**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2011

Effects of Xylitol on survival of mutans Streptococci in mixed-six-species in vitro biofilms modelling supragingival plaque

Giertsen, E ; Arthur, R A ; Guggenheim, B

Abstract: Xylitol has been claimed to reduce mutans streptococci (MS) in dental plaque by energy-consuming futile metabolic cycles. This study aimed to investigate the effects of xylitol on MS in an in vitro 6-species oral biofilm model. Each multispecies biofilm contained either a laboratory reference strain, a fresh isolate, a xylitol-sensitive or a xylitol-resistant strain of *Streptococcus mutans* or *Streptococcus sobrinus*. Biofilms, grown on pellicle-coated hydroxyapatite discs, were fed with a glucose/sucrose-supplemented medium 3 times daily for 45 min and incubated in saliva between feedings. Before or after feeding, biofilms were exposed to either 7.5% xylitol, 7.5% sorbitol or to saliva (control) for 20 min. After 64.5 h, biofilms were harvested and the microbial composition was analysed by non-selective and selective culturing. Strain variability in the ability to colonize biofilms was observed. However, the response patterns in the biofilms to the 4 polyol treatments were similar. None of the MS were inhibited by xylitol provided either before or after feeding. Sorbitol given before feeding did not affect microbial growth whereas sorbitol provided after feeding showed a slight, albeit statistically significant increase in MS counts for some of the tested strains. It did so at the expense of *Streptococcus oralis*, which decreased in numbers. The present findings do not support the contention that xylitol reduces MS in plaque by futile metabolic cycles.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1159/000322646>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-42218>

Journal Article

Published Version

Originally published at:

Giertsen, E; Arthur, R A; Guggenheim, B (2011). Effects of Xylitol on survival of mutans Streptococci in mixed-six-species in vitro biofilms modelling supragingival plaque. *Caries Research*, 45(1):31-39.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1159/000322646>

Effects of Xylitol on Survival of Mutans Streptococci in Mixed-Six-Species in vitro Biofilms Modelling Supragingival Plaque

E. Giertsen^a R.A. Arthur^b B. Guggenheim^c

^aInstitute of Clinical Dentistry, Department of Cariology and Gerodontology, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway; ^bOral Health Research Institute, Department of Preventive and Community Dentistry, Indiana University School of Dentistry, Indianapolis, Ind., USA; ^cInstitute for Oral Biology, Section for Oral Microbiology and General Immunology, Center for Dental and Oral Medicine and Maxillofacial Surgery, University of Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

Key Words

Biofilms • Sorbitol • *Streptococcus mutans* • *Streptococcus sobrinus* • Xylitol

Abstract

Xylitol has been claimed to reduce mutans streptococci (MS) in dental plaque by energy-consuming futile metabolic cycles. This study aimed to investigate the effects of xylitol on MS in an in vitro 6-species oral biofilm model. Each multispecies biofilm contained either a laboratory reference strain, a fresh isolate, a xylitol-sensitive or a xylitol-resistant strain of *Streptococcus mutans* or *Streptococcus sobrinus*. Biofilms, grown on pellicle-coated hydroxyapatite discs, were fed with a glucose/sucrose-supplemented medium 3 times daily for 45 min and incubated in saliva between feedings. Before or after feeding, biofilms were exposed to either 7.5% xylitol, 7.5% sorbitol or to saliva (control) for 20 min. After 64.5 h, biofilms were harvested and the microbial composition was analysed by non-selective and selective culturing. Strain variability in the ability to colonize biofilms was observed. However, the response patterns in the biofilms to the 4 polyol treatments were similar. None of the MS were inhibited by xylitol provided either before or after feeding. Sorbitol given before feeding did not affect microbial growth whereas sorbitol provided after feeding showed a slight, albeit statisti-

cally significant increase in MS counts for some of the tested strains. It did so at the expense of *Streptococcus oralis*, which decreased in numbers. The present findings do not support the contention that xylitol reduces MS in plaque by futile metabolic cycles.

Copyright © 2010 S. Karger AG, Basel

The naturally occurring sugar alcohols xylitol and sorbitol, and combinations thereof, have been widely used as sugar substitutes in chewing gums and confectionary products [Bär, 1988; Birkhed and Bär, 1991; Bowen, 1996; van Loveren, 2004]. Xylitol is non-cariogenic since it is not fermented by most oral micro-organisms and does not give rise to acid formation in dental plaque [Edwardsson et al., 1977; Birkhed and Edwardsson, 1978; Havenaar et al., 1978; Hayes and Roberts, 1978; Bär, 1988; van Loveren, 2004]. Sorbitol is slowly fermented by comparatively few micro-organisms in plaque [Edwardsson et al., 1977; Havenaar et al., 1978; Kalfas and Edwardsson, 1990], and products formulated with sorbitol are accepted as being non-cariogenic [Birkhed and Bär, 1991; Bowen, 1996; van Loveren, 2004].

Besides its non-cariogenicity, xylitol has attracted much attention as a caries-preventive or even caries-therapeutic agent. The mechanisms behind these disputed

KARGER

Fax +41 61 306 12 34
E-Mail karger@karger.ch
www.karger.com

© 2010 S. Karger AG, Basel
0008-6568/11/0451-0031\$38.00/0

Accessible online at:
www.karger.com/crc

Bernhard Guggenheim
Institute for Oral Biology, University of Zürich
Plattenstrasse 11
CH-8032 Zürich (Switzerland)
Tel. +41 44 634 3277, Fax +41 44 634 4310, E-Mail bernie@zzmk.uzh.ch

Table 1. MS strains used in mixed-6-species biofilms

Strain	Feature
<i>Streptococcus mutans</i> CM7	X-S ¹
<i>Streptococcus mutans</i> CM7	X-R ¹
<i>Streptococcus mutans</i> P-03	fresh isolate
<i>Streptococcus mutans</i> M-51	fresh isolate
<i>Streptococcus mutans</i> OMZ 918 (UAB159 ^T , ATCC 700610 ^T)	laboratory strain ²
<i>Streptococcus sobrinus</i> ATCC 27352	X-S ¹
<i>Streptococcus sobrinus</i> ATCC 27352	X-R ¹
<i>Streptococcus sobrinus</i> OMZ 176	laboratory strain ³

¹ Strains kindly provided by Dr. Trahan, University of Quebec.

² Strain acquired from ATCC. ³ Strain collection of the Section of Oral Microbiology, University of Zürich.

properties have yet to be defined. It has been claimed that xylitol reduces the acidogenic potential of plaque, suppresses growth of plaque bacteria, notably of mutans streptococci (MS), enhances salivation and promotes remineralization of dental hard tissues [Tanzer, 1995; Twetman and Holgerson, 2004].

The inhibitory effects of xylitol on oral micro-organisms have been attributed mainly to its interference with MS [Bär, 1988; Tanzer, 1995; Trahan, 1995]. The mechanism of action was suggested to be an energy-consuming cycle related to the uptake and phosphorylation of xylitol to xylitol 5-phosphate and the subsequent dephosphorylation and expulsion of xylitol [Assev and Rølla, 1984; Trahan et al., 1985; Assev and Rølla, 1986; Trahan et al., 1991]. Intracellular accumulation of xylitol 5-phosphate conceivably leads to inhibition of bacterial glycolytic enzymes, resulting in impaired growth and acid production, as well as an ecological selection towards xylitol-resistant (X-R) MS in plaque [Assev and Rølla, 1984; Trahan et al., 1985; Assev and Rølla, 1986; Trahan and Mouton, 1987; Trahan et al., 1991, 1992; Trahan, 1995]. The X-R strains seem to become inert to xylitol so that their glycolysis is no longer inhibited by xylitol [Gauthier et al., 1984].

It is well documented that the continuous presence of xylitol in the culture medium of planktonically grown monocultures inhibits the in vitro growth of MS and some other oral bacterial species [Assev et al., 1983; Assev and Rølla, 1984; Trahan et al., 1985; Assev and Rølla, 1986]. An intriguing question is, however, whether the so-called futile xylitol cycle – uptake and expulsion of xylitol under expenditure of energy – operates in dental plaque. This has not been substantiated. A previously de-

veloped mixed-6-species biofilm model of supragingival plaque based on a batch culture approach [van der Ploeg and Guggenheim, 2004; Thurnheer et al., 2006] seemed to be a valuable tool to investigate the effects of xylitol on survival of MS in biofilms containing various strains of xylitol-sensitive (X-S), X-R, fresh isolates or laboratory types of MS. For comparison, sorbitol was included as an additional test substance since it is only slowly metabolized by some oral micro-organisms without any claims of 'specific effects' as a cariostatic agent [Birkhed and Bär, 1991; Bowen, 1996; van Loveren, 2004]. The aim of the present study was to test the hypothesis that repeated exposures of mixed-6-species oral biofilms in vitro to xylitol reduce the numbers of MS through futile metabolic cycles.

Material and Methods

Inoculum and Medium Preparation

A modification of the Zürich in vitro model of supragingival plaque [Guggenheim et al., 2001] was adopted in this experiment. For biofilm formation, the following species were used: *Actinomyces naeslundii* (OMZ 745), *Candida albicans* (OMZ 110), *Fusobacterium nucleatum* (OMZ 596), *Streptococcus oralis* (SK 248), *Veillonella dispar* (ATCC 17748) and one of the MS listed in table 1.

All strains were cultivated on Columbia blood agar (Difco, Sparks, Md., USA). Colonies of each strain were transferred to 9 ml of modified fluid universal medium (mFUM) containing 0.3% of glucose (plus 1% sodium lactate, for *V. dispar*) and anaerobically incubated at 37°C. mFUM corresponds to a well-established tryptone-yeast-based broth medium designated as FUM [Gmür and Guggenheim, 1983] and modified by supplementing 67 mmol/l Sørensen's buffer (final pH 7.2). After approximately 15 h of incubation, 200 µl of each suspension were transferred to 5 ml of a fresh mFUM (containing 0.3% glucose) and further incubated until an optical density of 1.0 ± 0.02 at 550 nm was reached. Aliquots from *A. naeslundii*, *C. albicans*, *F. nucleatum*, *S. oralis*, *V. dispar* and one of the *S. mutans* or *S. sobrinus* strains (table 1) were combined, in order to form a standardized mixed-6-species suspension, and stored on ice until the onset of the biofilm experiment [Guggenheim et al., 2001]. In all steps mentioned above, the *C. albicans* strain was incubated in 10% CO₂.

The biofilms were formed on sterile hydroxyapatite discs (Ø 10.6 mm; Clarkson Chemical Company, Williamsport, Pa., USA). For each mixed-6-species biofilm experiment, 15 hydroxyapatite discs were used. In order to form a salivary pellicle on the surface of the discs, they were placed in 24-well polystyrene cell culture plates and covered with 1,600 µl of processed saliva prepared as described previously [Guggenheim et al., 2001]. During pellicle formation, the plates were gently shaken at room temperature for 4 h.

Time Flow of 'Feast and Famine' Biofilm Experiments

An overall survey of the time flow of each biofilm experiment is provided in figure 1. Throughout this study, a 'feast and famine'

Fig. 1. Experimental arrangement and time flow of each biofilm experiment.

protocol [van der Ploeg and Guggenheim, 2004; Thurnheer et al., 2006] was adopted. After salivary pellicle formation, saliva was replaced at time 0 h with a mixture of processed saliva (480 μ l) and mFUM (1,120 μ l) containing 0.3% glucose (fig. 1). In each well, a volume of 200 μ l of mixed-6-species suspension was added, and the culture plate was anaerobically incubated at 37°C for 45 min. Then, the discs were dip-washed 3 times in physiological saline, transferred to new wells containing 1,600 μ l fresh processed saliva and incubated anaerobically at 37°C up to time point 16.5 h (period of famine). At time points 16.5, 20.5, 24.5, 40.5, 44.5 and 48.5 h, all discs were re-exposed for 45 min to a cell-free mixture of processed saliva (480 μ l) and mFUM (1,120 μ l) with 0.15% glucose + 0.15% sucrose (periods of feast), after which they were dip-washed as described above and transferred back into processed saliva (famine). After the feeding periods starting at 16.5, 20.5, 40.5 and 44.5 h, the discs were put back into the same processed saliva provided before feeding, whereas after the feeding periods starting at 24.5 and 48.5 h the discs were transferred to new plates with fresh processed saliva. Experiments were stopped and biofilms analysed after 64.5 h of incubation. Exposures to polyols lasted for 20 min and occurred immediately before or immediately after feeding periods as described in the next section.

Exposure of Biofilms to Polyol Solutions

Figure 2 provides a schematic overview of the allocation of biofilms to the different treatments within one experiment. Every experiment covered 1 of the 8 MS strains and included triplicate biofilms for each treatment. In order to evaluate the effect of the polyols on starved biofilms, 3 discs were exposed anaerobically (37°C, 20 min) before each feeding period to a mixture of processed saliva (480 μ l) and water (1,120 μ l) containing either 7.5% xylitol (discs 4–6) or 7.5% sorbitol (discs 7–9). These polyol percentages indicate final concentrations in the entire 1,600 μ l. During this time, the remaining 9 discs (discs 1–3, 10–15) were put into a corresponding mixture of fresh processed saliva and water. Thereafter, the biofilms were 3 times dip-washed in saline and then transferred to new wells for the feeding period.

To evaluate the effect of polyols on MS after feeding, another 3 discs were transferred to a fresh mixture of processed saliva and water with either 7.5% xylitol (discs 10–12) or 7.5% sorbitol (discs

13–15; fig. 2), while all remaining discs were switched to processed saliva/water as described above. After 20 min of anaerobic incubation at 37°C, all discs were dip-washed again 3 times in physiological saline, transferred to new wells containing processed fresh saliva and incubated anaerobically at 37°C (next famine period). During the entire experiment 3 control discs (discs 1–3) were never exposed to polyol solutions (fig. 2).

In total, 120 biofilms were used in this study: 8 types of biofilm each with a different strain of MS, 5 treatments per biofilm type (control, xylitol and sorbitol before and after feeding) and 3 replicate discs for every single treatment.

Harvesting and Determination of Colony-Forming Units

After 64.5 h, the biofilms were dip-washed in saline as described above to remove loosely adherent bacteria. Then the surface of each disc was scraped with a plastic scaler (Kerr Hawe SA, Bioggio, Switzerland) to remove the entire biofilm, and the cells were collected in 1 ml saline. The cell suspension was then sonicated (5 s, 30 W), and aliquots were plated out using a spiral diluter. Total colony-forming units (CFUs) were assessed on Columbia blood agar plates. Total streptococci and all streptococcal species were assessed on Mitis Salivarius Agar (Difco). Columbia blood agar plates were incubated anaerobically and Mitis Salivarius Agar plates in 10% CO₂ at 37°C. After 72 h of incubation, all CFUs were counted under a stereomicroscope, and the results were expressed as total CFUs, total streptococcal CFUs, *S. oralis* CFUs and *S. mutans* or *S. sobrinus* CFUs. In order to allow a comparison of the polyol treatments between biofilms containing different MS strains, the results were expressed as Δ CFUs, calculated by the difference in CFUs between each polyol treatment and its respective control group.

Statistics

Descriptive statistics of the data were performed with Stat-View 5.01 (SAS Institute, Cary, N.C., USA) and illustrated with box plots. The log₁₀-transformed data met the requirements for parametric analysis. Hence differences between treatments were analysed by ANOVA followed by the Scheffé test (significance level $p < 0.05$).

Fig. 2. Schematic explanation of the allocation of biofilms to the different treatments in a single biofilm experiment. Each experiment was assigned 1 of the 8 MS strains listed in table 1.

Results

In total, 8 mixed-6-species biofilms were grown in the present study, each containing only 1 of the 8 MS strains listed in table 1. Xylitol did not inhibit growth of any of the X-S or X-R MS strains tested in mixed-6-species biofilms whether it was provided before or after feeding (tables 2–5, fig. 3).

In the presence of the X-S MS strain *S. sobrinus* ATCC 27352 in mixed-species biofilms, higher *S. sobrinus* counts were found in biofilms treated with sorbitol after

feeding than in biofilms from the control group (table 2). The biofilms containing the *S. mutans* CM7 X-S strain and treated with sorbitol after feeding showed higher total CFUs and higher total streptococcal CFUs when compared to controls or to biofilms treated with xylitol before feeding. These biofilms also showed higher *S. mutans* CFUs than the controls and the biofilms exposed to xylitol before or after feeding. In addition, exposure to sorbitol after feeding resulted in lower counts of *S. oralis* compared to the administration of sorbitol before feeding (table 2).

Fig. 3. Box plot diagram showing the Δ CFUs of biofilms according to polyol treatments. Each box indicates the lower and the upper quartiles. Horizontal bars within boxes indicate median values. Data are based on 8 distinct biofilm experiments. * $p < 0.05$: difference statistically significant by Scheffé test.

Table 2. Treatment effects on mixed-species biofilms containing an X-S MS group strain

Treatment	<i>S. sobrinus</i> ATCC 27352 X-S strain				<i>S. mutans</i> CM7 X-S strain			
	total CFUs	total streptococcal CFUs	<i>S. sobrinus</i>	<i>S. oralis</i>	total CFUs	total streptococcal CFUs	<i>S. mutans</i>	<i>S. oralis</i>
Control	9.0 ± 2.8	5.3 ± 1.3	0.6 ± 0.08*	4.6 ± 1.4	5.9 ± 1.9*	3.8 ± 0.6*	3.3 ± 0.3*	0.7 ± 0.3
Xylitol before	7.6 ± 2.1	6.4 ± 1.9	0.7 ± 0.2	5.4 ± 1.9	5.2 ± 0.9*	4.4 ± 0.7*	3.2 ± 0.8*	1.2 ± 0.1
Xylitol after	7.8 ± 0.6	4.7 ± 1.5	0.6 ± 0.2	4.0 ± 1.2	9.8 ± 1.9	6.0 ± 3.5	3.3 ± 2.0*	2.6 ± 1.7
Sorbitol before	7.0 ± 0.3	5.2 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.05	4.7 ± 0.5	6.6 ± 2.3	6.6 ± 2.5	4.6 ± 1.9	1.7 ± 1.0*
Sorbitol after	9.7 ± 0.5	5.3 ± 1.4	1.0 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.7	14.0 ± 3.2	15.0 ± 1.5	15.0 ± 2.0	0.4 ± 0.2

Data are based on 3 separate biofilms and expressed as mean CFUs ± SD ($\times 10^8$). * $p < 0.05$: significant differences were observed only in comparison to the treatment 'sorbitol after feeding', by Scheffé test.

Table 3. Treatment effects on mixed-species biofilms containing an X-R MS group strain

Treatment	<i>S. sobrinus</i> ATCC 27352 X-R strain				<i>S. mutans</i> CM7 X-R strain			
	total CFUs	total streptococcal CFUs	<i>S. sobrinus</i>	<i>S. oralis</i>	total CFUs	total streptococcal CFUs	<i>S. mutans</i>	<i>S. oralis</i>
Control	15.0 ± 2.9	9.8 ± 3.9	0.2 ± 0.1	9.4 ± 4.1	11.0 ± 3.2	11.0 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.04*	9.8 ± 0.2*
Xylitol before	10.0 ± 5.0	8.3 ± 5.0	0.07 ± 0.01	8.3 ± 5.0	14.0 ± 1.5	12.0 ± 1.5	0.5 ± 0.03*	10.0 ± 1.8*
Xylitol after	9.7 ± 2.2	7.9 ± 3.8	0.1 ± 0.05	7.9 ± 3.8	8.9 ± 4.6	6.4 ± 1.9	0.7 ± 0.2*	5.6 ± 1.9*
Sorbitol before	6.6 ± 2.2	4.3 ± 0.7	0.07 ± 0.01	4.1 ± 0.8	10.0 ± 3.3	9.0 ± 1.2	0.9 ± 0.2*	7.7 ± 0.8*
Sorbitol after	7.3 ± 1.2	4.5 ± 0.7	0.07 ± 0.01	4.4 ± 0.7	9.0 ± 3.6	8.0 ± 4.3	7.8 ± 4.5	0.3 ± 0.3

Data are based on 3 separate biofilms and expressed as mean CFUs ± SD ($\times 10^8$). * $p < 0.05$: significant differences were observed only in comparison to the treatment 'sorbitol after feeding', by Scheffé test.

Table 4. Treatment effects on mixed-species biofilms containing a freshly isolated *S. mutans* strain

Treatment	Freshly isolated <i>S. mutans</i> P-03 strain				Freshly isolated <i>S. mutans</i> M-51 strain			
	total CFUs	total streptococcal CFUs	<i>S. mutans</i>	<i>S. oralis</i>	total CFUs	total streptococcal CFUs	<i>S. mutans</i>	<i>S. oralis</i>
Control	7.7 ± 1.4	7.2 ± 1.7	4.0 ± 0.5	3.2 ± 1.2	4.7 ± 2.5	4.5 ± 3.6	3.7 ± 3.2	0.4 ± 0.1*
Xylitol before	5.6 ± 2.4	2.7 ± 2.1	1.4 ± 1.4	1.4 ± 0.7	2.8 ± 1.4	2.1 ± 0.7	1.9 ± 0.6	0.2 ± 0.02*
Xylitol after	6.9 ± 1.9	5.2 ± 1.7	3.4 ± 0.6	1.9 ± 1.0	6.5 ± 1.6	7.3 ± 2.1	7.0 ± 2.0	0.4 ± 0.02*
Sorbitol before	6.3 ± 1.9	4.5 ± 1.1	2.7 ± 0.7	1.8 ± 0.4	6.3 ± 3.2	5.2 ± 1.6	4.9 ± 1.6	0.5 ± 0.1*
Sorbitol after	8.8 ± 1.9	7.3 ± 0.8	5.3 ± 0.7	2.1 ± 0.7	8.5 ± 1.2	6.4 ± 0.8	6.4 ± 0.8	0.02 ± 0.01

Data are based on 3 separate biofilms and expressed as mean CFUs ± SD (×10⁸). * p < 0.05: significant differences were observed only in comparison to the treatment 'sorbitol after feeding', by Scheffé test.

Table 5. Treatment effects on mixed-species biofilms containing a laboratory reference strain of *S. sobrinus* or *S. mutans*

Treatment	Laboratory <i>S. sobrinus</i> OMZ 176 strain				Laboratory <i>S. mutans</i> OMZ 918 strain			
	total CFUs	total streptococcal CFUs	<i>S. sobrinus</i>	<i>S. oralis</i>	total CFUs	total streptococcal CFUs	<i>S. mutans</i>	<i>S. oralis</i>
Control	2.7 ± 0.4	1.1 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.3	6.2 ± 1.2	1.9 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.1
Xylitol before	2.6 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.4	0.4 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.1	4.9 ± 1.8	1.4 ± 0.3	0.8 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.3
Xylitol after	1.9 ± 0.6	0.7 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.04	4.9 ± 1.6	1.2 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.2*	0.5 ± 0.1
Sorbitol before	2.6 ± 0.8	1.1 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.05	0.7 ± 0.2	3.1 ± 1.6	1.7 ± 1.4	1.2 ± 0.7	0.4 ± 0.5
Sorbitol after	1.9 ± 0.8	1.2 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1	4.0 ± 0.8	2.1 ± 0.2	2.0 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.1

Data are based on 3 separate biofilms and expressed as mean CFUs ± SD (×10⁸). * p < 0.05: significant differences were observed only in comparison to the treatment 'sorbitol after feeding', by Scheffé test.

In biofilms harbouring the X-R MS strains, no effect of polyols was observed, except in the presence of the CM7 X-R strain where the biofilms treated with sorbitol after feeding showed higher counts of *S. mutans* and lower counts of *S. oralis* compared to the other groups (table 3).

With biofilms containing the fresh isolates of *S. mutans* (P-03 and M-51), no polyol effect was observed, except for the one on M-51-positive biofilms, which showed significantly reduced counts of *S. oralis* when treated with sorbitol after feeding (table 4). No polyol effects on total CFUs, total streptococcal CFUs and *S. oralis* CFUs were observed in the presence of the laboratory reference strains OMZ 176 (*S. sobrinus*) or OMZ 918 (*S. mutans*) in mixed-species biofilms (table 5). However, higher counts of OMZ 918 were found in biofilms treated with sorbitol after feeding in comparison to biofilms exposed to xylitol after feeding (table 5).

Considering the ΔCFU values, with 1 exception no polyol effect on biofilms was observed. The exception concerns biofilms treated with sorbitol after feeding, whereby higher ΔMS counts were found compared to the xylitol treatment before feeding (fig. 3).

Discussion

The present study was undertaken to test the hypothesis that the 'futile xylitol cycle' could explain the postulated reduction of MS in dental plaque. The hypothesis stems from experiments with planktonic cells in mono-species cultures. This question was addressed using an oral polyspecies biofilm model simulating the meal pattern of man [van der Ploeg and Guggenheim, 2004; Thurnheer et al., 2006].

The mixed biofilms' response pattern to the 4 polyol treatments was similar for all 8 MS strains tested (tables 2–5, fig. 3). With all treatments, differences in MS counts were minute, fluctuating mostly within 1 log step. Expectedly, some variability in the ability of the MS strains to colonize the biofilms was found. The X-R strains colonized at a slightly lower level than the X-S strains, while the accompanying competing *S. oralis* strain was favoured (tables 2, 3). The freshly isolated MS strains colonized the biofilms in higher numbers than the laboratory strains, at the expense of *S. oralis* (tables 4, 5).

The anticariogenic effect of xylitol has been claimed by many authors to be based on a reduction of MS in dental plaque [Söderling et al., 1989; Tanzer, 1995; Trahan, 1995; Mäkinen et al., 2005]. The present findings do not support that xylitol reduces MS counts in biofilms (tables 2–5, fig. 3). Further, conflicting data have been published, and the clinical relevance of a reduction of the intra-oral load of MS is not clear [Beighton, 2005]. Not all studies concur with an MS-reducing effect of xylitol [Birkhed et al., 1979; Giertsen et al., 1999; van Loveren, 2004]. Short-term and long-term clinical studies with different designs, administration form and dosage of xylitol show disparate findings [van Loveren, 2004]. It should also be noted that there is no consensus as to an efficient or minimal 'therapeutic' dose of xylitol [van Loveren, 2004]. The concentration of xylitol used in the present study, and the repeated, limited time periods of exposures of biofilms to xylitol simulated the daily consumption or topical application of xylitol-containing products.

The energy-consuming futile cycle held responsible for the inhibitory effect of xylitol on MS involves uptake of xylitol via induction of a constitutive fructose-specific phosphotransferase transport system [Assev and Rølla, 1984; Trahan et al., 1985; Assev and Rølla, 1986]. As a result, it was postulated that accumulated xylitol 5-phosphate will compete with phosphofructokinase and then arrest glycolysis by intracellular accumulation of glucose 6-phosphate [Assev and Rølla, 1986]. However, this cycle has only been proven to operate under highly unphysiological conditions. In *in vitro* studies, pure cultures of *S. sobrinus* were first deprived of other carbohydrate reserves by incubation for 3 h with high concentrations of xylitol, whereupon the transport of only trace amounts of glucose was determined [Assev and Rølla, 1986]. Similarly, the formation of xylitol 5-phosphate in dental plaque was detected only after plaque samples had been incubated *in vitro* with xylitol as the sole external carbohydrate source for 6 h [Wåler, 1992]. Thus, the bacteria had

to grow under these conditions in order to induce the expression of the proteins necessary for this transport system, and the glucose concentration in the environment had to be very low in order to avoid catabolic repression [Carlsson, 1978]. Such conditions never prevail in the oral cavity and may also explain why xylitol did not inhibit MS under the present experimental conditions. Even after overnight starving, the concentrations of glucose in biofilms were obviously still sufficient to suppress the uptake of xylitol. Notably, to confirm the antimetabolic effect of xylitol *in vivo*, intracellular accumulation of glucose 6-phosphate has not been demonstrated [Giertsen et al., 1999].

Thus, one may question whether oral micro-organisms will, in fact, take up xylitol under *in vivo* conditions. The fructose-specific phosphotransferase transport system has an anticipated K_m for xylitol of 54 mM in contrast to 27 μ M for fructose [Trahan et al., 1991], indicating that not until fructose levels are very low will xylitol be transported into X-S cells. The same holds for glucose. Glucose and fructose are the preferred hexoses of micro-organisms. In saliva, glucose is always present at concentrations between 5 and 40 μ M [Kelsey et al., 1972]. The concentration of glucose varies in plaque and due to enzymatic breakdown of extracellular glucans is most probably higher during 'starvation' periods [Hotz et al., 1972]. Moreover, during food intake – as mimicked under the present experimental conditions – the glucose concentration in plaque is high and the pH low, favouring transport via alternative transport systems [Carlsson and Hamilton, 1994].

We observed a slight, but statistically significant increase in MS numbers when biofilms were exposed after feeding to sorbitol (tables 2–5, fig. 3). This increase was accompanied by a slight, but statistically significant decrease in the numbers of *S. oralis*, and in some cases was also reflected in raised numbers of total CFUs and total streptococci (tables 2–5). It is well known that the majority of MS and lactobacilli, some *Actinomyces* sp., some non-MS, as well as other less frequently encountered oral micro-organisms ferment sorbitol [Edwardsson et al., 1977; Havenaar et al., 1978; Kalfas and Edwardsson, 1990]. The reduction of *S. oralis* cells may thus be explained by the ability of MS and *A. naeslundii* to ferment sorbitol, resulting in an ecological advantage for *S. mutans* or *S. sobrinus* leading occasionally to higher total CFUs and streptococcal counts in the biofilms, rather than by a sorbitol-induced inhibition of *S. oralis*.

The increased numbers of MS in biofilms exposed to sorbitol after feeding (tables 2–5, fig. 3) are in accordance

with clinical studies reporting an increase in *S. mutans* counts in plaque following frequent consumption of sorbitol [Söderling et al., 1989] or frequent mouth rinses with a sorbitol solution [Kalfas and Edwardsson, 1990]. Sorbitol is transported into bacterial cells using a phosphoenol-pyruvate-dependent sorbitol phosphotransferase transport system, resulting in intracellular accumulation of sorbitol 6-phosphate, which is then further converted to fructose 6-phosphate by sorbitol 6-phosphate dehydrogenase [Brown and Wittenberger, 1973; Slee and Tanzer, 1983]. Both enzymes are inducible, and in planktonic monocultures they are readily repressed by low levels of glucose, as normally found in the mouth [Slee and Tanzer, 1983]. Based on the common conception that the genotypic and phenotypic expression profiles of bacteria growing in biofilms are different from their planktonic counterparts, it may be postulated that gene regulation in biofilms induces the expression of enzyme activities necessary to transport and metabolize sorbitol only in the presence of glucose. This is supported by our observation that the sorbitol-induced increase in MS numbers was only discernible in biofilms when starved cells were exposed to sorbitol *after* feeding, indicating that the expression of this enzyme cascade in biofilms may in fact depend on a sufficient intracellular level of glucose. However, the slight increase in MS numbers occasionally observed *in vivo* and previously explained by adaptation to sorbitol is considered to be clinically insignificant [Birkhed and Bär, 1991; Bowen, 1996]. Fermentation of sorbitol is slow [Havenaar et al., 1978; Yamada et al., 1985; Kalfas et al., 1990], resulting in pH drops in plaque above values that may cause dental caries [Birkhed and Edwardsson, 1978; Birkhed et al., 1979]. In contrast, glucose and sucrose in excess are rapidly catabolized mainly to lactate [Geddes, 1975; Carlsson and Hamilton, 1994]. Moreover, sorbitol does not promote plaque formation and adherence by synthesis of extracellular polysaccharides [Havenaar et al., 1978].

Claims of superior effects over other polyols from using xylitol in a variety of products are often supported by reference to laboratory findings of xylitol effects on planktonic bacteria. However, as shown in the present study, caution should be taken when trying to extrapolate from such unnatural conditions to possible events taking place in biofilms on teeth *in vivo*. Discrepancies between the present and previous *in vitro* findings may be explained by the intermittent exposures of multispecies biofilms to xylitol used in our study, mimicking more realistic conditions of consumption, in contrast to the exposure used in studies with planktonic monocultures

where xylitol was included in the fluid medium throughout the experiments giving totally unrealistic exposure times [Assev et al., 1983; Assev and Rølla, 1984, 1986].

In summary, the present study showed no evidence of xylitol-induced reduction of the cell numbers of X-S, X-R, freshly isolated or laboratory MS strains grown in a multispecies biofilm environment. Analogously, total streptococci or total CFUs remained unaffected in spite of repeated and prolonged exposures before or after biofilm feeding to relatively high concentrations of xylitol. These findings do not support the hypothesis that xylitol reduces the numbers of MS in dental plaque by futile metabolic cycles.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to André Meier for his excellent technical assistance and also to the Brazilian Federal Agency for Support and Evaluation of Graduate Education, from which the second author received a scholarship (BEX 1112/08-2) during his Doctorate 'Sandwich' Program at the University of Zürich. The authors acknowledge the statistical advice provided by Dr. Georgio Menghini, University of Zürich. We acknowledge in particular the critical review of the manuscript by Dr. Rudolf Gmür, University of Zürich.

Disclosure Statement

None of the authors have any personal or financial interests related to the content of this paper. The study was performed out of pure scientific interest to elucidate further the effect of xylitol on MS. It was financed by the Universities of Bergen and Zürich and by the Swedish Patent Revenue Fund for Research in Preventive Odontology.

References

- Assev S, Rølla G: Evidence for presence of a xylitol phosphotransferase system in *Streptococcus mutans* OMZ 176. *Acta Pathol Microbiol Immunol Scand B* 1984;92:89–92.
- Assev S, Rølla G: Further studies on the growth inhibition of *Streptococcus mutans* OMZ 176 by xylitol. *Acta Pathol Microbiol Immunol Scand B* 1986;94:97–102.
- Assev S, Wåler SM, Rølla G: Further studies on the growth inhibition of some oral bacteria by xylitol. *Acta Pathol Microbiol Immunol Scand B* 1983;91:261–265.
- Bär A: Caries prevention with xylitol: a review of the scientific evidence. *World Rev Nutr Diet* 1988;55:183–209.
- Beighton D: The complex oral microflora of high-risk individuals and groups and its role in the caries process. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2005;33:248–255.

- Birkhed D, Bär A: Sorbitol and dental caries. *World Rev Nutr Diet* 1991;65:1–37.
- Birkhed D, Edwardsson S: Acid production from sucrose substitutes in human dental plaque; in Guggenheim B (ed): *ERGOB Conference on Sugar Substitutes*. Basel, Karger, 1978, pp 211–217.
- Birkhed D, Edwardsson S, Ahldén ML, Frostell G: Effects of 3 months frequent consumption of hydrogenated starch hydrolysate (Lycasin®), maltitol, sorbitol and xylitol on human dental plaque. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1979;37:103–115.
- Bowen WH: Clinical relevance of adaptation to sorbitol by plaque bacteria: a review. *J Clin Dent* 1996;7:1–5.
- Brown AT, Wittenberger CL: Mannitol and sorbitol catabolism in *Streptococcus mutans*. *Arch Oral Biol* 1973;18:117–126.
- Carlsson J: Potentials of the oral microflora to utilize sugar substitutes as energy source; in Guggenheim B (ed): *ERGOB Conference on Sugar Substitutes*. Basel, Karger, 1978, pp 205–210.
- Carlsson J, Hamilton I: Metabolic activity of oral bacteria; in Thylstrup A, Fejerskov O (eds): *Textbook of Clinical Cariology*, ed 2. Copenhagen, Munksgaard, 1994, pp 71–88.
- Edwardsson S, Birkhed D, Mejäre B: Acid production from Lycasin®, maltitol, sorbitol and xylitol by oral streptococci and lactobacilli. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1977;35:257–263.
- Gauthier L, Vadeboncoeur C, Mayrand D: Loss of sensitivity to xylitol by *Streptococcus mutans* LG-1. *Caries Res* 1984;18:289–295.
- Geddes DAM: Acids produced by human dental plaque metabolism in situ. *Caries Res* 1975;9:98–109.
- Giertsen E, Emberland H, Scheie AA: Effects of mouth rinses with xylitol and fluoride on dental plaque and saliva. *Caries Res* 1999;33:23–31.
- Gmür R, Guggenheim B: Antigenic heterogeneity of *Bacteroides intermedius* as recognized by monoclonal antibodies. *Infect Immun* 1983;42:459–470.
- Guggenheim B, Giertsen E, Schüpbach P, Shapiro S: Validation of an in vitro biofilm model of supragingival plaque. *J Dent Res* 2001;80:363–370.
- Havenaar R, Huis in't Veld JHJ, Backer Dirks O, de Stoppelaar JD: Some bacteriological aspects of sugar substitutes; in Guggenheim B (ed): *ERGOB Conference on Sugar Substitutes*. Basel, Karger, 1978, pp 192–198.
- Hayes ML, Roberts KR: The breakdown of glucose, xylitol and other sugar alcohols by human dental plaque bacteria. *Arch Oral Biol* 1978;23:445–451.
- Hotz P, Guggenheim B, Schmid R: Carbohydrates in pooled dental plaque. *Caries Res* 1972;6:103–121.
- Kalfas S, Edwardsson S: Sorbitol-fermenting predominant cultivable flora of human dental plaque in relation to sorbitol adaptation and salivary secretion rate. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 1990;5:33–38.
- Kalfas S, Maki Y, Birkhed D, Edwardsson S: Effect of pH on acid production from sorbitol in washed cell suspensions of oral bacteria. *Caries Res* 1990;24:107–112.
- Kelsey JL, McCague KE, Holden JM: Variations in flow rate, metabolites and enzyme activities of fasting human parotid saliva. *Arch Oral Biol* 1972;17:439–445.
- Mäkinen KK, Saag M, Isotupa KP, Olak J, Nömmela R, Söderling E, Mäkinen PL: Similarity of the effects of erythritol and xylitol on some risk factors of dental caries. *Caries Res* 2005;39:207–215.
- Slee AM, Tanzer JM: The repressible metabolism of sorbitol (D-glucitol) by intact cells of the oral plaque-forming bacterium *Streptococcus mutans*. *Arch Oral Biol* 1983;28:839–845.
- Söderling E, Mäkinen KK, Chen CY, Pape HR Jr, Loesche W, Mäkinen PL: Effect of sorbitol, xylitol, and xylitol/sorbitol chewing gums on dental plaque. *Caries Res* 1989;23:378–384.
- Tanzer JM: Xylitol chewing gum and dental caries. *Int Dent J* 1995;45:65–76.
- Thurnheer T, van der Ploeg JR, Giertsen E, Guggenheim B: Effects of *Streptococcus mutans* *gtfC* deficiency on mixed oral biofilms in vitro. *Caries Res* 2006;40:163–171.
- Trahan L: Xylitol: a review of its action on mutans streptococci and dental plaque – its clinical significance. *Int Dent J* 1995;45:77–92.
- Trahan L, Bareil M, Gauthier L, Vadeboncoeur C: Transport and phosphorylation of xylitol by a fructose phosphotransferase system in *Streptococcus mutans*. *Caries Res* 1985;19:53–63.
- Trahan L, Mouton C: Selection for *Streptococcus mutans* with an altered xylitol transport capacity in chronic xylitol consumers. *J Dent Res* 1987;66:982–988.
- Trahan L, Néron S, Bareil M: Intracellular xylitol-phosphate hydrolysis and efflux of xylitol in *Streptococcus sobrinus*. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 1991;6:41–50.
- Trahan L, Söderling E, Dréan MF, Chevrier MC, Isokangas P: Effect of xylitol consumption on the plaque-saliva distribution of mutans streptococci and the occurrence and long-term survival of xylitol-resistant strains. *J Dent Res* 1992;71:1785–1791.
- Twetman S, Holgersson PL: Xylitol für die Kariesprävention – einige praktische Aspekte und Richtlinien. *ZWR* 2004;113:265–268.
- van der Ploeg JR, Guggenheim B: Deletion of *gtfC* of *Streptococcus mutans* has no influence on the composition of a mixed-species in vitro biofilm model of supragingival plaque. *Eur J Oral Sci* 2004;112:433–438.
- van Loveren C: Sugar alcohols: what is the evidence for caries-preventive and caries-therapeutic effects? *Caries Res* 2004;38:286–293.
- Wäler SM: Evidence for xylitol 5-P production in human dental plaque. *Scand J Dent Res* 1992;100:204–206.
- Yamada T, Takahashi-Abbe S, Abbe K: Effects of oxygen on pyruvate formate-lyase in situ and sugar metabolism of *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sanguis*. *Infect Immun* 1985;47:129–134.