



Year: 2013

Usefulness of IgM-specific enzyme immunoassays for serodiagnosis of syphilis: comparative evaluation of three different assays

Bosshard, Philipp P

Abstract: **OBJECTIVES:** IgM antibodies are usually the first to be produced during treponemal infection. Three commercially available enzyme immunoassays (EIA) for detection of IgM antibodies against *Treponema pallidum* were evaluated. **METHODS:** Results of the Anti-*Treponema pallidum*-ELISA (IgM; Euroimmun), Pathozyme Syphilis M Capture (Omega Diagnostics) and recomWell *Treponema* IgM (Mikrogen) were compared with those of the *T. pallidum* particle agglutination (TPPA) and the Venereal Disease Research Laboratory (VDRL) tests for 307 serum samples. **RESULTS:** The overall sensitivity (95% confidence interval [CI]) of the TPPA was 100% (97.7-100%) compared to 83.3% (76.5-88.8%) of the VDRL, 88.5% (82.4-93.0%) of the Pathozyme, 84.6% (78.0-89.9) of the Euroimmun, and 73.6% (66.1-80.4%) of a modified recomWell test procedure. Specificities were in the range of 91.4-100%. In primary syphilis, sensitivities of the Pathozyme (89.8%; 95% CI, 79.2-96.2%) and Euroimmun tests (81.4%; 95% CI, 69.1-90.3%) were significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) than the sensitivity of the VDRL test (61%; 95% CI, 47.4-73.5%). IgM EIAs even were positive in some cases of suspected very early infection where the VDRL was non-reactive and the TPPA was indeterminate. **CONCLUSIONS:** In cases of suspected early infection specific IgM EIAs should be used in addition to other screening tests. The VDRL is not recommended for screening.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2013.03.011>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-85204>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Bosshard, Philipp P (2013). Usefulness of IgM-specific enzyme immunoassays for serodiagnosis of syphilis: comparative evaluation of three different assays. *Journal of Infection*, 67(1):35-42.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2013.03.011>

1 **Usefulness of IgM-specific enzyme immunoassays for serodiagnosis**
2 **of syphilis: comparative evaluation of three different assays**

3

4

5 Philipp P. Bosshard

6

7 Dept. of Dermatology, University Hospital Zurich, Zürich, Switzerland

8

9 **Running title**

10 Evaluation of three IgM-EIAs for diagnosis of syphilis

11

12 **Corresponding author**

13 Philipp P. Bosshard

14 Department of Dermatology

15 Zurich University Hospital

16 Gloriastrasse 31

17 CH - 8091 Zürich

18 Switzerland

19 Tel + 41 44 255 3972

20 Fax + 41 44 255 4418

21 philipp.bosshard@usz.ch

22

23 **Keywords**

24 Syphilis, serology, IgM, ELISA, EIA, diagnosis

25

26 **Word count**

27 Manuscript (excluding abstract, tables, acknowledgment and references): 2570

28

29 **Summary**

30 *Objectives.* IgM antibodies are usually the first to be produced during treponemal infection.
31 Three commercially available enzyme immunoassays (EIA) for detection of IgM antibodies
32 against *Treponema pallidum* were evaluated.

33 *Methods.* Results of the Anti-Treponema-pallidum-ELISA (IgM; Euroimmun), Pathozyme
34 Syphilis-M Capture (Omega Diagnostics) and recomWell Treponema IgM (Mikrogen) were
35 compared with those of the *Treponema pallidum* particle agglutination (TPPA) and the Venereal
36 Disease Research Laboratory (VDRL) tests for 307 serum samples.

37 *Results.* The overall sensitivity (95% confidence interval [CI]) of the TPPA was 100% (97.7-
38 100%) compared to 83.3% (76.5-88.8%) of the VDRL, 88.5% (82.4-93.0%) of the Pathozyme,
39 84.6% (78.0-89.9) of the Euroimmun, and 73.6% (66.1-80.4%) of a modified recomWell test
40 procedure. Specificities were in the range of 91.4-100%. In primary syphilis, sensitivities of the
41 Pathozyme (89.8%; 95% CI, 79.2-96.2%) and Euroimmun tests (81.4%; 95% CI, 69.1-90.3%)
42 were significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) than the sensitivity of the VDRL test (61%; 95% CI, 47.4-
43 73.5%). IgM EIAs even were positive in some cases of suspected very early infection where the
44 VDRL was non-reactive and the TPPA was indeterminate.

45 *Conclusions.* In cases of suspected early infection specific IgM EIAs should be used in addition
46 to other screening tests. The VDRL is not recommended for screening.

47

48

49 **Keywords**

50 Syphilis; serology; IgM; ELISA; EIA; diagnosis; *Treponema pallidum*

51

52 **Introduction**

53 Serology remains the mainstay of laboratory detection of *Treponema pallidum* infections. Two
54 classes of antibody tests are available; treponemal and non-treponemal. In Europe, it is
55 recommended to use a treponemal antigen test as a single screening test, e.g. an enzyme
56 immunoassay (EIA) or the *Treponema pallidum* particle agglutination test (TPPA),¹ with positive
57 samples being confirmed by a second treponemal test. Non-treponemal tests, e.g. VDRL
58 (Venereal Disease Research Laboratory) or RPR (Rapid Plasma Reagin), are useful for
59 detection of active infection and for monitoring treatment response,² however, they are less
60 specific than treponemal tests and lack sensitivity in primary and late syphilis patients.²⁻⁵
61 Additionally, they are labour intensive and cannot be run on automated platforms.

62 IgM class antibodies are usually the first to be produced during treponemal infection.⁶ It
63 has been shown that IgM-specific EIAs are very sensitive in detecting primary and secondary
64 syphilis^{2,4,5,7-10} with the IgM EIA being the first test positive in some instances. It is
65 recommended to request a specific anti-treponemal IgM test if primary syphilis is suspected.^{1,2}
66 Although many different commercial IgM EIA tests are available, few have been analysed for
67 their performance at different stages of syphilis, namely the Mercia Syphilis M EIA (Microgen
68 Products Ltd)^{4,5,8-12} the Eti-syphilis-M (DiaSorin)⁷ and the recomWell Treponema IgM
69 (Mikrogen).¹³ Additionally, the Mercia Syphilis M EIA has been evaluated for diagnosing
70 maternal and congenital syphilis¹⁴ and the use of Euroimmun EIA and Western-blot IgG and IgM
71 assays for screening blood donors has been investigated.¹⁵

72 In the present study, three commercially available EIAs to detect IgM antibodies to *T.*
73 *pallidum* were evaluated (Anti-Treponema-pallidum-ELISA IgM, Euroimmun; Pathozyme
74 Syphilis M Capture, Omega Diagnostics; recomWell Treponema IgM, Mikrogen). Sensitivities
75 were determined with consecutive sera from untreated syphilis patients at different disease
76 stages. Specificities were analysed by a panel of sera including sera of patients with diseases
77 potentially interfering with syphilis serology tests.

78

79

80 **Material and Methods**

81 **Study design**

82 156 consecutive sera submitted to the syphilis serology laboratory at the Clinic of Dermatology,
83 University Hospital of Zurich of patients at the Zurich University Hospital which were diagnosed
84 between January 2008 and December 2010 having untreated syphilis were included in this
85 retrospective study.

86 Routine testing included the VDRL test (Dade Behring, Düringen, Germany), the TPPA
87 test (Fujirebio Inc., Tokyo, Japan), the Fluorescent Treponemal Antibody-Absorption test (FTA-
88 ABS, Biomérieux, Genève, Switzerland), and the Pathozyme Syphilis M Capture EIA (Omega
89 Diagnostic Ltd., Alva, United Kingdom). After routine testing, sera were stored at -20°C until
90 further testing in 2011 with the Anti-Treponema-pallidum-ELISA (IgM; Euroimmun, Lübeck,
91 Germany) and the recomWell Treponema IgM (Mikrogen, Neuried, Germany).

92 To assess the specificity, 151 sera were tested including sera known to be potentially
93 cross-reactive (anti-*Borrelia burgdorferi* IgM [$n = 10$], anti-Epstein-Barr virus IgM [$n = 10$], anti-
94 Cytomegalovirus IgM [$n = 10$], auto-immune disease (Lupus erythematosus) [$n = 1$], HIV
95 patients [$n = 20$] and pregnant women [$n = 20$]), negative sera without further specification ($n =$
96 50) and sera of previously treated syphilis patients ($n = 30$).

97

98 **Patient characteristics**

99 Based on clinical data obtained from patients charts and serological results, patients were
100 categorized as having primary syphilis ($n = 59$), secondary syphilis ($n = 66$), latent syphilis ($n =$
101 25), or tertiary syphilis ($n = 6$) (Table 1). 50/59 primary syphilis patients had typical ulcers at
102 ano-genital or oro-pharyngeal sites. The other nine patients had positive serology and
103 erythematous lesions on the penis or the tonsils ($n = 3$) or a possible exposition to syphilis
104 without clinic ($n = 6$). Secondary syphilis patients had muco-cutaneous skin lesions typical for
105 secondary syphilis. Patients with latent syphilis had positive serology but without clinical signs of

106 syphilis. Patients were classified as having tertiary syphilis based on a combination of clinical
107 and serological findings as well as CSF analysis

108

109 **Serological testing**

110 All tests were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. To avoid false-negative
111 results due to the prozone phenomenon the VDRL was tested up to a 1:64 dilution.

112 The three EIAs are designed for the semi-quantitative detection of IgM antibodies against *T.*
113 *pallidum*. The Euroimmun Anti-Treponema-pallidum-ELISA (IgM) and the recomWell
114 Treponema IgM both involve indirect 'sandwich' EIA procedures using microtitration wells
115 coated with the recombinant treponemal antigens Tp15, Tp17, Tp47 and TmpA. After incubation
116 with the test serum, bound IgM antibodies are detected with an enzyme-labelled anti-human-
117 IgM antibody. For the Euroimmun Anti-Treponema-pallidum-ELISA (IgM), prior to incubation
118 with the test serum, interfering IgG antibodies are precipitated with anti-human-IgG antibodies.
119 Results are calculated as index values (optical density of sample/cut-off value) and are then
120 classified as negative (<0.8), equivocal (≥ 0.8 , <1.1) or positive (≥ 1.1).

121 According to the manufacturer's protocol for the recomWell a pre-adsorption of IgG antibodies
122 must not be done. However, due to moderate performance all samples were also tested with a
123 modified recomWell Treponema IgM protocol in which interfering IgG antibodies were
124 precipitated with Gullsorb reagent (Meridian Bioscience, Ohio, United States) containing anti-
125 human-IgG antibodies according to the manufacturer's protocol. For the recomWell Treponema
126 IgM, results are calculated as antibody units per ml (optical density of sample/cut-off value x 20)
127 and are then classified as negative (<20), equivocal (≥ 20 , ≤ 24) or positive (>24).

128 In the Pathozyme Syphilis M Capture EIA microtitration wells are coated with anti-human
129 IgM which captures IgM antibodies in the test serum onto the well. After washing a conjugate of
130 native *T. pallidum* antigen (Tp15, Tp17, Tp44 and Tp47) labelled with horseradish peroxidase is
131 applied. Results are calculated as index values (optical density of sample/cut-off value) and are
132 then classified as negative (<0.9), equivocal (≥ 0.9 , ≤ 1.1) or positive (>1.1).

133 Equivocal results of any of the specific IgM EIAs were repeated and the second result
134 was accepted as the final one. Thus, 27 positive sera and 5 control sera had to be repeated,
135 i.e., 14 Euroimmun, 6 recomWell, and 12 Pathozyme tests. In 10, 12, and 10 cases,
136 respectively, results became negative, became positive, or remained equivocal upon repetition.
137 Repeated equivocal results were considered as positive for calculating sensitivity and
138 specificity.

139

140 **Statistical analysis**

141 Confidence intervals were calculated with GraphPad Prism, Version 5.04 (GraphPad Software,
142 Inc., La Jolla, California). Sensitivities were compared with the McNemar X^2 test¹⁶ online at
143 <http://graphpad.com/QuickCalcs> (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, California last accessed
144 9th January 2013).

145

146

147 **Results**

148 Results of all tests are shown in Tables 2 and 3 and corresponding sensitivities and specificities
149 are depicted in Table 4. In 148/156 patients the TPPA test was positive and in 8/156 it was
150 equivocal/indeterminate. The VDRL test was positive or equivocal in 36/59 (61%) patients with
151 primary syphilis, positive in 64/66 (97%) patients with secondary syphilis, in 24/25 (96%)
152 patients with latent syphilis and in all six patients with tertiary syphilis. VDRL and TPPA titres
153 were lower in primary syphilis compared to secondary, latent or tertiary syphilis (Table 2).

154 The IgM-specific EIAs started with low to moderate high scores in primary syphilis and
155 had a peak in secondary and to a lesser extent in tertiary syphilis, while in latent syphilis the
156 scores were lowest (Table 2). A total of 138 (88.5%), 100 (64.1), and 132 (84.6%) of 156 sera of
157 syphilis patients were tested positive by the IgM-specific Pathozyme, recomWell, and
158 Euroimmun tests, respectively (Tables 2 and 4). As the recomWell Treponema IgM initially
159 showed moderate performance all specimens were retested with a modified protocol what

160 resulted in a significantly (McNemar $X^2 = 11.5$, $p < 0.001$) higher sensitivity (73.7% as opposed
161 to 64.1%).

162 In primary syphilis (Table 4), the sensitivities (% sensitivity, 95% CI) of the Pathozyme
163 (89.8%, 79.2-96.2%) and the Euroimmun test (81.4%, 69.1-90.3%) were significantly higher
164 than the sensitivities of the VDRL (61%, 47.4-73.5%) and the modified recomWell test (62.7%,
165 49.2-75.0%) as assessed by the McNemar X^2 test ($X^2 > 3.841$, $p < 0.05$)¹⁶.

166 23/59 primary syphilis patients had a negative VDRL. These patients probably had early
167 infection as the TPPA titres (median 1:320, interquartile range <1:80 – 1:320) were low, too. In
168 this group, IgM-specific Pathozyme, modified recomWell, and Euroimmun tests were positive in
169 22/23, 10/23, and 17/23 cases, respectively. Detailed results of seven patients with
170 indeterminate TPPA are summarized in Table 5; in all of them syphilis was confirmed with later
171 control sera, i.e., TPPA became positive and IgM EIA tests decreased after therapy. It is
172 therefore believed that they had very early infection. Pathozyme, modified recomWell, and
173 Euroimmun tests were positive or equivocal in 7/7, 2/7 and 3/7 cases, respectively.

174 The specificity of all tests ranged from 91.4-100% (Tables 3 and 4). All investigated
175 specific IgM tests showed some cross-reactivity, especially the Euroimmun Anti-Treponema-
176 pallidum-ELISA had difficulties with sera containing IgM antibodies against human Herpes
177 viruses (7/10 anti-Epstein-Barr virus IgM sera and 3/10 anti-Cytomegalovirus IgM sera).

178

179

180 **Discussion**

181 The clinical and laboratory diagnosis of primary syphilis can be difficult. Usually one or multiple,
182 painless and indurate ulcers develop at the site of infection. However, the lesion may be
183 atypical,^{1,17} e.g., i) only a papular lesion may develop, ii) it may be inconspicuous, iii) it does not
184 develop in every case, iv) a syphilitic balanitis of Follman may be the only clinical expression.
185 Patients might also seek medical advice after risk situations in the incubation period before
186 development of clinical symptoms. Besides dark-field microscopy, which requires experienced

187 staff and is labour-intensive, and molecular tests, which are not yet generally used and are
188 expensive, serological tests remain the most important tool for diagnosing syphilis. In the
189 present study, and in those of others,^{4,5} the TPPA was the most sensitive single test in all
190 stages of disease, confirming its suitability as a screening test.¹ The VDRL test sensitivity was
191 only 61% for primary syphilis, which is similar to what we found previously (58%)² but somewhat
192 lower than what other studies reported (66-87%).^{3,18,19} The sensitivity of the IgM-specific syphilis
193 EIA assays for detecting primary syphilis was 89.8% for the Pathozyme Syphilis M Capture
194 (Omega Diagnostics) test and 81.4% for the Anti-Treponema-pallidum-ELISA (IgM;
195 Euroimmun). This was significantly higher than 62.7% of the modified recomWell Treponema
196 IgM (Mikrogen) or 61% of the VDRL test. In secondary syphilis which corresponds to the
197 hematogenic spreading of the pathogen throughout the entire body the EIAs had their highest
198 sensitivities, whereas in latent syphilis which clinically is a silent period IgM EIA values were low
199 and many samples were negative.

200 Most interestingly is the group of primary syphilis patients with negative VDRL. These
201 23/59 (39%) cases all would have been missed when the VDRL was used as the only first-line
202 screening test as previously recommended in the United States.^{18,20} In this subgroup, the TPPA
203 was positive in 17/23 and indeterminate in 6/23 cases. TPPA alone would have allowed
204 diagnosing present or past syphilis infection in these cases (still, the six indeterminate cases
205 would have been doubtful requiring confirmation with second samples). However, the
206 combination of TPPA and IgM-specific EIA assays allowed correct diagnosis of early primary
207 syphilis in 22/23 and 17/23 cases with the Pathozyme and the Euroimmun assay, respectively.
208 The Pathozyme assay was even positive in seven cases with presumed very early infection with
209 some patients eventually still being in the incubation phase (Table 5). It has been previously
210 shown that a specific IgM EIA may be the only positive test in early infection.^{2,5} It is therefore
211 suggested that in cases of suspected early infection specific IgM EIAs should be used in
212 addition to other screening tests.¹ It is important that clinicians communicate the suspicion of
213 early infection to the laboratory in order that an IgM EIA is utilized.

214 Most previous studies investigating IgM-specific commercial assays have examined the
215 Mercia Syphilis M EIA (Microgen Products Ltd),^{4,5,8-12} in one study the Eti-syphilis-M was
216 analysed (DiaSorin).⁷ The reported sensitivities are similar to the ones found here, for primary
217 syphilis they were in the range of 82-94% and for secondary syphilis in the range of 60-100%.
218 For latent syphilis the sensitivity was dependent on whether early (56-87%) or late (0-50%)
219 latent cases were examined. In one previous study the recomWell Treponema IgM assay was
220 investigated,¹³ the sensitivity in 19 primary syphilis cases was 89.5% which is higher than in the
221 present work. However, the assay was negative in all samples of later stages of syphilis.
222 Schmidt et al.¹⁰ evaluated nine commercially available EIAs with a panel of 52 highly selected
223 sera from primary syphilis patients, all negative with the microhemagglutination test for
224 *T. pallidum*. Eight assays were designed to detect IgG alone or in combination with IgM
225 antibodies. Most interestingly, the one assay detecting only IgM antibodies (Mercia Syphilis M
226 EIA) demonstrated the highest sensitivity (86.5%) as compared to the other tests (22.6-76.9%).

227 Remarkable is the fact that all these previous studies except two did not report the
228 specificity. Ijsselmuiden et al.⁸ tested 48 samples of mainly treated syphilis patients and non-
229 infected neonates with a specificity of 98% and Sambri et al.¹³ tested 200 samples from blood-
230 donors and 60 samples from patients with possibly cross-reactive conditions (e.g. Lyme
231 disease, mononucleosis, pregnancy) with 100% specificity. In the present study, 151 sera
232 including 71 potentially cross-reactive samples were investigated for testing the specificity.
233 Except for the Euroimmun assay which had some difficulties with sera which were positive for
234 IgM antibodies against human Herpes viruses, all other assays showed specificities of 95.4-
235 100%.

236 For monitoring the treatment response primarily non-treponemal tests such the VDRL or
237 the RPR are recommended.^{1,3} It has been shown previously that specific IgM EIAs are a reliable
238 supplement^{2,21,22} and this might be especially valuable in cases with an initially negative VDRL
239 test (mainly primary syphilis cases) and in case of a slow decline or persisting low VDRL
240 reactivity despite adequate therapy. However, it has to be noted that response rate may be
241 different among different commercial IgM EIAs and also different as compared to the VDRL.

242 Studies which used the Mercia Syphilis M EIA reported negative test results one year after
243 treatment in 92-100% of patients presenting with early syphilis^{21,22} - as compared to 62-87% in a
244 study using the Pathozyme test.²

245 All three IgM tests are easy to perform and do not require special equipment or special
246 skills and knowledge of the staff. They have total incubation times of 2-2.5 hours and can be
247 executed routinely within half a day. The tests differ, however, in their accuracy with the
248 recomWell being the least sensitive. The recomWell assay is an indirect 'sandwich' EIA. In this
249 kind of assay patients IgG antibodies are usually precipitated with an anti-human IgG antibody
250 to avoid a competition with IgM antibodies in binding to the recombinant antigens what can
251 cause a false-negative result. This step is missing in the recomWell procedure. Therefore, a
252 modified recomWell protocol precipitating interfering IgG antibodies was tested. The sensitivity
253 thus significantly increased from 64.1 to 73.7%. This demonstrates that the pre-adsorption of
254 interfering IgG antibodies increases the performance. With some optimization of the protocol the
255 sensitivity might be increased even more.

256 A limitation of this study is the fact that the sera were stored at -20°C until testing with
257 the Euroimmun and the recomWell assay. It cannot be entirely excluded that this might have
258 influenced the result in some cases.

259 In summary, specific syphilis IgM EIA assays are highly sensitive in primary syphilis and
260 are specific. It is suggested that in cases of suspected early infection specific IgM EIAs should
261 be used in addition to other screening tests. Additionally, they allow differentiation of active and
262 past infection and may be used as supplement for monitoring treatment response. EIAs from
263 different manufacturers vary in their performance.

264

265

266 **Addendum**

267 During the course of this study Omega Diagnostics informed that the Pathozyme Syphilis M
268 Capture test is suspended from production until further notice.

269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286

Conflict of interest

Mikrogen, Neuried, Germany and Pharma Consulting Marion Senn GmbH, Burgdorf, Switzerland sponsored 50% and 25% of costs of the recomWell Treponema IgM (Mikrogen) tests, respectively; Euroimmun Schweiz AG, Luzern, Switzerland has sponsored all Euroimmun Anti-Treponema-pallidum-ELISA (IgM) tests. The author has served as speaker for Euroimmun Switzerland and has received travel grants from Euroimmun Switzerland. No company had influence on the design of the study, interpretation of results, the final manuscript or any other part of the study.

Acknowledgments

I deeply appreciate the technical assistance of Tamara Eicher. I thank Thomas Kündig for critical review of the manuscript, Nicole Graf for statistical advice, and Walter Bossart and Reinhard Zbinden for providing potentially cross-reactive serum samples.

287 **References**

- 288 1. French P, Gomberg M, Janier M, Schmidt B, van Voorst Vader P, Young H. IUSTI: 2008
 289 European guidelines on the management of syphilis. *Int J STD AIDS* 2009;**20**(5):300-9.
 290 2. Fröhlich Knaute D, Graf N, Lautenschlager S, Weber R, Bosshard PP. Serological
 291 response to treatment of syphilis according to disease stage and HIV status. *Clin Infect*
 292 *Dis* 2012;**doi: 10.1093/cid/cis757**
 293 3. Larsen SA, Steiner BM, Rudolph AH. Laboratory diagnosis and interpretation of tests for
 294 syphilis. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 1995;**8**(1):1-21.
 295 4. Manavi K, Young H, McMillan A. The sensitivity of syphilis assays in detecting different
 296 stages of early syphilis. *Int J STD AIDS* 2006;**17**(11):768-71.
 297 5. McMillan A, Young H. Qualitative and quantitative aspects of the serological diagnosis of
 298 early syphilis. *Int J STD AIDS* 2008;**19**(9):620-4.
 299 6. Shannon R, Booth SD. The pattern of immunological responses at various stages of
 300 syphilis. *Br J Vener Dis* 1977;**53**(5):281-6.
 301 7. Castro R, Prieto ES, Santo I, Azevedo J, Exposto Fda L. Evaluation of an enzyme
 302 immunoassay technique for detection of antibodies against *Treponema pallidum*. *J Clin*
 303 *Microbiol* 2003;**41**(1):250-3.
 304 8. Ijsselmuiden OE, van der Sluis JJ, Mulder A, Stolz E, Bolton KP, van Eijk RV. An IgM
 305 capture enzyme linked immunosorbent assay to detect IgM antibodies to treponemes in
 306 patients with syphilis. *Genitourin Med* 1989;**65**(2):79-83.
 307 9. Lefevre JC, Bertrand MA, Bauriaud R. Evaluation of the Captia enzyme immunoassays
 308 for detection of immunoglobulins G and M to *Treponema pallidum* in syphilis. *J Clin*
 309 *Microbiol* 1990;**28**(8):1704-7.
 310 10. Schmidt BL, Edjlalipour M, Luger A. Comparative evaluation of nine different enzyme-
 311 linked immunosorbent assays for determination of antibodies against *Treponema*
 312 *pallidum* in patients with primary syphilis. *J Clin Microbiol* 2000;**38**(3):1279-82.
 313 11. Young H, Pryde J, Duncan L, Dave J. The Architect Syphilis assay for antibodies to
 314 *Treponema pallidum*: an automated screening assay with high sensitivity in primary
 315 syphilis. *Sex Transm Infect* 2009;**85**(1):19-23.
 316 12. Tabidze IL, Lee FK, Tambe P, Rocha E, Larsen SA, Stoll BJ, et al. Enzyme-linked
 317 immunospot assay for the diagnosis of active *Treponema pallidum* infection during the
 318 various stages of syphilis. *Sex Transm Dis* 1999;**26**(8):426-30.
 319 13. Sambri V, Marangoni A, Simone MA, D'Antuono A, Negosanti M, Cevenini R. Evaluation
 320 of recomWell Treponema, a novel recombinant antigen-based enzyme-linked
 321 immunosorbent assay for the diagnosis of syphilis. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2001;**7**(4):200-5.
 322 14. Rawstron SA, Mehta S, Bromberg K. Evaluation of a *Treponema pallidum*-specific IgM
 323 enzyme immunoassay and *Treponema pallidum* western blot antibody detection in the
 324 diagnosis of maternal and congenital syphilis. *Sex Transm Dis* 2004;**31**(2):123-6.
 325 15. Wang LN, Li JM. Evaluation of immunoglobulin M and G Western blot and ELISA for
 326 screening antibodies to *Treponema pallidum* in blood donors. *Sex Transm Dis*
 327 2009;**36**(7):413-6.
 328 16. Linnet K, Bossuyt PM, Moons KG, Reitsma JB. Quantifying the accuracy of a diagnostic
 329 test or marker. *Clin Chem* 2012;**58**(9):1292-301.
 330 17. Tramont EC. *Treponema pallidum* (Syphilis). In: Mandell GL, Bennett JE, Dolin R,
 331 editors. *Principles and practice of infectious diseases*. 6th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier,
 332 2005:2768-85.
 333 18. Creegan L, Bauer HM, Samuel MC, Klausner J, Liska S, Bolan G. An evaluation of the
 334 relative sensitivities of the venereal disease research laboratory test and the *Treponema*
 335 *pallidum* particle agglutination test among patients diagnosed with primary syphilis. *Sex*
 336 *Transm Dis* 2007;**34**(12):1016-8.
 337 19. Janier M, Chastang C, Spindler E, Strazzi S, Rabian C, Marcelli A, et al. A prospective
 338 study of the influence of HIV status on the seroreversion of serological tests for syphilis.
 339 *Dermatology* 1999;**198**(4):362-9.

- 340 20. Sena AC, White BL, Sparling PF. Novel *Treponema pallidum* serologic tests: a paradigm
341 shift in syphilis screening for the 21st century. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010;**51**(6):700-8.
- 342 21. McMillan A, Young H. Reactivity in the Venereal Diseases Research Laboratory test and
343 the Mercia IgM enzyme immunoassay after treatment of early syphilis. *Int J STD AIDS*
344 2008;**19**(10):689-93.
- 345 22. Rotty J, Anderson D, Garcia M, Diaz J, Van de Waarsenburg S, Howard T, et al.
346 Preliminary assessment of *Treponema pallidum*-specific IgM antibody detection and a
347 new rapid point-of-care assay for the diagnosis of syphilis in human immunodeficiency
348 virus-1-infected patients. *Int J STD AIDS* 2010;**21**(11):758-64.
- 349
350