**University of
Zurich^{UZH}**

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2012

Chronic cervical spinal cord injury: DTI correlates with clinical and electrophysiological measures

Petersen, J A ; Wilm, B J ; von Meyenburg, J ; Schubert, M ; Seifert, Burkhardt ; Najafi, Y ; Dietz, V ;
Kollias, S

Abstract: Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) is rarely applied in spinal cord injury (SCI). The aim of this study was to correlate diffusion properties after SCI with electrophysiological and neurological measures. Nineteen traumatic cervical SCI subjects and 28 age-matched healthy subjects participated in this study. DTI data of the spinal cord were acquired with a Philips Achieva 3 T MR scanner using an outer volume suppressed, reduced field of view (FOV) acquisition with oblique slice excitation and a single-shot EPI readout. Neurological and electrophysiological measures, American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) impairment scale scores, and motor (MEP) and somatosensory evoked potentials (SSEP) were assessed in SCI subjects. Fractional anisotropy (FA) values were decreased in the SCI subjects compared to the healthy subjects. In upper cervical segments, the decrease in FA was significant for the evaluation of the entire cross-sectional area of the spinal cord, and for corticospinal and sensory tracts. A decreasing trend was also found at the thoracic level for the corticospinal tracts. The decrease of DTI values correlated with the clinical completeness of SCI, and with SSEP amplitudes. The reduced DTI values seen in the SCI subjects are likely due to demyelination and axonal degeneration of spinal tracts, which are related to clinical and electrophysiological measures. A reduction in DTI values in regions remote from the injury site suggests their involvement with wallerian axonal degeneration. DTI can be used for the quantitative evaluation of the extent of spinal cord damage, and eventually to monitor the effects of future regeneration-inducing treatments.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2011.2027>

Other titles: Chronic cervical spinal cord injury: DTMRI correlates with clinical and electrophysiological measures

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-54504>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Petersen, J A ; Wilm, B J ; von Meyenburg, J ; Schubert, M ; Seifert, Burkhardt ; Najafi, Y ; Dietz, V ; Kollias, S (2012). Chronic cervical spinal cord injury: DTI correlates with clinical and electrophysiological measures. *Journal of Neurotrauma*, 29(8):1556-1566.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2011.2027>

Chronic cervical spinal cord injury: DTMRI correlates with clinical and electrophysiological measures

Jens A. Petersen, MD^{a,d}; Bertram J. Wilm, PhD^{b,c}; Jan von Meyenburg, MD^b; Martin Schubert, MD^d; Burkhardt Seifert, PhD^e; Yousef Najafi, MD^b; Volker Dietz, MD^d; Spyridon Kollias, MD^b

^a Dept. of Neurology, University Hospital Zurich, Frauenklinikstrasse 26, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland

^b Institute of Neuroradiology, University Hospital Zurich, Raemistrasse 100, 8091 Zürich, Switzerland

^c Institute for Biomedical Engineering, University & ETH Zurich, Gloriastrasse 35, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland

^d Spinal cord Injury Center, University Hospital Balgrist, Forchstrasse 340, 8008 Zurich, Switzerland

^e Division of Biostatistics, Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Zurich, Hirschengraben 84, 8001 Zurich, Switzerland

Running title: SCI: Correl dtMRI clin neurophys

Corresponding author:

Jens A. Petersen, MD

Dept. of Neurology

University Hospital Zurich

Frauenklinikstrasse 26

8091 Zurich

Switzerland

+41 44 255 1111

jens.petersen@usz.ch

Bertram J. Wilm, PhD
 Institute for Biomedical Engineering
 University & ETH Zurich
 Gloriastrasse 35
 8092 Zurich
 Switzerland
 +41 44 632 53 25
 wilm@biomed.ee.ethz.ch

Jan von Meyenburg, MD
 Institute of Neuroradiology
 University Hospital Zurich
 Raemistrasse 100
 8091 Zürich
 Switzerland
 +41 44 255 1111
 janvonmeyenburg@bluewin.ch

Martin Schubert, MD
 Spinal cord Injury Center
 University Hospital Balgrist
 Forchstrasse 340
 8008 Zurich
 Switzerland
 +41 44 386 1111
 martin.schubert@balgrist.ch

Burkhardt Seifert, PhD
 Division of Biostatistics
 Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine
 Hirschengraben 84
 8001 Zurich
 Switzerland
 +41 44 634 46 44
 seifert@ifspm.uzh.ch

Yousef Najafi, MD
 Institute of Neuroradiology
 University Hospital Zurich
 Raemistrasse 100
 8091 Zurich
 Switzerland
 +41 44 255 1111
 yousef.najafi@usz.ch

Volker Dietz, MD
 Spinal cord Injury Center
 University Hospital Balgrist
 Forchstrasse 340
 8008 Zurich
 Switzerland
 +41 44 286 1111
 vdietz@paralab.balgrist.ch

Spyros Kollias, MD
Institute of Neuroradiology
University Hospital Zurich
Raemistrasse 100
8091 Zurich
Switzerland
+41 44 255 1111
kollias@dmr.usz.ch

Abstract

Diffusion tensor MR imaging (DTI) is rarely applied in spinal cord injury (SCI). The aim of this study was to correlate diffusion properties after SCI with electrophysiological and neurological measures. 19 traumatic cervical SCI subjects and 28 age-matched healthy subjects participated in this study. DTI data of the spinal cord were acquired on a Philips Achieva 3 T MR scanner using an outer volume suppressed, reduced field of view (FOV) acquisition with oblique slice excitation and a single-shot EPI readout. Neurological and electrophysiological measures (ASIA impairment scale, motor (MEP) and somatosensory evoked potentials (SSEP)) were assessed in SCI subjects. Fractional Anisotropy (FA) values decreased in the SCI subjects compared to the healthy subjects. In upper cervical segments, the decrease in FA was significant for the evaluation of the entire cross sectional area of the spinal cord and for corticospinal and sensory tracts. A decreasing trend was also found at the thoracic level for the corticospinal tracts. The decrease of DTI values correlated with the clinical completeness of SCI and with SSEP amplitudes. The reduced DTI values in the SCI subjects are likely to be due to demyelination and axonal degeneration of spinal tracts, which are related to clinical and electrophysiological measures. A reduction of DTI values in regions remote from the injury site suggests their involvement with Wallerian axonal degeneration. DTI can be used for a quantitative evaluation of the extent of spinal cord damage and, eventually, to monitor the effects of future regeneration inducing treatments.

Keywords: Assessment Tools, in vivo studies, MRI, Traumatic spinal cord injury

Introduction

Conventional MRI is a clinical modality routinely used in the diagnosis of spinal cord injury (SCI). However, since axonal integrity cannot be displayed there is no quantitative correlation with the neurological deficit. Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) provides a 3-dimensional model of water diffusion (Hagmann, Jonasson et al. 2006). The application of DTI to the human spinal cord is technically challenging due to the small cross-sectional area of the spinal cord, cardiac and respiratory motion and strongly varying magnetic susceptibility (Agosta, Benedetti et al. 2005; Demir, Ries et al. 2003; Elshafiey, Bilgen et al. 2002). Recent developments in MR pulse sequence design have greatly reduced these problems (Thurnher and Law 2009; Wilm, Gamper et al. 2009; Wilm, Svensson et al. 2007). Clinical findings correlate with DTI metrics of the injured spinal cord (Budzik, Balbi et al. 2011; Chang, Jung et al. 2010; Kim, Loy et al. 2010; Qian, Chan et al. 2011). Few studies have explored the relationship between structural integrity, evaluated using DTI, and physiological function of the human spinal cord (Ellingson, Kurpad et al. 2008; Kerkovsky, Bednarik et al. 2011; Qian, Chan et al. 2011). However, to prove the validity of a technique that may be used to monitor the effects of new therapeutic interventions it is crucial to firstly prove its potential to reflect the functionality of the evaluated structures. The aim of our study was to characterize diffusion properties across the spinal cord and to correlate the data with clinical and electrophysiological measures. Our hypotheses were that: 1.) atrophy and a drop in DTI measures will be found remote and particularly *distal* from the spinal lesion level with respect to axon localization; 2.) the regional local and remote loss of directional structure correlates with clinical and neurophysiological parameters and allows a linkage between

function and structure for the application of DTI in spinal lesions, even those remote from the site of injury; 3.) the distribution of DTI changes remote from the lesion site will follow the neuroanatomical distribution of severity of the damaged tracts as can be assessed by clinical / neurophysiological parameters.

METHODS

Subjects

All procedures complied with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Zurich University. 19 traumatic SCI subjects (level of lesion C3 to C8, mean age 59.7 years, time since injury 2 months to 8 years, mean 32 months) and 28 healthy subjects (mean age 58 years) participated in this study. Control subjects had no history of neurological illness. 14 SCI subjects had vertebral fixation, which substantially deteriorated image quality. Therefore, only DTI measurements above and below the level of lesion were used. Subjects with SCI and healthy subjects were age- and sex-matched. Table 1 shows the characteristics of chronic SCI subjects.

MR imaging

MRI of the spinal cord was performed on a 3-T Philips Achieva (Philips Healthcare, Best, the Netherlands) system. No upgrade of the MR system or other changes in the software and hardware of the MR system were undertaken during the study. For image acquisition, a dedicate spine coil array with 6 coil elements along the spinal cord was used. The standardized imaging protocol included: a) sagittal T2-weighted images (TR = 3352 ms, TE = 120 ms, flip angle = 90°, field-of-view (FOV) = 270 x 259 mm², slice thickness = 3 mm, number of slices = 11) along the entire spinal cord (Fig. 1); b) DTI data was obtained at the cervical (~C2, ~C5) and thoracic (~T5) levels, as well as at the Lumbar enlargement (LE) of the spinal cord (Fig. 1). To account for the relatively large slice thickness, the imaging stack was carefully aligned perpendicular to the spinal cord to avoid sub-voxel effects. The scan time

for each level was approximately 10 minutes. In each region six transverse slices were acquired using a DW single-shot spin-echo EPI sequence on a reduced FOV (Wilm, Gamper et al. 2009; Wilm, Svensson et al. 2007) (NEX = 6/12 for $b = 0/b = 750 \text{ s/mm}^2$, acquisition matrix = 176×44 , FOV = $120 \times 30 \text{ mm}^2$, TR = 4000 ms, TE = 49 ms, 60% partial-Fourier acquisition, slice thickness = 5 mm, in-plane resolution = 0.7 mm^2). After image co-registration FA and mean apparent diffusion coefficients were calculated (Fig. 2); c) thereafter sagittal T1-weighted gadolinium enhanced images (TR/TE = 414/8 ms, flip angle = 90° , FOV = $260 \times 260 \text{ mm}^2$, slice thickness = 3 mm, number of slices = 11) were performed.

Image analysis

The investigator was blinded to the clinical and electrophysiological status of the SCI subjects before analysis. To correct for bulk motion and eddy-current distortions, the data was co-registered using the scanner systems standard co-registration method. Signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR) was calculated and used as a threshold. Images with SNR (Signal to noise ratio) <20 were excluded, as were those in which grey and white matter of the spinal cord were indistinguishable owing to poor image quality. The aim of the study was to assess normal appearing white matter representing alterations that cannot be demonstrated on conventional T2-weighted imaging. The sagittal T2-weighted images were screened for presence of focal T2 hyperintense lesions, diffuse signal abnormality, atrophy and spinal cord or nerve root compression. From the DTI data sets the transverse T2 ($b = 0$) maps were screened for lesions. Subsequently, diffusion tensor maps were calculated. ADC and FA maps were calculated using the standard DTI software of the scanner system. The cross sectional area (mm^2) was evaluated using the ADC images, where the contrast

between CSF and spinal cord is best. Measurements of FA- and ADC values (transverse ADC) were performed in several regions of interest (ROI's) in the cross-sectional area of the spinal cord (corticospinal tracts [CSTs] including the crossed pyramidal tract and dorsal tracts including fasciculus cuneatus and gracilis) as shown in Fig 3. The size of the ROI's was identical at the cervical level and the lumbar enlargement (LE). Smaller ROIs were chosen at the thoracic level to take the reduced cross sectional area of the thoracic spinal cord into account.

Neurological scoring

The neurological level of injury was determined by standard neurological classification of spinal injury according to American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA), which was then used to define the lesion site and completeness of injury according to ASIA impairment scale (AIS A= motor and sensory complete; AIS B = motor complete and sensory incomplete; AIS C and D = motor and sensory incomplete) (Marino, Barros et al. 2003). Five key leg and arm muscles were manually tested (0=total paralysis, 1=palpable or visible contraction, 2=active movement with gravity eliminated, 3=active movement, against gravity, 4=active movement, against some resistance, 5=active movement, against full resistance). Each of these muscles represents a spinal segment (elbow flexors, C5; wrist extensors, C6; Elbow extensors, C7; Finger flexors, C8; Finger abductors, T1; hip flexors, L2; knee extensors, L3; ankle dorsiflexors, L4; long toe extensors, L5; ankle plantar flexors, S1). Light touch and pin prick examinations of dermatomes C2 and below were performed down to the sacral segments (S4/5).

Electrophysiological measures

In the 18 SCI subjects (Table 1), sensory evoked potentials (SSEP) were recorded by electrical stimulation of the posterior tibial nerve at the medial malleolus and of the ulnar nerve at the wrist (square wave of 0.2 msec duration applied at 3 Hz; cathode placed 2–3 cm proximal to the anode). The stimulus intensity was adjusted to produce a visible muscle response or up to a maximal intensity of 40 mA. Electrodes were attached to the skin over the popliteal fossa, the ERB point and the nape (level C2) to test transmission of the stimulus along the peripheral nerve and spinal cord. Scalp electrodes were positioned at Cz_-Fz according to the international 10/20 electrode system. The electrode impedance was maintained below 5 k ohms. The peaks of N8, N10, N20, P25, P40 and N50 were used to determine the latency and amplitude of the response. Two sets of 300 responses were averaged and superimposed to ensure consistency.

In 12 of the SCI subjects (Table 2), Motor evoked potentials (MEP) were recorded after applying single pulse transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) with a double cone coil at the vertex using a MagStim 200² magnetic stimulator. The hot spot (defined as the point from which stimuli triggered MEPs of maximal amplitude and minimal latency) for stimulation of the anterior tibial muscle (TA) was determined starting at the vertex and gradually by moving the coil rostral and contralateral to optimize coil position for best size of the MEP amplitude. The same procedure was used for the abductor digiti minimi muscle of the hand (ADM), but with a different starting point, 4 cm rostral and 3cm contralateral to Cz. The duration of the biphasic transcranial single pulse stimuli was 50 μ sec. The sample frequency was 2000 Hz, and a band-pass filter was set at 30 Hz to 1 kHz. TMS threshold to evoke a MEP was

determined during slight and steady voluntary muscle pre-activation at approximately 10% of maximal voluntary muscle contraction. MEP onset latencies and the baseline to peak amplitudes were determined with the same steady preactivation of 10% maximum voluntary muscle contraction.

Statistics

ROIs from the patients were compared with corresponding ROIs from the healthy subjects to assess differences in DTI parameters. Groups were compared using the Mann-Whitney-test based on independent subject-based observations. P-values ≤ 0.05 were considered as statistically significant. Nonparametric Spearman rank correlations were calculated between ROI-based age-FA/ADC, clinical and electrophysiological parameters-FA/ADC. To quantify the proportion of inter-group variability (SCI / healthy subjects) in diffusivity measures, variance components were estimated in a random three-way analysis of variance with factors, subject, ROI and group at each level.

RESULTS

Table 2 summarizes ADC and FA values of four spinal levels (C2, C5, T5 and LE) among the control and SCI subjects. Due to small subject numbers, left and right-side diffusion measures, as well as electrophysiological and clinical data were accumulated.

Image quality.

Some images were excluded due to low SNR or because grey and white matter of the spinal cord were indistinguishable (Table 1). At level C5, this was the case for all SCI subjects with vertebral fixation. For level C2, the 4 youngest SCI subjects were excluded, so that the SCI group was sex- and age-matched with the control group. In the control group, the number of subjects included per level was 12 (level C2 / C5), 16 (T5) and 14 (LE). In the SCI group, the number of subjects included was 12 (C2), 4 (C5), 14 (T5) and 13 (LE) (Table 2).

T2 findings

Focal T2 hyperintense lesions, diffuse signal abnormality, atrophy and spinal cord or nerve root compression were not found in any of the SCI subjects on T2 MRI images at levels C2, T5 or L5 (Table 1). All SCI subjects without vertebral fixation showed signs of myelomalacia at level C5 (Table 1).

DTI metrics of the control group.

Significant differences were observed in the FA values of corticospinal, the dorsal tracts and the whole cross section of the spinal cord between C2 and C5

(corticospinal tracts: $p=0.001$; dorsal tracts: $p=0.02$; whole cross section: $p=0.028$), between C2 and T5 ($p<0.001$; $p<0.001$; $p<0.001$), between C2 and LE ($p<0.001$), between C5 and T5 ($p=0.005$; $p=0.006$; $p<0.001$), C5 and LE ($p<0.001$; $p<0.001$; $p<0.001$) and between T5 and LE ($p=0.005$; $p=0.01$; $p<0.001$). FA values were highest in the upper cervical segments and lowest in the conus medullaris (table 2) for the whole cross section (C2 0.66 ± 0.03 ; LE 0.57 ± 0.05) and for the CSTs (C2 0.75 ± 0.04 ; LE 0.63 ± 0.05). FA values were highest in the upper cervical segments and lowest at T5 for the dorsal tracts (C2 0.76 ± 0.02 ; T5 0.69 ± 0.06 ; LE 0.7 ± 0.06). There was no difference between the left-side and right-side FA values of corticospinal and dorsal tracts.

ADC values differed between levels C2 and C5 (dorsal tracts, $p=0.045$), between C2 and LE (whole cross section, $p=0.001$) and between T5 and LE ($p=0.012$). ADC was highest in the upper cervical segments ($0.87 \pm 0.04 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$) and lowest at C5 ($0.82 \pm 0.07 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$) for the dorsal tracts (Table 2), highest at the LE ($0.92 \pm 0.07 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$) and lowest at C5 ($0.85 \pm 0.07 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$) for the whole cross section, and highest at the LE ($0.88 \pm 0.10 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$) and lowest at C5 ($0.82 \pm 0.09 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$) for the CSTs.

In the non-accumulated data, ADC values of the left and right dorsal tracts differed at levels C2 ($p=0.005$). ADC values of left-side and right-side CSTs differed at level C5 ($p<0.005$).

Spearman rank correlation revealed a significant impact of age on FA (whole cross section, $r=0.309$, $p=0.003$; CSTs right, $r=0.323$, $p=0.002$; CSTs left, $r=0.272$, $p=0.011$; dorsal tracts right, $r=0.464$, $p<0.001$; dorsal tracts left, $r=0.489$, $p<0.001$). There was no significant impact on ADC values.

DTI metrics of the SCI subjects group

In SCI subjects, FA of all four spinal levels was lower than in the control group at the whole cross section ($p=0.008$) and at the CSTs ($p=0.019$). Compared to age- and sex-matched control subjects, FA decreases or a decreasing FA tendency were also found when all four spinal levels were analyzed separately (Table 2, Fig. 5). At level C2, the decrease was statistically significant for the cross sectional area ($p=0.001$), as well as for corticospinal ($p=0.002$) and sensory tracts ($p=0.004$). At level C5, the decrease was statistically significant for the whole cross section ($p=0.05$). At level T5, there was a decreasing trend at the CSTs ($p=0.058$). Similar to control subjects, FA was highest in the upper cervical segments and lowest at the LE (table 2).

In the CSTs of SCI subjects, the tendency was towards lower ADC values at level C2 ($p=0.052$) and higher ADC values at level T5 ($p=0.088$, Table 2).

At level C2, the inter-group-differences (SCI subjects / control subjects) account for 44% of the variability of the FA measures compared to other factors. At level C5, it was only 4% while at level T5 and at the LE, other factors, such as inter-subject-differences, account for most of the variability.

The surface area of the injured spinal cord was significantly decreased at all spinal levels (Table 3).

Diffusion measures and evoked potentials

In SCI subjects, mean ulnar SSEP N20 was 23.05 ± 2.09 ms with a mean amplitude of 1.35 ± 0.95 μ V. Mean tibial SSEP P40 was 46.85 ± 5.05 ms with a mean amplitude of 0.79 ± 0.83 μ V (see also Tab. 4). Mean abductor digiti minimi MEP latency was 24.23 ± 6.97 ms with an amplitude of 1.31 ± 1.29 mV. Mean tibialis anterior MEP latency was 34.21 ms with an amplitude of 0.63 ± 0.81 mV.

Amplitudes (P40) of the tibial SSEP (Table 4) correlated with FA measures of the dorsal tracts of all levels taken together (Spearman rank correlation $r=0.46$, $p<0.001$, Fig. 4) and inversely with ADC measures of the dorsal tracts of all levels taken together ($r=-0.26$, $p<0.034$), while ulnar SSEP (Table 4) did not correlate.

Level C2

Amplitudes (P40) of the tibial SSEP correlated with FA measures of the whole cross section ($r=0.7$, $p<0.001$) and with the dorsal tracts ($r=0.53$, $p<0.007$, Fig. 4), while ulnar SSEP did not correlate. Tibial SSEP latencies did not correlate with FA measures. ADC measures did not correlate with ulnar or tibial SSEP measures. Neither MEP latencies nor amplitudes correlated with FA measures.

Level C5

No significant correlations were found for diffusion measures with evoked potential amplitudes or latencies.

Level T5

No significant correlations were found for diffusion measures with evoked potentials.

Lumbar enlargement (LE)

Amplitudes (P40) of the tibial SSEP correlated with FA ($r=0.99$, $p<0.001$) and ADC ($r=-0.7$, $p=0.003$) measures of the dorsal tracts. SSEP latencies and MEP did not correlate with diffusion measures.

Diffusion measures and clinical outcome

FA measures correlated with the AIS impairment scale scores along the whole cross section of the spinal cord ($r=0.27$, $p=0.006$), the CSTs ($r=0.32$, $p<0.001$, Fig. 4) and at all levels of the dorsal tracts ($r=0.29$, $p=0.001$). ADC values did not correlate with the AIS. At level C2, FA measures correlated with the AIS score regarding the whole

cross section ($r=0.64$, $p=0.001$, Fig. 4), corticospinal ($r=0.5$, $p=0.002$, Fig. 4) and dorsal tracts ($r=0.41$, $p=0.013$). ADC values did not correlate with the AIS. At level C5, FA measures correlated with the AIS score along the whole cross section ($r=0.57$, $p=0.012$) and the CSTs ($r=0.45$, $p=0.046$). T5, FA measures significantly correlated with the AIS score regarding the CSTs ($r=0.44$, $p=0.003$, Fig. 4) and the dorsal tracts ($r=0.448$, $p=0.002$); ADC values did not correlate with the AIS. At the LE, FA and ADC measures did not correlate with the AIS.

DISCUSSION

Tissue characteristics

Diffusion characteristics in SCI subjects and healthy controls differed in spinal cord regions remote from the injury site. This is in line with earlier SCI observations (Deo, Grill et al. 2006; Ellingson, Ulmer et al. 2008; Ford, Hackney et al. 1994; Fraidakis, Klason et al. 1998; Nevo, Hauben et al. 2001; Shanmuganathan, Gullapalli et al. 2008). Decreased FA values at the cervical level of the SCI subjects were within the range of previous publications (Chang, Jung et al. 2010; Kerkovsky, Bednarik et al. 2011). The FA loss in SCI subjects might be linked to a reduced number of fibers linked to increased diffusivity in the extracellular space (Facon, Ozanne et al. 2005) and is likely to reflect anterograde and retrograde Wallerian degeneration. Retrograde Wallerian degeneration has been described only rarely in the human CNS (Bronson, Gilles et al. 1978; Yamamoto, Yamasaki et al. 1989). It results in a loss of diffusion anisotropy (Batchelor, Atkinson et al. 2003) and is reported in the cranial CST after cervical SCI (Guleria, Gupta et al. 2008).

The probability of direct tissue injury induced by SCI at level C2 cannot be excluded but does not seem probable because there were no signs of pathological changes at C2 level on T2 MRI images in our subjects and no clinical indication of a C2 deficit (Table 1).

In SCI subjects, at level C5, a significant difference was only found in FA for the whole cross section and a trend in the sensory tracts. The fact that no significant difference was found in the CSTs might be because this group consisted of only four

SCI subjects, three of whom had minor trauma (AIS D) with signs of central and / or dorsal myelomalacia on T2 MRI images not necessarily affecting the CSTs (Table 1).

Previous studies have shown that FA values are of higher sensitivity and specificity than ADC values in patients with spinal cord compression (Facon, Ozanne et al. 2005) and spinal cord injury (Chang, Jung et al. 2010). Accordingly, in degenerated sciatic frog nerve and in human brain, a loss of anisotropy is noted but average diffusivity may remain unchanged owing to an accumulation of cellular debris from a breakdown of the longitudinal axonal structure, while glial proliferation may hinder water movement in a parallel direction (Beaulieu, Does et al. 1996; Werring, Toosy et al. 2000). ADC maps may not, therefore, be able to distinguish between normal and degenerated cord in cases of severe neuropathology (Aota, Niwa et al. 2008).

The reduction in cross-sectional area in SCI compared with healthy subjects suggests atrophy (Freund, Weiskopf et al. 2011) in regions remote from the injury site owing to subsequent axonal degeneration and dieback (Potter and Saifuddin 2003). Spinal cord atrophy is seen in patients with a long history (more than 2 years) of post-traumatic myelopathy (Curati, Kingsley et al. 1992) and is the most common spinal cord abnormality (prevalence of 62%) seen in patients imaged more than 20 years after injury (Wang, Bodley et al. 1996).

Relationship to clinical and electrophysiological measures

SSEP and MEP are regarded as an objective measure of the functional integrity of spinal pathways (Chabot, York et al. 1985; Curt, Keck et al. 1998; Steeves, Lammertse et al. 2007). The morphometry and permeability of the axonal membrane to water are intimately tied to both MR diffusion measurements (Ford, Hackney et al.

1998; Schwartz, Cooper et al. 2005) and neuronal excitability (Clamann and Henneman 1976; Clay 2005; Freeman 1978; Mirolli and Talbott 1972). It is therefore reasonable to assume that quantitative changes in DTI measurements following injury quantitatively reflect structural damage of the spinal tracts, which may be expected to affect SSEP and MEP parameters accordingly. A loss of amplitude would be expected for SEP and MEP measurements in cases of axonal damage while demyelinating diseases would be more likely to entail a reduced conduction velocity and thus increased SEP or MEP latencies. Our results demonstrate a selective relationship between tibial SSEP amplitude and diffusion measures of the dorsal tracts. The parallel alterations in both SSEP amplitudes and DTI measures suggest significant axonal disruption in SCI. This is in line with a study that reveals a high correlation of SSEP and DTI measures of the dorsal columns in rats (Ellingson, Kurpad et al. 2008). Ulnar SSEP amplitudes did not correlate with diffusion measures: this might be because the region-of-interest setting covers more the medial part of the dorsal tracts, while fibers from cervical levels are located more laterally and were therefore not perfectly matched by the respective ROI.

MEP did not correlate with diffusion measures. This is probably a consequence of the well-known variability of MEP amplitudes. Theoretically, the size of a MEP should reflect the number of conducting central motor neurons, but this relation is obscure. MEPs are usually smaller than compound motor action potentials elicited by peripheral nerve stimulation, and their size varies from one stimulus to the next as well as between subjects owing to a varying degree of facilitation (Hess, Mills et al. 1987; Kiers, Cros et al. 1993). Furthermore, varying synchronization of the descending action potentials causes MEP amplitude variation. The resulting phase

cancellation phenomenon (Magistris, Rosler et al. 1998) impedes straightforward conclusions on the number of activated motor neurons and is probably responsible for a lack of correlation in the present comparison of DTI with MEP amplitudes.

Regarding clinical scores, the decrease of the FA correlated with the completeness of injury reflected by the AIS scale (i.e., lowest FA values were found in sensorimotor complete SCI patients). This has already been shown for cervical (Cohen-Adad, El Mendili et al. 2011) but not for thoracic and lumbar FA metrics in cervical SCI subjects. In previous studies, FA also correlated with clinical measures in spondylotic myelopathy (Budzik, Balbi et al. 2011) and FA but not ADC metrics were correlated with motor function in SCI subjects (Chang, Jung et al. 2010). In patients with poor clinical scores, FA metrics are decreased in cases of spinal cord arteriovenous but the ADC values are variable (Ozanne, Krings et al. 2007). FA also correlates with disability scales in neuromyelitis optica (Qian, Chan et al. 2011). FA DTI measures may be useful to quantify the degree of neural damage as a prognostic factor and to monitor the effects of future regeneration inducing treatments.

Clinical relevance

The structural changes underlying motor recovery in SCI are not yet fully understood. A pure imaging approach would not be sufficient to prove functional significance of preserved or re-establishing directional organization of tissue. By combining the application of clinical, electrophysiological and imaging approaches the functional and structural contributions to the recovery of function after an SCI can be determined. However, before a quantitative evaluation of the combined methods can

be applied there must be proof of feasibility and validity. The ultimate goal would be to relate changes over time in DTI and neurophysiology. This would be an approach that allows us to assess and localize both spontaneous and induced regeneration following SCI. This is important to evaluate the effects of new treatments that target different pathophysiological mechanisms after injury, such as inflammation, scarring, enhancing neuroplasticity or the disinhibition of regeneration. With such an evaluation the effect of an optimal combination of treatments could also be reliably assessed.

Study limitations

This study enrolled DTI examinations from 2 months to 8 years after SCI. As Wallerian degeneration results in progressive decline of FA values along white matter tracts, this variation of time points may affect the accuracy of the results. The study would have benefited from a larger SCI population size and especially from patients without a metal implant at the lesion site. In other SCI studies (Ellingson et al., 2008; Shanmuganatham et al., 2008), subject groups were not age- and sex-matched although diffusivity metrics are clearly age-dependent (Mamata et al., 2005). This aspect was taken into account in this study.

Further studies are needed to discover whether the lesion extent displayed by DTI correlates with histopathological findings. The fine in-plane resolution makes it possible to measure alterations of ultrastructural tissue such as the motor and sensory tracts. Despite careful planning of the scan geometry and ROI placement, sub-voxel effects may degrade the achievable accuracy of the quantification. A further increase in image resolution is expected to improve the correlation with functional data. Detailed regional histological analysis at different time points after

SCI to determine the state of myelin and neurofilaments is required but unfeasible in humans.

Owing to the short single-shot readout duration the employed DTI sequence is inherently robust against motion artefacts (motion ghosting). Thus, imaging proved to be robust against distortions and SNR-dropout in most patients. However, we cannot exclude that movement artefacts may have contributed to within- and between-subject variations during MRI scanning.

In this study motion related effects were addressed by using a short acquisition duration and bulk motion correction. Moreover, the high number of image averages may also help to avoid systematic motion errors. In future studies, the use of cardiac gating (Kharbanda, Alsop et al. 2006) might help to further improve the accuracy of the obtained diffusivity values.

Author Disclosure Statement

Jens A. Petersen: No competing financial interests exist.

Bertram J. Wilm, PhD: No competing financial interests exist.

Jan von Meyenburg: No competing financial interests exist.

Martin Schubert, MD: No competing financial interests exist.

Burkhardt Seifert, PhD: No competing financial interests exist.

Yousef Najafi, MD: No competing financial interests exist.

Volker Dietz, MD: No competing financial interests exist.

Spyridon Kollias, MD: No competing financial interests exist.

References

- Agosta F, Benedetti B, Rocca MA, Valsasina P, Rovaris M, Comi G and Filippi M. (2005). Quantification of cervical cord pathology in primary progressive MS using diffusion tensor MRI. *Neurology*. 64:631-635.
- Aota Y, Niwa T, Uesugi M, Yamashita T, Inoue T and Saito T. (2008). The correlation of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging in cervical compression myelopathy with neurologic and radiologic severity. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 33:814-820.
- Batchelor PG, Atkinson D, Hill DL, Calamante F and Connolly A. (2003). Anisotropic noise propagation in diffusion tensor MRI sampling schemes. *Magn Reson Med*. 49:1143-1151.
- Beaulieu C, Does MD, Snyder RE and Allen PS. (1996). Changes in water diffusion due to Wallerian degeneration in peripheral nerve. *Magn Reson Med*. 36:627-631.
- Bronson R, Gilles FH, Hall J and Hedley-Whyte ET. (1978). Long term post-traumatic retrograde corticospinal degeneration in man. *Hum Pathol*. 9:602-607.
- Budzik JF, Balbi V, Le Thuc V, Duhamel A, Assaker R and Cotten A. (2011). Diffusion tensor imaging and fibre tracking in cervical spondylotic myelopathy. *Eur Radiol*. 21:426-433.
- Chabot R, York DH, Watts C and Waugh WA. (1985). Somatosensory evoked potentials evaluated in normal subjects and spinal cord-injured patients. *J Neurosurg*. 63:544-551.
- Chang Y, Jung TD, Yoo DS and Hyun JK. (2010). Diffusion tensor imaging and fiber tractography of patients with cervical spinal cord injury. *J Neurotrauma*. 27:2033-2040.
- Clamann HP and Henneman E. (1976). Electrical Measurement of Axon Diameter and Its Use in Relating Motoneuron Size to Critical Firing Level. *J Neurophysiol*. 39:844-851.
- Clay JR. (2005). Axonal excitability revisited. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol*. 88:59-90.
- Cohen-Adad J, El Mendili MM, Lehericy S, Pradat PF, Blanche S, Rossignol S and Benali H. (2011). Demyelination and degeneration in the injured human spinal cord detected with diffusion and magnetization transfer MRI. *Neuroimage*. 55:1024-1033.
- Curati WL, Kingsley DP, Kendall BE and Moseley IF. (1992). MRI in chronic spinal cord trauma. *Neuroradiology*. 35:30-35.
- Curt A, Keck ME and Dietz V. (1998). Functional outcome following spinal cord injury: significance of motor-evoked potentials and ASIA scores. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 79:81-86.
- Demir A, Ries M, Moonen CT, Vital JM, Dehais J, Arne P, Caille JM and Dousset V. (2003). Diffusion-weighted MR imaging with apparent diffusion coefficient and apparent diffusion tensor maps in cervical spondylotic myelopathy. *Radiology*. 229:37-43.
- Deo AA, Grill RJ, Hasan KM and Narayana PA. (2006). In vivo serial diffusion tensor imaging of experimental spinal cord injury. *J Neurosci Res*. 83:801-810.
- Ellingson BM, Kurpad SN and Schmit BD. (2008). Functional correlates of diffusion tensor imaging in spinal cord injury. *Biomed Sci Instrum*. 44:28-33.
- Ellingson BM, Ulmer JL, Kurpad SN and Schmit BD. (2008). Diffusion tensor MR imaging in chronic spinal cord injury. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 29:1976-1982.
- Elshafiey I, Bilgen M, He R and Narayana PA. (2002). In vivo diffusion tensor imaging of rat spinal cord at 7 T. *Magn Reson Imaging*. 20:243-247.
- Facon D, Ozanne A, Fillard P, Lepeintre JF, Tournoux-Facon C and Ducreux D. (2005). MR diffusion tensor imaging and fiber tracking in spinal cord compression. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 26:1587-1594.

- Ford JC, Hackney DB, Alsop DC, Jara H, Joseph PM, Hand CM and Black P. (1994). MRI characterization of diffusion coefficients in a rat spinal cord injury model. *Magn Reson Med.* 31:488-494.
- Ford JC, Hackney DB, Lavi E, Phillips M and Patel U. (1998). Dependence of apparent diffusion coefficients on axonal spacing, membrane permeability, and diffusion time in spinal cord white matter. *J Magn Reson Imaging.* 8:775-782.
- Fraidakis M, Klason T, Cheng H, Olson L and Spenger C. (1998). High-resolution MRI of intact and transected rat spinal cord. *Exp Neurol.* 153:299-312.
- Freeman B. (1978). Myelin sheath thickness and conduction latency groups in the cat optic nerve. *J Comp Neurol.* 181:183-196.
- Freund P, Weiskopf N, Ward NS, Hutton C, Gall A, Ciccarelli O, Craggs M, Friston K and Thompson AJ. (2011). Disability, atrophy and cortical reorganization following spinal cord injury. *Brain.* 134:1610-1622.
- Guleria S, Gupta RK, Saksena S, Chandra A, Srivastava RN, Husain M, Rathore R and Narayana PA. (2008). Retrograde Wallerian degeneration of cranial corticospinal tracts in cervical spinal cord injury patients using diffusion tensor imaging. *J Neurosci Res.* 86:2271-2280.
- Hagmann P, Jonasson L, Maeder P, Thiran JP, Wedeen VJ and Meuli R. (2006). Understanding diffusion MR imaging techniques: from scalar diffusion-weighted imaging to diffusion tensor imaging and beyond. *Radiographics.* 26 Suppl 1:S205-223.
- Hess CW, Mills KR and Murray NM. (1987). Responses in small hand muscles from magnetic stimulation of the human brain. *J Physiol.* 388:397-419.
- Kerkovsky M, Bednarik JAP, Dusek L, Sprlakova-Pukova A, Urbanek IAP, Mechl MP, Valek VP and Kadanka Z. (2011). Magnetic Resonance Diffusion Tensor Imaging in Patients With Cervical Spondylotic Spinal Cord Compression: Correlations Between Clinical and Electrophysiological Findings. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).*
- Kharbanda HS, Alsop DC, Anderson AW, Filardo G and Hackney DB. (2006). Effects of cord motion on diffusion imaging of the spinal cord. *Magn Reson Med.* 56:334-339.
- Kiers L, Cros D, Chiappa KH and Fang J. (1993). Variability of motor potentials evoked by transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol.* 89:415-423.
- Kim JH, Loy DN, Wang Q, Budde MD, Schmidt RE, Trinkaus K and Song SK. (2010). Diffusion tensor imaging at 3 hours after traumatic spinal cord injury predicts long-term locomotor recovery. *J Neurotrauma.* 27:587-598.
- Magistris MR, Rosler KM, Truffert A and Myers JP. (1998). Transcranial stimulation excites virtually all motor neurons supplying the target muscle. A demonstration and a method improving the study of motor evoked potentials. *Brain.* 121 (Pt 3):437-450.
- Marino RJ, Barros T, Biering-Sorensen F, Burns SP, Donovan WH, Graves DE, Haak M, Hudson LM and Priebe MM. (2003). International standards for neurological classification of spinal cord injury. *J Spinal Cord Med.* 26 Suppl 1:S50-56.
- Mirolli M and Talbott SR. (1972). The geometrical factors determining the electrotonic properties of a molluscan neurone. *J Physiol.* 227:19-34.
- Nevo U, Hauben E, Yoles E, Agranov E, Akselrod S, Schwartz M and Neeman M. (2001). Diffusion anisotropy MRI for quantitative assessment of recovery in injured rat spinal cord. *Magn Reson Med.* 45:1-9.
- Ozanne A, Krings T, Facon D, Fillard P, Dumas JL, Alvarez H, Ducreux D and Lasjaunias P. (2007). MR diffusion tensor imaging and fiber tracking in spinal cord arteriovenous malformations: a preliminary study. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 28:1271-1279.
- Potter K and Saifuddin A. (2003). Pictorial review: MRI of chronic spinal cord injury. *Br J Radiol.* 76:347-352.

- Qian W, Chan Q, Mak H, Zhang Z, Anthony MP, Yau KK, Khong PL, Chan KH and Kim M. (2011). Quantitative assessment of the cervical spinal cord damage in neuromyelitis optica using diffusion tensor imaging at 3 Tesla. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 33:1312-1320.
- Schwartz ED, Cooper ET, Fan Y, Jawad AF, Chin CL, Nissanov J and Hackney DB. (2005). MRI diffusion coefficients in spinal cord correlate with axon morphometry. *Neuroreport*. 16:73-76.
- Shanmuganathan K, Gullapalli RP, Zhuo J and Mirvis SE. (2008). Diffusion tensor MR imaging in cervical spine trauma. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 29:655-659.
- Steeves JD, Lammertse D, Curt A, Fawcett JW, Tuszynski MH, Ditunno JF, Ellaway PH, Fehlings MG, Guest JD, Kleitman N, Bartlett PF, Blight AR, Dietz V, Dobkin BH, Grossman R, Short D, Nakamura M, Coleman WP, Gaviria M and Privat A. (2007). Guidelines for the conduct of clinical trials for spinal cord injury (SCI) as developed by the ICCP panel: clinical trial outcome measures. *Spinal Cord*. 45:206-221.
- Thurnher MM and Law M. (2009). Diffusion-weighted imaging, diffusion-tensor imaging, and fiber tractography of the spinal cord. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am*. 17:225-244.
- Wang D, Bodley R, Sett P, Gardner B and Frankel H. (1996). A clinical magnetic resonance imaging study of the traumatised spinal cord more than 20 years following injury. *Paraplegia*. 34:65-81.
- Werring DJ, Toosy AT, Clark CA, Parker GJ, Barker GJ, Miller DH and Thompson AJ. (2000). Diffusion tensor imaging can detect and quantify corticospinal tract degeneration after stroke. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 69:269-272.
- Wilm BJ, Gamper U, Henning A, Pruessmann KP, Kollias SS and Boesiger P. (2009). Diffusion-weighted imaging of the entire spinal cord. *NMR Biomed*. 22:174-181.
- Wilm BJ, Svensson J, Henning A, Pruessmann KP, Boesiger P and Kollias SS. (2007). Reduced field-of-view MRI using outer volume suppression for spinal cord diffusion imaging. *Magn Reson Med*. 57:625-630.
- Yamamoto T, Yamasaki M and Imai T. (1989). Retrograde pyramidal tract degeneration in a patient with cervical haematomyelia. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 52:382-386.

No.	Age	Sex	Level	AIS Score	Metal implant	Cause	Time since injury	Minor image quality (dropout)	MRI findings (T2)	SSEP	MEP
1	76	m	C4	D	yes	Traumatic discal hernias C2/3 and C3/4	2 m	C5, LE	-	+	+
2	72	f	C6	C	no	Periradicular infiltration	12 m	-	diffuse myelomalacy C5	+	-
3	68	m	C4	D	yes	Traumatic discoligamentary luxation C4/5	3 m	C5, LE	-	+	+
4	68	m	C6	C	yes	Traumatic discoligamentary luxation C6/7	72 m	C5, T5	-	+	+
5	66	m	C6	B	yes	Traumatic fractures C5 and C6	7m	C5. LE	-	+	+
6	65	f	C6	A	yes	Traumatic discoligamentary luxation C7/T1	36 m	C5	-	+	+
7	61	m	C4	D	yes	Traumatic spinal contusion	72 m	C2, C5	-	+	-
8	61	m	C3	D	yes	Compressive myelopathy due to spinal stenosis	60 m	C5, T5, LE	-	+	-
9	59	f	C5	D	no	Compressive myelopathy due to spinal stenosis	24 m	C2, C5	-	-	-
10	55	m	C3	D	yes	Traumatic spinal contusion	48 m	C5, T5, LE	-	+	-
11	42	m	C8	D	yes	Traumatic fracture C6 and C7	96 m	C5	-	+	-
12	36	m	C6	D	no	Traumatic fractures C4, C5 and C7	72 m	-	central myelomalacy C5	+	+
13	32	m	C7	C	yes	Traumatic fracture C7/T1	18 m	C5	-	+	+
14	31	m	C5	D	yes	Traumatic fracture C6	12 m	C5	-	+	+
15	31	m	C5	D	no	Traumatic spinal contusion	2 m	T5, LE	central myelomalacy C5	+	+
16	51	m	C5	D	no	Compressive myelopathy due to spinal stenosis	4 m	-	dorsal myelomalacy C5	+	-
17	27	m	C7	A	yes	Traumatic fracture C7	60 m	C5	-	+	+
18	25	m	C5	B	yes	Traumatic fracture C4 and C5	4 m	C2, C5, T5	-	+	+
19	20	m	C7	D	yes	Traumatic fracture C6	5 m	C5	-	+	+

Table 1. SCI subjects. m = male, f = female; AIS = ASIA Impairment Scale; m = months. AIS A (motor-sensory complete), AIS B (motor complete, sensory incomplete), AIS C (motor-sensory incomplete) and AIS D (motor-sensory incomplete). MRI= Magnetic resonance imaging, SSEP=somatosensory evoked potentials, MEP=motor evoked potentials.

	<i>Controls</i>				<i>SCI subjects</i>			
	C2 (n=12)	C5 (n=12)	T5 (n=16)	LE (n=14)	C2 (n=12)	C5 (n=4)	T5 (n=14)	LE (n=13)
Cross section								
ADC (*10 ⁻³ mm ² /s)	0.89 ± 0.04	0.85 ± 0.07	0.88 ± 0.07	0.92 ± 0.07	0.89 ± 0.07	0.89 ± 0.24	0.92 ± 0.07	0.81 ± 0.13
<i>P value</i>					0.8	0.1	0.1	0.28
FA	0.66 ± 0.03	0.62 ± 0.06	0.60 ± 0.04	0.57 ± 0.05	0.61 ± 0.03	0.53 ± 0.06	0.57 ± 0.05	0.50 ± 0.04
<i>P value</i>					0.001	0.05	0.1	0.50
CSTs								
ADC (*10 ⁻³ mm ² /s)	0.88 ± 0.06	0.82 ± 0.09	0.83 ± 0.09	0.88 ± 0.10	0.82 ± 0.08	0.81 ± 0.23	0.88 ± 0.10	0.81 ± 0.13
<i>P value</i>					0.052	0.8	0.088	0.25
FA	0.75 ± 0.04	0.67 ± 0.08	0.67 ± 0.06	0.63 ± 0.05	0.7 ± 0.04	0.63 ± 0.08	0.63 ± 0.05	0.59 ± 0.06
<i>P value</i>					0.002	0.4	0.058	0.2
Dorsal tracts								
ADC (*10 ⁻³ mm ² /s)	0.87 ± 0.04	0.82 ± 0.07	0.83 ± 0.08	0.82 ± 0.08	0.85 ± 0.1	0.84 ± 0.2	0.82 ± 0.08	0.78 ± 0.11
<i>P value</i>					0.5	0.5	0.8	0.17
FA	0.76 ± 0.02	0.71 ± 0.06	0.69 ± 0.06	0.70 ± 0.06	0.72 ± 0.05	0.67 ± 0.04	0.70 ± 0.06	0.67 ± 0.05
<i>P value</i>					0.004	0.3	0.6	0.6

Table 2. DTI parameters in SCI and healthy subjects (mean values ± standard deviation across all voxels in the selected regions across all participants). Significant differences between SCI and the healthy are indicated in bold. FA = Fractional Anisotropy; ADC = Apparent

Diffusion Coefficient. CSTs = corticospinal tracts.

	<i>Control</i>				<i>SCI subjects</i>			
	C2	C5	T5	LE	C2	C5	T5	LE
Surface area (mm ²)	754.2 ± 27.8	722.4 ± 59	412.5 ± 21	518.6 ± 19	586.1 ± 31.2	535.2 ± 78	318.5 ± 24	446.2 ± 25
<i>P value</i>					0.002	0.025	0.004	0.024

Table 3. Spinal cord surface area in SCI and healthy subjects. Significant differences between SCI and the healthy are indicated in bold.

		ulnar	tibial
Latencies (ms)	AIS A+B	24.1 ± 2.6	-
	AIS C+D	22.3 ± 2.1	46.85 ± 5.05
Amplitudes (µV)	AIS A+B	0.56 ± 0.89	0 ± 0
	AIS C+D	2.38 ± 0.85	1.01 ± 0.81

Table 4. Somatosensory evoked potentials (SSEP).

Left (n=18) and right (n=18) side data pooled (Mean ± standard deviation). In AIS A+B subjects, no SSEP could be derived from the legs.

Fig. 1 Sagittal T2 weighted image of the entire myelon. Fields of view on upper cervical, lower cervical, thoracic and at the lumbar segments are marked by boxes.

Fig. 2 Cervical spinal cord of a healthy control centered at level C5 in the transversal plane, showing 6 consecutive slices (top to bottom), displayed in the different contrasts. Left: FA (fractional anisotropy), middle: ADC (apparent diffusion coefficient), right: colour-coded FA

Fig. 3 FA image in axial plane at cervical level. Regions of interest are shown as circles.

Anatomical region (Voxels on cervical level and at the lumbar enlargement / voxels at thoracic level / spinal tract(s).

Cross sectional area (a)

Corticospinal tracts (b: right lateral funiculus [7/6/crossed pyramidal tract] / c: left lateral funiculus [7/6/crossed pyramidal tract])

Dorsal tracts (**d** right dorsal funiculus [7/4/fasciculus cuneatus and gracilis] / **e**: left dorsal funiculus [7/4/fasciculus cuneatus and gracilis])

Fig. 4 Correlations of diffusivity measures with tibial nerve sensory evoked potentials (Tib SEP) and clinical scores. FA (Fractional anisotropy) given in mean values across all voxels across all slices in the selected regions of interest across all participants. Linear regression lines added. 1= AIS A (motor and sensory complete); 2= AIS B (motor complete and sensory incomplete); 3= AIS C and 4 = AIS D (motor and sensory incomplete)

Fig. 5 Fractional anisotropy in healthy controls and SCI subjects.