



**University of
Zurich** ^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2008

**Stress-buffering effects of psychosocial resources on physiological and
psychological stress response in pregnant women**

Nierop, A ; Wirtz, P H ; Bratsikas, A ; Zimmermann, R ; Ehlert, Ulrike

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2008.03.012>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-4887>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Nierop, A; Wirtz, P H; Bratsikas, A; Zimmermann, R; Ehlert, Ulrike (2008). Stress-buffering effects of psychosocial resources on physiological and psychological stress response in pregnant women. *Biological Psychology*, 78(3):261-268.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2008.03.012>

Stress-Buffering Effects of Psychosocial Resources on Physiological and Psychological Stress Response in Pregnant Women

Ada Nierop¹, Ph.D.

Petra H. Wirtz¹, Ph.D.

Aliki Bratsikas¹, Ph.D.

Roland Zimmermann², M.D.

Ulrike Ehlert¹, Ph.D.

¹Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

²Department of Obstetrics, University Hospital of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Corresponding author:

Ulrike Ehlert, Ph.D.

Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy

University of Zurich

Binzmühlestrasse 14/Box 26

CH-8050 Zurich

Switzerland

Tel: +41 44 634-7350

Fax: +41 44 634-7359

Email: u.ehlert@psychologie.uzh.ch

ABSTRACT

Sixty healthy pregnant women (aged 21 – 35 years), including thirty pregnant women at the beginning of second trimester and thirty women at the beginning of third trimester underwent a psychosocial stress test. Physiological (salivary free cortisol levels, salivary alpha-amylase levels) and psychological (perceived stress, mood, anxiety) responses to standardized psychosocial stress have been brought in association with psychosocial resources (self-efficacy and daily uplifts). Predictions revealed that higher resources predict lower physiological and psychological stress responses and higher mood levels. We conclude from our data that psychosocial resources appear to dampen psychological and physiological stress response during pregnancy.

Key words: Cortisol, alpha-amylase, self-efficacy, daily uplifts, stress-buffering effects, pregnancy, psychosocial stress test

INTRODUCTION

There is clear evidence that high levels of perceived stress and anxiety during pregnancy are associated with several negative health consequences, like gestational complications, spontaneous abortion, preterm labor, low infant birth weight, postpartum depression and negative developmental outcome in infancy (Goland et al., 1993; Hedegaard, Henriksen, Sabroe, & Secher, 1993; Huizink, Robles de Medina, Mulder, Visser, & Buitelaar, 2003; Killingsworth, Dunkel-Schetter, Wadhwa, & Sandman, 1997; Mancuso, Schetter, Rini, Roesch, & Hobel, 2004; O'Connor et al., 2005; Robertson, Grace, Wallington, & Stewart, 2004; Van den Bergh, Mulder, Mennes, & Glover, 2005; Wadhwa et al., 2001; Wadhwa, Sandman, Porto, Dunkel-Schetter, & Garite, 1993). Although these studies showed that stress is a significant risk factor, it has to be considered that not all pregnant women who report high levels of stress go on to develop complications. This raises the question of the determinants of vulnerability in the context of stress-related complications in pregnant women.

The majority of recent studies with pregnant women focus on chronic stress, major life events and anxiety related to complications or negative development outcome in infancy, suggesting that future studies should focus on the prevention of prenatal stress (Hedegaard et al., 1993; Huizink et al., 2003; Mancuso et al., 2004; Van den Bergh et al., 2005). However, in order to process effective stress prevention, it would seem that first of all, reliable basic information about influences on the stress response during healthy pregnancy is needed. In particular, there appears to be a demand for studies taking into consideration factors such as psychosocial resources which might play an important role in protecting the pregnant woman and her unborn child against harmful consequences of heightened stress levels. With regard to this issue, in a recent pilot study, Urizar and colleagues showed buffering effects of favorable coping strategies on psychological and physiological stress responses during pregnancy (Urizar et al., 2004).

As described in a previous paper of our work group, attenuated physiological stress responses were found in pregnant women compared to non-pregnant women, indicating that healthy pregnant women have biological protection against negative consequences of heightened stress levels (Nierop et al., 2006). The immediate objective of the current paper was to identify the potential stress-protective effects of self-efficacy and daily uplifts during pregnancy.

In many studies *self-efficacy* has been associated with health (Altmaier, Russell, Kao, Lehmann, & Weinstein, 1993; Bandura, Cioffi, Taylor, & Brouillard, 1988; Litt, 1988; Manning & Wright, 1983; Wiedenfeld et al., 1990). Self-efficacy can be defined as “people’s beliefs about their capabilities to produce effects” (Bandura, 1982, 1997). Several studies with pregnant women found self-efficacy to be a resource in terms of desisting from substance abuse (alcohol, smoking, drugs) during pregnancy (Abrahamsson, Springett, Karlsson, & Ottosson, 2005; DeVries & Backbier, 1994; Leonardson & Loudenburg, 2003; Loudenburg & Leonardson, 2003). In addition, studies indicate that high self-efficacy is significantly related to lower intensity of perceived pain during childbirth (Callister, Vehvilainen-Julkunen, & Lauri, 2001). Women with high childbirth fears seem to have a significantly lower perceived self-efficacy, and low perceived self-efficacy was found to be a predictor of psychological trauma of childbirth (Soet, Brack, & DiIorio, 2003). In a study by Rini and colleagues, it was demonstrated that higher self-efficacy resulted in higher birth weight. However, no stress-buffering effects of different psychosocial resources were found in this study (Rini, Dunkel-Schetter, Wadhwa, & Sandman, 1999).

Daily uplifts represent the second potential stress-buffering resource of interest in this study. Daily uplifts are positive and favorable experiences, and reflect small, pleasant daily occurrences, whereas daily hassles can be defined as daily annoyances (Kanner, Coyne, Schaefer, & Lazarus, 1981). The former concept does not appear to be merely the opposite of the latter. Daily hassles belong to the stress-provoking factors, whereas daily uplifts seem to be more of a stress-moderating factor (Huizink, 2000). In general, empirical research has focused mainly on the influence of daily hassles on negative health outcome (Da Costa, Brender, & Larouche, 1998; Huizink et al., 2003; Paarlberg et al., 1999), whereas only a small number of studies have examined the health benefits of daily uplifts (Edwards & Cooper, 1988; Twisk, Snel, de Vente, Kemper, & van Mechelen, 2000).

In summary, psychosocial resources such as self-efficacy and daily uplifts have been the subject of research in terms of health state during pregnancy and postpartum. However, the role of psychosocial resources *in the context of stress reactivity* in pregnant women has not yet been clearly elucidated. With regard to this issue, the aforementioned concepts seem to be well suited for an examination of their potential buffering effect on biological and psychological stress response during

pregnancy, with the aim of providing essential basic information for future research and the development of targeted early prevention programs.

In this study, stress was induced by the Trier Social Stress Test, TSST (Kirschbaum, Pirke, & Hellhammer, 1993), which is known for its naturalistic exposure to a socio-evaluative stressful situation. Numerous studies indicate that this standardized stress test induces a significant activation of physiological and psychological response to stress in healthy females and patients (Domes, Heinrichs, Reichwald, & Hautzinger, 2002; Gaab et al., 2002; Heim, Ehlert, & Hellhammer, 2000; Heinrichs et al., 2001; Kirschbaum, Kudielka, Gaab, Schommer, & Hellhammer, 1999; Young, Lopez, Murphy-Weinberg, Watson, & Akil, 2000). The effects of self-efficacy and daily uplifts upon stress responses like mood, anxiety and perceived stress were examined. In addition, we focused on the extent of the impact of the aforementioned resources upon the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, by means of salivary cortisol, a reliable indicator of this stress system (Kirschbaum & Hellhammer, 1994; Vining & McGinley, 1987). Furthermore, the potential prediction of psychosocial resources upon the autonomic nervous system (ANS), measured by salivary alpha-amylase, was of interest. The latter was shown to be an interesting stress indicator (Nater et al., 2005; Rohleder, Nater, Wolf, Ehlert, & Kirschbaum, 2004). Women at the beginning of the second and third trimesters of their pregnancy were assessed, based on the knowledge that there are differences in physiological baseline levels and stress responses (Avery, Wolfe, Amara, Davies, & McGrath, 2001; Mastorakos & Ilias, 2003; Nierop et al., 2006; Petraglia et al., 2001; Wang, Zhang, & Zhao, 1999), as well as evidence of differences in psychological stress responses (Glynn, Wadhwa, Dunkel-Schetter, Chicz-Demet, & Sandman, 2001; Paarlberg et al., 1999) at different stages of pregnancy.

METHODS

Subjects

Subjects (aged 21 – 35 years) were recruited through posted announcements, signs at the University of Zurich, the University Hospital of Zurich, and in obstetricians' offices in Zurich and the surrounding area. Exclusion criteria for participation were medical or psychiatric illness, substance abuse, medication, alcohol consumption of more than one glass of wine or beer per week, low level of school

education, insufficient knowledge of the German language and artificial insemination. Sixty healthy nulliparous women with a singleton intrauterine pregnancy participated in the study, including thirty women at the beginning of the second trimester (week 13 – 18) and 30 women at the beginning of the third trimester (week 26 – 31). After the participants were provided with written and oral descriptions of the study, written informed consent was obtained prior to participation. The ethics committee of the state of Zurich formally approved the research protocol.

Study design

Psychosocial stress was induced by the Trier Social Stress Test (TSST) (Kirschbaum et al., 1993). Two weeks before the TSST, all subjects were personally interviewed and screened for psychological illness, using structured clinical interviews, DIA-X/M-CIDI (WHO, 1990; Wittchen, 1997) and SKID-II (Wittchen, H.-U., 1996). In addition, the women underwent a medical check-up (results taken by the women's physicians). All subjects were instructed to abstain from teeth brushing for one hour and to eat nothing for two hours prior to the psychosocial stress test. In addition, they were instructed to refrain from exercise, caffeine and alcohol on the day of the experiment. The experiments took place as individual sessions, lasting for two hours in the afternoon (at some time between 2:00pm and 5:00pm). After an acclimation phase (10min), each participant was given a 10-min preparation period for the speaking task. She was then taken into the TSST room, where she took part in a simulated job interview (5min), followed by a mental arithmetic task (5min) in front of a conspicuous video camera and an audience of two persons. After the stress test, the participant was taken into another room and a 60-min recovery phase began, followed by a debriefing and a payment of 150 Swiss francs.

Outcome Measures

Psychological measures

Socioeconomic data, personal living situation and current critical life events were assessed according to a half-standardized interview protocol. In addition, questionnaires were provided, which were to be filled in at home and brought along to the psychosocial stress test two weeks later. Validated German versions of the following questionnaires were included: Zurich Inventory of Psychosocial Wellbeing

in Pregnancy, ZIPS (Bratsikas, Mohiyeddini, Viecelli, & Ehlert, submitted), Questionnaire of Competence and Control, FKK (Krampen, 1991), Mood-Questionnaire, MDBF (Steyer, 1997), the trait-scale of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, STAI (Laux, 1981), the Global Symptom Index derived from the Hopkins Symptom-Checklist-90-Revised, SCL90-R (Franke, 1995), and the Trier Inventory for the Assessment of Chronic Stress, TICS (Schulz, 2004).

Self-efficacy was assessed by the respective subscales of the FKK and consisted of 16 items ranked on a 6-point scale, ranging from “totally false” to “completely true” (e.g., “Sometimes I feel inactive and devoid of ideas” or “Whether I will have an accident or not depends solely on my own behavior”).

Assessment of *daily uplifts* was performed with one subscale of the ZIPS, consisting of 10 items asking “To what extent do the following positive daily occurrences apply to you?” (e.g., “receive compliments”, “laugh”), ranked on a 6-point scale from “totally false” to “completely true”.

Mood, state anxiety and perceived stressfulness were repeatedly assessed before (-20, -10min) and after (+1, +20, +60min) stress exposure (TSST): *Perceived stressfulness* was measured using the question “Can you indicate on the following scale the degree of stressfulness of the present situation?” followed by a visual analog scale (VAS) from 0 to 10, with 0 indicating no stress experienced at all and 10 indicating highly stressed. *Mood* was assessed by the MDBF, a validated mood questionnaire consisting of an adjective list, which addresses current mood (e.g., “exhausted”, “nervous”, “uneasy”, “awake”, “happy”, “good”) using 12 items ranked on a 5-point scale from “not at all” to “very much”. The sum scores vary from 12 to 60 with higher scores indicating better mood.

State anxiety was measured before and after stress by the respective scales of the STAI, which consists of 20 items indicating the presence or absence of anxiety symptoms in the present situation, e.g. “I am nervous”, “I feel tense”.

Physiological measures

Saliva was collected by chewing for one minute on cotton rolls. A total of nine samples were taken, with the first three samples taken before the stress test (-20, -10 and -1min) and the six remaining samples collected following stress (+1, +10, +20, +30, +45 and +60min).

Saliva was collected by using Salivette collection devices (Sarstedt, Sevelen/Switzerland). Samples were stored at -20°C after completion of the session until biochemical analysis took place. After thawing, saliva samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 minutes. Salivary free cortisol was analyzed by using a commercial chemiluminescence immunoassay (LIA) (IBL Hamburg, Germany). Intraassay and interassay coefficients of variation were below 10%. To reduce error variance caused by imprecision of the intraassay, all samples of one subject were analyzed in the same run. For the determination of alpha-amylase activity, an assay as previously described was used (Nater et al., 2005). Basically, an automatic analyzer Cobas Mira and assay kits obtained from Roche were used. The reagents in the kit contain the enzyme alpha-amylase and alpha glucosidase, which convert the substrate ethylidene nitrophenyl to p-nitrophenol. The rate of formation of p-nitrophenol is directly proportional to the amylase activity. The activity is determined by measuring the absorbance at 405nm. The assay is a kinetic colorimetric test. Inter- and intraassay variance was below 1%.

DATA ANALYSIS

Data were tested for normal distribution and homogeneity of variance using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Levene's test before statistical procedures were applied. Data are presented as means \pm SEM. All reported results were corrected by the Greenhouse-Geisser procedure where appropriate (violation of sphericity assumption). Results were considered statistically significant at the $P \leq 0.05$ levels, and all tests were two-tailed. In case of missing data, cases were excluded listwise.

Repeated assessment of the dependent physiological parameters cortisol and alpha-amylase as well as of the dependent psychological parameters perceived stress, mood, and anxiety were computed as area under the total response curve with respect to increase (AUC_i), using the trapezoid formula, according to Pruessner and colleagues (Pruessner, Kirschbaum, Meinlschmid, & Hellhammer, 2003). The AUC_i is related to the sensitivity of the biological system, pronouncing changes over time, and is characterized by accumulation of the error of the baseline, since the formula is based on the difference between the baseline and the subsequent measures (Pruessner et al., 2003).

Across the two trimester groups, t-Tests for independent samples were calculated for group characteristics as well as for dependent psychological parameters and psychosocial resources. To

assess whether stress elicited changes in the three psychological and two physiological TSST parameters we calculated repeated measure analyses of variance (ANOVA) across the two trimester groups.

To assess the impact of psychosocial resources on the dependent physiological and psychological parameters, we employed the following procedure. First, we exploratively computed Pearson product-moment correlations for assessment of associations between psychosocial resources (daily uplifts and self-efficacy) and AUCi's of two physiological and three psychological TSST parameters. For these exploratory analyses we set a liberal significance level of .30 following previous methods (Wirtz et al., 2006). For significant associations between dependent physiological parameters and psychosocial resource variables ($P < .30$), we second calculated moderator analyses by linear regression modeling following Baron & Kenny (Baron & Kenny, 1986). As dependent variable we entered the AUCi of the respective physiological parameter. As independent variables we simultaneously entered the group variable (2nd vs. 3rd trimester), the continuous psychosocial resource variable, as well as the interaction thereof. A psychosocial resource variable was considered to be a significant moderator if the interaction term turned out to be a significant predictor of the respective physiological AUCi. Third, because of the intercorrelations between the three psychological TSST variables (Table 2), we calculated multiple analyses of variance (MANOVA's) with the three AUCi's of the dependent psychological TSST variables mood, anxiety, and perceived stress. As independent variables we again entered the group variable (2nd vs. 3rd trimester), the continuous psychosocial resource variable, as well as the interaction thereof. We decided for the MANOVA procedure in order to further reduce the number of analyses. For significant psychosocial resource variables, post-hoc tests in terms of moderator analyses by means of linear regression modeling were calculated with the AUCi of the respective psychological TSST parameter as the dependent variable. As independent variables we simultaneously entered the group variable (2nd vs. 3rd trimester), the continuous psychosocial resource variable, as well as the interaction thereof. To allow computation of interaction terms, we centered the group variable to the means following previous methods (Wirtz et al., 2006). In case of significant interaction effects we performed post hoc tests by comparing the highest and the lowest tertile of the significant psychosocial resource variable rendering four subgroups of women in

2nd and 3rd trimester with either high or low values in the particular psychosocial resource variable. We then calculated univariate ANOVAs with the AUC of the respective physiological or psychological stress variable as the dependent variable and the four group variable as the independent variable.

In order to visualize significant main effects but not used for modeling and testing we similarly computed 4 groups of women in 2nd and 3rd trimester with either highest or lowest tertile values in the particular psychosocial resource variable.

RESULTS

Sample Characteristics

There were no statistical differences in age and body mass index among the groups, with weight values of the state shortly before pregnancy included in the calculation. Subjects of the two comparison groups did not differ with respect to trait anxiety or chronic stress, with psychopathological symptoms being in the normal range of the population. In addition, second- and third-trimester pregnant women did not differ with regard to daily uplifts (ZIPS) and self-efficacy (FKK). No differences of perceived stress (VAS), state anxiety (STAI) and mood (MDBF) between the two groups were obtained (Table 1).

Insert Table 1 about here

Inter- and intra-correlations of independent and dependent variables

High correlations between perceived stress, mood and state anxiety were obtained, indicating that high perceived stress is related to high levels of state-anxiety ($r = .573$; $P = .000$) and low mood levels ($r = -.607$; $P = .000$). In addition, lower mood levels are closely associated with higher state anxiety ($r = -.794$; $P = .000$). No significant correlations between cortisol stress response and alpha-amylase stress response were achieved, indicating that both stress parameters are independent from each other.

Pearson correlations between self-efficacy and the psychological AUC's of the TSST revealed that higher self-efficacy is related to lower perceived stress ($r = -.349$; $P = .007$) and lower anxiety

($r = -.436$; $P = .001$), as well as better mood ($r = .394$; $P = .002$). Correlations of self-efficacy and the physiological AUC's of the TSST revealed that higher self-efficacy is related to lower cortisol ($r = -.202$; $P = .128$) and alpha-amylase stress responses ($r = -.293$; $P = .026$) (Table 2).

Insert Table 2 about here

Psychological Stress Response

Results obtained by 2-way ANOVA with repeated measures indicated that the stress paradigm induced significant decreases in mood (MDBF: $F(3.21, 186.49) = 16.93$, $P < .001$) and increases in state anxiety (STAI: $F(2.76, 160.10) = 34.49$, $P < .001$) and perceived stressfulness (VAS: $F(2.76, 160.11) = 38.90$, $P < .001$) over time. There were no significant time x group interactions found with the factor group and the measurements of VAS, nor for MDBF and STAI.

Physiological Stress Response

Results obtained by 2-way ANOVA with repeated measures indicated that the stress protocol induced significant increases in cortisol levels (main effect of time: $F(1.57, 90.82) = 21.93$; $P < .0001$). For the total amount of cortisol increase (-20min to +60min), a one-way ANOVA with the calculated area under the response curve (AUC_i) showed no significant differences between the two groups ($F(1, 58) = .63$; $P = .43$) (Figure 1A).

A 2-way ANOVA with repeated measures revealed a significant alpha-amylase response to stress (main effect of time: $F(3.84, 222.72) = 12.43$, $P < .0001$). For the total amount of alpha-amylase increase (AUC_i), no significant differences between the two pregnant groups were obtained ($F(1, 58) = .24$, $P = .63$) (Figure 1B).

Insert Figure 1 about here

Effects of psychosocial resource variables on physiological stress response

We calculated moderator analyses by means of linear regression analyses with the AUC of alpha-amylase as dependent variable. As independent variables we simultaneously entered the group variable (2nd vs. 3rd trimester), the continuous psychosocial resource variable, as well as the interaction thereof.

Alpha-amylase and self-efficacy. Higher self-efficacy but not trimester ($P = .39$) predicted lower stress reactivity of alpha-amylase ($\beta = -.29$, $P = .03$, $\Delta R^2 = .09$). The interaction of trimester by self-efficacy did not significantly predict AUC of alpha-amylase ($P = .92$). Age and BMI were controlled (P 's $> .77$) (Figure 2A).

Alpha-amylase and daily uplifts. Again, higher levels of daily uplifts but not trimester ($P = .55$) were associated with lower alpha-amylase stress reactivity ($\beta = -.34$, $P < .02$, $\Delta R^2 = .11$). The interaction of trimester-by-daily-uplifts was not a significant predictor of alpha-amylase AUC ($P = .34$). Again, age and BMI (P 's $> .64$) did not significantly influence results (Figure 3A).

Cortisol and self-efficacy. After controlling for age ($P = .74$) and BMI ($\beta = .36$, $P = .007$), higher self-efficacy but not trimester ($P = .36$) predicted lower cortisol stress reactivity of borderline significance ($\beta = -.21$, $P = .10$, $\Delta R^2 = .05$). The interaction of trimester-by-self-efficacy ($P = .22$) did not significantly predict cortisol AUC (Figure 2B).

Insert Figure 2 and 3 about here

Effects of psychosocial resource variables on psychological stress response

Self-efficacy. MANOVA analysis revealed a significant main effect for self-efficacy ($F_{(3,53)} = 4.68$, $P = .006$, $\eta_p^2 = .21$), but not for trimester ($P = .47$). The interaction of group-by-self-efficacy was not significant ($P = .93$). Subsequent post-hoc tests revealed that higher self-efficacy but not trimester (P 's $> .12$) was associated with lower stress reactivity in terms of perceived stress ($\beta = -.35$, $P = .007$, $\Delta R^2 = .13$), and anxiety ($\beta = -.44$, $P = .001$, $\Delta R^2 = .19$) and better mood ($\beta = .40$, $P = .002$, $\Delta R^2 = .16$). Interaction effects were not significant (P 's $> .67$) (Figure 2C, 2D, 2E).

Daily Uplifts. MANOVA analysis revealed a significant interaction effect of daily uplifts with trimester ($F_{(3,48)} = 2.81, P = .049, \eta_p^2 = .15$). Neither the main effect of daily uplifts ($P = .79$) nor the group effect were significant ($P = .35$). The interaction of trimester by daily uplifts predicted lower perceived stress of borderline significance ($\beta = -.245, P = .081, \Delta R^2 = .06$, Panel 3B) suggesting a moderation effect for daily uplifts on perceived stress in the trimester groups. To further analyze this significant interaction, we divided each trimester into tertiles of the daily uplift variable and compared the highest and the lowest tertile per trimester group. This procedure rendered two groups of 2nd trimester with lowest (2nd trimester daily uplifts low) and highest tertile levels of daily uplifts (2nd trimester daily uplifts high) and two groups of 3rd trimester again with lowest (3rd trimester daily uplifts low) and highest tertile levels of daily uplifts (3rd trimester daily uplifts high). Post hoc tests (with AUC of VAS as the dependent variable and the four trimester- by-daily uplift combinations as the independent variables) revealed that daily uplifts did not change perceived stress in 2nd trimester ($P = .54$) whereas in 3rd trimester high daily uplifts were associated with lower perceived stress, although not significantly ($P = .13$) (Figure 3B). None of the other main and interaction effects approached significance ($P > .22$).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the potential buffering effects of psychosocial resources of pregnant women on physiological and psychological stress responses. Up to now, studies in this field have mainly focused on the association of stress with negative health state and adverse health outcome. To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine stress-buffering effects on physiological and psychological responses in healthy pregnant women. Our results show that self-efficacy and daily uplifts appear to attenuate physiological and psychological stress responses.

The major aim of the present study was to identify the potential moderating effects of psychosocial resources on *physiological* stress response in pregnant women. The study was able to determine a buffering effect of self-efficacy on alpha-amylase and cortisol stress responses. Self-efficacy predicted the total of cortisol and alpha-amylase stress response in pregnant women and accounted for 5% of the variance of cortisol and 9% of the variance of alpha-amylase. These results

are in line with findings of studies with non-pregnant subjects, reporting inverse correlations of self-efficacy and cortisol, e.g. after treadmill walking (Butki, Rudolph, & Jacobsen, 2001) or mental tasks (Kelly, Hayslip, & Servaty, 1996). In addition, higher values of daily uplifts predicted lower levels of alpha-amylase stress response in pregnant women (explaining 11% of the variance). To sum up, these results indicate that psychosocial resources seem to act as a buffer on HPA and ANS stress responses, thereby protecting pregnant women under stress conditions and helping them to avoid maladaptive physiological responses.

Another aim of this study was to examine the predictive value of psychosocial resources on *psychological* stress responses. Our study revealed that higher levels of self-efficacy significantly predicted lower perceived stress, lower anxiety and higher mood levels in pregnant women, predicting 13% of the variance of perceived stress, 19% of the variance of anxiety and 16% of the variance of mood. Our results extend other findings from the small amount of existing research in pregnant women indicating that psychosocial resources appear to have a buffering effect on psychological stress responses (Allolio et al., 1990; Brugha et al., 1998; Orr, 2004; Robertson et al., 2004; Soet et al., 2003).

Although our data showed that higher self-efficacy was associated with lower psychological and physiological stress reactivity, we found neither significant group nor interaction effects. This is not in line with a recent longitudinal study, in which changes in the way of coping with stress during the course of pregnancy have been identified (Huizink, 2000). However, our analysis revealed a significant interaction of trimester by daily uplifts predicting lower perceived stress of borderline significance: Higher daily uplifts were associated with lower perceived stress in third trimester pregnancy, but not in second trimester pregnancy. Further research is needed to confirm whether indeed differences in moderating effects occur at different stages of pregnancy.

In summary, there seems to be a compelling need for studies focusing on ways of coping with stress during pregnancy by means of examining potential buffering influences on the stress response. In this first study to investigate moderating effects of psychosocial resources in a standardized study design, we found that self-efficacy and daily uplifts appear to attenuate psychological and physiological stress response. These findings might provide future research in this field with additional

impulses, integrating both previously examined and other potential moderating psychosocial resources into existing multidimensional models for explaining stress in pregnancy. As a consequence, our findings might provide essential basic information for the development of targeted early prevention programs for high-risk populations of pregnant women.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation. Grant no: 105311-101793/1 (UE, RZ).

REFERENCES

- Abrahamsson, A., Springett, J., Karlsson, L., Ottosson, T., 2005. Making sense of the challenge of smoking cessation during pregnancy: a phenomenographic approach. *Health Education Research* 20, 367-378.
- Allolio, B., Hoffmann, J., Linton, E. A., Winkelmann, W., Kusche, M., Schulte, H. M., 1990. Diurnal salivary cortisol patterns during pregnancy and after delivery: relationship to plasma corticotrophin-releasing-hormone. *Clinical Endocrinology (Oxf)* 33, 279-289.
- Altmaier, E. M., Russell, D. W., Kao, C. F., Lehmann, T. R., Weinstein, J. N., 1993. Role of self-efficacy in rehabilitation outcome among chronic low back pain patients. *Journal of Counseling Psychology* 40, 335-339.
- Avery, N. D., Wolfe, L. A., Amara, C. E., Davies, G. A., McGrath, M. J., 2001. Effects of human pregnancy on cardiac autonomic function above and below the ventilatory threshold. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 90, 321-328.
- Bandura, A., 1982. Self-efficacy mechanism in human agency. *American Psychologist*, 37, 122-147.
- Bandura, A., 1997. *Self-efficacy: the exercise of control*. New York: Freeman.
- Bandura, A., Cioffi, D., Taylor, C. B., Brouillard, M. E., 1988. Perceived self-efficacy in coping with cognitive stressors and opioid activation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 55, 479-488.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51(6), 1173-1182.
- Bratsikas, A., Mohiyeddini, C., Viecelli, R., Ehlert, U. (submitted). Das Zürcher Inventar zur psychosozialen Befindlichkeit in der Schwangerschaft, ZIPS (Zurich Inventory of Psychosocial Wellbeing in Pregnancy): Fragebogenentwicklung und Validierungsbefunde. in prep.
- Brugha, T. S., Sharp, H. M., Cooper, S. A., Weisender, C., Britto, D., Shinkwin, R., Sherrif, T., Kirwan, P.H., 1998. The Leicester 500 Project. Social support and the development of postnatal depressive symptoms, a prospective cohort survey. *Psychological Medicine*, 28, 63-79.

- Butki, B. D., Rudolph, D. L., Jacobsen, H., 2001. Self-efficacy, state anxiety, and cortisol responses to treadmill running. *Perceptual Motor Skills*, 92, 1129-1138.
- Callister, L. C., Vehvilainen-Julkunen, K., Lauri, S., 2001. Giving birth. Perceptions of Finnish childbearing women. *The American Journal of Maternal/Child Nursing*, 26, 28-32.
- Da Costa, D., Brender, W., Larouche, J., 1998. A prospective study of the impact of psychosocial and lifestyle variables on pregnancy complications. *Journal of Psychosomatic and Obstetric Gynecology*, 19, 28-37.
- DeVries, H., Backbier, E., 1994. Self-Efficacy as an Important Determinant of Quitting among Pregnant Women who Smoke. *Preventive Medicine*, 23, 167-174.
- Domes, G., Heinrichs, M., Reichwald, U., Hautzinger, M., 2002. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis reactivity to psychological stress and memory in middle-aged women: high responders exhibit enhanced declarative memory performance. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 27, 843-853.
- Edwards, J. R., Cooper, C. L., 1988. The impacts of positive psychological states on physical health: a review and theoretical framework. *Social Science and Medicine*, 27, 1447-1459.
- Erickson, K., Thorsen, P., Chrousos, G., Grigoriadis, D. E., Khongsaly, O., McGregor, J., Schulkin, J., 2001. Preterm birth: associated neuroendocrine, medical, and behavioral risk factors. *Journal of Clinical and Endocrinological Metabolism*, 86, 2544-2552.
- Franke, G., 1995. SCL90-R. Die Symptom Checkliste von Derogatis-Deutsche Version. Göttingen: Beltz Test.
- Gaab, J., Huster, D., Peisen, R., Engert, V., Heitz, V., Schad, T., Schurmeyer, T.H., Ehlert U., 2002. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis reactivity in chronic fatigue syndrome and health under psychological, physiological, and pharmacological stimulation. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 64, 951-962.
- Glynn, L. M., Wadhwa, P. D., Dunkel-Schetter, C., Chicz-Demet, A., Sandman, C. A., 2001. When stress happens matters: effects of earthquake timing on stress responsivity in pregnancy. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 184, 637-642.
- Goland, R. S., Jozak, S., Warren, W. B., Conwell, I. M., Stark, R. I., Tropper, P. J., 1993. Elevated levels of umbilical cord plasma corticotropin-releasing hormone in growth-retarded fetuses. *Journal of Clinical and Endocrinological Metabolism*, 77, 1174-1179.
- Hedegaard, M., Henriksen, T. B., Sabroe, S., Secher, N. J., 1993. Psychological distress in pregnancy and preterm delivery. *British Medical Journal*, 307, 234-239.
- Heim, C., Ehlert, U., Hellhammer, D. H., 2000. The potential role of hypocortisolism in the pathophysiology of stress-related bodily disorders. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 25, 1-35.
- Heinrichs, M., Meinlschmidt, G., Neumann, I., Wagner, S., Kirschbaum, C., Ehlert, U., Hellhammer, D.H., 2001. Effects of suckling on hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis responses to psychosocial stress in postpartum lactating women. *Journal of Clinical and Endocrinological Metabolism*, 86, 4798-4804.
- Hobel, C. J., Dunkel-Schetter, C., Roesch, S. C., Castro, L. C., Arora, C. P., 1999. Maternal plasma corticotropin-releasing hormone associated with stress at 20 weeks' gestation in pregnancies ending in preterm delivery. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 180, 257-263.
- Holzman, C., Jetton, J., Siler-Khodr, T., Fisher, R., Rip, T., 2001. Second trimester corticotropin-releasing hormone levels in relation to preterm delivery and ethnicity. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 97, 657-663.
- Huizink, A. C., 2000. Prenatal stress and its effect on infant development., Utrecht University, Utrecht.
- Huizink, A. C., Robles de Medina, P. G., Mulder, E. J., Visser, G. H., Buitelaar, J. K., 2003. Stress during pregnancy is associated with developmental outcome in infancy. *J Child Psychological Psychiatry*, 44, 810-818.
- Kanner, A. D., Coyne, J. C., Schaefer, C., Lazarus, R. S., 1981. Comparison of two modes of stress measurement: daily hassles and uplifts versus major life events. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 4, 1-39.
- Kelly, K. S., Hayslip, B., Jr., Servaty, H. L., 1996. Psychoneuroendocrinological indicators of stress and intellectual performance among older adults: an exploratory study. *Experimental Aging Research*, 22, 393-401.
- Killingsworth, C., Dunkel-Schetter, C., Wadhwa, P. D., Sandman, C. A., 1997. Personality, stress and pregnancy: predicting adverse birth outcomes. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 19, SO39.

- Kirschbaum, C., Hellhammer, D. H., 1994. Salivary cortisol in psychoneuroendocrine research: recent developments and applications. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 19, 313-333.
- Kirschbaum, C., Kudielka, B. M., Gaab, J., Schommer, N. C., Hellhammer, D. H., 1999. Impact of gender, menstrual cycle phase, and oral contraceptives on the activity of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 61(2), 154-162.
- Kirschbaum, C., Pirke, K. M., Hellhammer, D. H., 1993. The 'Trier Social Stress Test'--a tool for investigating psychobiological stress responses in a laboratory setting. *Neuropsychobiology*, 28, 76-81.
- Klatzkin, R.R., Morrow, A.L., Light, K.C., Pedersen, C.A., Girdler, S.S., 2006. Histories of depression, allopregnanolone responses to stress, and premenstrual symptoms in women. *Biological Psychology* 71, 2-11.
- Krampen, G., 1991. Fragebogen zu Kompetenz- und Kontrollüberzeugungen, FKK (Questionnaire of Competence and Control). Göttingen: Hogrefe Verlag für Psychologie.
- Laux, L., Glanzmann, P., Schaffner, P., Spielberger, C.D., 1981. Das State-Trait-Angstinventar. Theoretische Grundlagen und Handanweisungen. Weinheim: Beltz.
- Leonardson, G. R., Loudenburg, R., 2003. Risk factors for alcohol use during pregnancy in a multistate area. *Neurotoxicology Teratology*, 25, 651-658.
- Litt, M. D., 1988. Self-efficacy and perceived control: cognitive mediators of pain tolerance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54, 149-160.
- Loudenburg, R., Leonardson, G. R., 2003. A multifaceted intervention strategy for reducing substance use in high-risk women. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 25, 737-744.
- Mancuso, R. A., Schetter, C. D., Rini, C. M., Roesch, S. C., Hobel, C. J., 2004. Maternal prenatal anxiety and corticotropin-releasing hormone associated with timing of delivery. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 66, 762-769.
- Manning, M. M., Wright, T. L., 1983. Self-efficacy expectancies, outcome expectancies, and the persistence of pain control in childbirth. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 45, 421-431.
- Mastorakos, G., Ilias, I., 2003. Maternal and fetal hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axes during pregnancy and postpartum. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 997, 136-149.
- Nater, U. M., La Marca, R., Florin, L., Moses, A., Langhans, W., Koller, M. M., Ehlert, U., 2005. Stress-induced changes in human salivary alpha-amylase activity--associations with adrenergic activity. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*.
- Nierop, A., Bratsikas, A., Klinkenberg, A., Nater, U. M., Zimmermann, R., Ehlert, U., 2006. Prolonged salivary cortisol recovery in second-trimester pregnant women and attenuated salivary alpha-amylase responses to psychosocial stress in human pregnancy. *Journal of Clinical and Endocrinological Metabolism*, 91, 1329-1335.
- O'Connor, T. G., Ben-Shlomo, Y., Heron, J., Golding, J., Adams, D., Glover, V., 2005. Prenatal anxiety predicts individual differences in cortisol in pre-adolescent children. *Biological Psychiatry*, 58, 211-217.
- Orr, S. T., 2004. Social support and pregnancy outcome: a review of the literature. *Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 47, 842-855; discussion 881-842.
- Paarlberg, K. M., Vingerhoets, A. J., Passchier, J., Dekker, G. A., Heinen, A. G., van Geijn, H. P., 1999. Psychosocial predictors of low birthweight: a prospective study. *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 106, 834-841.
- Petraglia, F., Hatch, M. C., Lapinski, R., Stomati, M., Reis, F. M., Cobellis, L., Berkowitz, G.S., 2001. Lack of effect of psychosocial stress on maternal corticotropin-releasing factor and catecholamine levels at 28 weeks' gestation. *Journal of the Society for Gynecology Investigation*, 8, 83-88.
- Pruessner, J. C., Kirschbaum, C., Meinschmid, G., Hellhammer, D. H., 2003. Two formulas for computation of the area under the curve represent measures of total hormone concentration versus time-dependent change. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 28, 916-931.
- Rini, C. K., Dunkel-Schetter, C., Wadhwa, P. D., Sandman, C. A., 1999. Psychological adaptation and birth outcomes: the role of personal resources, stress, and sociocultural context in pregnancy. *Health Psychology*, 18, 333-345.
- Robertson, E., Grace, S., Wallington, T., Stewart, D. E., 2004. Antenatal risk factors for postpartum depression: a synthesis of recent literature. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 26, 289-295.

- Rohleder, N., Nater, U. M., Wolf, J. M., Ehlert, U., Kirschbaum, C., 2004. Psychosocial stress-induced activation of salivary alpha-amylase: an indicator of sympathetic activity? *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1032, 258-263.
- Schulz, P., Schlotz, W., Becker, P., 2004. Das Trierer Inventar zur Erfassung von chronischem Stress (Trier Inventory for the Assessment of Chronic Stress). Göttingen: Hogrefe.
- Soet, J. E., Brack, G. A., DiIorio, C., 2003. Prevalence and predictors of women's experience of psychological trauma during childbirth. *Birth*, 30, 36-46.
- Steyer, R., Schwenkmezger, P., Notz, P., Eid, M., 1997. Der Mehrdimensionale Befindlichkeitsfragebogen, MDBF (Mood Questionnaire): Handanweisung. Göttingen: Hogrefe.
- Twisk, J. W., Snel, J., de Vente, W., Kemper, H. C., van Mechelen, W., 2000. Positive and negative life events: the relationship with coronary heart disease risk factors in young adults. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 49, 35-42.
- Urizar, G. G., Jr., Milazzo, M., Le, H. N., Delucchi, K., Sotelo, R., Munoz, R. F., 2004. Impact of stress reduction instructions on stress and cortisol levels during pregnancy. *Biological Psychology*, 67, 275-282.
- Van den Bergh, B. R., Mulder, E. J., Mennes, M., Glover, V., 2005. Antenatal maternal anxiety and stress and the neurobehavioural development of the fetus and child: links and possible mechanisms. A review. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 29, 237-258.
- Vining, R. F., McGinley, R. A., 1987. The measurement of hormones in saliva: possibilities and pitfalls. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry*, 27, 81-94.
- Wadhwa, P. D., Culhane, J. F., Rauh, V., Barve, S. S., Hogan, V., Sandman, C. A., Hobel, C.J., Chics-Demet, A., Dunkel-Schetter, C., Garite, T.J., Glynn, L., 2001. Stress, infection and preterm birth: a biobehavioural perspective. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*, 15 Suppl 2, 17-29.
- Wadhwa, P. D., Sandman, C. A., Porto, M., Dunkel-Schetter, C., Garite, T. J., 1993. The association between prenatal stress and infant birth weight and gestational age at birth: a prospective investigation. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 169, 858-865.
- Wang, L., Zhang, W., & Zhao, Y., 1999. The study of maternal and fetal plasma catecholamines levels during pregnancy and delivery. *Journal of Perinatal Medicine*, 27, 195-198.
- WHO, 1990. Composite Diagnostic Interview (CIDI): World Health Organization: Geneva.
- Wiedefeld, S. A., O'Leary, A., Bandura, A., Brown, S., Levine, S., Raska, K., 1990. Impact of perceived self-efficacy in coping with stressors on components of the immune system. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 59, 1082-1094.
- Wirtz, P. H., Ehlert, U., Emini, L., Rudisuli, K., Groessbauer, S., Mausbach, B. T., et al. (2006). The role of stress hormones in the relationship between resting blood pressure and coagulation activity. *Journal of Hypertension*, 24,(12), 2409 - 2416.
- Wittchen, H.-U., Pfister, H., 1997. DIA-X-Interviews: Manuel für Screeningverfahren und Interview: Swets & Zetlinger: Frankfurt.
- Wittchen, H.-U., W., U., Gruschwitz, S., Zaudig, M., 1996. Strukturiertes Klinisches Interview für DSM-IV (SKID II). Göttingen: Beltz-Test.
- Young, E. A., Lopez, J. F., Murphy-Weinberg, V., Watson, S. J., Akil, H., 2000. Hormonal evidence for altered responsiveness to social stress in major depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 23, 411-418.