, 106(4): 935–971.

Almond, D., Currie, J., and Duque, V. (2017) Childhood Circumstances and Adult Outcomes: Act II. *NBER*, working paper 23017.

Almond, D., Hoynes, H., and Whitmore-Schanzenbach, D. (2011) Inside the War on Poverty: The Impact of Food Stamps on Birth Outcomes. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, XCIII (2): 387-402

Barker, D.J.P. (1998) In Utero Programming of Chronic Disease. *Clinical Science*, 95(2): 115– 28.

Bhalotra, S. and Venkataramani, A. (2013) Cognitive Development and Infectious Disease: Gender Differences in Investments and Outcomes. *Working paper*.

Brown, D.W., Kowalski, A.E. and Lurie, I.Z. (2015) *Medicaid as an Investment in Children: What is the Long-Term Impact on Tax Receipts?* *NBER*, working Paper #20835.

Currie, J. and Gruber, J. (1996) Saving Babies: The Efficacy and Cost of Recent Changes in the Medicaid Eligibility of Pregnant Women. *Journal of Political Economy*, 104(6): 1263-96.

Currie, J. and Schwandt, H. (2016) Inequality in Mortality Decreased Among the Young While Increasing for Older Adults, 1990–2010, *Science*, May 5 2016, Vol. 352 (6286): 708-712

Dahl, G. and Lochner, L. (2012) The Impact of Family Income on Child Achievement: Evidence from the Earned Income Tax Credit. *American Economic Review*, 102(5): 1927-1956.

East, C., Miller, S. , Page, M. and Wherry, L. (2017) “Multi-generational Impacts of Childhood Access to the Safety Net: Early Life Exposure to Medicaid and the Next Generation’s Health.” *mimeo*.

Elango, S., Garcia, J.L., Heckman, J.J., and Hojman, A. (2016). Early Childhood Education. *NBER*, working paper 21766.

Goodman-Bacon, A. (forthcoming) Public insurance and mortality: evidence from Medicaid implementation. *Journal of Political Economy*.

Heckman, J.J. (2007) The Economics, Technology, and Neuroscience of Human Capability Formation. *PNAS*, 104(33), 13250–13255.

Hoynes, H, Miller, D., and Simon, D. (2015) Income, the Earned Income Tax Credit, and Infant Health. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 7(1): 172–211.

Hoynes, H., Whitmore Schanzenbach, D., and Almond, D. (2016) Long Run Impacts of Childhood

Access to the Safety Net. *American Economic Review*, 106(4): 903-934.

Isen, A., Rossin-Slater, M. and Walker, W.R. (2017) Every breath you take—Every dollar you'll make: The long-term consequences of the Clean Air Act of 1970. *Journal of Political Economy*, 125(3), pp.848-902.

Ludwig, J. and Miller, D. (2007) Does Head Start Improve Children's Life Chances? Evidence from a Regression Discontinuity Design. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 122(1): 159–208.

Schwandt, H. (2017) "The lasting legacy of seasonal influenza: In-utero exposure and labor market outcomes." IZA working paper No. 10589.

Wernerfelt, N., Slusky, D., and Zeckhauser, R. (2016) Second Trimester Sunlight and Asthma: Evidence from Two Independent Studies. *Forthcoming at American Journal of Health Economics*.



1100 Vermont Avenue NW Suite 650

Washington, DC 20005

P: (202) 737 6608

F: (202) 496 0134

Email: info@ashecon.org

© 2019 ASHEcon – All rights reserved.