



**University of  
Zurich**<sup>UZH</sup>

**Zurich Open Repository and  
Archive**

University of Zurich  
Main Library  
Strickhofstrasse 39  
CH-8057 Zurich  
[www.zora.uzh.ch](http://www.zora.uzh.ch)

---

Year: 1995

---

## Les invariants de Seiberg-Witten et la conjecture de van de Ven

Okonek, C ; Teleman, A

Abstract: The purpose of this note is to give a short, selfcontained proof of the following result: A complex surface which is diffeomorphic to a rational surface is rational.

Other titles: Seiberg-Witten invariants and the van de Ven conjecture

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-22601>

Journal Article

Originally published at:

Okonek, C; Teleman, A (1995). Les invariants de Seiberg-Witten et la conjecture de van de Ven. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences. Série I. Mathématique*, 321(4):457-461.

# Seiberg-Witten Invariants and the Van De Ven Conjecture

Christian Okonek\*      Andrei Teleman\*

February, 8-th 1995

The purpose of this note is to give a short, selfcontained proof of the following result:

**Theorem 1** *A complex surface which is diffeomorphic to a rational surface is rational.*

This result has been announced by R. Friedman and Z. Qin [FQ]. Whereas their proof uses Donaldson theory and vector bundles techniques, our proof uses the new Seiberg-Witten invariants [W], and the interpretation of these invariants in terms of stable pairs [OT].

Combining the theorem above with the results of [FM], one obtains a proof of the Van de Ven conjecture [V]:

**Corollary 2** *The Kodaira dimension of a complex surface is a differential invariant.*

**Proof:** (of the Theorem) It suffices to prove the theorem for algebraic surfaces [BPV]. Let  $X$  be an algebraic surface of non-negative Kodaira dimension, with  $\pi_1(X) = \{1\}$  and  $p_g(X) = 0$ . We may suppose that  $X$  is the blow up in  $k$  *distinct* points of its minimal model  $X_{\min}$ . Denote the contraction to the minimal model by  $\sigma : X \longrightarrow X_{\min}$ , and the exceptional divisor by  $E = \sum_{i=1}^k E_i$ .

---

\*Partially supported by: AGE-Algebraic Geometry in Europe, contract No ER-BCHRXCT940557 (BBW 93.0187), and by SNF, nr. 21-36111.92

Fix an ample divisor  $H_{\min}$  on  $X_{\min}$ , a sufficiently large integer  $n$ , and let  $H_n := \sigma^*(nH_{\min}) - E$  be the associated polarization of  $X$ .

For every subset  $I \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$  we put  $E_I := \sum_{i \in I} E_i$ , and  $L_I := 2[E_I] - [K_X]$ , where  $K_X$  is a canonical divisor. Clearly  $L_I = [E_I] - [E_{\bar{I}}] - \sigma^*([K_{\min}])$ , where  $\bar{I}$  denotes the complement of  $I$  in  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ . The cohomology classes  $L_I$  are almost canonical classes in the sense of [OT]. Now choose a Kähler metric  $g_n$  on  $X$  with Kähler class  $[\omega_{g_n}] = c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(H_n))$ . Since  $[\omega_{g_n}] \cdot L_I < 0$  for sufficiently large  $n$ , the main result of [OT] identifies the Seiberg-Witten moduli space  $\mathcal{W}_X^{g_n}(L_I)$  with the union of all complete linear systems  $|D|$  corresponding to effective divisors  $D$  on  $X$  with  $c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(2D - K_X)) = L_I$ .

Since  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  has no 2-torsion, and  $q(X) = 0$ , there is only one such divisor,  $D = E_I$ . Furthermore, from  $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(E_I)|_{E_I}) = 0$ , and the smoothness criterion in [OT], we obtain:

$$\mathcal{W}_X^{g_n}(L_I) = \{E_I\},$$

i.e.  $\mathcal{W}_X^{g_n}(L_I)$  consists of a single smooth point. The corresponding Seiberg-Witten invariants are therefore odd:  $n_{L_I}^{g_n} = \pm 1$ .

Consider now the positive cone  $\mathcal{K} := \{\eta \in H_{\text{DR}}^2(X) \mid \eta^2 > 0\}$ ; using the Hodge index theorem, the fact that  $K_{\min}$  is cohomologically nontrivial, and  $K_{\min}^2 \geq 0$ , we see that  $\mathcal{K}$  splits as a disjoint union of two components  $\mathcal{K}_{\pm} := \{\eta \in \mathcal{K} \mid \pm \eta \cdot \sigma^*(K_{\min}) > 0\}$ . Clearly  $[\omega_{g_n}]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}_+$ .

Let  $g$  be an arbitrary Riemannian metric on  $X$ , and let  $\omega_g$  be a  $g$ -selfdual closed 2-form on  $X$  such that  $[\omega_g] \in \mathcal{K}_+$ .

For a fixed  $I \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$ , we denote by  $L_I^{\perp} \subset \mathcal{K}_+$  the wall associated with  $L_I$ , i.e. the subset of classes  $\eta$  with  $\eta \cdot L_I = 0$ .

**Claim:** The rays  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}[\omega_g]$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}[\omega_{g_n}]$  belong either to the same component of  $\mathcal{K}_+ \setminus L_I^{\perp}$  or to the same component of  $\mathcal{K}_+ \setminus L_{\bar{I}}^{\perp}$ .

Indeed, since  $[\omega_{g_n}] \cdot L_I < 0$  and  $[\omega_{g_n}] \cdot L_{\bar{I}} < 0$ , we just have to exclude that

$$[\omega_g] \cdot L_I \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [\omega_g] \cdot L_{\bar{I}} \geq 0. \quad (*)$$

Write  $[\omega_g] = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i [E_i] + \sigma^*[\omega]$ , for some class  $[\omega] \in H_{\text{DR}}^2(X_{\min})$ ; then  $[\omega]^2 > \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^2$ , and  $[\omega] \cdot K_{\min} > 0$ , since  $\omega_g$  was chosen such that its cohomology

class belongs to  $\mathcal{K}_+$ . The inequalities (\*) can now be written as

$$-\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i + \sum_{j \in \bar{I}} \lambda_j - [\omega] \cdot K_{\min} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad -\sum_{j \in \bar{I}} \lambda_j + \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i - [\omega] \cdot K_{\min} \geq 0,$$

and we obtain the contradiction  $[\omega] \cdot K_{\min} \leq 0$ . This proves the claim.

We know already that the mod 2 Seiberg-Witten invariants  $n_{L_I}^{g_n} \pmod{2}$  and  $n_{L_{\bar{I}}}^{g_n} \pmod{2}$  are nontrivial for the special metric  $g_n$ . Since the invariants  $n_{L_I}^g \pmod{2}$  and  $n_{L_{\bar{I}}}^g \pmod{2}$  depend only on the chamber of the ray  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}[\omega_g]$  with respect to the wall  $L_I^\perp$ , respectively  $L_{\bar{I}}^\perp$  (see [W], [KM]), at least one of the invariants associated with the metric  $g$  must be non-zero, too.

But any rational surface admits a Hodge metric with positive total scalar curvature [H], and with respect to such a metric *all* Seiberg-Witten invariants are trivial [OT]. ■

## Bibliography

- [BPV] Barth, W., Peters, C., Van de Ven, A.: *Compact complex surfaces*, Springer Verlag (1984)
- [FM] Friedman, R., Morgan, J.W.: *Smooth 4-manifolds and Complex Surfaces*, Springer Verlag 3. Folge, Band 27, (1994)
- [FQ] Friedman, R., Qin, Z.: *On complex surfaces diffeomorphic to rational surfaces*, Preprint (1994)
- [H] Hitchin, N.: *On the curvature of rational surfaces*, Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math., Stanford, Vol. 27 (1975)
- [KM] Kronheimer, P., Mrowka, T.: *The genus of embedded surfaces in the projective plane*, Preprint (1994)
- [OT] Okonek, Ch.; Teleman A.: *The Coupled Seiberg-Witten Equations, Vortices, and Moduli Spaces of Stable Pairs*, Preprint, January, 13-th 1995
- [W] Witten, E.: *Monopoles and four-manifolds*, Mathematical Research Letters 1, 769-796 (1994)
- [V] Van de Ven, A.: *On the differentiable structure of certain algebraic surfaces*, Sémin. Bourbaki n° 667, Juin (1986)

Authors addresses:

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Zürich,  
Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich  
e-mail:okonek@math.unizh.ch  
teleman@math.unizh.ch