**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2021

Leveraging Different Visual Designs for Communication of Severe Weather Events and their Uncertainty

Pandya, Ananya ; Popovic, Nathalie ; Diehl, Alexandra ; Ruginski, Ian ; Fabrikant, Sara ; Pajarola, R

Abstract: In this work, we present several interactive visual designs for mobile visualization of severe weather events for the communication of weather hazards, their risks, uncertainty, and recommended actions. Our approach is based on previous work on uncertainty visualization [5], cognitive science [6], and decision sciences for risk management [3, 4]. We propose six configurations that vary the ratio of text vs graphics used in the visual display, and the interaction workflow needed for a non-expert user to make an informed decision and effective actions. Our goal is to test how efficient these configurations are and to what degree they are suitable to communicate weather hazards, associated uncertainty, risk, and recommended actions to non-experts. Future steps include two cycle of evaluations, consisting of a first pilot to rapidly test the prototype with a small number of participants, collect actionable insights, and incorporate potential improvements. In a second user study, we will perform a crowd-sourced extensive evaluation of the visualization prototypes.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5194/ems2021-266>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-214708>

Conference or Workshop Item

Published Version

The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Pandya, Ananya; Popovic, Nathalie; Diehl, Alexandra; Ruginski, Ian; Fabrikant, Sara; Pajarola, R (2021). Leveraging Different Visual Designs for Communication of Severe Weather Events and their Uncertainty. In: European Meteorological Society Annual Meeting, Online, 3 September 2021 - 10 September 2021, EMS Annual Meeting.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5194/ems2021-266>

Leveraging Different Visual Designs for Communication of Severe Weather Events and their Uncertainty

Ananya Pandya¹, Nathalie Popovic², Alexandra Diehl¹, Ian Ruginski¹, Sara Fabrikant¹, Renato Pajarola¹

¹University of Zürich, Switzerland

²Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology MeteoSwiss, Switzerland

1 Abstract

Effective communication of potential weather hazards and its uncertainty to the general public is key to prevent and mitigate negative outcomes from weather hazards. The general public needs effective tools at hand that can allow them to make the best decision as possible during a severe weather event. Currently, there are many approaches to weather forecast visualization, such as contour and thematic maps that communicate forecast information about specific geographic regions [11, 4]. Visualization guidelines and best practices in visualization can help to improve these designs and make them more effective [3, 2, 1, 13].

In this ongoing work, we present six interactive visual designs for mobile visualization of severe weather events for the communication of weather hazards, their risks, their uncertainty, and recommended actions. Our approach is based on previous work on uncertainty visualization [12, 8], cognitive science [10, 9], and decision sciences for risk management [5, 6, 7]. We propose six configurations that vary the ratio of text vs graphics used in the visual display, and the interaction workflow needed for a non-expert user to make an informed decision and effective actions. The six configurations of visual designs are: (1) only text visualization and minimum interaction, (2) only text visualization and interactive features, (3) mixed approach of text and graphics and minimum interaction, (4) mixed text and graphics and interactive features, (5) only graphics and minimum interaction, (6) only graphics and interactive features. Our goal is to test how efficient these configurations are and to what degree they are suitable to communicate weather hazards, associated uncertainty, risk, and recommended actions to non-experts. Our visual designs are implemented in *Figma*, a prototyping tool to create wire-frames, consistent design systems, and make interactive with intuitive transitions by using different interactive user workflows. Future steps include two cycle of evaluations, consisting of a first pilot to rapidly test the prototype with a small number of participants, collect actionable insights, and incorporate potential improvements. In a second user study, we will perform a crowd-sourced extensive evaluation of the interactive visualization prototypes.

References

- [1] D. Borland and R. M. Taylor II. Rainbow color map (still) considered harmful. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 27(2):14–17, 2007.

- [2] A. Diehl, A. Abdul-Rahman, M. El-Assady, B. Bach, D. A. Keim, and M. Chen. VisGuides: A forum for discussing visualization guidelines. In *Proceedings EuroVis Short Papers*, pages 61–65, 2018.
- [3] A. Diehl, E. E. Firat, T. Torsney-Weir, A. Abdul-Rahman, B. Bach, R. S. Laramée, R. Pajarola, and M. Chen. VisGuided: A community-driven approach for education in visualization. In *Proceedings Eurographics Education Papers*, to appear, 2021.
- [4] S. I. Fabrikant, S. R. Hespanha, and M. Hegarty. Cognitively inspired and perceptually salient graphic displays for efficient spatial inference making. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 100(1):13–29, 2010.
- [5] N. Fleischhut and S. M. Herzog. Wie lässt sich die Unsicherheit von Vorhersagen sinnvoll kommunizieren? In *Wetterwarnungen: Von der Extremereignisinformation zu Kommunikation und Handlung. Beiträge aus dem Forschungsprojekt WEXICOM*, pages 63–81. 2019.
- [6] G. Gigerenzer, R. Hertwig, E. Van Den Broek, B. Fasolo, and K. V. Katsikopoulos. “A 30% chance of rain tomorrow”: How does the public understand probabilistic weather forecasts? *Risk Analysis: An International Journal*, 25(3):623–629, 2005.
- [7] S. Joslyn and J. LeClerc. Decisions with uncertainty: The glass half full. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 22(4):308–315, 2013.
- [8] I. Kübler, K.-F. Richter, and S. I. Fabrikant. Against all odds: multicriteria decision making with hazard prediction maps depicting uncertainty. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers*, 110(3):661–683, 2020.
- [9] L. M. Padilla, I. T. Ruginski, and S. H. Creem-Regehr. Effects of ensemble and summary displays on interpretations of geospatial uncertainty data. *Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications*, 2(1):1–16, 2017.
- [10] I. T. Ruginski, A. P. Boone, L. M. Padilla, L. Liu, N. Heydari, H. S. Kramer, M. Hegarty, W. B. Thompson, D. H. House, and S. H. Creem-Regehr. Non-expert interpretations of hurricane forecast uncertainty visualizations. *Spatial Cognition & Computation*, 16(2):154–172, 2016.
- [11] M. Scherr. Multiple and coordinated views in information visualization. *Trends in Information Visualization*, 38:1–33, 2008.
- [12] D. Spiegelhalter, M. Pearson, and I. Short. Visualizing uncertainty about the future. *Science*, 333(6048):1393–1400, 2011.
- [13] E. R. Tufte. The visual display of quantitative information. *Journal for Healthcare Quality*, 7(3):15, 1985.